## B(E)4M36PUI - Artificial Intelligence Planning

Solving universe-sized puzzles with human-sized patience


## Course Overview

- https://cw.fel.cvut.cz/wiki/courses/pui
- Lectures
- 2 parts (Antonin Komenda and Stefan Edelkamp)
- Invited lecture (Schlumberger - practically used automated planning)
- Seminars
- 2 parts (Michaela Urbanovská and Jan Mrkos)
- Synchronized topics with lectures
- Two assignment projects with multiple parts
- Exam
- Primarily written form (theory + exercises)
- Points from the seminars
- Maximum 50 points
- 25 points required for the credit (zápočet)


## Lectures \& Seminars Overview (Part 1)

1. Introduction
2. Representations (for Classical Planning)
3. Search (for Classical Planning)
4. Automated Planning in Practice (invited lecture from Schlumberger)
5. Heuristics (for Classical Planning) I - Relaxations
6. Heuristics (for Classical Planning) II - Landmarks \& Potentials
7. Heuristics (for Classical Planning) III - Abstractions FEE CTU

## Assignments

- Classical planning
- PDDL modeling (5 points) deadline: week 3
- Grounding implementation (10 points) deadline: week 4
- Search algorithm + heuristic implementation (15 points) deadline: week 8
- 30 points total
- Probabilistic planning
- Implementation of probabilistic planning algorithm (up to 20 points)

Both assignments have to be submitted.
50 points in total $\rightarrow 25$ required for the credit (zápočet)

## Communication

- Email is the primary form of communication
- Ask at the lectures/tutorials!

Email addresses

- Classical Planning (Part 1)
- Antonín Komenda antonin.komenda@fel.cvut.cz
- Michaela Urbanovská urbanm30@fel.cvut.cz
- Stochastic Planning (Part 2)
- Stefan Edelkamp
- Jan Mrkos


## Freshman's Sokoban Example


https://www.sokobanonline.com/play/community/experiment/127458_practice

## Pondering Sokoban Example



## Classical Planning Elements

- States
- Actions
- Initial state
- Goal states
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## Classical Planning Elements

- States
- Actions
- Initial state
- Goal states
- Problem:
the initial state

a goal state

- Solution: a plan

п=(move-player1-C3-B3, move-player1-B3-C3, push-player1-C3-D3, ...)

## Classical Planning Elements

- States
- Actions
- Initial state
- Goal states
the initial state

an unreachable state

a dead-end state



## What is Automated Planning?

- Artificial Intelligence (sub-field)
- (general) problem solving
- Decision Theory meets Computer Science
- sequential decision making
- various forms of combinatorial optimization problems
- Three approaches in AI to the problems of action selection or control
- Learning: learn control from experience
- Programming: specify control by hand
- Planning: specify problem by hand, derive control automatically


## Automated Planning is Hard

- just search in the space of states using actions?
- exponential dependence on size of the problem
- exponentially long plans
- planning with "sane" length of plans is NP-complete
- classical planning is PSPACE-complete
- multi-agent variants are NEXP-complete
number of cells
n | configs for ( $n / 2$ ) boxes

| 2 | 2 |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | 6 |  |
| 6 | 20 |  |
| 8 | 70 |  |
| 10 | 252 |  |
| 12 | 924 |  |
| 14 | 3432 |  |
| 16 | 12870 |  |
| 18 | 48620 |  |
| 20 | 184756 |  |
| 22 | 705432 |  |
| 24 | 2704156 | $\sim 10^{6}$ |
| 26 | 10400600 | $\sim 10^{7}$ |
| 28 | 40116600 | $\sim 10^{7}$ |
| 30 | 155117520 | $\sim 10^{8}$ |

## Serious Sokoban Example


$\sim 10^{34}$ configurations of boxes
$\sim 10^{70}+$ colored boxes
$\sim 10^{81}+5$ simultaneous players
estimated number of atoms in the observable universe:
$10^{78}-10^{82}$, ups ;)

## Automated Planning is Hard

- computational hardness tells us nothing about the complexity of individual problem instances
- what about a problem, where each box is next to its goal cell and the player is not blocked to get to all of them?
- what about the Serious Sokoban example?
solving such a problem is not hopeless!
(it needs only $\sim 2 \cdot 10^{7}$ states to search through)
- $\quad \rightarrow$ problem structure ( $\mathrm{P} \stackrel{?}{ } \mathrm{NP}$ )
- $\rightarrow$ clever representations
- $\rightarrow$ clever simplifications


## Domains


puzzles; computer, board, card games; production planning offshore drilling, and logistics; humanitarian and military missions; various-scale robotics; space missions

## Domain-independent and Domain-specific

## Domain-independent:

- fundamental
- flexible
- reusable

Domain-specific:

- rigid
- efficient
- specialized
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Until we get the fundamental principles ...

## Domain-independent and Domain-specific

Domain-independent:

- fundamental
- flexible
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Domain-specific:

- rigid
- efficient
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... we cannot be flexible and we cannot reuse ...


## Domain-independent and Domain-specific

## Domain-independent:

- fundamental
- flexible
- reusable

Domain-specific:

- rigid
- efficient
- specialized

... we cannot optimize or ..

AI CENTER FEE CTU

## Domain-independent and Domain-specific

## Domain-independent:

- fundamental
- flexible
- reusable

Domain-specific:

- rigid
- efficient
- specialized

... specialize.


## Domain-independent and Domain-specific

## Domain-independent:

- general structural properties and general algorithms
- automatically derived heuristics
- graph theory or probability theory, optimization theory, logic, algebra

Domain-specific:

- specific problems or specific structural families
- hand-crafted heuristics
- data structures, algorithmization, code efficiency


## Wanna plan?

## Representation $\rightarrow$ Search + Heuristics

- Representation (Lecture 2)
- structurally analyze and compactly represent the problem
- deduce information helping with solution of the problem
- Search (Lecture 3)
- do not enumerate all states and actions
- find path through the implicit graph
- Heuristics (Lectures 5, 6, 7)
- navigate the search using simplified variant of the problem
- how? relaxation, abstraction, structural information (e.g., landmarks or potentials)
- machine learned heuristics


## Wanna plan?

## Representation $\rightarrow$ Search + Heuristics

## Representation $\rightarrow$ Search + Heuristics

- factorized representation

```
{player1-at \in {A1, A2, ..., B1, B2, ...},
    box1-at \in{A1, A2, ... B1, B2, ...}, ...}
```

- compact representation (+grounding) move(who, from, to $\rightarrow$ \{move-player1-C3-B3, ...\}
- grounding of actions usable in reachable states only
- grounding of actions not leading to dead-ends only
- structural reductions



## Wanna plan?

## Representation $\rightarrow$ Search + Heuristics

## Representation $\rightarrow$ Search + Heuristics

the initial state
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## Wanna plan?

## Representation $\rightarrow$ Search + Heuristics

Heuristics are strategies using readily accessible, though loosely applicable, information to control problem solving in human beings and machines. (J. Pearl)

## Representation $\rightarrow$ Search + Heuristics

Relaxation



## Representation $\rightarrow$ Search + Heuristics
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## Representation $\rightarrow$ Search + Heuristics



## .



## Representation $\rightarrow$ Search + Heuristics

Landmarks


## Representation $\rightarrow$ Search + Heuristics

Abstraction


## Not Enough?

Are you trying to understand fundamentals of solving toy problems and puzzles?

## Not Enough?

Are you trying to understand fundamentals of solving toy problems and puzzles?
That's not enough for me!

## Not Enough? Good.

- domain-independent $\leftrightarrow$ domain-specific
- off-line $\leftrightarrow$ on-line
- deterministic $\leftrightarrow$ stochastic $\leftrightarrow$ non-deterministic
- fully-observable $\leftrightarrow$ partially-observable $\leftrightarrow$ unobservable
- instantaneous actions $\leftrightarrow$ durative actions
- discrete $\leftrightarrow$ continuous fluents
- linear $\leftrightarrow$ partially ordered/temporal
- hard goals $\leftrightarrow$ soft goals
- satisficing (approximative) $\leftrightarrow$ optimal
- single-agent $\leftrightarrow$ multi-agent
- plan $\leftrightarrow$ policy
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## Not Enough? Good. But Be Aware, Because ...

- domain-inde
- off-line $\leftrightarrow$ on
- deterministic
- fully-observa
- instantaneo
- discrete $\leftrightarrow c$
- linear $\leftrightarrow$ par
- hard goals
- satisficing (a


## Welcome

 to the real world- single-agent $\leftrightarrow$ multi-agent
- plan $\leftrightarrow$ policy


## Automated Planning Elements (Recall)

- States
- Actions
- Initial state
- Goal states


## Automated Planning Elements $(\rightarrow$ Real World)

- Agents
- States
- Observations
- Actions
- Transitions
- Costs
- Stochasticity
- Temporal, deontic, modal logics
- (Unknown) Initial state
- Common (Cumulative) Reward


## Automated Planning Elements $(\rightarrow$ Real World $)$

- Agents
- States
- Observations
- Actions
- Transitions
- Costs
- Stochasticity
- Temporal, dec
- (Unknown) Ini
- Common (Cuimurativestinevvara

Challenge Accepted!

