# Statistical Machine Learning (BE4M33SSU) Lecture 9.

Czech Technical University in Prague

- Hopfield networks: asynchronous dynamics and energy minimisation
- Hopfield networks: weight learning
- Graphical models and energy minimisation
- Submodular minimisation, equivalence to MinCut-MaxFlow



Hopfield (1982): Consider a fully connected network of n binary valued neurons

$$y_i = \operatorname{sign}\left(\sum_{j \neq i} w_{ij} \, y_j - b_i\right)$$

Assumptions:

- symmetric weights, i.e.  $w_{ij} = w_{ji}$ ,  $\forall i, j$ ,
- no neuron has a connection to itself, i.e  $w_{ii} = 0$ ,  $\forall i$ .

Asynchronous dynamics:

Only one neuron is updated at a time. E.g. by picking them at random or in some pre-specified order.

**Q:** Will the network forever cycle through its state space if started in some particular state?

**Energy:** Each state  $y \in \{-1,1\}^n$  of the network is characterised by a real number called energy

$$E(\boldsymbol{y}) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{ij} y_i y_j + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i y_i = -\frac{1}{2} \langle \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{W} \boldsymbol{y} \rangle + \langle \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{y} \rangle,$$

3/9

where W denotes the matrix of weights (symmetric, zero diagonal elements) and b denotes the vector of thresholds.

**Theorem:** A Hopfield network with n units and asynchronous dynamics, which starts from any given network state, eventually reaches a stable state at a local minimum of the energy function.

Proof: Consider the update of a neuron, assume it is unit k, i.e.  $y' = (y_1, \ldots, y'_k, \ldots, y_n)$ :

$$y'_k = \operatorname{sign}\left(\sum_{j \neq i} w_{ij} y_j - b_i\right) \neq y_k$$

Denoting the activation by  $a_k$ , we consequently have  $y'_k a_k > 0$  and  $y_k a_k < 0$ .

Considering all affected terms in the energy, we have



$$E(y) - E(y') = -(y_k - y'_k) \left[ \sum_{j=1}^n w_{kj} y_j - b_k \right] > 0$$

This shows that the energy is reduced each time the state of a unit is altered. The assertion follows, because the state space of the network is finite.  $\Box$ 

Hopfield networks can be used as auto-associative memory for storing binary patterns!

**Q:** Given a set of patterns  $y^{\ell}$ ,  $\ell = 1, ..., m$  which we want to store, how shall we choose the weights W and thresholds b?

A1: Hebbian learning:

$$w_{ij} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\ell=1}^m y_i^\ell y_j^\ell \ \text{for} \ i \neq j \ \text{and} \ b_i = -\frac{1}{m} \sum_{\ell=1}^m y_i^\ell$$

A2: Perceptron learning: cycle through  $\ell = 1, \ldots, m$  and  $k = 1, \ldots, n$ . If for some  $\ell$ , k

$$y_k^{\ell} \neq \operatorname{sign}\left(\sum_{j \neq k} w_{kj} \, y_j^{\ell} - b_k\right),$$

update  $w_{kj} \rightarrow w_{kj} + y_k^{\ell} y_j^{\ell}$  and  $b_k \rightarrow b_k - y_k^{\ell}$ .



How many binary patterns can be stored in a network with n units? On average 2n random patterns.

So far considered fix-points and learning conditions - local minima of the energy.

Critical questions:

- Are there polynomial time algorithms for computing global minima of the energy of a Hopfield network? No, the task is NP-complete in general.
- Are there learning algorithms s.t. the patterns are stored as global minima? No, not in general.

#### Structured output predictors

- Graph (V, E) and label alphabet K
- lacksim A labelling  $oldsymbol{y} \colon V o K$  assigns to each node  $i \in V$  a label  $y_i \in K$
- Measurements: a feature  $x_i$  for each node  $i \in V$
- Predictor

$$\boldsymbol{y}^* = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\boldsymbol{y}} \left[ \sum_{ij \in E} g_{ij}(y_i, y_j) + \sum_{i \in V} q_i(y_i, x_i) \right]$$

where  $g_{ij}$  and  $q_i$  are functions associated with the edges and nodes of the graph.

#### Remarks

- Such energy minimisation problems are also called (Min,+)-problems,
- The class of (Min,+)-problems is NP-complete (MaxClique)
- There are tractable subclasses of (Min,+)-problems.
  - (Min,+)-problems are solvable in polynomial time if the graph (V,E) is acyclic
  - (Min,+)-problems are solvable in polynomial time for submodular functions
- There are efficient approximation algorithms for (Min,+)-problems



A tractable subclass of (Min,+)-problems for |K| = 2

• w.l.o.g.  $K = \{0,1\}$ ,  $y_i = 0,1$  and  $g_{ij}(y_i, y_j) = \alpha_{ij}|y_i - y_j|$ 

$$\boldsymbol{y}^* = \underset{\boldsymbol{y}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \left[ \sum_{ij \in E} \alpha_{ij} |y_i - y_j| + \sum_{i \in V} q_i y_i \right]$$
$$= \underset{\boldsymbol{y}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \left[ \sum_{ij \in E} \alpha_{ij} |y_i - y_j| + \sum_{i \in V_+} q_i y_i + \sum_{i \in V_-} |q_i| (1 - y_i) \right]$$

where  $V_+ = \{i \in V \mid q_i \ge 0\}$ ,  $V_- = V \setminus V_+$ .

This is a MinCut-problem!





#### MinCut problems

- Let (V, E, w) be an undirected, weighted graph, where  $w \colon E \to \mathbb{R}$ .
- $s, t \in R$  two fixed vertices (called source and target)
- (s,t)-cut: Partition of vertices  $V = V_1 \cup V_2$  such that  $s \in V_1$ ,  $t \in V_2$
- Cost of an (s,t)-cut

$$C(V_1, V_2) = \sum_{i \in V_1} \sum_{j \in V_2} w_{ij}$$

• MinCut: Find an (s,t)-cut with minimal cost

Can be expressed as an integer optimisation task by assigning to each vertex  $i \in V$  a binary variable  $y_i = 0, 1$ 

Each MinCut-problem with non-negative edge weights is equivalent to a linear optimisation problem. Its dual is a **MaxFlow-problem** 



#### MaxFlow problems

- Let (V, E, w) be an undirected, weighted graph, where  $w \colon E \to \mathbb{R}_+$ .
- $s,t \in V$  two fixed vertices (called source and target). Fix an orientation for each edge.
- (s,t)-Flow: a map  $f: E \to \mathbb{R}$  with convention  $f_{ij} = -f_{ji}$  such that  $\forall i \neq s, t$

$$\sum_{j:(j,i)\in E} f_{ji} + \sum_{j:(i,j)\in E} f_{ij} = 0$$

- Feasible flow:  $0 \le f_{si} \le w_{si}$ ,  $0 \le f_{it} \le w_{it}$  and  $|f_{ij}| \le w_{ij}$ .
- Value of a feasible (s,t)-flow f:

$$V(f) = \sum_{i:(s,i)\in E} f_{si} = \sum_{j:(j,t)\in E} f_{jt}$$

- MaxFlow problem: find a feasible flow with maximal value.
- MaxFlow problems can be solved in polynomial time.

