

# Statistical Machine Learning (BE4M33SSU)

## Lecture 6.

Czech Technical University in Prague

- ◆ Bayesian parameter estimation
- ◆ Mixtures of classifiers

## 6.1 When ERM and MLE fail

**Empirical risk minimisation:**

- ◆ The best attainable (Bayes) risk is  $R^* = \inf_{h \in \mathcal{Y}^{\mathcal{X}}} R(h)$
- ◆ The best predictor in  $\mathcal{H}$  is  $h_{\mathcal{H}} \in \arg \min_{h \in \mathcal{H}} R(h)$
- ◆ The predictor  $h_m$  learned from  $\mathcal{T}^m$  has risk  $R(h_m)$

$$\underbrace{(R(h_m) - R^*)}_{\text{excess error}} = \underbrace{(R(h_m) - R(h_{\mathcal{H}}))}_{\text{estimation error}} + \underbrace{(R(h_{\mathcal{H}}) - R^*)}_{\text{approximation error}}$$

- ◆ Misspecified hypothesis space  $\mathcal{H} \Rightarrow$  high approximation error
- ◆ Size of  $\mathcal{T}^m$  too small  $\Rightarrow$  high estimation error

**Maximum likelihood estimate:** similar

- ◆ Misspecified model class  $p_{\theta}(x, y), \theta \in \Theta$
- ◆ Size of  $\mathcal{T}^m$  too small

## 6.2 Bayesian parameter estimation

Model class  $p_\theta(x, y)$ ,  $\theta \in \Theta$

- ◆ Interpret the unknown parameter  $\theta \in \Theta$  as a random variable
- ◆ assume a prior distribution  $p(\theta)$  for  $\theta$
- ◆ choose a loss incurred by wrong estimation, e.g.  $\ell(\theta, \theta') = [\theta - \theta']^2$

Bayes estimator

$$e_B(\mathcal{T}^m) = \arg \min_{\theta' \in \Theta} \int p(\mathcal{T}^m | \theta) p(\theta) [\theta - \theta']^2 d\theta$$

The estimation is based on the posterior distribution for the parameter, i.e.

$$p(\theta | \mathcal{T}^m) = \frac{p(\mathcal{T}^m | \theta) p(\theta)}{\int p(\mathcal{T}^m | \theta') p(\theta') d\theta'}$$

For the considered squared-error loss we obtain

$$e_B(\mathcal{T}^m) = \theta^*(\mathcal{T}^m) = \int p(\theta | \mathcal{T}^m) \theta d\theta$$

## 6.2 Bayesian parameter estimation

Notice how the posterior distribution

$$p(\theta | \mathcal{T}^m) \propto p(\mathcal{T}^m | \theta) p(\theta)$$

interpolates between the situation without any training data, i.e.  $m = 0$  and the log-likelihood of training data for  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

## 6.3 Bayesian risk minimisation

Is it possible to consider a similar approach for hypothesis learning? Yes.

- ◆ Model class  $p(x, y | \theta)$ ,  $\theta \in \Theta$
- ◆ Prior distribution  $p(\theta)$  on  $\Theta$
- ◆ Prediction strategy  $h: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$
- ◆ A loss function  $\ell: \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

Given training data  $\mathcal{T}^m = \{(x^i, y^i) \mid i = 1, \dots, m\}$  define the Bayes risk of a strategy  $h$  by

$$R(h, \mathcal{T}^m) = \sum_{x,y,\theta} p(\mathcal{T}^m | \theta) p(x, y | \theta) p(\theta) \ell(y, h(x))$$

For 0-1 loss this leads to the predictor

$$h(x, \mathcal{T}^m) = \arg \max_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \sum_{\theta \in \Theta} p(\theta) p(\mathcal{T}^m | \theta) p(x, y | \theta)$$

which means to find the optimal predictor for a model mixture.

## 6.3 Bayesian risk minimisation

Related examples:

- ◆ AdaBoost,
- ◆ Neural networks with 2 layers,
- ◆ ...