PLÁNOVÁNÍ A HRY – CV 4

STATE-SPACE SEARCH

Based on slides by Štěpán Kopřiva

Jan Hrnčíř

State-space Search

- Forward Search
- Backward Search
- Heuristic Search



Forward Search



Forward Search Properties

- Forward-search is sound
 - for any plan returned by any of its nondeterministic traces, this plan is guaranteed to be a solution
- □ Forward-search is also complete
 - if a solution exists then at least one of Forwardsearch's nondeterministic traces will return a solution.

Task 1: DWR, find one finite and one infinite trace



□ g: {at(r1, loc1), loaded(r1, c3)}

Branching Factor of Forward Search



- Forward search can have a very large branching factor
 - E.g., many applicable actions that don't progress toward goal
- Why this is bad:
 - Deterministic implementations can waste time trying lots of irrelevant actions
- Need a good heuristic function and/or pruning procedure
- How to do pruning?



Backward Search

For forward search, we started at the initial state and computed state transitions

• new state = $\gamma(s,a)$

For backward search, we start at the goal and compute inverse state transitions

• new set of subgoals = $\gamma^{-1}(g,a)$

- □ To define $\gamma^{-1}(g,a)$, must first define *relevance*:
 - An action a is relevant for a goal g if
 - a makes at least one of g's literals true
 - $g \cap \text{effects}(a) \neq \emptyset$
 - a does not make any of g's literals false
 - $g^+ \cap \text{effects}^-(a) = \emptyset$ and $g^- \cap \text{effects}^+(a) = \emptyset$

Inverse State Transitions

If a is relevant for g, then

- $\gamma^{-1}(g,a) = (g \text{effects}(a)) \cup \text{precond}(a)$
- □ Otherwise $\gamma^{-1}(g,a)$ is undefined
- Example: suppose that
 - $\Box g = \{on(b1,b2), on(b2,b3)\}$
 - a = stack(b1,b2)
- □ What is $\gamma^{-1}(g,a)$?

Backward Search

```
Backward-search(O, s_0, g)

\pi \leftarrow the empty plan

loop

if s_0 satisfies g then return \pi

A \leftarrow \{a|a \text{ is a ground instance of an operator in } O

and \gamma^{-1}(g, a) is defined}

if A = \emptyset then return failure

nondeterministically choose an action a \in A

\pi \leftarrow a.\pi

g \leftarrow \gamma^{-1}(g, a)
```

Task 2: DWR, backward search

- □ Solve the problem by the backward-search, trace the algorithm.
- Actions: load(crane, loc, cont, r), take(crane, loc, cont, pallet, pile), move(r, from, to)

initial state:



goal state:



Task 2: DWR, backward search

- □ Solve the problem by the backward-search, trace the algorithm.
- Actions: load(crane, loc, cont, r), take(crane, loc, cont, pallet, pile), move(r, from, to)



Lifting I.

- Backward search can *also* have a very large branching factor
 - E.g., an operator o that is relevant for g may have many ground instances a₁, a₂, ..., a_n such that each a_i's input state might be unreachable from the initial state
- Can reduce the branching factor of backward search if we partially instantiate the operators

this is called *lifting*

 Basic Idea: Delay grounding of operators until necessary in order to bind variables with those required to realize goal or subgoal

Lifting II.



Lifted Backward Search

More complicated than Backward-search

- Have to keep track of what substitutions were performed
- But it has a much smaller branching factor

```
Lifted-backward-search(O, s_0, g)
    \pi \leftarrow \text{the empty plan}
    loop
        if s_0 satisfies g then return \pi
        A \leftarrow \{(o, \theta) | o \text{ is a standardization of an operator in } O,
                     \theta is an mgu for an atom of g and an atom of effects<sup>+</sup>(o),
                     and \gamma^{-1}(\theta(g), \theta(o)) is defined}
        if A = \emptyset then return failure
        nondeterministically choose a pair (o, \theta) \in A
        \pi \leftarrow the concatenation of \theta(o) and \theta(\pi)
        g \leftarrow \gamma^{-1}(\theta(g), \theta(o))
```



Local heuristic search: Hill climbing

Hill-climbing

 $\sigma := \mathsf{make-root-node}(\mathsf{init}())$

forever:

if is-goal(state(σ)): **return** extract-solution(σ) $\Sigma' := \{ make-node(\sigma, o, s) \mid \langle o, s \rangle \in succ(state(\sigma)) \}$ $\sigma := an$ element of Σ' minimizing h (random tie breaking)

Enforced hill-climbing: procedure improve

def *improve*(σ_0): *queue* := **new** fifo-queue queue.push-back(σ_0) $closed := \emptyset$ while not queue.empty(): $\sigma = queue.pop-front()$ if $state(\sigma) \notin closed$: $closed := closed \cup \{state(\sigma)\}$ if $h(\sigma) < h(\sigma_0)$: return σ for each $\langle o, s \rangle \in \text{succ}(state(\sigma))$: $\sigma' := \mathsf{make-node}(\sigma, o, s)$ *queue*.push-back(σ')

fail

Enforced hill-climbing

```
\sigma := \mathsf{make-root-node}(\mathsf{init}())
while not is-goal(state(\sigma)):
\sigma := \mathsf{improve}(\sigma)
return extract-solution(\sigma)
```

Systematic heuristic search: Greedy best-first search

Greedy best-first search (with duplicate detection)

```
open := new min-heap ordered by (\sigma \mapsto h(\sigma))
open.insert(make-root-node(init()))
closed := \emptyset
```

while not open.empty():

```
\sigma = open.pop-min()
if state(\sigma) \notin closed:

closed := closed \cup \{state(\sigma)\}

if is-goal(state(\sigma)):

return extract-solution(\sigma)

for each \langle o, s \rangle \in succ(state(\sigma)):

\sigma' := make-node(\sigma, o, s)

if h(\sigma') < \infty:

open.insert(\sigma')

return unsolvable
```