# **Markov Decision Processes**

24. dubna 2019

B4M36PUI/BE4M36PUI — Planning for Artificial Intelligence

- MDP definition and examples
- MDP solution
- Value function calculation

# Definitions

Tuple  $\langle S, A, D, T, R \rangle$ :

- S: finite set of states agent can find itself in
- A: finite set of action agent can perform
- *D*: finite set of timesteps
- T: transition function transitions between states
- R: reward function rewards obtained from transitions

Tuple  $\langle S, A, D, T, R \rangle$ :

- S: finite set of states agent can find itself in
- A: finite set of action agent can perform
- D: finite set of timesteps
- T: transition function transitions between states
- R: reward function rewards obtained from transitions

# AOnly one of many possible definitions!

- S: Possible Emils positions
- A: Move directions
- D: Emil has e.g. 200 steps to find gold
- *T*: stochastic movement, e.g. 10% to move to the side of selected action
- *R*: e.g. +100 for finding gold, -1 for each move



Blackjack

- S: Possible player hands and played cards
- A: Hit, Stand, ...
- T: Possible drawn cards,
- R: Win/loose at the end

### Example: Abstract example

•  $S: S_0, S_1, S_2, S_3$ • A: a<sub>0</sub>, a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub>  $T(S_0, a_0, S_1) = 0.6$ •  $T: \frac{T(S_0, a_0, S_2) = 0.4}{T(S_1, a_1, S_3) = 1}$  $T(S_2, a_2, S_3) = 1$  $R(S_0, a_0, S_1) = 5$ •  $R: R(S_0, a_0, S_2) = 2$  $R(S_1,a_1,S_3)=1$  $R(S_2, a_2, S_3) = 4$ 



- Domain with uncertainty uncertain utoucomes of actions
- Sequential decision making for sequences of decisions
- Fair Nature no one is actively playing against us
- Full observability, perfect sensors we know where agent is
- Cyclic domain structures when states can be revisited

**MDP Solution** 

## **Def: Policy**

Assignment of action to state,  $\pi: S \rightarrow A$ 

- Partial policy e.g. output of robust replanning
- Complete policy domain of  $\pi$  is whole state space S.
- Stationary policy independent of timestep (e.g. emil)
- Markovian policy dependent only on last state

Aln general, policy can be history dependent and stochastic!

## Value function (of a policy)

**Def: Value function** 

Assignment of value to state,  $V: S \rightarrow < -\infty, \infty >$ 

#### **Def: Value function**

Assignment of value to state,  $V: S \rightarrow < -\infty, \infty >$ 

### Def: Value function of a policy

Assignment of value to state based on utility of rewards obtained by following policy  $\pi$  from a state,  $V^{\pi}: S \to <-\infty, \infty >$ ,  $V^{\pi}(s) = u(R_1^{\pi_s}, R_2^{\pi_s}, \ldots)$ 

#### **Def: Value function**

Assignment of value to state,  $V: S \rightarrow < -\infty, \infty >$ 

#### Def: Value function of a policy

Assignment of value to state based on utility of rewards obtained by following policy  $\pi$  from a state,  $V^{\pi}: S \to <-\infty, \infty >$ ,  $V^{\pi}(s) = u(R_1^{\pi_s}, R_2^{\pi_s}, \ldots)$ 

#### Def: Optimal MDP solution

Optimal MDP solution is a policy  $\pi^*$  such that value function  $V^{\pi^*}$  called optimal value function dominates all other value functions in all states,  $\forall s V^{\pi^*}(s) \geq V^{\pi}(s)$ .

Question: can we choose  $u(R_1, R_2, \ldots) = \sum_i R_i$ ?

### Def: Expected linear aditive utility

Function  $u(R_t, R_{t+1}, \ldots) = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t'=t}^{|D|} \gamma^{t'} R_{t'}\right]$  is expected linear additive utility

- $\gamma \in (0,1]$  is a discount factor, makes agent prefer earlier rewards.
- Risk-neutral
- For infinite D and bounded rewards,  $\gamma < 1$  gives convergence (why?)
- Implies existence of optimal solution

When using expected linear additive utility, "MDP" has an optimal deterministic Markovian policy  $\pi^*$ .

### Thm: The optimality principle for infinite-horizon MDPs

Infinite horizon MDP with  $V^{\pi}(s_t) = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t'=0}^{\infty} \gamma^{t'} R_{t+t'}^{\pi}\right]$  and  $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ . Then there exists optimal value function  $V^*$ , is stationary, Markovian, and satisfies for all s:

$$V^{*}(s) = \max_{\pi} V^{\pi}(s)$$
$$V^{*}(s) = \max_{a \in A} \left[ \sum_{s' \in S} T(s, a, s') [R(s, a, s') + \gamma V * (s')] \right]$$
$$\pi^{*}(s) = \arg\max_{a \in A} \left[ \sum_{s' \in S} T(s, a, s') [R(s, a, s') + \gamma V * (s')] \right]$$

# Finding MDP solutions

•  $S: S_0, S_1, S_2, S_3$ • A: a<sub>0</sub>, a<sub>1</sub>, a<sub>2</sub>  $T(S_0, a_0, S_1) = 0.6$ •  $T: \frac{T(S_0, a_0, S_2) = 0.4}{T(S_1, a_1, S_3) = 1}$  $T(S_2, a_2, S_3) = 1$  $R(S_0, a_0, S_1) = 5$ •  $R: R(S_0, a_0, S_2) = 2$  $R(S_1, a_1, S_3) = 1$  $R(S_2, a_2, S_3) = 4$ 



- S: S<sub>0</sub>, S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>, S<sub>3</sub>
- A:  $a_0, a_1, a_2$
- $T(S_0, a_0, S_1) = 0.6$  $T(S_0, a_0, S_2) = 0.4$ •  $T: T(S_1, a_1, S_3) = 1$  $T(S_2, a_2, S_3) = 0.7$  $T(S_2, a_2, S_0) = 0.3$  $R(S_0, a_0, S_1) = 5$  $R(S_0, a_0, S_2) = 2$ •  $R: R(S_1, a_1, S_3) = 1$  $R(S_2, a_2, S_3) = 4$  $R(S_2, a_2, S_0) = 3$

