

# Basics of Description Logic $\mathcal{ALC}$

Petr Křemen

November 30, 2017

## 1 Understanding $\mathcal{ALC}$

Consider the following  $\mathcal{ALC}$  theory  $\mathcal{K} = (\mathcal{T}, \{\})$ , where  $\mathcal{T}$  contains the following axioms:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Man} &\sqsubseteq \text{Person} \\ \text{Woman} &\sqsubseteq \text{Person} \sqcap \neg \text{Man} \\ \text{Father} &\equiv \text{Man} \sqcap \exists \text{hasChild} \cdot \text{Person} \\ \text{GrandFather} &\equiv \exists \text{hasChild} \cdot \exists \text{hasChild} \cdot \top \\ \text{Sister} &\equiv \text{Person} \sqcap \neg \text{Man} \sqcap \exists \text{hasSibling} \cdot \text{Person} \end{aligned}$$

**Ex. 1** — What is the meaning of these particular axioms? Do they reflect your understanding of reality? Formulate them in natural language.

**Ex. 2** — Rewrite the last axiom into the semantically equivalent FOPL formula.

**Ex. 3** — Consider the following interpretation  $\mathcal{I} = (\Delta^{\mathcal{I}}, \bullet^{\mathcal{I}})$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^{\mathcal{I}} &= \text{Person}^{\mathcal{I}} = \{B, A\} \\ \text{Man}^{\mathcal{I}} &= \{B\} \\ \text{Woman}^{\mathcal{I}} &= \{A\} \\ \text{Father}^{\mathcal{I}} &= \text{GrandFather}^{\mathcal{I}} = \{B\} \\ \text{hasChild}^{\mathcal{I}} &= \{(B, B)\} \\ \text{hasSibling}^{\mathcal{I}} &= \{\} \\ \text{Sister}^{\mathcal{I}} &= \{B\} \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

1. Is  $\mathcal{I}$  a model  $\mathcal{K}$ ? If yes, decide, whether  $\mathcal{I}$  reflects reality.

2. We know that  $\mathcal{ALC}$  has the *tree model property* and *finite model property*. In case  $\mathcal{I}$  is a model, is  $\mathcal{I}$  tree-shaped? If not, find a model that is tree-shaped.

**Ex. 4** — How does the situation change when we consider the same  $\mathcal{I}$ , except that  $\text{Sister}^{\mathcal{I}} = \{\}$ ?

**Ex. 5** — Using the vocabulary from  $\mathcal{K}$ , define the concept “A father having just sons.”

**Ex. 6** — Using the vocabulary from  $\mathcal{K}$ , define the concept “A man who has no brother, but at least one sister with more than one child.”

**Ex. 7** — During knowledge modeling, it is often necessary to specify:

**global domain and range** of given role, i.e. statement of the type “By *hasChild* we always connect a *Person* (domain) with another *Person* (range)”.

**local range** of given role, e.g. “Every father having only sons (domain) can be connected by *hasChild* (domain) just with a *Man* (range)”.

Show, in which way it is possible to model global domain and range of these roles in  $\mathcal{ALC}$ .

## 2 Using Protégé

1. Go through the Protégé Crash Course on the tutorial web pages.
2. Create a new ontology in Protégé 4 and insert there all the definitions from Section 1. Verify correctness of your solution of the previous task (e.g. in the DL query tab).