ADVANCED JASON

AE4M36MAS - Multiagent systems

LAST TUTORIAL ISSUES

Hopefully resolved (if not, tell me about that!)

• Caused mainly by the absence of properly installed JDK

- Source file is scanned top down
- First applicable plan is executed

```
+!step <- !random_move ; !step.
+!step : cell(X,Y,gold) <- +gold(X,Y) ; !step. ← unreachable
+!step : cell(X,Y,gold) <- +gold(X,Y) ; !step. ← "infinite loop"</pre>
```

+!step <- !random_move ; !step.

- Source file is scanned top down
- First applicable plan is executed

```
+!step <- !random_move ; !step.
+!step : cell(X,Y,gold) <- +gold(X,Y) ; !step. ← unreachable</pre>
```

+!step : cell(X,Y,gold) <- +gold(X,Y) ; !step. ← "infinite loop" +!step <- !random_move ; !step.

- Source file is scanned top down
- First applicable plan is executed

```
+!step <- !random_move ; !step.
+!step : cell(X,Y,gold) <- +gold(X,Y) ; !step. ← unreachable
+!step : cell(X,Y,gold) <- +gold(X,Y) ; !step. ← "infinite loop"</pre>
```

+!step <- !random_move ; !step.

ASSIGNMENT

Find, collect and carry all gold stones from their location to a depot!

- Miners do not know positions of gold stones and depots they must find them
- They may carry at most one gold stone at a time
- They have limited range of sight (8-neighbourhood)

- pos(X,Y) (X,Y) position of the miner
- name(N) name of the current miner
- gsize(_,W,H) width and height of current map
- cell(X,Y,gold), cell(X,Y,depot), cell(X,Y,ally), cell(X,Y,obstacle)
- carrying_gold

Mining world — percepts



cell(2,2,gold).
cell(1,0,depot).

No cell percepts!

- do(left), do(right), do(up), do(down) movement in the grid
- do(pick), do(drop) manipulating gold stones
- do(skip) use it to update your percepts (nearly no delay)

Gold stones are **heavy**.

 \rightarrow there must be another miner in 4-neighbourhood for do(pick)



do(pick) succeeds

do(pick) fails

Gold stones are **added in runtime** \rightarrow Your miners must be able to find them at any time



- You are racing the time now
- Your miners should not be much slower than (inefficient) reference solution



Beware of **obstacles**

 \rightarrow Your team should make the way through the mine in time again



Pairs of your miners got **separated** \rightarrow Hardcoded pairs helper–carrier will get into troubles



The final blow, is it? (there might be multiple depots)



You can get 1 more point for implementing a fast mining team.

A competition between your submissions will be held

 \rightarrow Results from multiple runs on Scenarios 3–5 will be averaged (Average of values containing ∞ is infinite)

Mines used for evaluation **will not** be identical to the public instances!

Instances used for evaluation will be neither much easier nor much more difficult in comparison with the public ones.

You are asked to submit a short report:

- What approach have you used for discovering gold stones and depots?
- How have you solved synchronization problems?
- What issues have you encountered and how have you overcome them?

Reward: 1 point

Advanced solvers are encouraged to try to deal with more difficult setups...

- Narrow passages
- Deadends
- Complex shapes of obstacles
- ...

Possible reward: extra points

(number of your points from tutorials can be at most 40 unfortunately)

ADVANCED JASON

+!say_hello(N) <- ?greeting(G) ; .print(G," ",N).

- 1. greeting(G) matches the belief base \rightarrow G gets unified
- 2. A plan for +?greeting(G) is executed
 - \rightarrow G gets unified with applicable value
- 3. A failure plan for -?greeting(G) is applied

Example:

+?random_move(left) : math.random < 0.25. +?random_move(right) : math.random < 0.33. +?random_move(up) : math.random < 0.5. +?random_move(down).

+!step <- ?random_move(D) ; do(D).

Talking with **one** colleague:

```
.send(Rcpt, ilf, Message)
```

 $\textit{ilf} \in \{\texttt{tell}, \texttt{untell}, \texttt{achieve}, \texttt{askOne}, \ldots\}$

- tell adds belief Message to Rcpt's belief base
- untell removes a belief previously told
- achieve adds intention !Message for Rcpt

Example:

The askOne variant of .send:

```
.send(Rcpt, askOne, Goal, Result)
```

Similar to achieve — ?Goal test goal is added.

Execution of the intention is paused until the ?Goal is (un)satisfied.

- ?Goal unsatisfiable Result=false
- otherwise Result contains Goal with all free variables unified

Example

$$X = [1, 2, 3]$$

• Prepending element into list:

$$[0 | X] = [0, 1, 2, 3]$$

$$X = [1, 2, 3]$$

• Prepending element into list:

$$[0 | X] = [0, 1, 2, 3]$$

Variables can get unified for more complex terms, e.g.:

```
!greet(greeting("Hello ", "! How are you?"), "Bob").
+!greet(greeting(Before,After), Who) <- .print(Before,Who,After).</pre>
```

```
!first([1, 2, 3]).
+!first([X | Xs]) <- .print(X).</pre>
```

Question: What happens if !first([]) is requested?

Task: Write plans for !print_all([1,2,3]) intention that lists all elements of the list.

Example:

valid(X,Y) :- gsize(_,W,H) & X>=0 & X<W & Y>=0 & Y<H.

An atomic plan is executed intact.

 \rightarrow No other plan can interfere with actions from the atomic plan

Example:

@pickGoldPlan[atomic]
+?pick_gold(X,Y) <- !go_to(X,Y) ; do(pick) ; ...</pre>

Disclaimer: Beware of deadlocks!

TIPS

- Helping miners leaving their square before the do(pick) action is fully executed
- Miners blocking the way of other miners

• ...

Try to anticipate possible caveats ${\rm before}$ you encounter them. \rightarrow It will be easier to deal with them

• Think before implementation

- Decompose the problem into simple problems (\sim intentions) first \rightarrow It will just remain to implement and debug them
- Be prepared for possible issues!

- Think before implementation
- Decompose the problem into simple problems (\sim intentions) first \rightarrow It will just remain to implement and debug them
- Be prepared for possible issues!

- Think before implementation
- Decompose the problem into simple problems (~ intentions) first → It will just remain to implement and debug them
- Be prepared for possible issues!