### Classifiers

# Z. Straka, P. Švarný, J. Kostlivá

Today two examples:

- 1. Recall and Precision
- 2. Linear classification

#### Confusion matrix

		Actual class	
		Р	Ν
Duadiated aleas	Р	TP	FP
Predicted class	Ν	FN	TN

The abbreviations have the following meaning true positive (TP), false positive (FP), false negative (FN), true negative (TN).

You get a few confusion matrices of 4 different classifiers. The abbreviations have the following meaning true positive (TP), false positive (FP), false negative (FN), true negative (TN).

classifier	TP = 20	FP = 3
А	FN = 18	TN = 14

classifier	TP = 60	FP = 80
В	<i>FN</i> = 43	TN = 21

•	, .	•
classifier	TP = 13	<i>FP</i> = 14
С	FN = 18	TN = 1

classifier	TP = 14	<i>FP</i> = 16
D	<i>FN</i> = 4	TN = 80

Recall:

A: 
$$\frac{TP}{TP+FN}$$

B: 
$$\frac{TP}{TP+TN}$$

C: 
$$\frac{TP}{FP+FN}$$

D: 
$$\frac{FP}{TP+FN}$$

You get a few confusion matrices of 4 different classifiers. The abbreviations have the following meaning true positive (TP), false positive (FP), false negative (FN), true negative (TN).

classifier	TP = 20	<i>FP</i> = 3
Α	FN = 18	TN = 14

classifier	TP = 60	FP = 80
В	FN = 43	TN = 21

•	, .	•
classifier	TP = 13	<i>FP</i> = 14
С	FN = 18	TN = 1

classifier	<i>TP</i> = 14	<i>FP</i> = 16
D	<i>FN</i> = 4	TN = 80

Recall:

A:  $\frac{TP}{TP+FN}$ 

B:  $\frac{TP}{TP+TN}$ 

C:  $\frac{TP}{FP+FN}$ 

D:  $\frac{FP}{TP+F\Lambda}$ 

You get a few confusion matrices of 4 different classifiers. The abbreviations have the following meaning true positive (TP), false positive (FP), false negative (FN), true negative (TN).

classifier	TP = 20	FP = 3
А	FN = 18	TN = 14

classifier	TP = 13	<i>FP</i> = 14
С	FN = 18	TN = 1

classifier	TP = 60	FP = 80
В	FN = 43	TN = 21

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} \text{classifier} & \textit{TP} = 14 & \textit{FP} = 16 \\ \hline D & \textit{FN} = 4 & \textit{TN} = 80 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

#### Precision:

A: 
$$\frac{TP}{TP+FN}$$

B: 
$$\frac{FP}{FP+TN}$$

C: 
$$\frac{TP}{TP+FP}$$

D: 
$$\frac{FP}{TP+FI}$$

You get a few confusion matrices of 4 different classifiers. The abbreviations have the following meaning true positive (TP), false positive (FP), false negative (FN), true negative (TN).

classifier	TP = 20	FP = 3
А	FN = 18	TN = 14

classifier	TP = 13	FP = 14
С	FN = 18	TN = 1

•	Recall:	$\frac{TP}{TP+FN}$
---	---------	--------------------

classifier	TP = 60	<i>FP</i> = 80
В	FN = 43	TN = 21

classifier 
$$TP = 14$$
  $FP = 16$  D  $FN = 4$   $TN = 80$ 

#### Precision:

A:  $\frac{TP}{TP+F\Lambda}$ 

B:  $\frac{FP}{FP+TN}$ 

C:  $\frac{TP}{TP+FP}$ 

D:  $\frac{FP}{TP+F\Lambda}$ 

You get a few confusion matrices of 4 different classifiers. The abbreviations have the following meaning true positive (TP), false positive (FP), false negative (FN), true negative (TN).

classifier	TP = 20	<i>FP</i> = 3
A	<i>FN</i> = 18	<i>TN</i> = 14

classifier	TP = 60	FP = 80
В	<i>FN</i> = 43	TN = 21

classifier	<i>TP</i> = 13	<i>FP</i> = 14
С	FN = 18	TN = 1

TP = 14	FP = 16
<i>FN</i> = 4	<i>TN</i> = 80
	TP = 14 $FN = 4$

► Recall: 
$$\frac{TP}{TP+FN}$$
► Precision:  $\frac{TP}{TP+FP}$ 

For the classifier from table A count the number of members for the two classes (from the point of view of the data/reality):

A: class1: 23. class2: 32

B: class1: 38. class2: 17

C: class1: 21. class2: 34

D: class1: 23. class2: 36

You get a few confusion matrices of 4 different classifiers. The abbreviations have the following meaning true positive (TP), false positive (FP), false negative (FN), true negative (TN).

classifier	TP = 20	<i>FP</i> = 3
A	<i>FN</i> = 18	<i>TN</i> = 14

classifier	TP = 60	FP = 80
B	FN = 43	TN = 21

classifier	<i>TP</i> = 13	<i>FP</i> = 14
С	FN = 18	TN = 1

classifier	TP - 14	<i>FP</i> = 16
D	FN = 4	TN = 80

► Recall: 
$$\frac{TP}{TP+FN}$$

 $\blacktriangleright \text{ Precision: } \frac{TP}{TP+FP}$ 

For the classifier from table A count the number of members for the two classes (from the point of view of the data/reality):

A: class1: 23, class2: 32

B: class1: 38, class2: 17

C: class1: 21, class2: 34

D: class1: 23, class2: 36

You get a few confusion matrices of 4 different classifiers. The abbreviations have the following meaning true positive (TP), false positive (FP), false negative (FN), true negative (TN).

classifier	TP = 20	<i>FP</i> = 3
А	FN = 18	TN = 14

classifier	<i>TP</i> = 60	<i>FP</i> = 80
В	<i>FN</i> = 43	TN = 21

classifier	<i>TP</i> = 13	<i>FP</i> = 14
С	<i>FN</i> = 18	TN = 1

classifier 
$$TP = 14$$
  $FP = 16$   
D  $FN = 4$   $TN = 80$ 

► Recall: 
$$\frac{TP}{TP+FN}$$

▶ Precision: 
$$\frac{TP}{TP+FP}$$

Let us assume that this is a table of classifiers that recognize the presence of a person in front of an autonomous car. The car stops or continues its journey.

**Task:** Which classifier (A/B/C/D) will unnecessarily stop the car the least amount of times?

You get a few confusion matrices of 4 different classifiers. The abbreviations have the following meaning true positive (TP), false positive (FP), false negative (FN), true negative (TN).

classifier	TP = 20	<i>FP</i> = 3
А	FN = 18	TN = 14

classifier	<i>TP</i> = 60	<i>FP</i> = 80
В	<i>FN</i> = 43	TN = 21

classifier	<i>TP</i> = 13	<i>FP</i> = 14
С	<i>FN</i> = 18	TN = 1

classifier 
$$TP = 14$$
  $FP = 16$   
D  $FN = 4$   $TN = 80$ 

▶ Precision: 
$$\frac{TP}{TP+FP}$$

Let us assume that this is a table of classifiers that recognize the presence of a person in front of an autonomous car. The car stops or continues its journey.

**Task:** Which classifier (A/B/C/D) will unnecessarily stop the car the least amount of times?

A because it has the lowest  $\frac{FP}{TP+FP}$ 

You get a few confusion matrices of 4 different classifiers. The abbreviations have the following meaning true positive (TP), false positive (FP), false negative (FN), true negative (TN).

classifier	TP = 20	<i>FP</i> = 3
А	FN = 18	TN = 14

classifier	TP = 13	<i>FP</i> = 14
С	<i>FN</i> = 18	TN = 1

► Recall: 
$$\frac{TP}{TP+FN}$$

classifier 
$$TP = 60$$
  $FP = 80$  B  $FN = 43$   $TN = 21$ 

classifier 
$$TP = 14$$
  $FP = 16$  D  $FN = 4$   $TN = 80$ 

▶ Precision:  $\frac{TP}{TP+FP}$ 

Let us assume that this is a table of classifiers that recognize the presence of a person in front of an autonomous car. The car stops or continues its journey.

**Task:** Which classifier (A/B/C/D) will unnecessarily stop the car the least amount of times?

A because it has the lowest  $\frac{FP}{TP+FP}$ 

Which measure is more important for the decision, recall or precision?:

A: Recall

**B**: Precision

You get a few confusion matrices of 4 different classifiers. The abbreviations have the following meaning true positive (TP), false positive (FP), false negative (FN), true negative (TN).

classifier	TP = 20	<i>FP</i> = 3
А	FN = 18	TN = 14

classifier	TP = 13	<i>FP</i> = 14
С	FN = 18	TN = 1

► Recall: 
$$\frac{TP}{TP+FN}$$

classifier 
$$TP = 60$$
  $FP = 80$  B  $FN = 43$   $TN = 21$ 

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} \text{classifier} & \textit{TP} = 14 & \textit{FP} = 16 \\ \hline D & \textit{FN} = 4 & \textit{TN} = 80 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\blacktriangleright \text{ Precision: } \frac{TP}{TP+FP}$$

Let us assume that this is a table of classifiers that recognize the presence of a person in front of an autonomous car. The car stops or continues its journey.

**Task:** Which classifier (A/B/C/D) will unnecessarily stop the car the least amount of times?

A because it has the lowest  $\frac{FP}{TP+FP}$ 

Which measure is more important for the decision, recall or precision?:

B: Precision, because  $\frac{\mathit{FP}}{\mathit{TP}+\mathit{FP}}=1-\mathit{Precision}.$  Therefore, we are looking for the maximum Precision.

You get a few confusion matrices of 4 different classifiers. The abbreviations have the following meaning true positive (TP), false positive (FP), false negative (FN), true negative (TN).

classifier	TP = 20	<i>FP</i> = 3
А	FN = 18	TN = 14

classifier	<i>TP</i> = 60	<i>FP</i> = 80
В	<i>FN</i> = 43	TN = 21

classifier	<i>TP</i> = 13	<i>FP</i> = 14
С	<i>FN</i> = 18	TN = 1

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} \hline \text{classifier} & \textit{TP} = 14 & \textit{FP} = 16 \\ \hline D & \textit{FN} = 4 & \textit{TN} = 80 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

► Recall: 
$$\frac{TP}{TP+FN}$$

▶ Precision: 
$$\frac{TP}{TP+FP}$$

Let us assume that this is a table of classifiers that recognize the presence of a person in front of an autonomous car. The car stops or continues its journey.

**Task:** Which classifier (A/B/C/D) is the safest?

You get a few confusion matrices of 4 different classifiers. The abbreviations have the following meaning true positive (TP), false positive (FP), false negative (FN), true negative (TN).

$\Delta$ $FN - 18$ $TN$	_ 3	TP = 20	classifier
$A \qquad FN = 10 \mid TN$	I = 14	FN = 18	А

classifier	<i>TP</i> = 60	<i>FP</i> = 80
В	<i>FN</i> = 43	TN = 21

classifier	<i>TP</i> = 13	<i>FP</i> = 14
С	<i>FN</i> = 18	TN = 1

classifier 
$$TP = 14$$
  $FP = 16$   
D  $FN = 4$   $TN = 80$ 

► Recall: 
$$\frac{TP}{TP+FN}$$

▶ Precision: 
$$\frac{TP}{TP+FP}$$

Let us assume that this is a table of classifiers that recognize the presence of a person in front of an autonomous car. The car stops or continues its journey.

**Task:** Which classifier (A/B/C/D) is the safest?

D because it has the lowest  $\frac{FN}{TP+FN}$ 

You get a few confusion matrices of 4 different classifiers. The abbreviations have the following meaning true positive (TP), false positive (FP), false negative (FN), true negative (TN).

Λ	3	FP = 3	TP = 20	classifier
A   FN - 10   TN -	14	TN = 1	<i>FN</i> = 18	A

classifier	TP = 60	FP = 80
В	<i>FN</i> = 43	TN = 21

classifier	<i>TP</i> = 13	<i>FP</i> = 14
С	FN = 18	TN = 1

classifier 
$$TP = 14$$
  $FP = 16$  D  $FN = 4$   $TN = 80$ 

▶ Precision:  $\frac{TP}{TP+FP}$ 

Let us assume that this is a table of classifiers that recognize the presence of a person in front of an autonomous car. The car stops or continues its journey.

**Task:** Which classifier (A/B/C/D) is the safest?

D because it has the lowest  $\frac{FN}{TP+FN}$ 

Which metric is important for this decision, recall or precision?:

A: Recall

**B**: Precision

You get a few confusion matrices of 4 different classifiers. The abbreviations have the following meaning true positive (TP), false positive (FP), false negative (FN), true negative (TN).

classifier	TP = 20	<i>FP</i> = 3
А	FN = 18	TN = 14

classifier	TP = 13	<i>FP</i> = 14
С	<i>FN</i> = 18	TN = 1

classifier 
$$TP = 60$$
  $FP = 80$  B  $FN = 43$   $TN = 21$ 

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline classifier & \textit{TP} = 14 & \textit{FP} = 16 \\ \hline D & \textit{FN} = 4 & \textit{TN} = 80 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

▶ Precision:  $\frac{TP}{TP+FP}$ 

Let us assume that this is a table of classifiers that recognize the presence of a person in front of an autonomous car. The car stops or continues its journey.

**Task:** Which classifier (A/B/C/D) is the safest?

D because it has the lowest  $\frac{FN}{TP+FN}$ 

Which metric is important for this decision, recall or precision?:

A: Recall, because  $\frac{FN}{TP+FN}=1-Recall$ . Therefore, we are looking for the maximum Recall.

You get a few confusion matrices of 4 different classifiers. The abbreviations have the following meaning true positive (TP), false positive (FP), false negative (FN), true negative (TN).

classifier	TP = 20	<i>FP</i> = 3
А	FN = 18	TN = 14

	classifier	TP = 13	FP = 14
	С	FN = 18	TN = 1
_			

► Recall: 
$$\frac{TP}{TP+FN}$$

classifier 
$$TP = 60$$
  $FP = 80$  B  $FN = 43$   $TN = 21$ 

classifier 
$$TP = 14$$
  $FP = 16$   
D  $FN = 4$   $TN = 80$ 

▶ Precision: 
$$\frac{TP}{TP+FP}$$

Let us assume that this is a table of classifiers that recognize the presence of a person in front of an autonomous car. The car stops or continues its journey.

**Discussion:** Suggest the simplest and safest classifier. Use chat or microphone.

Could it be used in practice?

- ightharpoonup Recall:  $\frac{TP}{TP+FN}$
- ▶ Precision:  $\frac{TP}{TP+FP}$

Think about object (pedestrian) detection in images:

Recall: What is related to recall?

- A: How many (what percentage of) objects/pedestrians missed?
- B: How often are the (pedestrian) detections truly negative compared to truly positive detections?
- C: How often are the (pedestrian) detections truly positive compared to truly negative detections?
- D: How much are the (pedestrian) detections contaminated by false detections?

- ► Recall:  $\frac{TP}{TP+FN}$
- ▶ Precision:  $\frac{TP}{TP+FP}$

Think about object (pedestrian) detection in images:

Recall: What is related to recall?

► How many (what percentage of) objects/pedestrians missed?

Precision: What is related to precision?

- A: How many (what percentage of) objects/pedestrians are not missed?
- B: How often are the (pedestrian) detections truly negative compared to truly positive detections?
- C: How often are the (pedestrian) detections truly positive compared to truly negative detections?
- D: How much are the (pedestrian) detections contaminated by false detections?

- ightharpoonup Recall:  $\frac{TP}{TP+FN}$
- ▶ Precision:  $\frac{TP}{TP+FP}$

Think about object (pedestrian) detection in images:

**Recall:** What is related to recall?

▶ How many (what percentage of) objects/pedestrians missed?

**Precision:** What is related to precision?

- A: How many (what percentage of) objects/pedestrians are not missed?
- B: How often are the (pedestrian) detections truly negative compared to truly positive detections?
- C: How often are the (pedestrian) detections truly positive compared to truly negative detections?
- D: How much are the (pedestrian) detections contaminated by false detections?

- ightharpoonup Recall:  $\frac{TP}{TP+FN}$
- ▶ Precision:  $\frac{TP}{TP+FP}$

Think about object (pedestrian) detection in images:

Recall: What is related to recall?

► How many (what percentage of) objects/pedestrians missed?

Precision: What is related to precision?

D: How much are the (pedestrian) detections contaminated by false detections?

- ightharpoonup Recall:  $\frac{TP}{TP+FN}$
- ▶ Precision:  $\frac{TP}{TP+FP}$

Think about object (pedestrian) detection in images:

Recall: What is related to recall?


How many (what percentage of) objects/pedestrians missed?

**Precision:** What is related to precision?

▶ How much are the (pedestrian) detections contaminated by false detections?

It is difficult to satisfy both.

# Linear classification



Classification is made according to:

$$s^* = \arg\max_s f_s(x)$$

where  $f_s(x) = w_s x + b_s$ ,  $w_s$ ,  $b_s \in R$ ,  $s \in \{1, 2\}$ 


Select a classifier with zero classification error on the given dataset:

A: 
$$w_1 = 1, b_1 = 0; w_2 = -1, b_2 = 0$$

B: 
$$w_1 = 1, b_1 = -2.4; w_2 = -1, b_2 = 2.5$$

C: 
$$w_1 = -1, b_1 = 2.5; w_2 = 1, b_2 = -2.4$$

D: 
$$w_1 = -1, b_1 = 0; w_2 = 1, b_2 = 0$$



Classification is made according to:

$$s^* = \underset{s}{\operatorname{arg\,max}} f_s(x)$$

where  $f_s(x) = w_s x + b_s, w_s, b_s \in R, s \in \{1,2\}$ 


Select a classifier with zero classification error on the given dataset:

A: 
$$w_1 = 1, b_1 = 0; w_2 = -1, b_2 = 0$$

B: 
$$w_1 = 1, b_1 = -2.4; w_2 = -1, b_2 = 2.5$$

C: 
$$w_1 = -1, b_1 = 2.5; w_2 = 1, b_2 = -2.4$$

D: 
$$w_1 = -1, b_1 = 0; w_2 = 1, b_2 = 0$$



Classification is made according to:

$$s^* = \arg\max_s f_s(x)$$


where  $f_s(x) = w_s x + b_s$ ,  $w_s$ ,  $b_s \in R$ ,  $s \in \{1, 2\}$ Select a classifier with zero classification error on the given dataset:

A: 
$$w_1 = 1, b_1 = 0; w_2 = -1, b_2 = 0$$

**B**: 
$$w_1 = 1, b_1 = -2.4; w_2 = -1, b_2 = 2.5$$

C: 
$$w_1 = -1, b_1 = 2.5; w_2 = 1, b_2 = -2.4$$

D: 
$$w_1 = -1, b_1 = 0; w_2 = 1, b_2 = 0$$




Alternatively (only for binary classification):

A: 
$$s^* = (sgn(x-2.5) + 1)/2 + 1$$

B: 
$$s^* = sgn(x - 2.5)$$

C: 
$$s^* = \max(x_i - 2.5)$$

D: 
$$s^* = sgn(x - 2.5) + 1$$




Alternatively (only for binary classification):

A: 
$$s^* = (sgn(x - 2.5) + 1)/2 + 1$$

B: 
$$s^* = \text{sgn}(x - 2.5)$$

C: 
$$s^* = \max(x_i - 2.5)$$

D: 
$$s^* = sgn(x - 2.5) + 1$$




Is it possible to get zero classification errors on the given training multiset using only a linear classifier?

Yes

No

x2 ₄



Is it possible to get zero classification errors on the given training multiset using only a linear classifier?

Yes.

