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## Description logics
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## Description logics



```
<Ontology ontologyIRI="http://example.com/tea.ow1" ....>
    <Prefix name="owl" IRI="http://www.w3.org/2002/07/0wl#"/>
    <Declaration>
        <Class IRI="Tea"/>
    </Declaration>
</Ontology>
```

- A description logic is a decideable fragment of first order logic (FOL).
+ Uses concepts, roles and individuals to capture structured knowledge.
- An unexpected fact in the $\mathscr{A}$ Box might lead to a contradiction, which is a pain. (See an example in a minute.)
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## Graphical probabilistic models



- GPM is an efficient representation of large probability distributions.
+ Captures uncertainty well.
+ Even unlikely events (tossing head 100 times in a row) can be processed.
- Cannot formulate complex statements explicitly, such as "Every object in the database has at least one..."


## Example: Smoking friends (1)

To illustrate the limitations of DL and GPM, consider an example from [Domingos and Lowd, 2009].
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## Example: Smoking friends (1)

To illustrate the limitations of DL and GPM, consider an example from [Domingos and Lowd, 2009].

(Image: Matthew Romack under the CC-BY-SA 2.0.)

## Obervation 1: High-school experience.

People start or stop smoking in groups of friends.

## Obervation 2: Six degrees of separation.

Everyone is on average approximately six steps away, by way of introduction, from any other person in the world, so that a chain of "a friend of a friend" statements can be made, on average, to connect any two people in six steps.
「MAlikinodia 2n1?1
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## Example: Smoking friends (3)

- If there is one smoker, the whole world starts smoking. (Formally, an interpretation $\mathscr{J}$ must satisfy Smoker $^{\mathscr{\mathscr { G }}}=\varnothing$ or Smoker ${ }^{\mathscr{G}}=\Delta$.)
- We start from reasonable assumptions and arrive at counter-intuitive conclusion. What's wrong with our reasoning?
- We would like to express something like ( $\exists$ friendOf • Smoker $\sqsubseteq$ Smoker) is "mostly" true.
- Fuzzy logic can do that!


## Conclusion

All traditional logic habitually assumes that precise symbols are being employed. It is therefore not applicable to this terrestrial life but only to an imagined celestial existence.

Bertrand Russel [Russell, 1923]
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## Crisp sets: Definition

- (Informally:) A crisp set („ostrá množina") $X$ is a collection of objects $x \in X$ that can be finite, countable or overcountable.
- We will speak about sets with in relation to a universe set („univerzum").
- Let $\mathbb{P}(\Delta)$ be the powerset (a set of all subsets) of $\Delta$ (the universe). Then any crisp set is an element in the powerset of its universe: $A \in \mathbb{P}(\Delta)$.


## Crisp sets: Example

Equivalent ways of describing a crisp set in $\mathbb{N}$ :
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A=\{1,3,5\} \\
A=\{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid x \leqslant 5 \text { and } x \text { is odd }\} \tag{2}
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## Crisp sets: Example

Equivalent ways of describing a crisp set in $\mathbb{N}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\{1,3,5\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
A=\{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid x \leqslant 5 \text { and } x \text { is odd }\}  \tag{2}\\
\mu_{A}(x)= \begin{cases}0 & x>5 \\
0 & x \text { is even } \\
1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

$\mu_{A}$ is called the membership function („charakteristická funkce", „funkce příslušnosti").
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If $\mu_{A}$ is a function $\Delta \rightarrow\{0,1\}$, the inverse membership function $\mu_{A}^{-1}$ returns objects with the given membership degree:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{A}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{M})=\left\{x \in X \mid \mu_{A}(x) \in \boldsymbol{M}\right\} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Example

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{A}^{-1}(\{1\})=\{1,3,5\} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Note

$\mu_{A}^{-1}$ is not an inverse in a strict mathematical sense. The inverse of $\Delta \rightarrow\{0,1\}$ should be $\{0,1\} \rightarrow \Delta$, but $\mu_{A}^{-1}: \mathbb{P}(\{0,1\}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\Delta)$.

Check your knowledge:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mu_{\varnothing}=? \\
\mu_{\Delta}=? \\
\mu^{-1}(\{0,1\})=?
\end{array}
$$

Check your knowledge:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{\varnothing} & =0 \\
\mu_{\Delta} & =1 \\
\mu^{-1}(\{0,1\}) & =\Delta
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Fuzzy set

## Definition

Fuzzy set („fuzzy množina") is an object A described by a generalized membershipfunction $\mu_{\mathrm{A}}: \Delta \rightarrow[\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{l}]$.

For better readability, $A(x) \equiv \mu_{A}(x)$.

The set of all fuzzy subsets of a crisp universe $\Delta$ will be denoted as $\mathbb{F}(\Delta)$.


Figure 16-8. Empirical membership functions "Very Young Man," "Young Man," "Old Man," "Very Old Man."
Source: [Zimmermann, 2001]

## Fuzzy set: Properties (1)

- Cardinality is the size of a fuzzy set.
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- Cardinality is the size of a fuzzy set.

$$
\begin{equation*}
|A|=\sum_{x \in \Delta} A(x) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Height of a fuzzy set is the highest value of the membership function.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Height}(A)=\sup \{\alpha \mid x \in \Delta, A(x)=\alpha\} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$
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- Support („nosič") is the set of objects contained in the fuzzy set "at least a bit".
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\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Supp}(A)=\{x \in X \mid A(x)>0\}=\mu_{A}^{-1}((0,1]) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
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## Fuzzy set: Properties (2)

- Support („nosič") is the set of objects contained in the fuzzy set "at least a bit".

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Supp}(A)=\{x \in X \mid A(x)>\mathbf{o}\}=\mu_{A}^{-1}((0,1]) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Core (,,jádro") is the set of objects "fully contained" in the fuzzy set.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Core}(A)=\{x \in X \mid A(x)=1\}=\mu_{A}^{-1}(\{1\}) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$
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\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}_{A}(\alpha)=\{\boldsymbol{x} \in \boldsymbol{X} \mid A(x) \geqslant \alpha\}=\bigcup_{\beta \in[\alpha, 1]} \mu_{A}^{-1}(\beta) \tag{11}
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Sometimes we speak about a strong $\alpha$-cut („ostrý $\alpha$-řez"), where $\geqslant$ in the definition is replaced by $>$.
For better readability $\mathrm{A}^{-1}(x) \equiv \mu_{A}^{-1}(x)$.


The set "Age of
Young Men" with its properties.

Check your knowledge:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{R}_{A}(0) & =? \\
\operatorname{Core}(A) & =? \\
\operatorname{Height}(A) & =\sup \left\{? \mid \mathrm{R}_{A} ?\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Check your knowledge:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{R}_{A}(\mathbf{0}) & =\Delta \\
\operatorname{Core}(\boldsymbol{A}) & =\mathrm{R}_{A}(\mathbf{1}) \\
\operatorname{Height}(\boldsymbol{A}) & =\sup \left\{\alpha \in[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}] \mid \mathrm{R}_{A}(\alpha) \neq \varnothing\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Converting vertical and horizontal representation

- Horizontal representation $\sim$ the $\alpha$-cuts R .
- Vertical representation $\sim$ the characteristic function $\mu$.
$1 \Rightarrow 2$ : From the definition on the previous slide.
$2 \Rightarrow 1$ : By taking the "highest" $\alpha$-level containing $x$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{x})=\max \left\{\alpha \in[0,1] \mid \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathrm{R}_{A}(\alpha)\right\} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Special cases of fuzzy sets

## Definition

Fuzzy interval $A$ is a fuzzy set on $\Delta=\mathbb{R}$ s.t.

- $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{A}}(\alpha)$ is a closed interval for all $\alpha \in[0, \mathbf{1}]$
- $R_{A}(1)$ is not empty.
- $|\operatorname{Supp}(A)|$ is finite.


## Special cases of fuzzy intervals

- Fuzzy number $A$ is a fuzzy interval s.t. $|\operatorname{Core}(A)|=1$
- Trapezoidal interval will be denoted by $\langle a, b, c, d\rangle$.
- Triangular number will be denoted by $\langle a, b, c\rangle=\langle a, b, b, c\rangle$.
- Anrion intomurl 「a hlic alen/a ah h


## Operations on fuzzy sets

| set operation | propositional operation |
| :--- | :--- |
| $-: \mathbb{P}(\Delta) \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\Delta)$ | $\neg \cdot:\{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}\} \Rightarrow\{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}\}$ |
| $\cdot \cap \cdot \mathbb{P}(\Delta) \times \mathbb{P}(\Delta) \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\Delta)$ | $\cdot \wedge \cdot:\{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}\}^{2} \Rightarrow\{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}\}$ |
| $\cdot \cup: \mathbb{P}(\Delta) \times \mathbb{P}(\Delta) \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\Delta)$ | $\cdot \vee \cdot:\{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}\}^{2} \Rightarrow\{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}\}$ |

## Operations on fuzzy sets

| set operation | propositional operation |
| :--- | :--- |
| $-: \mathbb{P}(\Delta) \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\Delta)$ | $\neg \cdot:\{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}\} \Rightarrow\{0, \mathbf{1}\}$ |
| $\cdot \cap: \mathbb{P}(\Delta) \times \mathbb{P}(\Delta) \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\Delta)$ | $\cdot \wedge \cdot:\{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}\}^{2} \Rightarrow\{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}\}$ |
| $\cdot \cup: \mathbb{P}(\Delta) \times \mathbb{P}(\Delta) \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\Delta)$ | $\cdot \vee \cdot:\{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}\}^{2} \Rightarrow\{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}\}$ |

We can use the logical operators to define the set operators:

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{A} & =\{x \in \Delta \mid \neg(x \in A)\}  \tag{LS1}\\
A \bigcap B & =\{x \in \Delta \mid(x \in A) \wedge(x \in B)\}  \tag{LS2}\\
A \cup B & =\{x \in \Delta \mid(x \in A) \vee(x \in B)\} \tag{LS3}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore we will cover the logical negation, conjunction and disjunction. We get the set operations "for free".

## Fuzzy negation

Fuzzy negation is a non-increasing, involutive, unary function $\neg_{\circ}:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ s.t.

$$
\text { if } \begin{gather*}
\alpha \leqslant \beta \text { then } \neg \beta \leqslant \neg \alpha  \tag{N1}\\
\circ \neg \neg \alpha=\alpha \tag{N2}
\end{gather*}
$$

## Fuzzy negation

Fuzzy negation is a non-increasing, involutive, unary function $\stackrel{\neg}{\circ}:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ s.t.

$$
\text { if } \begin{gather*}
\alpha \leqslant \beta \text { then } \neg \beta \leqslant \neg \alpha  \tag{N1}\\
\circ \neg \neg \alpha=\alpha \tag{N2}
\end{gather*}
$$

## Example

Standard („standardní"), Łukasiewicz negation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\neg_{\mathrm{s}}^{\alpha}=1-\alpha \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Fuzzy negation

Fuzzy negation is a non-increasing, involutive, unary function $\stackrel{\neg}{\circ}:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ s.t.

$$
\text { if } \begin{gather*}
\alpha \leqslant \beta \text { then } \neg \beta \leqslant \neg \alpha  \tag{N1}\\
\circ \neg \neg \alpha=\alpha \tag{N2}
\end{gather*}
$$

## Example

Standard („standardní"), Łukasiewicz negation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\neg_{\mathrm{s}}^{\alpha}=1-\alpha \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fuzzy set complement is a defined using (LS1).

## Fuzzy negation: More examples

- Cosine negation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\neg_{\cos } \alpha=(\cos (\pi \alpha)+1) / 2 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Sugeno negation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\neg_{s \lambda} \alpha=\frac{\mathbf{1}-\alpha}{1+\lambda \boldsymbol{a}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Yager negation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\neg_{\mathrm{Y} \lambda} \alpha=\left(\mathbf{1}-\boldsymbol{a}^{\lambda}\right)^{1 / \lambda} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Fuzzy negation: Properties

The axioms (N1) and (N2) imply more properties of fuzzy negations:

Theorem 53
Every fuzzy negation $\neg \stackrel{\text { is a }}{\circ}$

- continuous
- decreasing
- bijective
- generalization of the propositional negation $\neg$


## Fuzzy negation: Proof of 53

- Injective $(f(a)=f(b) \Rightarrow a=b)$ : Take 2 values, whose negations are equal: $\neg \alpha=\neg \beta$. By ( N 2 ) $\alpha=\neg \overbrace{\circ}^{\square}$. The $\square \mathrm{can}$ be substituted using the assumption: $\neg_{\circ} \neg_{\circ} \alpha=\neg \neg$. Using (N1) gives $\stackrel{\neg}{\circ} \beta=\beta$. Therefore $\alpha=\beta$.
- Every non-increasing function (N1) which is injective, must be decreasing. If $\alpha<\beta$ WLOG, then $\neg_{\circ} \alpha \geqslant \neg_{\circ} \beta$. Then either $\neg \alpha>\neg_{\circ} \beta$ and $\neg$ is decreasing, or $\neg_{\circ} \alpha=\neg_{\circ} \beta$, which contradicts the injectivity.


## Fuzzy negation: Proof of 53

- Surjective $\forall y \exists x . f(x)=y$ : We seek a value of $\beta$ for each $\alpha$ s.t. $\alpha=\neg{ }_{\circ} \beta$. Using injectivity, the condition is equivalent to $\neg \alpha=\stackrel{\neg}{\circ} \beta$. Using ( N 2 ), we find the value of $\beta$ for any $\alpha$ : $\beta=\neg \alpha$.
- Bijection is an injective and surjective function (by definition).
- Continuous: Every decreasing bijection is continuous.
- Boundary values: Let $\neg_{0} \mathbf{0}=\alpha$ and suppose that $\alpha<\mathbf{1}$. Then from surjectivity, there must be some other $\beta>$ o s.t. $\neg \beta=\mathbf{1}$. This contracits monotonicity, because $\neg 0<\neg_{\circ} \beta$. The other boundary value is proven similarly.


## Fuzzy conjunctions (t-norms)

Fuzzy t-norm (triangluar norm, conjunction) is a binary, comutative, operation $\wedge$ s.t.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha \wedge_{\circ} \beta=\beta \wedge_{\circ} \alpha  \tag{T1}\\
\alpha \wedge_{\circ}\left(\beta \wedge_{\circ} \gamma\right)=\left(\alpha \wedge_{\circ} \beta\right) \wedge_{\circ} \gamma  \tag{T2}\\
\text { if } \beta \leqslant \gamma \text { then }\left(\alpha \wedge_{\circ} \beta\right) \leqslant\left(\alpha \wedge_{\circ} \gamma\right)  \tag{T3}\\
\quad\left(\alpha \wedge_{\circ} \mathbf{l}\right)=\alpha \tag{T4}
\end{gather*}
$$

## Fuzzy conjunctions (t-norms)

Fuzzy t-norm (triangluar norm, conjunction) is a binary, comutative, operation $\wedge$ s.t.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha \wedge_{o} \beta=\beta \wedge_{o} \alpha  \tag{T1}\\
\alpha \wedge_{o}\left(\beta \wedge_{\circ} \gamma\right)=\left(\alpha \wedge_{o} \beta\right) \wedge_{o} \gamma  \tag{T2}\\
\text { if } \beta \leqslant \gamma \text { then }\left(\alpha \wedge_{\circ} \beta\right) \leqslant\left(\alpha \wedge_{\circ} \gamma\right)  \tag{T3}\\
\quad\left(\alpha \wedge_{\circ} \mathbf{1}\right)=\alpha \tag{T4}
\end{gather*}
$$

The fuzzy set intersection is a defined using (LS2).

## Fuzzy conjunctions: Examples

- Standard (Gödel, Zadeh)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \wedge_{\mathrm{S}} \beta=\min (\alpha, \beta) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Łukasiewicz

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \underset{\mathrm{L}}{\wedge} \beta=\max (\alpha+\beta-\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{o}) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Algebraic product („součinová")

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \wedge_{\mathrm{A}} \beta=\alpha \cdot \beta \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Weak („drastická")

$$
\alpha \wedge \hat{\mathrm{W}} \beta= \begin{cases}\alpha & \text { if } \beta=1  \tag{20}\\ \beta & \text { if } \alpha=1\end{cases}
$$

## Fuzzy conjunctions: Visualization [Wikipedia]



Standard


Łukasiewicz




Algebraic



Drastic

## Fuzzy conjunctions: Properties (1)

## Theorem 60

The weak and standard conjunctions provide a lower and upper bound on all possible conjunctions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\alpha \wedge_{\mathrm{W}} \beta\right) \leqslant\left(\alpha \wedge_{\mathrm{o}} \beta\right) \leqslant\left(\alpha \wedge_{\mathrm{S}} \beta\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Proof

Assume WLOG $\alpha \leqslant \beta$.
$\beta=1$ The condition (T4) gives the same result for all conjunctions.
$\beta<1 \alpha \widehat{W}^{\beta}=\mathbf{o}$, which gives the lower bound. The upper bound is
rewritten using the definition of standard conjunction (17):
$\alpha \wedge \beta=\alpha$. From (T4) follows that $\alpha=\alpha \wedge \mathbf{1} \leqslant \alpha \wedge \beta$ Basic fuzy

## Fuzzy conjunctions: Properties (2)

## Theorem 61

The standard conjunction is the only idempotent conjunction:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \wedge \alpha=\alpha \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Proof

Assume WLOG $\alpha \leqslant \beta$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\alpha \wedge_{0} \alpha \stackrel{\left(T_{3}\right)}{=} \alpha \wedge_{0} \beta \stackrel{\left(T_{3}\right)}{=} \alpha \wedge_{0} \stackrel{\left(T_{4}\right)}{=} \alpha \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore $\alpha \wedge \beta=\alpha$. There is onlv one such coniunction: $\wedge$.

## Fuzzy disjunctions (s-norm)

Fuzzy s-norm (t-conorm, disjunction) is a binary operation $\stackrel{\circ}{\vee}$ s.t.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha \stackrel{\circ}{\vee} \beta=\beta \stackrel{\circ}{\vee} \alpha  \tag{S1}\\
\alpha \stackrel{\circ}{\vee}(\beta \stackrel{\circ}{\vee} \gamma)=(\alpha \stackrel{\circ}{\vee} \beta) \stackrel{\circ}{\vee} \gamma  \tag{S2}\\
\text { if } \beta \leqslant \gamma \text { then }(\alpha \stackrel{\circ}{\vee} \beta) \leqslant(\alpha \stackrel{\circ}{\vee} \gamma)  \tag{S3}\\
(\alpha \stackrel{\circ}{\vee} \mathrm{o})=\alpha \tag{S4}
\end{gather*}
$$

## Fuzzy disjunctions (s-norm)

Fuzzy s-norm (t-conorm, disjunction) is a binary operation $\stackrel{\circ}{\vee}$ s.t.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha \stackrel{\circ}{\vee} \beta=\beta \stackrel{\circ}{\vee} \alpha  \tag{S1}\\
\alpha \stackrel{\circ}{\vee}(\beta \stackrel{\circ}{\vee} \gamma)=(\alpha \stackrel{\circ}{\vee} \beta) \stackrel{\circ}{\vee} \gamma  \tag{S2}\\
\text { if } \beta \leqslant \gamma \text { then }(\alpha \stackrel{\circ}{\vee} \beta) \leqslant(\alpha \stackrel{\circ}{\vee} \gamma)  \tag{S3}\\
(\alpha \stackrel{\circ}{\vee} \mathbf{o})=\alpha \tag{S4}
\end{gather*}
$$

## Union

The fuzzy set union is a defined using the disjunction:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{A \cup B}(x)=\mu_{A}(x) \stackrel{\circ}{\vee} \mu_{B}(x) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Fuzzy disjunctions: Examples (1)

- Standard (Gödel, Zadeh)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \stackrel{\mathrm{S}}{\vee} \beta=\max (\alpha, \beta) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Łukasiewicz

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \stackrel{\mathrm{L}}{\vee} \beta=\min (\alpha+\beta, \mathbf{1}) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Algebraic sum („součinová")

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \stackrel{\mathrm{A}}{\nabla} \beta=\alpha+\beta-\alpha \cdot \beta \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Fuzzy disjunctions: Examples (2)

- Weak („drastická")

$$
\alpha \stackrel{W}{\vee} \beta= \begin{cases}\alpha & \text { if } \beta=\mathrm{o}  \tag{28}\\ \beta & \text { if } \alpha=\mathrm{o} \\ \mathbf{1} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

- Einstein

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \stackrel{\mathrm{E}}{\vee} \beta=\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\mathbf{1}+\alpha \beta} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Fuzzy disjunctions: Visualization [Wikipedia]
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## Fuzzy disjunctions: Properties

- The standard and weak disjunctions provide a lower and upper bound on all possible conjunctions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\alpha \stackrel{\mathrm{S}}{\vee} \beta) \leqslant(\alpha \stackrel{\circ}{\vee} \beta) \leqslant(\alpha \stackrel{\vee}{\vee} \beta) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Fuzzy disjunctions: Properties

- The standard and weak disjunctions provide a lower and upper bound on all possible conjunctions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\alpha \stackrel{\mathrm{S}}{\vee} \beta) \leqslant(\alpha \stackrel{\circ}{\vee} \beta) \leqslant(\alpha \stackrel{\vee}{\vee} \beta) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

- The standard disjunctions is the only idempotent conjunction:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \stackrel{\circ}{\vee} \alpha=\alpha \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Conjunction - disjunction duality

A If $\wedge_{\circ}$ is a fuzzy conjunction, then $\alpha \stackrel{\circ}{\vee} \beta=\neg_{\circ}\left(\neg_{\circ} \alpha \wedge \neg \beta\right)$ is a fuzzy disjunction (dual to $\wedge$ w.r.t. ${ }_{\circ}$ ).

## Conjunction - disjunction duality

A If $\wedge_{\circ}$ is a fuzzy conjunction, then $\alpha \stackrel{\circ}{\vee} \beta=\neg_{\circ}\left(\neg_{\circ} \alpha \wedge_{\circ} \neg_{\circ} \beta\right)$ is a fuzzy disjunction (dual to $\wedge_{\circ}$ w.r.t. ${\underset{\circ}{\circ}}^{\circ}$ ).
B If $\stackrel{\circ}{\vee}$ is a fuzzy disjunction, then $\alpha \wedge_{\circ} \beta=\neg_{\circ}\left(\neg_{\circ} \alpha \stackrel{\circ}{\vee} \neg_{\circ} \beta\right)$ is a fuzzy conjunction (dual to $\stackrel{\circ}{\vee}$ w.r.t. $\neg$ ).

## Conjunction - disjunction duality

A If $\wedge_{\circ}$ is a fuzzy conjunction, then $\alpha \stackrel{\circ}{\vee} \beta=\neg_{\circ}\left(\neg_{\circ} \alpha \wedge_{\circ} \neg_{\circ} \beta\right)$ is a fuzzy disjunction (dual to $\underset{\circ}{\wedge}$ w.r.t. $\underset{\circ}{\circ}$ ).
B If $\stackrel{\circ}{\vee}$ is a fuzzy disjunction, then $\alpha \wedge_{\circ} \beta=\neg_{\circ}\left(\neg_{\circ} \alpha \stackrel{\circ}{\vee} \neg_{\circ} \beta\right)$ is a fuzzy conjunction (dual to $\stackrel{\circ}{\vee}$ w.r.t. $\neg_{\circ}$ ).

## Theorems

- Łukasiewicz operations $\underset{\mathrm{L}}{\wedge}, \stackrel{L}{V}$ are dual w.r.t. standard negation.
- Algebraic operations $\wedge, \stackrel{A}{\mathrm{~V}}$ are dual w.r.t. standard negation.

Table 16-2. Empirically determined grades of membership.

| Stimulus $x$ | $\mu_{\mathrm{M}}(x)$ | $\mu_{\mathrm{C}}(x)$ | $\mu_{\mathrm{MnC}}(x)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. bag | 0.000 | 0.985 | 0.007 |
| 2. baking tin | 0.908 | 0.419 | 0.517 |
| 3. ballpoint pen | 0.215 | 0.149 | 0.170 |
| 4. bathtub | 0.552 | 0.804 | 0.674 |
| 5. book wrapper | 0.023 | 0.454 | 0.007 |
| 6. car | 0.501 | 0.437 | 0.493 |
| 7. cash register | 0.692 | 0.400 | 0.537 |
| 8. container | 0.847 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| 9. fridge | 0.424 | 0.623 | 0.460 |
| 10. | Hollywood swing | 0.318 | 0.212 |
| 11. kerosene lamp | 0.481 | 0.310 | 0.401 |
| 12. nail | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 13. parkometer | 0.663 | 0.335 | 0.437 |
| 14. pram | 0.283 | 0.448 | 0.239 |
| 15. press | 0.130 | 0.512 | 0.101 |
| 16. shovel | 0.325 | 0.239 | 0.301 |
| 17. silver spoon | 0.969 | 0.256 | 0.330 |
| 18. sledgehammer | 0.480 | 0.012 | 0.023 |
| 19. water bottle | 0.564 | 0.961 | 0.714 |
| 20. | wine barrel | 0.127 | 0.980 |

$\mu_{\text {MnC }}(x)$



## Criteria for selecting operators (1)

- Axiomatic strength: The set of valid theorems may differ based on the choice of t-norms and s-norms (see tutorials).
- Empirical fit: Using fuzzy theory for a model of the real world, the chosen operator should match the real behavior of the system.
- Adaptability: Operators in a generic system should be able to fit several use cases. One way of increasing adaptibility is to use operators with parameters (e.g. Yager and Sugeno negations).


## Criteria for selecting operators (2)

4. Computational efficiency: Evaluating e.g. the standard negation is usually faster than the Yager negation, which contains the power.
5. Aggregating behavior: When the operators combines a large number of operands, does the value tends to go to 0 (conjunction) or 1 (disjunction). The standard operators behave differently than the algebraic ones.
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