Querying Description Logics

Petr Křemen

1 SPARQL and Ontology Querying

1.1 SPARQL Query Structure

SPARQL Language [SS13] is aimed at querying RDF(S) [GB04] documents. As OWL 2 [MPSP09] is an extension of RDF(S), we will use it here as a syntax for OWL 2 conjunctive queries. We will use SPARQL queries of the form

where <vars> is a list of variables (identifier started with a sign "?") delimited with spaces and <tripleI> is a triple of the form subj pred obj, where each subj, pred and obj is either a variable, or individual URI (also in a shortened form using a PREFIX). Additionally, obj can be literal (string in double-quotes).

1.2 Expressing conjunctive queries in SPARQL syntax

Example 1.1. Consider a conjunctive query (without full URIs)

 $Q_1(?X,?Y) \leftarrow Professor(?X), worksFor(?X, CVUT), name(?X,?Y).$ (1)

Its SPARQL counterpart is :

PREFIX u: <http://krizik.felk.cvut.cz/university.owl#>

SELECT ?X ?Y WHERE {

```
?X a u:Professor .
?X u:worksFor u:CVUT .
?X u:name ?Y .
```

}

If we are not interested in the binding of the particular name Y, we would pose a conjunctive query

$$Q_2(?X) \leftarrow Professor(?X), worksFor(?X, CVUT), name(?X, ?Y)$$
(2)

To reflect this change in SPARQL, we could simply remove the variable from the SE-LECT clause, obtaining:

PREFIX u: <http://krizik.felk.cvut.cz/university.owl#>

```
SELECT ?X
WHERE {
    ?X a u:Professor .
    ?X u:worksFor u:CVUT .
    ?X u:name ?Y .
}
```

However, this SPARQL query doesn't return individuals (bindings for ?X) for which there is no name known, but their existence is inferred (e.g. *i* in axiom $(\exists name \cdot \top)(i)$). To capture all cases, we need to use a *bnode* instead of the (distinguished) variable:

```
PREFIX u: <http://krizik.felk.cvut.cz/university.owl#>
```

```
SELECT ?X
WHERE {
    ?X a u:Professor .
    ?X u:worksFor u:CVUT .
    ?X u:name _:Y .
}
```

1.3 Avoiding complex concepts in SPARQL

To avoid rather complex RDF/XML syntax for complex OWL class descriptions in SPARQL queries, we can define a new concept in the description logic theory and query the new concept instead.

Example 1.2. To express a conjunctive query, e.g.

 $Q'_2(?X) \leftarrow (Professor \sqcap \exists name \cdot \top)(?X), worksFor(?X, CVUT),$

we will define a new concept *ProfessorWithName* in the description logic theory (OWL Class in Protege):

 $ProfessorWithName \equiv Professor \sqcap \exists name \ \cdot \ \top$

and reformulate the query into

 $Q_2''(?X) \leftarrow ProfessorWithName(?X), worksFor(?X, CVUT).$

Queries Q'_2 and Q''_2 are equivalent.

2 Conjunctive Queries Practically

- 1. Download the Pellet 2.3.1 (e.g. from the seminar web pages, or through http: //clarkparsia.com/pellet/download/pellet-2.3.1).
- 2. Pellet is a command-line tool. Use ./pellet.sh help command to find out details about its usage.
- 3. Download the wine ontology from http://www.w3.org/TR/owl-guide/wine.rdf and save it into the Pellet home directory.
- 4. Open the wine ontology in Protege and insert a new instance of Wine into the ontology.
- 5. Download an example query from the seminar web pages. This query finds all regions (instancec of Region) :

pellet.bat query -q <file-with-query> <file-with-ontology>

- 6. In the file with a query, replace the distinguished variable ?Y with an undistinguished variable _:Y and compare results (use the --bnode switch for Pellet)
- 7. Check that you got the same result as in the DL-query tab (How to formulate such query ?).
- 8. Formulate and evaluate a query that
 - finds all regions in USA together with dry wines produced in these regions.
 - finds all regions in USA that produce both dry and sweet wines.
- 9. Insert a new type locatedIn some Region to the individual ItalianRegion. Then, formulate a query that finds all wines that are produced in some (arbitrary) super-region of Italy (i.e. region in which ItalianRegion is located in (locatedIn)). Use the --bnode parameter in the Pellet command line to correctly evaluate the query.

Reference

- [GB04] Ramanathan V. Guha and Dan Brickley. RDF Vocabulary Description Language 1.0: RDF Schema [online]. W3C Recommendation, W3C, 2004. Available at http://www.w3.org/TR/2004/REC-rdf-schema-20040210, cit. 11/1/2012.
- [MPSP09] Boris Motik, Peter F. Patel-Schneider, and Bijan Parsia. OWL 2 Web Ontology Language Structural Specification and Functional-Style Syntax [online].
 W3C Recommendation, W3C, 2009. Available at http://www.w3.org/TR/ 2009/REC-owl2-syntax-20091027, cit. 11/1/2012.
- [SS13] Andy Seaborne and Harris Steve. SPARQL 1.1 query language [online]. W3C Recommendation, W3C, 2013. Available at http://www.w3.org/TR/ sparql11-query, cit. 1/4/2013.