

Transportation and manipulation by aerial vehicles

B(E)3M33MRS — Aerial Multi-Robot Systems

Ing. Tomáš Báča, Ph.D.

Multi-Robot Systems group, Faculty of Electrical Engineering
Czech Technical University in Prague



Lecture 11: UAV Manipulation

2025-12-08

Transportation and manipulation by aerial vehicles
B(E)3M33MRS — Aerial Multi-Robot Systems

Ing. Tomáš Báča, Ph.D.

Multi-Robot Systems group, Faculty of Electrical Engineering
Czech Technical University in Prague

What have we learnt so far?

UAV State estimation

- Estimation and filtering of system's states.
- **notable techniques:** LKF, EKF, UKF.

UAV Localization

- Measuring of UAV's position or velocity.
- **Techniques:** GNSS, Optic Flow, VIO, SLAM.

UAV Dynamics model

- Motor dynamics, propeller model, Attitude dynamics, Translational dynamics.

UAV Control

- Tracking the required states with the UAV.
- **Techniques:** PID, SO(3), Feed forward, MPC.

Methods required for stable flight.

Lecture 11: UAV Manipulation

What have we learnt so far?

What have we learnt so far?

UAV State estimation

- Estimation and filtering of system's states.
- **notable techniques:** LKF, EKF, UKF.

UAV Localization

- Measuring of UAV's position or velocity.
- **Techniques:** GNSS, Optic Flow, VIO, SLAM.

UAV Dynamics model

- Motor dynamics, propeller model, Attitude dynamics, Translational dynamics.

UAV Control

- Tracking the required states with the UAV.
- **Techniques:** PID, SO(3), Feed forward, MPC.

Methods required for stable flight.

What have we learnt so far?

Lecture 11: UAV Manipulation

Tomáš Bába

Canceling disturbances

Admittance control

Aerial manipulation

Multi-robot manipulation

MBZIRC

Collab. transportation

Drone delivery

Methods required for moving through the environment.

Path planning

- Finding collision-free path with waypoints.
- **Techniques:** Search-based methods. PRM

Motion planning

- Generating feasible trajectories.
- **Techniques:** Polynomial methods, MPC.

Mapping

- Building maps from sensory input.
- **Techniques:** Grid maps, OcTree, Point clouds.

Exploration

- Populating maps, planning in the unknown.
- **Techniques:** Frontier exploration.

Tomáš Bába (CTU in Prague)

Lecture 11: UAV Manipulation

December 10th, 2023

2 / 36

Lecture 11: UAV Manipulation

What have we learnt so far?

2025-12-08

What have we learnt so far?

Path planning

- Finding collision-free path with waypoints.
- **Techniques:** Search-based methods. PRM

Motion planning

- Generating feasible trajectories.
- **Techniques:** Polynomial methods, MPC.

Methods required for moving through the environment.

Mapping

- Building maps from sensory input.
- **Techniques:** Grid maps, OcTree, Point clouds.

Exploration

- Populating maps, planning in the unknown.
- **Techniques:** Frontier exploration.

What have we learnt so far?

UAV State estimation

- Estimation and filtering of system's states.
- **notable techniques:** LKF, EKF, UKF.

UAV Localization

- Measuring of UAV's position or velocity.
- **Techniques:** GNSS, Optic Flow, VIO, SLAM.

UAV Dynamics model

- Motor dynamics, propeller model, Attitude dynamics, Translational dynamics.

UAV Control

- Tracking the required states with the UAV.
- **Techniques:** PID, SO(3), Feed forward, MPC.

Path planning

- Finding collision-free path with waypoints.
- **Techniques:** Search-based methods. PRM

Motion planning

- Generating feasible trajectories.
- **Techniques:** Polynomial methods, MPC.

Mapping

- Building maps from sensory input.
- **Techniques:** Grid maps, OcTree, Point clouds.

Exploration

- Populating maps, planning in the unknown.
- **Techniques:** Frontier exploration.

What have we learnt so far?

What have we learnt so far?

UAV State estimation

- Estimation and filtering of system's states.
- **notable techniques:** LKF, EKF, UKF.

UAV Localization

- Measuring of UAV's position or velocity.
- **Techniques:** GNSS, Optic Flow, VIO, SLAM.

UAV Dynamics model

- Motor dynamics, propeller model, Attitude dynamics, Translational dynamics.

UAV Control

- Tracking the required states with the UAV.
- **Techniques:** PID, SO(3), Feed forward, MPC.

Path planning

- Finding collision-free path with waypoints.
- **Techniques:** Search-based methods. PRM

Motion planning

- Generating feasible trajectories.
- **Techniques:** Polynomial methods, MPC.

Mapping

- Building maps from sensory input.
- **Techniques:** Grid maps, OcTree, Point cloud.

Exploration

- Populating maps, planning in the unknown.
- **Techniques:** Frontier exploration.

Interaction with the environment?

So far — everything except interaction

- All the efforts went into avoiding interaction with the environment:
 - defying gravity,
 - not crashing,
 - avoiding obstacles,
 - avoiding neighboring Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs),
 - finding collision-free paths through known/unknown environments.
- Interaction is *hard* because it must be *gentle*.
- Interaction is *hard* because it introduces disturbance.

“How not to land a drone”



Video: <https://youtu.be/GoEqMj4nZ7A>

Interaction with the environment?

Tier 1 — Counteracting external disturbances

- Counteracting external disturbances:
 - wind,
 - air drag,
 - ground effect.
- Measuring or estimating the disturbances.
- Changing the dynamic model of the UAV:
 - change in mass, battery performance, propeller efficiency, center of gravity



Tier 2 — Admitting forces from the environment

Tier 3 — UAV carrying objects, exerting forces

Tier 4 — Multi-UAV manipulation and transportation


- Counteracting external disturbances:
 - wind,
 - air drag,
 - ground effect.
- Measuring or estimating the disturbances.
- Changing the dynamic model of the UAV:
 - change in mass, battery performance, propeller efficiency, center of gravity



Tier 1 — Counteracting external disturbances

Tier 2 — Admitting forces from the environment

- Admitting forces from the environment.
- Takeoff and landing.
 - UAV can get stuck while taking off.
 - UAV can tip over while landing on uneven ground.
- Human-UAV interaction.
- Contact with the environment.



Tier 3 — UAV carrying objects, exerting forces

Tier 4 — Multi-UAV manipulation and transportation

- Admitting forces from the environment:
 - Takeoff and landing:
 - UAV can get stuck while taking off.
 - UAV can tip over while landing on uneven ground.
 - Human-UAV interaction.
 - Contact with the environment.




Tier 1 — Counteracting external disturbances

Tier 2 — Admitting forces from the environment

Tier 3 — UAV carrying objects, exerting forces

- Carrying objects by underactuated UAV.
- How to grasp the object?
- The suspended load problem:
 - flying with a “pendulum” under the UAV.
- Exerting forces by underactuated UAV.
- Exerting forces by fully-actuated UAV.



Tier 4 — Multi-UAV manipulation and transportation

Lecture 11: UAV Manipulation

Interaction with the environment

- Carrying objects by underactuated UAV.
- How to grasp the object?
- The suspended load problem:
 - flying with a “pendulum” under the UAV.
- Exerting forces by underactuated UAV.
- Exerting forces by fully-actuated UAV.




Tier 1 — Counteracting external disturbances

Tier 2 — Admitting forces from the environment

Tier 3 — UAV carrying objects, exerting forces

Tier 4 — Multi-UAV manipulation and transportation

- **Planning-wise:** constrained formation planning for solving the *piano-mover's problem* (when the effects on the UAVs are negligible).
- **Control-wise:** coupled control of multiple UAVs carrying a payload (when the effects on the UAVs are too large to be compensated individually).





- **Planning-wise:** constrained formation planning for solving the *piano-mover's problem* (when the effects on the UAVs are negligible).
- **Control-wise:** coupled control of multiple UAVs carrying a payload (when the effects on the UAVs are too large to be compensated individually).



UAV Mass in feedforward control

- UAV mass (m) appears as a variable in feedforward control:
 - in transforming desired acceleration to desired force,
 - in counteracting the force of gravity.

Mass as a variable in control



In an estimator

- Requires a nonlinear estimator for:

$$\ddot{\mathbf{r}}^W = \frac{1}{m} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{F}_t^B + \mathbf{g}^W. \quad (1)$$

- Commonly EKF, UKF.

- Requires a close compatibility of estimators and controllers.
- Preferred in all-in-one solution (DJI).
- Recommended for agile flight and extreme manoeuvres.

Pros

- Will supply the estimate with any controller (even a human).
- Might be very accurate and precise.

Cons

- Sensitive to the UAV dynamics model.
- Requires good propulsion thrust curve model + battery model.
- Difficult to correct its bias.
- Requires controller's output.

In an estimator

- Requires a nonlinear estimator for:

$$\ddot{\mathbf{r}}^W = \frac{1}{m} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{F}_t^B + \mathbf{g}^W. \quad (1)$$

- Commonly EKF, UKF

- Requires a close compatibility of estimators and controllers.

- Preferred in all-in-one solution (DJI).

- Recommended for agile flight and extreme manoeuvres.

Pros

- Will supply the estimate with any controller (even a human).

- Might be very accurate and precise.

Cons

- Sensitive to the UAV dynamics model.

- Requires good propulsion thrust curve model + battery model

- Difficult to correct its bias.

- Requires controller's output.

In a controller (Implemented in the MRS pipeline [1])

- Integral term for z-axis expressed as mass.
- **Premise:** PID controller for z-axis (as defined in the tutorial Task 01)

$$\ddot{r}_{zd} = P_z e_z + D_z \frac{de_z}{dt} + I_z \int_0^t e_z d\tau \quad (2)$$

integrates the controller error e_z . The integral can be interpreted as **acceleration**, since it is part of a sum creating desired acceleration command \ddot{r}_{zd} .

- **Alteration:** let's remove the integral term from the controller's output:

$$\ddot{r}_{zd} = P_z e_z + D_z \frac{de_z}{dt}, \quad (3)$$

and use the integral term $I_z \int_0^t e_z d\tau$ as the difference from nominal mass (m) in the force transform:

$$m_e = m + I_z \int_0^t e_z d\tau, \quad (4)$$

$$\mathbf{F}_{des} = m_e (\ddot{\mathbf{r}}_d + \dot{\mathbf{r}}_f - \mathbf{g}). \quad (5)$$

Lecture 11: UAV Manipulation

Canceling disturbances

UAV mass estimation

UAV mass estimation

In a controller (Implemented in the MRS pipeline [1])

- Integral term for z-axis expressed as mass.
- **Premise:** PID controller for z-axis (as defined in the tutorial Task 01)

$$\ddot{r}_{zd} = P_z e_z + D_z \frac{de_z}{dt} + I_z \int_0^t e_z d\tau \quad (2)$$

integrates the controller error e_z . The integral can be interpreted as **acceleration**, since it is part of a sum creating desired acceleration command \ddot{r}_{zd} .

- **Alteration:** let's remove the integral term from the controller's output:

$$\ddot{r}_{zd} = P_z e_z + D_z \frac{de_z}{dt}, \quad (3)$$

and use the integral term $I_z \int_0^t e_z d\tau$ as the difference from nominal mass (m) in the force transform:


$$m_e = m + I_z \int_0^t e_z d\tau, \quad (4)$$

$$\mathbf{F}_{des} = m_e (\ddot{\mathbf{r}}_d + \dot{\mathbf{r}}_f - \mathbf{g}). \quad (5)$$

External disturbances in the world frame

- Invariant in rotation (2D) of the UAV.
- **Wind** is the one and only typical world disturbance.
- Can be estimated by a Kalman filter.

Dynamics with the external disturbance




- Invariant in rotation (2D) of the UAV.
- **Wind** is the one and only typical world disturbance.
- Can be estimated by a Kalman filter.



Translation Linear Time Invariant (LTI) subsystem

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} r_x \\ \dot{r}_x \\ \ddot{r}_x \\ \ddot{\mathbf{x}}_d \\ \dot{r}_{xi} \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{u} = [\ddot{r}_{xu}], \mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \Delta t & \frac{1}{2}\Delta t^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \Delta t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.99 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0.01 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (6)$$




Lecture 11: UAV Manipulation


Canceling disturbances

External disturbances in the world frame

External disturbances in the world frame



LKF estimating external force




Video: <https://youtu.be/S-0qX9xLP-E>

Lecture 11: UAV Manipulation

└ Canceling disturbances


└ External disturbances in the world frame



External disturbances in the body frame

- Air drag (when flying front-first).
- Imprecise attitude horizon calibration (accelerometers).
- Can be estimated with nonlinear Kalman filter (EKF, UKF).

Dynamics with the body-frame external disturbance



External disturbances in the body frame



- Air drag (when flying front-first).
- Imprecise attitude horizon calibration (accelerometers).
- Can be estimated with nonlinear Kalman filter (EKF, UKF).

Dynamics with the body-frame external disturbance

External disturbances in the body frame

- Air drag (when flying front-first).
- Imprecise attitude horizon calibration (accelerometers).
- Can be estimated with nonlinear Kalman filter (EKF, UKF).

Compensating for the body-frame external disturbance



Feedback with disturbance compensation

$\mathbf{a} \circ \mathbf{b}$: piecewise product of \mathbf{a} , \mathbf{b}

$$\mathbf{F}_d = \underbrace{\mathbf{k}_p \circ \mathbf{e}_p}_{\text{position feedback}} + \underbrace{\mathbf{k}_v \circ \mathbf{e}_v}_{\text{velocity feedback}} + \underbrace{m_e \ddot{\mathbf{r}}_d}_{\text{reference feedforward}} + \underbrace{m_e g \hat{\mathbf{e}}_3}_{\text{gravity compensation}} + \underbrace{-\mathbf{d}_w \circ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{\text{world disturbance compensation}} + \underbrace{-\mathbf{d}_b \circ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{\text{body disturbance compensation}} \quad (7)$$

World disturbance integration

$$\mathbf{d}_w = \sum_{n=0}^N \mathbf{k}_{iw} \circ \mathbf{e}_{p[n]} \Delta t[n] \quad (8)$$

Body disturbance integration

$$\mathbf{d}_b = \mathbf{H}_{[N]} \sum_{n=0}^N \mathbf{k}_{ib} \circ (\mathbf{H}_{[n]}^T \mathbf{e}_{p[n]}) \Delta t[n] \quad (10)$$

Mass estimation

$$m_e = m + (\mathbf{d}_w + \mathbf{H}\mathbf{d}_b)^T \hat{\mathbf{e}}_3, \quad (9)$$

$$\mathbf{H}_{[n]} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \eta_{[n]} & -\sin \eta_{[n]} & 0 \\ \sin \eta_{[n]} & \cos \eta_{[n]} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (11)$$

Lecture 11: UAV Manipulation

Canceling disturbances

Separating body and world disturbances

Separating body and world disturbances

Feedback with disturbance compensation

$\mathbf{a} \circ \mathbf{b}$: piecewise product of \mathbf{a} , \mathbf{b}

$$\mathbf{F}_d = \underbrace{\mathbf{k}_p \circ \mathbf{e}_p}_{\text{position feedback}} + \underbrace{\mathbf{k}_v \circ \mathbf{e}_v}_{\text{velocity feedback}} + \underbrace{m_e \ddot{\mathbf{r}}_d}_{\text{reference feedforward}} + \underbrace{m_e g \hat{\mathbf{e}}_3}_{\text{gravity compensation}} + \underbrace{-\mathbf{d}_w \circ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{\text{world disturbance compensation}} + \underbrace{-\mathbf{d}_b \circ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{\text{body disturbance compensation}} \quad (7)$$

World disturbance integration

$$\mathbf{d}_w = \sum_{n=0}^N \mathbf{k}_{iw} \circ \mathbf{e}_{p[n]} \Delta t[n] \quad (8)$$

Body disturbance integration

$$\mathbf{d}_b = \mathbf{H}_{[N]} \sum_{n=0}^N \mathbf{k}_{ib} \circ (\mathbf{H}_{[n]}^T \mathbf{e}_{p[n]}) \Delta t[n] \quad (10)$$

Mass estimation

$$m_e = m + (\mathbf{d}_w + \mathbf{H}\mathbf{d}_b)^T \hat{\mathbf{e}}_3 \quad (9)$$

Matrix $\mathbf{H}_{[n]}$

$$\mathbf{H}_{[n]} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \eta_{[n]} & -\sin \eta_{[n]} & 0 \\ \sin \eta_{[n]} & \cos \eta_{[n]} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (11)$$

Admittance control

- Allowing control error to occur.
- Outer loop controller that modifies nominal control reference.
- Commonly used with robotic manipulators:
 - direct control by pushing the robot around.

Takeoff and Landing

- Part of every flight.
- The most dangerous part of a normal flight.
- Requires admittance for safety.
- Takeoff:
 - UAV can get stuck.
 - Control errors due to *clean initialization*.
- Landing:
 - UAV can be landing on uneven ground.
 - Touchdown detection is often based on admittance control.



Video: <https://youtu.be/XwiX2vv14Qs>




Figure 1: UAV being pulled by a person.



Normal control loop

- The *Position controller* makes all the effort to minimize the *Control error*.
- This can lead to dangerous manoeuvres, if disturbed artificially by human.

Standard control loop



- The *Position controller* makes all the effort to minimize the *Control error*.
- This can lead to dangerous manoeuvres, if disturbed artificially by human.



Admitting forces from the environment

Lecture 11: UAV Manipulation
Tomáš Bába

Canceling disturbances

Admittance control

Aerial manipulation

Multi-robot manipulation

MBZIRC


Collab. transportation

Drone delivery

Admittance control loop

- Additional outer control loop.
- The *Admittance controller* modified the reference such that some control errors are allowed.

Admittance control




Lecture 11: UAV Manipulation

Admittance control

Admitting forces from the environment

2025-12-08

Admitting forces from the environment




Impedance control loop

- The admittance controller is governed by an *artificial dynamics*.
- Typically, 2nd-order mass-spring-damper dynamics:

$$\mathbf{M}(\ddot{\mathbf{r}}_d - \ddot{\mathbf{r}}_r) + \mathbf{D}(\dot{\mathbf{r}}_d - \dot{\mathbf{r}}_r) + \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{r}_d - \mathbf{r}_r) = -\mathbf{f}_e \quad (12)$$

- $\mathbf{r}_d \in \mathbb{R}^3$ — reference from the *user*.
- $\mathbf{r}_r \in \mathbb{R}^3$ — reference from the *admittance cont.*
- $\mathbf{M} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ — inertia (diagonal).
- $\mathbf{D} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ — damping (diagonal).
- $\mathbf{K} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ — stiffness (diagonal).


Admittance + Impedance control



Impedance control loop

- The admittance controller is governed by an *artificial dynamics*.
 - Typically, 2nd-order mass-spring-damper dynamics:
- $$\mathbf{M}(\ddot{\mathbf{r}}_d - \ddot{\mathbf{r}}_r) + \mathbf{D}(\dot{\mathbf{r}}_d - \dot{\mathbf{r}}_r) + \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{r}_d - \mathbf{r}_r) = -\mathbf{f}_e \quad (12)$$
- $\mathbf{r}_d \in \mathbb{R}^3$ — reference from the *user*.
 - $\mathbf{r}_r \in \mathbb{R}^3$ — reference from the *admittance cont.*
 - $\mathbf{M} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ — inertia (diagonal).
 - $\mathbf{D} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ — damping (diagonal).
 - $\mathbf{K} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ — stiffness (diagonal).

Admittance + Impedance control





Video: <https://youtu.be/w2itwFJCgFQ>

- [2] F. Augugliaro and R. D'Andrea, "Admittance control for physical human-quadcopter interaction," in *2013 European Control Conference (ECC)*, IEEE, 2013, pp. 1805–1810

Lecture 11: UAV Manipulation

└ Admittance control

└└ Admittance control — Human-machine interaction



Carrying a physical payload by a UAV

- Aspects and Problems:
 - The nature of the payload attachment?
 - The way of *attaching* the payload?
 - Where to attach the payload?
 - How will it influence the system dynamics and control?
 - How will it influence the air dynamics and air flow?



- Aspects and Problems:
 - The nature of the payload attachment?
 - The way of attaching the payload?
 - Where to attach the payload?
 - How will it influence the system dynamics and control?
 - How will it influence the air dynamics and air flow?




Rigidly



Figure 2: Source: Mattnet

- Simpler mechanism.
- Needs precision while attaching.
- Changes rotational inertia.
- Ideally placed along body-z axis.

Semi-rigidly



- Allows some movement of the payload.
- Gives leeway for attachment precision.
- More complex and heavier mechanism.
- Can be robotized: a manipulator.

Suspended






- Allows for large payloads.
- Introduces *suspended pendulum* problem.
- Subject of research.
- Challenging when mass of the payload \approx mass of the UAV.

Lecture 11: UAV Manipulation

Aerial manipulation

Attaching the payload

Attaching the payload

Rigidly	Semi-rigidly	Suspended
		
<p>Figure 2: Source: Mattnet</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Simpler mechanism.• Needs precision while attaching.• Changes rotational inertia.• Ideally placed along body-z axis.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Allows some movement of the payload.• Gives leeway for attachment precision.• More complex and heavier mechanism.• Can be robotized: a manipulator.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Allows for large payloads.• Introduces <i>suspended pendulum</i> problem.• Subject of research.• Challenging when mass of the payload \approx mass of the UAV.

Individually-controlled

- Naive approach.
- The manipulator and the UAV controller are independent.
- The manipulator driven only by sensory input.

Half-coupled

- The manipulator controller is given the UAV and control actions.
- Compensation of the UAV motion.

Fully-coupled

- A single controller drives both: the UAV and the manipulator.
- The UAVs motion adjusts to the motion of the manipulator.



Figure 3: 7-DOF robotic arm under a UAV [3].




Figure 4: Servo robot arm onboard UAV [4].

Individually-controlled

- Naive approach.
- The manipulator and the UAV controller are independent.
- The manipulator driven only by sensory input.

Half-coupled

- The manipulator controller is given the UAV and control actions.
- Compensation of the UAV motion.

Fully-coupled

- A single controller drives both: the UAV and the manipulator.
- The UAVs motion adjusts to the motion of the manipulator.



Figure 3: 7-DOF robotic arm under a UAV [3]



Figure 4: Servo robot arm onboard UAV [4]

Why?

- Pushing objects around.
- Inspecting objects by touch:
 - material inspection,
 - contact sampling.
- Installing devices in the surrounding
 - IOT sensors,
 - markers and beacons.
- Aerial painting.
- Stabilization of the UAV *at location*.
 - *perching*.

Pipe inspection by a multirotor UAV



Figure 5: Fully-actuated multirotor UAV applying force to a pipe.

- Pushing objects around.
- Inspecting objects by touch:
 - material inspection,
 - contact sampling.
- Installing devices in the surrounding
 - IOT sensors,
 - markers and beacons.
- Aerial painting.
- Stabilization of the UAV *at location*.
 - *perching*.



UAV-Wall interaction

Lecture 11: UAV Manipulation

Tomáš Bába

Canceling disturbances

Admittance control

Aerial manipulation

Multi-robot manipulation

MBZIRC

Collab. transportation

Drone delivery



Video: <https://youtu.be/nv9UzNkVEGI>

- [5] D. Smrcka, T. Baca, T. Nascimento, and M. Saska, "Admittance Force-Based UAV-Wall Stabilization and Press Exertion for Documentation and Inspection of Historical Buildings," 2021, 2021 International Conference on Unmanned Aircraft Systems (ICUAS)

Tomáš Bába (CTU in Prague)

Lecture 11: UAV Manipulation

December 10th, 2023

20 / 36

Lecture 11: UAV Manipulation

└ Aerial manipulation

└└ UAV-Wall interaction

2025-12-08

UAV-Wall interaction



Video: <https://youtu.be/nv9UzNkVEGI>
[5] D. Smrcka, T. Baca, T. Nascimento, and M. Saska, "Admittance Force-Based UAV-Wall Stabilization and Press Exertion for Documentation and Inspection of Historical Buildings," 2021, 2021 International Conference on Unmanned Aircraft Systems (ICUAS)



Video: <https://youtu.be/22Q9dxS6QfM>

- [6] R. Rashad, D. Bicego, R. Jiao, S. Sanchez-Escalonilla, and S. Stramigioli, "Towards vision-based impedance control for the contact inspection of unknown generically-shaped surfaces with a fully-actuated UAV," in *2020 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, IEEE, 2020, pp. 1605–1612

Lecture 11: UAV Manipulation

Aerial manipulation

Fully-actuated multirotor UAVs

Fully-actuated multirotor UAVs



[6] R. Rashad, D. Bicego, R. Jiao, S. Sanchez-Escalonilla, and S. Stramigioli, "Towards vision-based impedance control for the contact inspection of unknown generically-shaped surfaces with a fully-actuated UAV," in *2020 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, IEEE, 2020, pp. 1605–1612



Video: <https://youtu.be/-RCQmaKvsL0>

- [7] K. Bodie, M. Brunner, M. Pantic, S. Walser, P. Pfandler, U. Angst, *et al.*, "Active interaction force control for contact-based inspection with a fully actuated aerial vehicle," *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 709–722, 2020

Lecture 11: UAV Manipulation

Aerial manipulation

Fully-actuated multirotor UAVs



Rigid attachment

- With perfect localization:
 - Leads to a specific control problem.
 - The structure creates a single multirotor vehicle.
- Needs centralized control.
- Needs fast communication.
- Impractical in the real world.



Video: <https://youtu.be/YBsJwapanWI>, [8]

Semi-rigid attachment

- With perfect localization:
 - Leads to centralized formation control problem.
- With real-world localization:
 - Leads to a difficult control problem.

Rope-suspended payload

- Possible even with imprecise localization.
- The grasping is challenging.
- The problem then reduces to formation planning (Lecture 07).

Rigid attachment

- With perfect localization:
 - Leads to a specific control problem.
 - The structure creates a single multirotor vehicle.
- Needs centralized control.
- Needs fast communication.
- Impractical in the real world.



Video: <https://youtu.be/YBsJwapanWI>, [8]


Semi-rigid attachment

- With perfect localization:
 - Leads to centralized formation control problem.
- With real-world localization:
 - Leads to a difficult control problem.

Rope-suspended payload

- Possible even with imprecise localization.
- The grasping is challenging.
- The problem then reduces to formation planning (Lecture 07).

Introductory video (by organizers)




Video: <https://youtu.be/u106Vy-XJ7c>

Lecture 11: UAV Manipulation

└ MBZIRC

└└ Case-study — MBZIRC 2017 Challenge



Methodology

- Visual object detection.
- Mapping of objects in the global GPS frame.
- Motion estimation for moving objects.
- RTK GPS fused (if available).
- Robust grasping state machine.
- Banning of unpickable objects from the map:
 - to avoid deadlocks.
- Sharing of the pickup locations between UAVs:
 - to avoid conflicts while grasping.

[9] V. Spurny, T. Baca, M. Saska, R. Penicka, T. Krajinik, J. Thomas, *et al.*, "Cooperative Autonomous Search, Grasping and Delivering in a Treasure Hunt Scenario by a Team of UAVs," *Journal of Field Robotics*, vol. 36, no. 1, 125–148, 2019




Figure 6: Visual object detection.

Methodology

- Visual object detection.
- Mapping of objects in the global GPS frame.
- Motion estimation for moving objects.
- RTK GPS fused (if available).
- Robust grasping state machine.
- Banning of unpickable objects from the map:
 - to avoid deadlocks.
- Sharing of the pickup locations between UAVs:
 - to avoid conflicts while grasping.

[9] V. Spurny, T. Baca, M. Saska, R. Penicka, T. Krajinik, J. Thomas, *et al.*, "Cooperative Autonomous Search, Grasping and Delivering in a Treasure Hunt Scenario by a Team of UAVs," *Journal of Field Robotics*, vol. 36, no. 1, 125–148, 2019.




Figure 6: Visual object detection.

Methodology

- Visual object detection.
- Mapping of objects in the global GPS frame.
- Motion estimation for moving objects.
- RTK GPS fused (if available).
- Robust grasping state machine.
- Banning of unpickable objects from the map:
 - to avoid deadlocks.
- Sharing of the pickup locations between UAVs:
 - to avoid conflicts while grasping.

[9] V. Spurny, T. Baca, M. Saska, R. Penicka, T. Krajinik, J. Thomas, *et al.*, "Cooperative Autonomous Search, Grasping and Delivering in a Treasure Hunt Scenario by a Team of UAVs," *Journal of Field Robotics*, vol. 36, no. 1, 125–148, 2019




Figure 6: Grasping state machine.

Methodology

- Visual object detection.
- Mapping of objects in the global GPS frame.
- Motion estimation for moving objects.
- RTK-GPS fused (if available).
- Robust grasping state machine.
- Banning of unpickable objects from the map:
 - to avoid deadlocks.
- Sharing of the pickup locations between UAVs:
 - to avoid conflicts while grasping.

[9] V. Spurny, T. Baca, M. Saska, R. Penicka, T. Krajinik, J. Thomas, *et al.*, "Cooperative Autonomous Search, Grasping and Delivering in a Treasure Hunt Scenario by a Team of UAVs," *Journal of Field Robotics*, vol. 36, no. 1, 125–148, 2019.




Figure 6: Grasping state machine.

Methodology

- Visual object detection.
- Mapping of objects in the global GPS frame.
- Motion estimation for moving objects.
- RTK GPS fused (if available).
- Robust grasping state machine.
- Banning of unpickable objects from the map:
 - to avoid deadlocks.
- Sharing of the pickup locations between UAVs:
 - to avoid conflicts while grasping.

[9] V. Spurny, T. Baca, M. Saska, R. Penicka, T. Krajník, J. Thomas, *et al.*, "Cooperative Autonomous Search, Grasping and Delivering in a Treasure Hunt Scenario by a Team of UAVs," *Journal of Field Robotics*, vol. 36, no. 1, 125–148, 2019



Figure 6: MRS UAVs during the competition.

Methodology


- Visual object detection.
- Mapping of objects in the global GPS frame.
- Motion estimation for moving objects.
- RTK GPS fused (if available).
- Robust grasping state machine.
- Banning of unpickable objects from the map:
 - to avoid deadlocks.
- Sharing of the pickup locations between UAVs:
 - to avoid conflicts while grasping.

[9] V. Spurny, T. Baca, M. Saska, R. Penicka, T. Krajník, J. Thomas, *et al.*, "Cooperative Autonomous Search, Grasping and Delivering in a Treasure Hunt Scenario by a Team of UAVs," *Journal of Field Robotics*, vol. 36, no. 1, 125–148, 2019



Figure 6: MRS UAVs during the competition.

Performance of the MRS team in the competition




Video: https://youtu.be/-PTk_tnh7tU


Lecture 11: UAV Manipulation

MBZIRC

Case-study — MBZIRC 2017 Challenge



Introductory video (by organizers)




Video: <https://youtu.be/u106Vy-XJ7c>

Lecture 11: UAV Manipulation

MBZIRC

Case-study — MBZIRC 2020 Challenge



Problems with Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) localization

- Estimating objects' position in GNSS world is inaccurate.
- Random walk and drift in UAV localization causes apparent random walk of the objects.
- This limits the precision and accuracy of possible interaction.

Solution: Visual servoing

- UAV is localized relatively to the object.
- Closing the control loop directly using the relative localization.
- Requires tracking of the objects in the GNSS frame for their unique identification.





Figure 7: Coordinate frames defined by the object.



Methodology

- Visual and 3D detection of bricks and the wall.
- Mapping and filtration of bricks and walls.
- Operation in multiple frames of reference:
 - GNSS, Optic flow, Brick
- Magnetic gripper with feedback and damping.
- Visual servoing against the grasped brick.
- Admittance control for the final grasping manoeuvre.
 - Risk of the UAV tipping over.
 - Risk of hitting other bricks.
- Admittance control for laying the brick.

[10] T. Baca, R. Pernicka, P. Stepan, M. Petrlik, V. Spurny, D. Hert, *et al.*, "Autonomous Cooperative Wall Building by a Team of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles in the MBZIRC 2020 Competition," *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, vol. 167, p. 104482, Sep. 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0926580523000482>




Figure 8: Map of bricks and wall segments.

Lecture 11: UAV Manipulation

MBZIRC

Case-study — MBZIRC 2020 Challenge

Methodology

- Visual and 3D detection of bricks and the wall.
- Mapping and filtration of bricks and walls.
- Operation in multiple frames of reference:
 - GNSS, Optic flow, Brick
- Magnetic gripper with feedback and damping.
- Visual servoing against the grasped brick.
- Admittance control for the final grasping manoeuvre.
 - Risk of the UAV tipping over.
 - Risk of hitting other bricks.
- Admittance control for laying the brick.

[10] T. Baca, R. Pernicka, P. Stepan, M. Petrlik, V. Spurny, D. Hert, *et al.*, "Autonomous Cooperative Wall Building by a Team of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles in the MBZIRC 2020 Competition," *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, vol. 167, p. 104482, Sep. 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0926580523000482>




Figure 8: Map of bricks and wall segments.

Methodology

- Visual and 3D detection of bricks and the wall.
- Mapping and filtration of bricks and walls.
- Operation in multiple frames of reference:
 - GNSS, Optic flow, Brick
- Magnetic gripper with feedback and damping.
- Visual servoing against the grasped brick.
- Admittance control for the final grasping manoeuvre.
 - Risk of the UAV tipping over.
 - Risk of hitting other bricks.
- Admittance control for laying the brick.

[10] T. Baca, R. Penicka, P. Stepan, M. Petrlik, V. Spurny, D. Hert, *et al.*, "Autonomous Cooperative Wall Building by a Team of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles in the MBZIRC 2020 Competition," *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, vol. 167, p. 104482, Sep. 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0926580523104482>




Figure 8: Magnetic gripper.

Methodology

- Visual and 3D detection of bricks and the wall.
- Mapping and filtration of bricks and walls.
- Operation in multiple frames of reference:
 - GNSS, Optic flow, Brick
- Magnetic gripper with feedback and damping.
- Visual servoing against the grasped brick.
- Admittance control for the final grasping manoeuvre.
 - Risk of the UAV tipping over.
 - Risk of hitting other bricks.
- Admittance control for laying the brick.

[10] T. Baca, R. Penicka, P. Stepan, M. Petrlik, V. Spurny, D. Hert, *et al.*, "Autonomous Cooperative Wall Building by a Team of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles in the MBZIRC 2020 Competition," *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, vol. 167, p. 104482, Sep. 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0926580523104482>



Figure 8: Magnetic gripper.

Methodology

- Visual and 3D detection of bricks and the wall.
- Mapping and filtration of bricks and walls.
- Operation in multiple frames of reference:
 - GNSS, Optic flow, Brick
- Magnetic gripper with feedback and damping.
- Visual servoing against the grasped brick.
- Admittance control for the final grasping manoeuvre.
 - Risk of the UAV tipping over.
 - Risk of hitting other bricks.
- Admittance control for laying the brick.

[10] T. Baca, R. Penicka, P. Stepan, M. Petrlik, V. Spurny, D. Hert, *et al.*, "Autonomous Cooperative Wall Building by a Team of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles in the MBZIRC 2020 Competition," *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, vol. 167, p. 104482, Sep. 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0926580523101482>



Figure 8: Grasping in action.

Methodology

- Visual and 3D detector of bricks and the wall.
- Mapping and filtration of bricks and walls.
- Operation in multiple frames of reference:
 - GNSS, Optic flow, Brick
- Magnetic gripper with feedback and damping.
- Visual servoing against the grasped brick.
- Admittance control for the final grasping manoeuvre.
 - Risk of the UAV tipping over.
 - Risk of hitting other bricks.
- Admittance control for laying the brick.

[10] T. Baca, R. Penicka, P. Stepan, M. Petrlik, V. Spurny, D. Hert, *et al.*, "Autonomous Cooperative Wall Building by a Team of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles in the MBZIRC 2020 Competition," *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, vol. 167, p. 104482, Sep. 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0926580523101482>



Figure 8: Grasping in action.

Methodology

- Visual and 3D detection of bricks and the wall.
- Mapping and filtration of bricks and walls.
- Operation in multiple frames of reference:
 - GNSS, Optic flow, Brick
- Magnetic gripper with feedback and damping.
- Visual servoing against the grasped brick.
- Admittance control for the final grasping manoeuvre.
 - Risk of the UAV tipping over.
 - Risk of hitting other bricks.
- Admittance control for laying the brick.

[10] T. Baca, R. Penicka, P. Stepan, M. Petrlik, V. Spurny, D. Hert, *et al.*, "Autonomous Cooperative Wall Building by a Team of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles in the MBZIRC 2020 Competition," *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, vol. 167, p. 104482, Sep. 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0926580523001482>




Figure 8: All UAVs trajectories.

Methodology

- Visual and 3D detection of bricks and the wall.
- Mapping and filtration of bricks and walls.
- Operation in multiple frames of reference:
 - GNSS, Optic flow, Brick
- Magnetic gripper with feedback and damping.
- Visual servoing against the grasped brick.
- Admittance control for the final grasping manoeuvre.
 - Risk of the UAV tipping over.
 - Risk of hitting other bricks.
- Admittance control for laying the brick.

[10] T. Baca, R. Penicka, P. Stepan, M. Petrlik, V. Spurny, D. Hert, *et al.*, "Autonomous Cooperative Wall Building by a Team of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles in the MBZIRC 2020 Competition," *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, vol. 167, p. 104482, Sep. 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0926580523001482>





Figure 8: All UAVs trajectories.




Video: <https://youtu.be/Fb8kSxgVopU>

Lecture 11: UAV Manipulation

└ MBZIRC

└ Case-study — MBZIRC 2020 Challenge




Problems to be solved.

- Relative localization of the UAVs.
- Mutual communication.
- Grasping mechanism and grasping manoeuvre.
- Time synchronization.

Solution

- Master-slave control scheme.
- Each UAV acts as a tiltable propeller.
- Semi-rigid gripper: rigid for grasping, ball-joint for carrying.

Formation diagram



Formation



Lecture 11: UAV Manipulation

Collab. transportation

Collaborative transportation in the real world


Problems to be solved:

- Relative localization of the UAVs.
- Mutual communication.
- Grasping mechanism and grasping manoeuvre.
- Time synchronization.

Solution:

- Master-slave control scheme.
- Each UAV acts as a tiltable propeller.
- Semi-rigid gripper: rigid for grasping, ball-joint for carrying.

Formation diagram



Formation



Collaborative transportation in the real world

Lecture 11: UAV Manipulation

Tomáš Bába

Canceling disturbances

Admittance control


Aerial manipulation

Multi-robot manipulation

MBZIRC

Collab. transportation

Drone delivery



Video: <https://youtu.be/5jSwKbZwP4>

Tomáš Bába (CTU in Prague)

Lecture 11: UAV Manipulation

December 10th, 2023

32 / 36


Lecture 11: UAV Manipulation

└─ Collab. transportation

└─ Collaborative transportation in the real world

2025-12-08

Collaborative transportation in the real world



Collaborative transportation in the real world

Lecture 11: UAV Manipulation

Tomáš Bába

Canceling disturbances

Admittance control

Aerial manipulation

Multi-robot manipulation

MBZIRC

Collab. transportation

Drone delivery



Video: <https://youtu.be/qSvCNqfmNCI>

Tomáš Bába (CTU in Prague)

Lecture 11: UAV Manipulation

December 10th, 2023

32 / 36

Lecture 11: UAV Manipulation

└─ Collab. transportation

└─ Collaborative transportation in the real world

2025-12-08

Collaborative transportation in the real world



Video: <https://youtu.be/qSvCNqfmNCI>

Current state of drone delivery?

Lecture 11: UAV Manipulation

Tomáš Bába

Canceling disturbances

Admittance control

Aerial manipulation

Multi-robot manipulation

MBZIRC

Collab. transportation

Drone delivery

Amazon Prime Air

- Announced in 2013
- Offices closed in 2021.
- Theoretically feasible, but impractical.

Implementation problems

- Safety:
 - securing the delivery location,
 - safety of the recipients,
 - safety of the UAV,
 - safety in the common airspace.
- Package hand-over.
- Multicopter are energy **inefficient**.
- Navigating obstacles.
- Economic viability? Not good in general.
- Very-location specific.

Amazon Prime Air: Delivery by Drones Could Arrive As Early as 2015

Drones soon might be dropping off your toothpaste and underwear.

By JOHANNA STERN
December 3, 2013, 9:43 AM



Amazon Plans Drone-Delivery Service
The online retailer says the vehicles are ready to go, but they need approval from the FAA.

Figure 9: Amazon Prime Air announcement, source ABC news.

Lecture 11: UAV Manipulation

Drone delivery

Current state of drone delivery?

2025-12-08

Current state of drone delivery?

Amazon Prime Air

- Announced in 2013
- Offices closed in 2021.
- Theoretically feasible, but impractical.

Implementation problems

- Safety:
 - securing the delivery location,
 - safety of the recipients,
 - safety of the UAV,
 - safety in the common airspace.
- Package hand-over
- Multicopter are energy **inefficient**.
- Navigating obstacles
- Economic viability? Not good in general.
- Very location specific.



Figure 9: Amazon Prime Air announcement, source ABC news.

Current state of drone delivery?

Lecture 11: UAV Manipulation

Tomáš Báča

Canceling disturbances

Admittance control
Aerial manipulation

Multi-robot manipulation

MBZIRC

Collab. transportation


Drone delivery

Where could it work?

- Remote locations, e.g., archipelago.
- Controlled environments: warehouses.
- Low population density.
- Far from important infrastructure.
- Delivery of medical goods.
- Delivery between **dedicated boxes**.

Success stories

- **Matternet** (Multirotor)
 - Delivery of medical goods.
 - Custom *launch station*
 - Pilot project in Berlin.
- **Zipline** (Plane)
 - Delivery of medical goods.
 - Deployed in Rwanda.



More to watch: Why did drone delivery fail?

Wendover Productions:
<https://youtu.be/J-M98KLGaUU>.

Tomáš Báča (CTU in Prague)

Lecture 11: UAV Manipulation

December 10th, 2023

34 / 36

Lecture 11: UAV Manipulation

└ Drone delivery

└└ Current state of drone delivery?

2025-12-08

Current state of drone delivery?

Where could it work?

- Remote locations, e.g., archipelago.
- Controlled environments: warehouses.
- Low population density.
- Far from important infrastructure.
- Delivery of medical goods.
- Delivery between **dedicated boxes**.

Success stories

- **Matternet** (Multirotor)
 - Delivery of medical goods.
 - Custom *launch station*
 - Pilot project in Berlin.
- **Zipline** (Plane)
 - Delivery of medical goods.
 - Deployed in Rwanda.



More to watch: Why did drone delivery fail?
Wendover Productions
<https://youtu.be/J-M98KLGaUU>

References

Lecture 11: UAV Manipulation

Tomáš Bába

Canceled disturbances

Admittance control

Aerial manipulation

Multi-robot manipulation

MBZIRC

Collab. transportation

Drone delivery

- [1] T. Baca, M. Petrlík, M. Vrba, *et al.*, "The MRS UAV System: Pushing the Frontiers of Reproducible Research, Real-world Deployment, and Education with Autonomous Unmanned Aerial Vehicles," *Journal of Intelligent & Robotic Systems*, vol. 102, no. 26, pp. 1–28, 1 May 2021.
- [2] F. Augugliaro and R. D'Andrea, "Admittance control for physical human-quadrocopter interaction," in *2013 European Control Conference (ECC)*, IEEE, 2013, pp. 1805–1810.
- [3] K. Kondak, F. Huber, M. Schwarzbach, *et al.*, "Aerial manipulation robot composed of an autonomous helicopter and a 7 degrees of freedom industrial manipulator," in *2014 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, IEEE, 2014, pp. 2107–2112.
- [4] F. Ruggiero, M. A. Trujillo, R. Cano, *et al.*, "A multilayer control for multirotor UAVs equipped with a servo robot arm," in *2015 IEEE international conference on robotics and automation (ICRA)*, IEEE, 2015, pp. 4014–4020.
- [5] D. Smrcka, T. Baca, T. Nascimento, and M. Saska, "Admittance Force-Based UAV-Wall Stabilization and Press Exertion for Documentation and Inspection of Historical Buildings," 2021, 2021 International Conference on Unmanned Aircraft Systems (ICUAS).
- [6] R. Rashad, D. Bicego, R. Jiao, S. Sanchez-Escalonilla, and S. Stramigioli, "Towards vision-based impedance control for the contact inspection of unknown generically-shaped surfaces with a fully-actuated UAV," in *2020 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, IEEE, 2020, pp. 1605–1612.
- [7] K. Bodie, M. Brunner, M. Pantic, *et al.*, "Active interaction force control for contact-based inspection with a fully actuated aerial vehicle," *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 709–722, 2020.
- [8] D. Mellinger, M. Shomin, N. Michael, and V. Kumar, "Cooperative Grasping and Transport Using Multiple Quadrotors," in *The 10th International Symposium Distributed Autonomous Robotic Systems*, Springer, 2013, pp. 545–558.
- [9] V. Spurny, T. Baca, M. Saska, *et al.*, "Cooperative Autonomous Search, Grasping and Delivering in a Treasure Hunt Scenario by a Team of UAVs," *Journal of Field Robotics*, vol. 36, no. 1, 125–148, 2019.
- [10] T. Baca, R. Penicka, P. Stepan, *et al.*, "Autonomous Cooperative Wall Building by a Team of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles in the MBZIRC 2020 Competition," *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, vol. 167, p. 104482, Sep. 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0921889023001215>.

Tomáš Bába (CTU in Prague)

Lecture 11: UAV Manipulation

December 10th, 2023

35 / 36

Lecture 11: UAV Manipulation

Drone delivery

References

2025-12-08

References

- [1] T. Baca, M. Petrlík, M. Vrba, *et al.*, "The MRS UAV System: Pushing the Frontiers of Reproducible Research, Real-world Deployment, and Education with Autonomous Unmanned Aerial Vehicles," *Journal of Intelligent & Robotic Systems*, vol. 102, no. 26, pp. 1–28, 1 May 2021.
- [2] F. Augugliaro and R. D'Andrea, "Admittance control for physical human-quadrocopter interaction," in *2013 European Control Conference (ECC)*, IEEE, 2013, pp. 1805–1810.
- [3] K. Kondak, F. Huber, M. Schwarzbach, *et al.*, "Aerial manipulation robot composed of an autonomous helicopter and a 7 degrees of freedom industrial manipulator," in *2014 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, IEEE, 2014, pp. 2107–2112.
- [4] F. Ruggiero, M. A. Trujillo, R. Cano, *et al.*, "A multilayer control for multirotor UAVs equipped with a servo robot arm," in *2015 IEEE international conference on robotics and automation (ICRA)*, IEEE, 2015, pp. 4014–4020.
- [5] D. Smrcka, T. Baca, T. Nascimento, and M. Saska, "Admittance Force-Based UAV-Wall Stabilization and Press Exertion for Documentation and Inspection of Historical Buildings," 2021, 2021 International Conference on Unmanned Aircraft Systems (ICUAS).
- [6] R. Rashad, D. Bicego, R. Jiao, S. Sanchez-Escalonilla, and S. Stramigioli, "Towards vision-based impedance control for the contact inspection of unknown generically-shaped surfaces with a fully-actuated UAV," in *2020 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, IEEE, 2020, pp. 1605–1612.
- [7] K. Bodie, M. Brunner, M. Pantic, *et al.*, "Active interaction force control for contact-based inspection with a fully actuated aerial vehicle," *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 709–722, 2020.
- [8] D. Mellinger, M. Shomin, N. Michael, and V. Kumar, "Cooperative Grasping and Transport Using Multiple Quadrotors," in *The 10th International Symposium Distributed Autonomous Robotic Systems*, Springer, 2013, pp. 545–558.
- [9] V. Spurny, T. Baca, M. Saska, *et al.*, "Cooperative Autonomous Search, Grasping and Delivering in a Treasure Hunt Scenario by a Team of UAVs," *Journal of Field Robotics*, vol. 36, no. 1, 125–148, 2019.
- [10] T. Baca, R. Penicka, P. Stepan, *et al.*, "Autonomous Cooperative Wall Building by a Team of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles in the MBZIRC 2020 Competition," *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, vol. 167, p. 104482, Sep. 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0921889023001215>.

- Aerial manipulation and transportation is **hard**.
- Its practicality is very **limited**.
- It is currently subject of **research**.