

Faculty of Electrical Engineering Department of Cybernetics

Hidden Markov Models.

Petr Pošík

Czech Technical University in Prague Faculty of Electrical Engineering Dept. of Cybernetics





• Reasoning over Time or Space

• Markov models

• MC Example

Reasoning over Time or Space

we want to *reason about a sequence* of observations.

In areas like

- speech recognition,
- robot localization,
- medical monitoring,
- language modeling,
- DNA analysis,

· · · ,

distribution • PageRank

Prediction Stationary

HMM

• Joint

Summary

DNA analysis



• Reasoning over Time or Space

• Markov models

• MC Example

Reasoning over Time or Space

In areas like

...,

- speech recognition,
- robot localization,
- medical monitoring,
- language modeling,
- DNA analysis,
- distributionPageRank

Prediction Stationary

HMM

Joint

- we want to *reason about a sequence* of observations.
- We need to **introduce time (or space)** into our models:
 - A *static* world is modeled using a variable for each of its aspects which are of interest.
 - A *changing* world is modeled using these variables *at each point in time*. The world is viewed as a sequence of *time slices*.
 - Random variables form sequences in time or space.



• Reasoning over Time or Space

• Markov models

• MC Example

Reasoning over Time or Space

In areas like

. . . ,

- speech recognition,
- robot localization,
- medical monitoring,
- language modeling,
- DNA analysis,
- distributionPageRank

Prediction Stationary

HMM

Joint

Summary

- we want to *reason about a sequence* of observations.
- We need to **introduce time (or space)** into our models:
 - A *static* world is modeled using a variable for each of its aspects which are of interest.
 - A *changing* world is modeled using these variables *at each point in time*. The world is viewed as a sequence of *time slices*.
- Random variables form sequences in time or space.

Notation:

- X_t is the set of variables describing the world **state** at time *t*.
- X_a^b is the set of variables from X_a to X_b .
- E.g., X_1^t corresponds to variables X_1, \ldots, X_t .



• Reasoning over Time or Space

• Markov models

• MC Example

Reasoning over Time or Space

In areas like

. . . ,

- speech recognition,
- robot localization,
- medical monitoring,
- language modeling,
- DNA analysis,
- distributionPageRank

Prediction Stationary

HMM

Ioint

Summary

- we want to *reason about a sequence* of observations.
- We need to **introduce time (or space)** into our models:
 - A *static* world is modeled using a variable for each of its aspects which are of interest.
 - A *changing* world is modeled using these variables *at each point in time*. The world is viewed as a sequence of *time slices*.
- Random variables form sequences in time or space.

Notation:

- X_t is the set of variables describing the world **state** at time *t*.
- X_a^b is the set of variables from X_a to X_b .
- E.g., X_1^t corresponds to variables X_1, \ldots, X_t .

We need a way to specify joint distribution over a large number of random variables using assumptions suitable for the fields mentioned above.



Transition model

In general, it specifies the probability distribution over the current states given all the previous states:

 $P(X_t | X_0^{t-1})$



• Prediction

• Joint

Markov Models

Reasoning over

Time or Space

• MC Example

• Markov models

- Stationary distribution
- PageRank

HMM



Transition model

- In general, it specifies the probability distribution over the current states given all the previous states:
 - $P(X_t | X_0^{t-1})$



- Problem 1: X_0^{t-1} is unbounded in size as *t* increases.
- Solution: Markov assumption the current state depends on only a *finite fixed number* of previous states. Such processes are called Markov processes or Markov chains.

Markov Models

- Reasoning over Time or Space
- Markov models
- Joint
- MC Example
- Prediction
- Stationary distribution
- PageRank

HMM



Markov Models

Reasoning over

Time or Space

MC ExamplePredictionStationary

distributionPageRank

Joint

HMM

Summary

• Markov models

Markov models

Transition model

- In general, it specifies the probability distribution over the current states given all the previous states:
 - $P(X_t | X_0^{t-1})$



- Problem 1: X_0^{t-1} is unbounded in size as *t* increases.
- Solution: Markov assumption the current state depends on only a *finite fixed number* of previous states. Such processes are called Markov processes or Markov chains.
- **First-order Markov process:**

$$P(X_t | X_0^{t-1}) = P(X_t | X_{t-1})$$

Second-order Markov process:

$$P(X_t | X_0^{t-1}) = P(X_t | X_{t-1}^{t-2})$$







Markov Models

Reasoning over

Time or Space

MC ExamplePredictionStationary

distributionPageRank

Ioint

HMM

Summary

• Markov models

Markov models

Transition model

- In general, it specifies the probability distribution over the current states given all the previous states:
 - $P(X_t | X_0^{t-1})$



- Problem 1: X_0^{t-1} is unbounded in size as *t* increases.
- Solution: Markov assumption the current state depends on only a *finite fixed number* of previous states. Such processes are called Markov processes or Markov chains.
- First-order Markov process:

$$P(X_t | X_0^{t-1}) = P(X_t | X_{t-1})$$



Second-order Markov process:

$$P(X_t | X_0^{t-1}) = P(X_t | X_{t-1}^{t-2})$$



- Problem 2: Even with Markov assumption, there are infinitely many values of t. Do we have to specify a different distribution in each time step?
- Solution: assume a stationary process, i.e. the transition model does not change over time:

$$P(X_t|X_{t-k}^{t-1}) = P(X_{t'}|X_{t'-k}^{t'-1})$$



Joint distribution of a Markov model

Assuming a stationary first-order Markov chain,



• Reasoning over Time or Space

- Markov models
- Joint
- MC Example
- Prediction
- Stationary distribution
- PageRank

HMM



the MC joint distribution is factorized as

$$P(X_0^T) = P(X_0) \prod_{t=1}^T P(X_t | X_{t-1}).$$



Markov Models

Reasoning over
Time or Space
Markov models

• MC Example

Prediction
Stationary distribution
PageRank

Joint distribution of a Markov model

Assuming a stationary first-order Markov chain,



the MC joint distribution is factorized as



HMM

Joint

Summary

____ This factorization is possible due to the following assumptions:

 $X_t \perp \!\!\!\perp X_0^{t-2} | X_{t-1}$

- Past X are conditionally independent of future X given present X.
- In many cases, these assumptions are reasonable.
- They simplify things a lot: we can do reasoning in *polynomial time and space*!



Markov Models

Reasoning over
Time or Space
Markov models

• MC Example

Prediction
Stationary distribution
PageRank

Joint

HMM

Summary

Joint distribution of a Markov model

Assuming a stationary first-order Markov chain,



the MC joint distribution is factorized as

 $P(X_0^T) = P(X_0) \prod_{t=1}^T P(X_t | X_{t-1}).$

____ This factorization is possible due to the following assumptions:

 $X_t \bot\!\!\!\perp X_0^{t-2} | X_{t-1}$

- Past X are conditionally independent of future X given present X.
- In many cases, these assumptions are reasonable.
- They simplify things a lot: we can do reasoning in *polynomial time and space*!

Just a *growing* Bayesian network with a very simple structure.

MC Example

- States: $X = \{rain, sun\} = \{r, s\}$
- Initial distribution: sun 100%
- Transition model: $P(X_t|X_{t-1})$

As a conditional prob. table:

X_{t-1}	X_t	$P(X_t X_{t-1})$
sun	sun	0.9
sun	rain	0.1
rain	sun	0.3
rain	rain	0.7

As a state transition diagram (automaton):



As a state trellis:



MC Example

- States: $X = \{rain, sun\} = \{r, s\}$
- Initial distribution: sun 100%
- Transition model: $P(X_t|X_{t-1})$

As a	conditional	prob.	table:

X_{t-1}	X_t	$P(X_t X_{t-1})$
sun	sun	0.9
sun	rain	0.1
rain	sun	0.3
rain	rain	0.7

As a state transition diagram (automaton):





What is the weather distribution after one step, i.e. $P(X_1)$ given $P(X_0 = s) = 1$?

$$P(X_1 = s) = P(X_1 = s | X_0 = s) P(X_0 = s) + P(X_1 = s | X_0 = r) P(X_0 = r) =$$

= $\sum_{x_0} P(X_1 = s | x_0) P(x_0) =$
= $0.9 \cdot 1 + 0.3 \cdot 0 = 0.9$



A **mini-forward** algorithm:

What is $P(X_t)$ on some day t? $P(X_0)$ and $P(X_t|X_{t-1})$ is known.

Markov Models

- Reasoning over Time or Space
- Markov models
- Joint
- MC Example
- Prediction
- Stationary distribution
- PageRank

HMM

Summary

 $P(X_t) = \sum_{x_{t-1}} P(X_t, x_{t-1}) =$ $= \sum_{x_{t-1}} \underbrace{P(X_t | x_{t-1})}_{\text{Step forward Recursion}} \underbrace{P(x_{t-1})}_{\text{Recursion}}$

• $P(X_t | x_{t-1})$ is known from the transition model.

 $P(x_{t-1})$ is either known from $P(X_0)$ or from previous step of forward simulation.



A **mini-forward** algorithm:

What is $P(X_t)$ on some day t? $P(X_0)$ and $P(X_t|X_{t-1})$ is known.

- Markov models
- Joint
- MC Example

• Prediction

 Stationary distribution

PageRank

HMM

• $P(X_t|x_{t-1})$ is known from the transition model.

 $= \sum_{x_{t-1}} \underbrace{P(X_t | x_{t-1})}_{\text{Step forward Recursion}} \underbrace{P(x_{t-1})}_{\text{Recursion}}$

 $P(X_t) = \sum_{x_{t-1}} P(X_t, x_{t-1}) =$

Summary

 $P(x_{t-1})$ is either known from $P(X_0)$ or from previous step of forward simulation.

Example run for our example starting from *sun*:

t	$P(X_t = s)$	$P(X_t = r)$
0	1	0
1	0.90	0.10
2	0.84	0.16
3	0.804	0.196
•	•	
•	•	•
∞	0.75	0.25

starting from *rain*:

t	$P(X_t = s)$	$P(X_t = r)$
0	0	1
1	0.3	0.7
2	0.48	0.52
3	0.588	0.412
•	•	•
•	•	•
∞	0.75	0.25



A **mini-forward** algorithm:

What is $P(X_t)$ on some day t? $P(X_0)$ and $P(X_t|X_{t-1})$ is known.

- Markov models
- Joint
- MC Example

• Prediction

- Stationary distribution
- PageRank
- HMM

 $P(X_t | x_{t-1})$ is known from the transition model.

 $= \sum_{x_{t-1}} \underbrace{P(X_t | x_{t-1})}_{\text{Step forward Recursion}} \underbrace{P(x_{t-1})}_{\text{Recursion}}$

 $P(X_t) = \sum_{x_{t-1}} P(X_t, x_{t-1}) =$

1 11/11/1

Summary

 $P(x_{t-1})$ is either known from $P(X_0)$ or from previous step of forward simulation.

Example run for our example starting from *sun*:

starting from *rain*:

t	$P(X_t = s)$	$P(X_t = r)$	t	$P(X_t = s)$	$P(X_t = r)$
0	1	0	0	0	1
1	0.90	0.10	1	0.3	0.7
2	0.84	0.16	2	0.48	0.52
3	0.804	0.196	3	0.588	0.412
•	•	•	•	•	•
∞	0.75	0.25	∞	0.75	0.25

In both cases we end up in the stationary distribution of the MC.



Stationary distribution

Informally, for most chains:

- Influence of initial distribution decreases with time.
- The limiting distribution is independent of the initial one.
- The limiting distribution $P_{\infty}(X)$ is called **stationary distribution** and it satisfies

$$P_{\infty}(X) = P_{\infty+1}(X) = \sum_{x} P(X|x) P_{\infty}(x)$$

Markov Models

- Reasoning over Time or Space
- Markov models
- Joint
- MC Example
- Prediction
- Stationary distribution
- PageRank

HMM



• Reasoning over Time or Space

• Markov models

• MC Example Prediction • Stationary distribution

Stationary distribution

Informally, for most chains:

- Influence of initial distribution decreases with time.
- The limiting distribution is independent of the initial one.
- The limiting distribution $P_{\infty}(X)$ is called **stationary distribution** and it satisfies

$$P_{\infty}(X) = P_{\infty+1}(X) = \sum_{x} P(X|x) P_{\infty}(x)$$

• PageRank

Joint

HMM

Summary

rmally:

- MC is called **regular** if there is a finite positive integer *m* such that after *m* time-steps, every state has a nonzero chance of being occupied, no matter what the initial state is.
 - For a regular MC, a unique stationary distribution exists.



Stationary distribution

Informally, for most chains:

- Influence of initial distribution decreases with time.
- The limiting distribution is independent of the initial one.
- The limiting distribution $P_{\infty}(X)$ is called **stationary distribution** and it satisfies

$$P_{\infty}(X) = P_{\infty+1}(X) = \sum_{x} P(X|x) P_{\infty}(x)$$

More formally:

- MC is called regular if there is a finite positive integer *m* such that after *m* time-steps, every state has a nonzero chance of being occupied, no matter what the initial state is.
 - For a regular MC, a unique stationary distribution exists.

Stationary distribution for the weather example:

$$P_{\infty}(s) = P(s|s)P_{\infty}(s) + P(s|r)P_{\infty}(r)$$

$$P_{\infty}(r) = P(r|s)P_{\infty}(s) + P(r|r)P_{\infty}(r)$$

$$P_{\infty}(s) = 0.9P_{\infty}(s) + 0.3P_{\infty}(r)$$

$$P_{\infty}(r) = 0.1P_{\infty}(s) + 0.7P_{\infty}(r)$$

$$P_{\infty}(s) = 3P_{\infty}(r)$$

$$P_{\infty}(r) = \frac{1}{3}P_{\infty}(s)$$

Markov Models

- Reasoning over Time or Space
- Markov models
- Joint
- MC Example
- Prediction
- Stationary distribution
- PageRank

HMM



Stationary distribution

Informally, for most chains:

- Influence of initial distribution decreases with time.
- The limiting distribution is independent of the initial one.
- The limiting distribution $P_{\infty}(X)$ is called **stationary distribution** and it satisfies

$$P_{\infty}(X) = P_{\infty+1}(X) = \sum_{x} P(X|x) P_{\infty}(x)$$

More formally:

- MC is called regular if there is a finite positive integer *m* such that after *m* time-steps, every state has a nonzero chance of being occupied, no matter what the initial state is.
 - For a regular MC, a unique stationary distribution exists.

Stationary distribution for the weather example:

$$P_{\infty}(s) = P(s|s)P_{\infty}(s) + P(s|r)P_{\infty}(r)$$

$$P_{\infty}(r) = P(r|s)P_{\infty}(s) + P(r|r)P_{\infty}(r)$$

$$P_{\infty}(s) = 0.9P_{\infty}(s) + 0.3P_{\infty}(r)$$

$$P_{\infty}(r) = 0.1P_{\infty}(s) + 0.7P_{\infty}(r)$$

$$P_{\infty}(s) = 3P_{\infty}(r)$$

$$P_{\infty}(r) = \frac{1}{3}P_{\infty}(s)$$

Two equations saying the same thing. But we know that $P_{\infty}(s) + P_{\infty}(r) = 1$, thus $P_{\infty}(s) = 0.75$ and $P_{\infty}(r) = 0.25$

Time or Space Markov models

Markov Models

• Reasoning over

- Joint
- MC Example
- Prediction
- Stationary distribution
- PageRank

HMM



Google PageRank

- The most famous and successful application of stationary distribution.
- Problem: How to order web pages mentioning the query phrases? How to compute relevance/importance of the result?
- Idea: Good pages are referenced more often; a random surfer spends more time on highly reachable pages.
- Each web page is a state.
- Random surfer clicks on a randomly chosen link on a web page, but with a small probability goes on a random page.
- This defines a MC. Its stationary distribution gives the importance of individual pages.
 - In 1997, this was revolutionary and Google quickly surpassed the other search engines (Altavista, Yahoo, ...).
- Nowadays, all search engines use link analysis along with many other factors (rank getting less important over time).

- Markov models
- Joint
- MC Example
- Prediction
- Stationary
- distribution
- PageRank
- HMM



Hidden Markov Models



HMM

- MC to HMM
- Hidden MM
- HMM Examples
- W-U Example
- HMM tasks
- Filtering
- Online updates
- Forward algorithm
- Umbrella example
- Prediction
- Model evaluation
- Smoothing
- Umbrella smooth.
- Forward-backward
- Most likely seq.
- Viterbi

Summary

From Markov Chains to Hidden Markov Models

- MCs are not that useful in practice. They assume all the state variables are observable.
- In real world, some variables are observable, some are not (they are hidden).
- At any time slice *t*, the world is described by (X_t, E_t) where
 - X_t are the hidden state variables, and
 - \bullet *E*_t are the observable variables (evidence, effects).
- In general, the probability distribution over possible states given the past states is

 $P(X_t, E_t | X_0^{t-1}, E_1^{t-1})$

We assume that past observations have no effect on the current state and observation.
 If we furthermore take the first-order Markov assumption, then

$$P(X_t, E_t | X_0^{t-1}, E_1^{t-1}) = P(X_t, E_t | X_{t-1})$$

If we furthermore assume that E_t is independent of X_{t-1} given X_t , then

$$P(X_t, E_t | X_{t-1}) = P(X_t | X_{t-1}) P(E_t | X_t)$$





Hidden Markov Model

HMM is defined by

- the initial state distribution $P(X_0)$,
- the transition model $P(X_t|X_{t-1})$, and
- the emission (sensor) model $P(E_t|X_t)$.
- It defines the following factorization of the joint distribution

$$P(X_0^T, E_1^T) = \underbrace{P(X_0)}_{\text{Init. state}} \prod_{t=1}^T \underbrace{P(X_t | X_{t-1})}_{\text{Transition model Sensor model}} \underbrace{P(E_t | X_t)}_{\text{Sensor model}}$$

Markov Models

HMM

- MC to HMM
- Hidden MM
- HMM Examples
- W-U Example
- HMM tasks
- Filtering
- Online updates
- Forward algorithm
- Umbrella example
- Prediction
- Model evaluation
- Smoothing
- Umbrella smooth.
- Forward-backward
- Most likely seq.
- Viterbi



MC to HMMHidden MM

HMM Examples W-U Example HMM tasks Filtering

HMM

Hidden Markov Model

HMM is defined by

- the initial state distribution $P(X_0)$,
- the transition model $P(X_t|X_{t-1})$, and
- the emission (sensor) model $P(E_t|X_t)$.
- It defines the following factorization of the joint distribution

. . .

$$P(X_0^T, E_1^T) = \underbrace{P(X_0)}_{\text{Init. state}} \prod_{t=1}^T \underbrace{P(X_t | X_{t-1})}_{\text{Transition model Sensor model}} \underbrace{P(E_t | X_t)}_{\text{Sensor model}}$$

• Forward algorithm

• Online updates

- Umbrella example
- Prediction
- Model evaluation
- Smoothing
- Umbrella smooth.
- Forward-backward
- Most likely seq.
- Viterbi

Summary

Independence assumptions:

 $\begin{array}{c} X_{2} \perp \!\!\!\perp X_{0}, E_{1} \mid \! X_{1} \\ E_{2} \perp \!\!\!\perp X_{0}, X_{1}, E_{1} \mid \!\!\! X_{2} \\ X_{3} \perp \!\!\!\perp X_{0}, X_{1}, E_{1}, E_{2} \mid \!\!\! X_{2} \\ E_{3} \perp \!\!\!\perp X_{0}, X_{1}, E_{1}, X_{2}, E_{2} \mid \!\!\! X_{3} \end{array}$





HMM Examples

- Speech recognition: *E* acoustic signals, *X* phonemes
- Machine translation: E words in source lang., X translation options
- Handwriting recognition: *E* pen movements, *X* (parts of) characters
 - EKG and EEG analysis: *E* signals, *X* signal characteristics
- DNA sequence analysis:
 - *E* responses from molecular markers, $X = \{A, C, G, T\}$
 - $E = \{A, C, G, T\}, X$ subsequences with interesting interpretations
- Robot tracking: *E* sensor measurements, *X* positions on a map
- Recognition in images with special arrangement, e.g. car registration labels: *E* images of columns of the registration label, *X* characters forming the label

Markov Models

HMM

- MC to HMM
- Hidden MM
- HMM Examples
- W-U Example
- HMM tasks
- Filtering
- Online updates
- Forward algorithm
- Umbrella example
- Prediction
- Model evaluation
- Smoothing
- Umbrella smooth.
- Forward-backward
- Most likely seq.
- Viterbi



Weather-Umbrella Domain

Suppose you are in a situation with no chance of learning what the weather is today.

- You may be a hard working Ph.D. student locked in your no-windows lab for several days.
- Or you may be a soldier guarding a military base hidden a few hunderd meters underneath the Earth surface.

The only indication of the weather outside is your boss (or supervisor) coming to his office each day, and bringing and umbrella or not.

Markov Models

HMM

- MC to HMM
- Hidden MM
- HMM Examples
- W-U Example
- HMM tasks
- Filtering
- Online updates
- Forward algorithm
- Umbrella example
- Prediction
- Model evaluation
- Smoothing
- Umbrella smooth.
- Forward-backward
- Most likely seq.
- Viterbi



• MC to HMM

Hidden MMHMM Examples

• W-U Example

• Online updates

• Forward algorithm

• Umbrella example

Model evaluation

• Umbrella smooth.

Forward-backwardMost likely seq.

HMM tasksFiltering

Prediction

• Smoothing

• Viterbi

Summary

HMM

Weather-Umbrella Domain

Suppose you are in a situation with no chance of learning what the weather is today.

- You may be a hard working Ph.D. student locked in your no-windows lab for several days.
- Or you may be a soldier guarding a military base hidden a few hunderd meters underneath the Earth surface.

The only indication of the weather outside is your boss (or supervisor) coming to his office each day, and bringing and umbrella or not.

Random variables:

- **R** $_t$: Is it raining on day *t*?
- U_t : Did your boss bring an umbrella?



Emission model:

R_t	U_t	$P(U_t R_t)$
t	t	0.9
t	f	0.1
f	t	0.2
f	f	0.8

Transition model:

R_{t-1}	R_t	$P(R_t R_{t-1})$
t	t	0.7
t	f	0.3
f	t	0.3
f	f	0.7

ables:



HMM tasks

Filtering:

- computing the posterior distribution over *the current state* given all the previous evidence, i.e.
 - $P(X_t | e_1^t).$
- AKA state estimation, or tracking.
- *Forward* algorithm.

- Markov Models HMM
- MC to HMM
- Hidden MM
- HMM Examples
- W-U Example

• HMM tasks

- Filtering
- Online updates
- Forward algorithm
- Umbrella example
- Prediction
- Model evaluation
- Smoothing
- Umbrella smooth.
- Forward-backward
- Most likely seq.
- Viterbi



HMM tasks

Filtering:

- computing the posterior distribution over *the current state* given all the previous evidence, i.e.
 - $P(X_t | e_1^t).$
- AKA state estimation, or tracking.
- *Forward* algorithm.

Prediction:

- computing the posterior distribution over the future state given all the previous evidence, i.e.
- $P(X_{t+k}|e_1^t) \text{ for some } k > 0.$
- The same *"mini-forward"* algorithm as in case of Markov Chain.

- Markov Models HMM
- MC to HMM
- Hidden MM
- HMM Examples
- W-U Example
- HMM tasks
- Filtering
- Online updates
- Forward algorithm
- Umbrella example
- Prediction
- Model evaluation
- Smoothing
- Umbrella smooth.
- Forward-backward
- Most likely seq.
- Viterbi



• MC to HMM

Hidden MMHMM Examples

W-U ExampleHMM tasksFiltering

Online updatesForward algorithm

Prediction

Smoothing

• Umbrella example

Model evaluation

Umbrella smooth. Forward-backward Most likely seq.

HMM

HMM tasks

Filtering:

- computing the posterior distribution over *the current state* given all the previous evidence, i.e.
- $P(X_t | e_1^t).$
- AKA state estimation, or tracking.
- *Forward* algorithm.

Prediction:

- computing the posterior distribution over the future state given all the previous evidence, i.e.
- $P(X_{t+k}|e_1^t) \text{ for some } k > 0.$
- The same *"mini-forward"* algorithm as in case of Markov Chain.

Smoothing:

Summary

• Viterbi

- computing the posterior distribution over the past state given all the evidence, i.e.
 P(X_k|e^t₁) for some k ∈ (0, t)
- It estimates the state better than filtering because more evidence is available.
- *Forward-backward* algorithm.



HMM tasks (cont.)

Recognition or evaluation of statistical model:

 Compute the likelihood of an HMM, i.e. the probability of observing the data given the HMM parameters,

 $P(e_1^t|\theta).$

- If several HMMs are given, the most likely model can be chosen (as a class label).
- Uses *forward* algorithm.

Markov Models

HMM

- MC to HMM
- Hidden MM
- HMM Examples
- W-U Example

• HMM tasks

- Filtering
- Online updates
- Forward algorithm
- Umbrella example
- Prediction
- Model evaluation
- Smoothing
- Umbrella smooth.
- Forward-backward
- Most likely seq.
- Viterbi



• MC to HMM

Hidden MMHMM Examples

HMM

HMM tasks (cont.)

Recognition or evaluation of statistical model:

- Compute the likelihood of an HMM, i.e. the probability of observing the data given the HMM parameters,
 - $P(e_1^t|\theta).$
- If several HMMs are given, the most likely model can be chosen (as a class label).
- Uses *forward* algorithm.

Most likely explanation:

- given a sequence of observations, find the sequence of states that has most likely generated those observations, i.e.
- arg max $P(x_1^t|e_1^t)$.
- Viterbi algorithm (dynamic programming).
- Useful in speech recognition, in reconstruction of bit strings transmitted over a noisy channel, etc.

• Filtering

W-U ExampleHMM tasks

- Online updates
- Forward algorithm
- Umbrella example
- Prediction
- Model evaluation
- Smoothing
- Umbrella smooth.
- Forward-backward
- Most likely seq.
- Viterbi



HMM tasks (cont.)

Recognition or evaluation of statistical model:

- Compute the likelihood of an HMM, i.e. the probability of observing the data given the HMM parameters,
 - $P(e_1^t|\theta).$
- If several HMMs are given, the most likely model can be chosen (as a class label).
- Uses *forward* algorithm.

Most likely explanation:

- given a sequence of observations, find the sequence of states that has most likely generated those observations, i.e.
- arg max $P(x_1^t | e_1^t)$.
- Viterbi algorithm (dynamic programming).
- Useful in speech recognition, in reconstruction of bit strings transmitted over a noisy channel, etc.

HMM Learning:

- Given the HMM structure, learn the transition and sensor models from observations.
- **Baum**-Welch algorithm, an instance of EM algorithm.
- Requires smoothing, learning with filtering can fail to converge correctly.

Markov Models

HMM

- MC to HMM
- Hidden MM
- HMM Examples
- W-U Example

• HMM tasks

- Filtering
- Online updates
- Forward algorithm
- Umbrella example
- Prediction
- Model evaluation
- Smoothing
- Umbrella smooth.
- Forward-backward
- Most likely seq.
- Viterbi



Filtering

Recursive estimation:

Markov Models

HMM

- MC to HMM
- Hidden MM
- HMM Examples
- W-U Example
- HMM tasks

• Filtering

- Online updates
- Forward algorithm
- Umbrella example
- Prediction
- Model evaluation
- Smoothing
- Umbrella smooth.
- Forward-backward
- Most likely seq.
- Viterbi

Summary

- Any useful filtering algorithm must *maintain and update* a current state estimate (as opposed to estimating the current state from the whole evidence sequence each time), i.e.
- we want find a function *u* such that

 $P(X_t|e_1^t) = u(P(X_{t-1}|e_1^{t-1}), e_t)$



Filtering

Recursive estimation:

- Any useful filtering algorithm must *maintain and update* a current state estimate (as opposed to estimating the current state from the whole evidence sequence each time), i.e.
 - we want find a function *u* such that

 $P(X_t|e_1^t) = u(P(X_{t-1}|e_1^{t-1}), e_t)$

- This process will have 2 parts:
 - 1. Predict the current state at t from the filtered estimate of state at t 1.
 - 2. Update the prediction with new evidence at *t*.

Markov Models

HMM

- MC to HMM
- Hidden MM
- HMM Examples
- W-U Example
- HMM tasks

• Filtering

- Online updates
- Forward algorithm
- Umbrella example
- Prediction
- Model evaluation
- Smoothing
- Umbrella smooth.
- Forward-backward
- Most likely seq.
- Viterbi



Filtering

Recursive estimation:

- Any useful filtering algorithm must *maintain and update* a current state estimate (as opposed to estimating the current state from the whole evidence sequence each time), i.e.
- we want find a function *u* such that

This process will have 2 parts:

 $P(X_t|e_1^t) = u(P(X_{t-1}|e_1^{t-1}), e_t)$

• Filtering

Markov Models

• MC to HMM

Hidden MMHMM Examples

W-U ExampleHMM tasks

HMM

- Online updates
- Forward algorithm
- Umbrella example
- Prediction
- Model evaluation
- Smoothing
- Umbrella smooth.
- Forward-backward
- Most likely seq.
- Viterbi

Summary

where

- α is a normalization constant,
- $P(e_t|X_t)$ is the update by evidence (known from sensor model), and
- $P(X_t | e_1^{t-1})$ is the 1-step prediction. How to compute it?

2. Update the prediction with new evidence at t.

 $P(X_t|e_1^t) = P(X_t|e_1^{t-1}, e_t) =$ (split the evidence sequence) $= \alpha P(e_t|X_t, e_1^{t-1}) P(X_t|e_1^{t-1}) =$ (from Bayes rule) $= \alpha P(e_t|X_t) P(X_t|e_1^{t-1})$ (using Markov assumption)

Predict the current state at t from the filtered estimate of state at t - 1.



Filtering (cont.)

1-step prediction:

Markov Models

HMM

- MC to HMM
- Hidden MM
- HMM Examples
- W-U Example
- HMM tasks
- Filtering
- Online updates
- Forward algorithm
- Umbrella example
- Prediction
- Model evaluation
- Smoothing
- Umbrella smooth.
- Forward-backward
- Most likely seq.
- Viterbi

Summary

$$P(X_{t}|e_{1}^{t-1}) = \sum_{x_{t-1}} P(X_{t}, x_{t-1}|e_{1}^{t-1}) =$$
(as a sum over previous states)
$$= \sum_{x_{t-1}} P(X_{t}|x_{t-1}, e_{1}^{t-1})P(x_{t-1}|e_{1}^{t-1}) =$$
(introduce conditioning on previous state)
$$= \sum_{x_{t-1}} P(X_{t}|x_{t-1})P(x_{t-1}|e_{1}^{t-1}),$$
(using Markov assumption)

where

- $P(X_t|x_{t-1})$ is known from transition model, and
- $P(x_{t-1}|e_1^{t-1})$ is the filtered estimate at previous step.



Filtering (cont.)

1-step prediction:

Markov Models

HMM

- MC to HMM
- Hidden MM
- HMM Examples
- W-U Example
- HMM tasks

• Filtering

- Online updates
- Forward algorithm
- Umbrella example
- Prediction
- Model evaluation
- Smoothing
- Umbrella smooth.
- Forward-backward
- Most likely seq.
- Viterbi

Summary

$$\begin{split} P(X_t | e_1^{t-1}) &= \sum_{x_{t-1}} P(X_t, x_{t-1} | e_1^{t-1}) = & \text{(as a sum over previous states)} \\ &= \sum_{x_{t-1}} P(X_t | x_{t-1}, e_1^{t-1}) P(x_{t-1} | e_1^{t-1}) = & \text{(introduce conditioning on previous state)} \\ &= \sum_{x_{t-1}} P(X_t | x_{t-1}) P(x_{t-1} | e_1^{t-1}), & \text{(using Markov assumption)} \end{split}$$

where

 $P(X_t|x_{t-1})$ is known from transition model, and $P(x_{t-1}|e_1^{t-1})$ is the filtered estimate at previous step.

All together:

$$\underbrace{P(X_t|e_1^t)}_{\text{new estimate}} = \alpha \underbrace{P(e_t|X_t)}_{\text{sensor model}} \sum_{x_{t-1}} \underbrace{P(X_t|x_{t-1})}_{\text{transition model}} \underbrace{P(x_{t-1}|e_1^{t-1})}_{\text{previous estimate}}$$

new estimate

transition model previous estimate



Online belief updates

new estimate

Markov Models

HMM

- MC to HMM
- Hidden MM
- HMM Examples
- W-U Example
- HMM tasks
- Filtering
- Online updates
- Forward algorithm
- Umbrella example
- Prediction
- Model evaluation
- Smoothing
- Umbrella smooth.
- Forward-backward
- Most likely seq.
- Viterbi

Summary

At every moment, we have a belief distribution over the states, B(X).

 $\underbrace{P(X_t|e_1^t)}_{\text{new estimate}} = \alpha \underbrace{P(e_t|X_t)}_{\text{sensor model}} \sum_{x_{t-1}} \underbrace{P(X_t|x_{t-1})}_{\text{transition model previous estimate}} \underbrace{P(x_{t-1}|e_1^{t-1})}_{\text{previous estimate}}$

- Initially, it is our prior distribution $B(X) = P(X_0)$.
- The above update equation may be split into 2 parts:
 - 1. Update for time step:

 $B(X) \leftarrow \sum_{x'} P(X|x') \cdot B(X)$

2. Update for a new evidence:

 $B(X) \leftarrow \alpha P(e|X) \cdot B(X),$

where α is a normalization constant.

- If you update for time several times without evidence, it is a prediction several steps ahead.
- If you update for evidence several times without a time step, you incorporate multiple measurements.
- The *forward* algorithm does both updates at once and *does not normalize*!



Forward algorithm

new estimate

 $f_t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P(X_t | e_1^t).$

Markov Models

HMM

- MC to HMM
- Hidden MM
- HMM Examples
- W-U Example
- HMM tasks
- Filtering
- Online updates
- Forward algorithm
- Umbrella example
- Prediction
- Model evaluation
- Smoothing
- Umbrella smooth.
- Forward-backward
- Most likely seq.
- Viterbi

Summary

where

Then

i.e.

the FORWARD function implements the update equation above (without the normalization), and

 $\underbrace{P(X_t|e_1^t)}_{t=1} = \alpha \underbrace{P(e_t|X_t)}_{x_{t-1}} \underbrace{\sum_{x_{t-1}}}_{x_{t-1}} \underbrace{P(X_t|x_{t-1})}_{t=1} \underbrace{P(x_{t-1}|e_1^{t-1})}_{t=1}$

Forward message: a filtered estimate of state at time *t* given the evidence e_1^t , i.e.

sensor model

transition model previous estimate

• the recursion is initialized with $f_0 = P(X_0)$.

$$f_t = \alpha P(e_t | X_t) \sum_{x_{t-1}} P(X_t | x_{t-1}) f_{t-1},$$

 $f_t = \alpha \cdot \text{FORWARD}(f_{t-1}, e_t)$

Artificial Intelligence – 20 / 34

Day 0:

• No observations, just prior belief: $P(R_0) = (0.5, 0.5)$.

Day 0:

No observations, just prior belief: $P(R_0) = (0.5, 0.5)$.

Day 1: 1st observation $U_1 = true$

Prediction: $P(R_1) =$

Day 0:

• No observations, just prior belief: $P(R_0) = (0.5, 0.5)$.

Day 1: 1st observation $U_1 = true$

Prediction:
$$P(R_1) = \sum_{r_0} P(R_1|r_0)P(r_0) = (0.7, 0.3) \cdot 0.5 + (0.7, 0.3) \cdot 0.5 = (0.5, 0.5)$$

Day 0:

• No observations, just prior belief: $P(R_0) = (0.5, 0.5)$.

Day 1: 1st observation $U_1 = true$

- Prediction: $P(R_1) = \sum_{r_0} P(R_1|r_0)P(r_0) = (0.7, 0.3) \cdot 0.5 + (0.7, 0.3) \cdot 0.5 = (0.5, 0.5)$
- Update by evidence and normalize: $P(R_1|u_1) =$

Day 0:

• No observations, just prior belief: $P(R_0) = (0.5, 0.5)$.

Day 1: 1st observation $U_1 = true$

- Prediction: $P(R_1) = \sum_{r_0} P(R_1|r_0)P(r_0) = (0.7, 0.3) \cdot 0.5 + (0.7, 0.3) \cdot 0.5 = (0.5, 0.5)$
- Update by evidence and normalize: $P(R_1|u_1) = \alpha P(u_1|R_1)P(R_1) = \alpha(0.9, 0.2) \cdot (0.5, 0.5) = \alpha(0.45, 0.1) = (0.818, 0.182)$

Day 0:

• No observations, just prior belief: $P(R_0) = (0.5, 0.5)$.

Day 1: 1st observation $U_1 = true$

- Prediction: $P(R_1) = \sum_{r_0} P(R_1|r_0)P(r_0) = (0.7, 0.3) \cdot 0.5 + (0.7, 0.3) \cdot 0.5 = (0.5, 0.5)$
- Update by evidence and normalize: $P(R_1|u_1) = \alpha P(u_1|R_1)P(R_1) = \alpha(0.9, 0.2) \cdot (0.5, 0.5) = \alpha(0.45, 0.1) = (0.818, 0.182)$

Day 2: 2nd observation $U_2 = true$

Prediction: $P(R_2|u_1) = \sum_{r_1} P(R_2|r_1)P(r_1|u_1) = (0.7, 0.3) \cdot 0.818 + (0.3, 0.7) \cdot 0.182 = (0.627, 0.373)$

Day 0:

• No observations, just prior belief: $P(R_0) = (0.5, 0.5)$.

Day 1: 1st observation $U_1 = true$

- Prediction: $P(R_1) = \sum_{r_0} P(R_1|r_0)P(r_0) = (0.7, 0.3) \cdot 0.5 + (0.7, 0.3) \cdot 0.5 = (0.5, 0.5)$
- Update by evidence and normalize: $P(R_1|u_1) = \alpha P(u_1|R_1)P(R_1) = \alpha(0.9, 0.2) \cdot (0.5, 0.5) = \alpha(0.45, 0.1) = (0.818, 0.182)$

Day 2: 2nd observation $U_2 = true$

- Prediction: $P(R_2|u_1) = \sum_{r_1} P(R_2|r_1)P(r_1|u_1) = (0.7, 0.3) \cdot 0.818 + (0.3, 0.7) \cdot 0.182 = (0.627, 0.373)$
- Update by evidence and normalize: $P(R_2|u_1, u_2) = \alpha P(u_2|R_2)P(R_2|u_1) = \alpha(0.9, 0.2) \cdot (0.627, 0.373) = (0.883, 0.117)$

Day 0:

• No observations, just prior belief: $P(R_0) = (0.5, 0.5)$.

Day 1: 1st observation $U_1 = true$

- Prediction: $P(R_1) = \sum_{r_0} P(R_1|r_0)P(r_0) = (0.7, 0.3) \cdot 0.5 + (0.7, 0.3) \cdot 0.5 = (0.5, 0.5)$
- Update by evidence and normalize: $P(R_1|u_1) = \alpha P(u_1|R_1)P(R_1) = \alpha(0.9, 0.2) \cdot (0.5, 0.5) = \alpha(0.45, 0.1) = (0.818, 0.182)$

Day 2: 2nd observation $U_2 = true$

- Prediction: $P(R_2|u_1) = \sum_{r_1} P(R_2|r_1)P(r_1|u_1) = (0.7, 0.3) \cdot 0.818 + (0.3, 0.7) \cdot 0.182 = (0.627, 0.373)$
- Update by evidence and normalize: $P(R_2|u_1, u_2) = \alpha P(u_2|R_2)P(R_2|u_1) = \alpha(0.9, 0.2) \cdot (0.627, 0.373) = (0.883, 0.117)$

Probability of rain increased, because rain tends to persist.



- Filtering contains 1-step prediction.
- General prediction in HMM is like filtering without adding a new evidence:

$$P(X_{t+k+1}|e_1^t) = \sum_{x_{t+k}} P(X_{t+k+1}|x_{t+k}) P(x_{t+k}|e_1^t)$$

- It involves the transition model only.
- From the time slice we have our last evidence, it is just a Markov chain over hidden states:
 - Use filtering to compute $P(X_t | e_1^t$. This the initial state of MC.
 - Use mini-forward algorithm to predict further in time.
- By predicting further in the future, we rocover the stationary distribution of the Markov chain given by the transition model.

Markov Models

HMM

- MC to HMM
- Hidden MM
- HMM Examples
- W-U Example
- HMM tasks
- Filtering
- Online updates
- Forward algorithm
- Umbrella example
- Prediction
- Model evaluation
- Smoothing
- Umbrella smooth.
- Forward-backward
- Most likely seq.
- Viterbi



Model evaluation

Compute the likelihood of the evidence sequence given the HMM parameters, i.e. $P(e_1^t)$.

Markov Models

HMM

- MC to HMM
- Hidden MM
- HMM Examples
- W-U Example
- HMM tasks
- Filtering
- Online updates
- Forward algorithm
- Umbrella example
- Prediction
- Model evaluation
- Smoothing
- Umbrella smooth.
- Forward-backward
- Most likely seq.
- Viterbi

Summary

■ Useful for assessing which of several HMMs could have generated the observation.



Model evaluation

- Compute the likelihood of the evidence sequence given the HMM parameters, i.e. $P(e_1^t)$.
- Useful for assessing which of several HMMs could have generated the observation.

Likelihood message:

- Similarly to forward message, we can define a likelihood message as
 - $l_t \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} P(X_t, e_1^t)$
- It can be shown that the forward algorithm can be used to update the likelihood message as well:

$$l_t = \text{FORWARD}(l_{t-1}, e_t)$$

- The likelihood of e_1^t is then obtained by summing out X_t :
 - $L_t = P(e_1^t) = \sum_{x_t} l(t)$
- l_t is a probability of longer and longer evidence sequence as time goes by, resulting in numbers close to $0 \Rightarrow$ underflow problems.

HMM

Markov Models

- HMM Examples
- W-U Example
- HMM tasks
- Filtering
- Online updates
- Forward algorithm
- Umbrella example
- Prediction
- Model evaluation
- Smoothing
- Umbrella smooth.
- Forward-backward
- Most likely seq.
- Viterbi



Smoothing

Markov Models

HMM

- MC to HMM
- Hidden MM
- HMM Examples
- W-U Example
- HMM tasks
- Filtering
- Online updates
- Forward algorithm
- Umbrella example
- Prediction
- Model evaluation
- Smoothing
- Umbrella smooth.
- Forward-backward
- Most likely seq.
- Viterbi

Summary

Compute the distribution over past state given evidence up to present:

 $P(X_k | e_1^t)$ for some k < t.



Smoothing

Markov Models

HMM

- MC to HMM
- Hidden MM
- HMM Examples
- W-U Example
- HMM tasks
- Filtering
- Online updates
- Forward algorithm
- Umbrella example
- Prediction
- Model evaluation
- Smoothing
- Umbrella smooth.
- Forward-backward
- Most likely seq.
- Viterbi

Summary

Compute the distribution over past state given evidence up to present:

 $P(X_k | e_1^t)$ for some k < t.

Let's factorize the distribution as follows:

$$P(X_k|e_1^t) = P(X_t|e_1^k, e_{k+1}^t) =$$

= $\alpha P(e_{k+1}^t|X_k, e_1^k) P(X_k|e_1^k) =$
= $\alpha \underbrace{P(e_{k+1}^t|X_k)}_{?} \underbrace{P(X_k|e_1^k)}_{\text{filtering, forward}}$

(split the evidence sequence)

(from Bayes rule)

(using Markov assumption)



Smoothing

Markov Models

HMM

- MC to HMM
- Hidden MM
- HMM Examples
- W-U Example
- HMM tasks
- Filtering
- Online updates
- Forward algorithm
- Umbrella example
- Prediction
- Model evaluation
- Smoothing
- Umbrella smooth.
- Forward-backward
- Most likely seq.
- Viterbi

Summary

Compute the distribution over past state given evidence up to present:

 $P(X_k | e_1^t)$ for some k < t.

Let's factorize the distribution as follows:

$$P(X_{k}|e_{1}^{t}) = P(X_{t}|e_{1}^{k}, e_{k+1}^{t}) =$$
(split the evidence sequence)
$$= \alpha P(e_{k+1}^{t}|X_{k}, e_{1}^{k}) P(X_{k}|e_{1}^{k}) =$$
(from Bayes rule)
$$= \alpha \underbrace{P(e_{k+1}^{t}|X_{k})}_{?} \underbrace{P(X_{k}|e_{1}^{k})}_{\text{filtering, forward}}$$
(using Markov assumption)

sensor model

$$P(e_{k+1}^{t}|X_{k}) = \sum_{x_{k+1}} P(e_{k+1}^{t}|X_{k}, x_{k+1})P(x_{k+1}|X_{k}) =$$
(condition on X_{k+1})

$$= \sum_{x_{k+1}} P(e_{k+1}^{t}|x_{k+1})P(x_{k+1}|X_{k}) =$$
(using Markov assumption)

$$= \sum_{x_{k+1}} P(e_{k+1}, e_{k+2}^{t}|x_{k+1})P(x_{k+1}|X_{k}) =$$
(split evidence sequence)

$$= \sum_{x_{k+1}} \underbrace{P(e_{k+1}|x_{k+1})}_{x_{k+1}} \underbrace{P(e_{k+2}^{t}|x_{k+1})}_{x_{k+1}} \underbrace{P(x_{k+1}|X_{k})}_{x_{k+1}}$$
(using cond. independence)

recursion

transition model

Artificial Intelligence – 24 / 34

P. Pošík © 2017



Smoothing (cont.)

Markov Models

HMM

- MC to HMM
- Hidden MM
- HMM Examples
- W-U Example
- HMM tasks
- Filtering
- Online updates
- Forward algorithm
- Umbrella example
- Prediction
- Model evaluation
- Smoothing
- Umbrella smooth.
- Forward-backward i.e.
- Most likely seq.
- Viterbi

Summary

Then

$$b_k = \sum_{x_{k+1}} P(e_{k+1}|x_{k+1}) b_{k+1} P(x_{k+1}|X_k)$$

$$b_k = BACKWARD(b_{k+1}, e_{k+1})$$

where

- the BACKWARD function implements the update equation above, and
- the recursion is initialized by $b_t = P(e_{t+1}^t | X_t) = P(\emptyset | X_t) = \mathbf{1}$.

 $P(e_{k+1}^{t}|X_{k}) = \sum_{x_{k+1}} \underbrace{P(e_{k+1}|x_{k+1})}_{x_{k+1}} \underbrace{P(e_{k+2}^{t}|x_{k+1})}_{x_{k+1}} \underbrace{P(x_{k+1}|X_{k})}_{x_{k+1}}$

recursion

transition model

sensor model

$$b_k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P(e_{k+1}^t | X_k)$$

Backward message:

$$b_k = \sum_{x_{k+1}} P(e_{k+1}|x_{k+1})b_{k+1}P(x_{k+1}|X_k)$$



Smoothing (cont.)

Markov Models

HMM

- MC to HMM
- Hidden MM
- HMM Examples
- W-U Example
- HMM tasks
- Filtering
- Online updates
- Forward algorithm
- Umbrella example
- Prediction
- Model evaluation
- Smoothing
- Umbrella smooth.
- Forward-backward
- Most likely seq.
- Viterbi

Summary

The whole smoothing algorithm can then be expressed as

$$P(X_k|e_1^t) = \alpha P(e_{k+1}^t|X_k) P(X_k|e_1^k) = \alpha f_k \times b_k$$

where

- × denotes element-wise multiplication.
- Both f_k and b_k can be computed by recursion in time:
 - f_k by a forward recursion from 1 to k,
 - b_k by a backward recursion from t to k + 1.



Smoothing (cont.)

Markov Models

HMM

- MC to HMM
- Hidden MM
- HMM Examples
- W-U Example
- HMM tasks
- Filtering
- Online updates
- Forward algorithm
- Umbrella example
- Prediction
- Model evaluation
- Smoothing
- Umbrella smooth.
- Forward-backward
- Most likely seq.
- Viterbi

Summary

The whole smoothing algorithm can then be expressed as

$$P(X_k|e_1^t) = \alpha P(e_{k+1}^t|X_k) P(X_k|e_1^k) = \alpha f_k \times b_k,$$

where

- × denotes element-wise multiplication.
- Both f_k and b_k can be computed by recursion in time:
 - f_k by a forward recursion from 1 to k,
 - b_k by a backward recursion from t to k + 1.
- Smoothing the whole sequence of hidden states:
 - Can be computed efficiently by
 - a forward pass, computing and *storing* all the filtered estimates f_k for $k = 1 \rightarrow t$, followed by
 - a backward pass, using the stored f_k s and computing b_k s on the fly for $k = t \rightarrow 1$.

Filtering with uniform prior and observations $U_1 = true$ and $U_2 = true$:

- Day 0: No observations, just prior belief: $P(R_0) = (0.5, 0.5)$.
- Day 1: Observation $U_1 = true$: $P(R_1|u_1) = (0.818, 0.182)$
- Day 2: Observation $U_2 = true$: $P(R_2|u_1, u_2) = (0.883, 0.117)$

Filtering with uniform prior and observations $U_1 = true$ and $U_2 = true$:

- Day 0: No observations, just prior belief: $P(R_0) = (0.5, 0.5)$.
- Day 1: Observation $U_1 = true: P(R_1|u_1) = (0.818, 0.182)$
- Day 2: Observation $U_2 = true$: $P(R_2|u_1, u_2) = (0.883, 0.117)$

Filtering versus smoothing:

- Filtering estimates $P(R_t)$ by using evidence up to time *t*, i.e. $P(R_1)$ is estimated by $P(R_1|u_1)$, i.e. it ignores future observation u_2 .
- At t = 2, we have a new observation u_2 which also brings some information about R_1 . We can thus update the distribution about past state by future evidence by computing $P(R_1|u_1, u_2)$.

Filtering with uniform prior and observations $U_1 = true$ and $U_2 = true$:

- Day 0: No observations, just prior belief: $P(R_0) = (0.5, 0.5)$.
- Day 1: Observation $U_1 = true: P(R_1|u_1) = (0.818, 0.182)$
- Day 2: Observation $U_2 = true$: $P(R_2|u_1, u_2) = (0.883, 0.117)$

Filtering versus smoothing:

- Filtering estimates $P(R_t)$ by using evidence up to time *t*, i.e. $P(R_1)$ is estimated by $P(R_1|u_1)$, i.e. it ignores future observation u_2 .
- At t = 2, we have a new observation u_2 which also brings some information about R_1 . We can thus update the distribution about past state by future evidence by computing $P(R_1|u_1, u_2)$.

Smoothing:

 $P(R_1|u_1, u_2) = \alpha P(R_1|u_1)P(u_2|R_1)$

- The first term is known from the forward pass.
- The second term can be computed by the backward recursion:

$$P(u_2|R_1) = \sum_{r_2} P(u_2|r_2) P(\emptyset|r_2) P(r_2|R_1) = 0.9 \cdot 1 \cdot (0.7, 0.3) + 0.2 \cdot 1 \cdot (0.3, 0.7) = (0.69, 0.41).$$

Substituting back to the smoothing equation above:

 $P(R_1|u_1, u_2) = \alpha(0.818, 0.182) \times (0.69, 0.41) \doteq (0.883, 0.117).$



Forward-backward algorithm

	Algorithm 1: FORWARD-BACKWARD(e_1^t , P_0) returns a vector of prob. distributions
Markov Models	Input : e_1^t – a vector of evidence values for steps 1, , <i>t</i>
HMM	P_0 – the prior distribution on the initial state
• MC to HMM	Local : f_0^t – a vector of forward messages for steps 0,, t
• Hidden MM	b – the backward message, initially all 1s
HMM Examples	s_1^t – a vector of smoothed estimates for steps 1,, t
W-U ExampleHMM tasks	Output: a vector of prob. distributions, i.e. the smoothed estimates s_1^t
• Filtering	1 begin
• Online updates	$2 f_0 \leftarrow P_0$
Forward algorithm	3 for $i = 1$ to t do
Prediction	4 $f_i \leftarrow \text{FORWARD}(f_{i-1}, e_i)$
Model evaluation	for i - t down to 1 do
Smoothing	$\int \int \int \frac{d}{dt} = t \text{MORMALTZE}(f_{t-1} \times h)$
 Umbrella smooth. Forward-backward 	$S_{l} \leftarrow \text{NORMALIZE}(f_{l-1} \land b)$
Most likely seq.	7 $\bigcup \upsilon \leftarrow DACKWARD(\upsilon, e_i)$
• Viterbi	⁸ return s_1^t
Summary	_



Most likely sequence

Markov Models

HMM

- MC to HMM
- Hidden MM
- HMM Examples
- W-U Example
- HMM tasks
- Filtering
- Online updates
- Forward algorithm
- Umbrella example
- Prediction
- Model evaluation
- Smoothing
- Umbrella smooth.
- Forward-backward
- Most likely seq.
- Viterbi

Summary

Weather-Umbrella example problem:

Assume that the observation sequence over 5 days is $u_1^5 = (true, true, false, true, true)$.

What is the weather sequence most likely to explain these observations?



Most likely sequence

Weather-Umbrella example problem:

- Assume that the observation sequence over 5 days is $u_1^5 = (true, true, false, true, true)$.
- What is the weather sequence most likely to explain these observations?

Possible approaches:

- Approach 1: Enumeration of all possible sequences.
 - View each sequence as a possible path through the state trellis graph:



- There are 2 possible states for each of the 5 days, that is $2^5 = 32$ different state sequences r_1^5 .
- Enumerate and evaluate them by computing $P(r_1^t, e_1^t)$, and choose the one with the largest probability.
- Intractable for longer sequences/larger state spaces. Can it be done more efficiently?

Markov Models HMM

- MC to HMM
- Hidden MM
- HMM Examples
- W-U Example
- HMM tasks
- Filtering
- Online updates
- Forward algorithm
- Umbrella example
- Prediction
- Model evaluation
- Smoothing
- Umbrella smooth.
- Forward-backward
- Most likely seq.

P. Pošík (C) 2017

• Viterbi



MC to HMM
Hidden MM
HMM Examples
W-U Example

HMM tasksFiltering

Prediction

Smoothing

• Viterbi

Summary

Online updatesForward algorithmUmbrella example

Model evaluation

• Umbrella smooth.

Forward-backwardMost likely seq.

HMM

Most likely sequence (cont.)

- Approach 2: Sequence of most likely states?
 - Use smoothing to find a posterior distribution of rain $P(R_k | u_1^t)$ for all time steps.
 - Then construct a sequence of most likely states

```
(\arg \max_{r_1} P(r_1|u_1^t), \dots, \arg \max_{r_t} P(r_t|u_1^t)).
```

But this is *not the same* as the most likely sequence

 $\arg\max_{r_1^t} P(r_1^t | u_1^t)$

- Approach 3: Find $\arg \max_{r_1^t} P(r_1^t | u_1^t)$ using a recursive algorithm:
 - The likelihood of any path is the product of the transition probabilities along the path and the probabilities of the given observations at each state.
 - The most likely path to certain state x_t consists of the most likely path to some state x_{t-1} followed by a transition to x_t . The state x_{t-1} that will become part of the path to x_t is the one which maximizes the likelihood of that path.
 - Let's define a recursive relationship between most likely path to each state x_{t-1} and most likely path to each state x_t .



Viterbi algorithm

Markov Models

HMM

- MC to HMM
- Hidden MM
- HMM Examples
- W-U Example
- HMM tasks
- Filtering
- Online updates
- Forward algorithm
- Umbrella example
- Prediction
- Model evaluation
- Smoothing
- Umbrella smooth.
- Forward-backward
- Most likely seq.
- Viterbi

- A dynamic programming approach to finding most likely sequence of states.
 - We want to find $\arg \max_{x_1^t} P(x_1^t | e_1^t)$.
 - Note that $\arg \max_{x_1^t} P(x_1^t | e_1^t) = \arg \max_{x_1^t} P(x_1^t, e_1^t)$. Let's work with the joint.
 - Let's define the max message:

$$m_{t} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max_{x_{1}^{t-1}} P(x_{1}^{t-1}, X_{t}, e_{1}^{t}) =$$

$$= \max_{x_{1}^{t-2}, x_{t-1}} P(e_{t} | X_{t}) P(X_{t} | x_{t-1}) P(x_{1}^{t-1}, e_{1}^{t-1}) =$$

$$= P(e_{t} | X_{t}) \max_{x_{t-1}} P(X_{t} | x_{t-1}) \max_{x_{1}^{t-2}} P(x_{1}^{t-1}, e_{1}^{t-1}) =$$

$$= P(e_{t} | X_{t}) \max_{x_{t-1}} P(X_{t} | x_{t-1}) \max_{x_{1}^{t-2}} P(x_{1}^{t-1}, e_{1}^{t-1}) =$$

- The recursion is initialized by $m_1 = P(X_1, e_1) = \alpha \cdot \text{FORWARD}(P(X_0), e_1)$.
- At the end, we have the probability of the most likely sequence reaching *each final state*.
- The construction of the most likely sequence starts in the final state with the largest probability, and runs backwards.
- The algorithm needs to store for each x_t its "best" predecesor x_{t-1} .



Viterbi algorithm: example

Weather-Umbrella example:

After applying

Markov Models

HMM

- MC to HMM
- Hidden MM
- HMM Examples
- W-U Example
- HMM tasks
- Filtering
- Online updates
- Forward algorithm
- Umbrella example
- Prediction
- Model evaluation
- Smoothing
- Umbrella smooth.
- Forward-backward
- Most likely seq.
- Viterbi

Summary



we have the following:



- The most likely sequence is constructed by
 - starting in the last state with the highest probability, and
 - following the bold arrows backwards.

Note:

- The probabilities for sequences of increasing length decrease towards 0, they can underflow.
- To remedy this, we can use the log-sum-exp approach.



Competencies

After this lecture, a student shall be able to ...