

# AE4M33RZN, Fuzzy logic: Fuzzy description logic

**Radomír Černoch**

radomir.cernoch@fel.cvut.cz

Faculty of Electrical Engineering, CTU in Prague

**11/11/2013**

## Crisp description logic

Our treatment of fuzzy description logic is based on a family of crisp description logic  $\mathcal{SHIF}(\mathcal{D})$  [Baader, 2003]:

- $\mathcal{AL}$ 
  - atomic negation
  - intersection
  - universal restrictions
  - limited existential quantification
- $\mathcal{C}$  = full concept negation
- $\mathcal{S}$  =  $\mathcal{ALC}$  + transitive roles
- $\mathcal{H}$  = role hierarchies
- $\mathcal{I}$  = inverse properties
- $\mathcal{F}$ 
  - concept intersection
  - universal restrictions
  - limited existential quantification
  - role restriction
- $\mathcal{D}$  = data types

## *SHIF* concepts

Let  $A$  and  $R$  be the sets of *atomic concepts* and *atomic roles*.

### Concept constructors

$C, D := \top \mid \perp$	top and bottom concepts	(1)
$\mid A$	atomic concept	(2)
$\mid \neg C$	concept negation	(3)
$\mid C \sqcap D$	intersection	(4)
$\mid C \sqcup D$	concept union	(5)
$\mid \forall R \cdot C$	full universal quantification	(6)
$\mid \exists R \cdot C$	full existential quantification	(7)

# Crisp description logic ontology

Ontology consists of  $\mathcal{A}Box$  and  $\mathcal{T}Box$ . We use the set of individuals  $I$ :

## $\mathcal{A}Box$ (Assertion Box)

Contains *concept assertions*  $\langle i \in I : p \in P \rangle$   
and *role assertions*  $\langle (i, j \in I) : r \in R \rangle$ .

## $\mathcal{T}Box$ (Terminology Box)

Contains *general concept inclusion* (GCI) axioms  $\langle C \sqsubseteq D \rangle$  and role axioms (role hierarchy  $\langle R_1 \sqsubseteq R_2 \rangle$ , transitivity, ...).

## Crisp description logic interpretation

*Interpretation*  $\mathcal{I}$  is a tuple  $(\Delta^{\mathcal{I}}, \cdot^{\mathcal{I}})$  (*interpretation domain, interpretation function*), which maps

an individual to domain object

$$i^{\mathcal{I}} \in \Delta^{\mathcal{I}}$$

an atomic concept to domain subsets

$$C^{\mathcal{I}} \subseteq \Delta^{\mathcal{I}}$$

an atomic role to subset of domain tuples

$$R^{\mathcal{I}} \subseteq \Delta^{\mathcal{I}} \times \Delta^{\mathcal{I}}$$

## Crisp description logic interpretation

The non-atomic concepts are interpreted as follows:

non-atomic concept	its interpretation
$\top$	$\Delta^{\mathcal{I}}$
$\perp$	$\emptyset$
$\neg C$	$\Delta^{\mathcal{I}} \setminus C^{\mathcal{I}}$
$C \sqcap D$	$C^{\mathcal{I}} \cap D^{\mathcal{I}}$
$C \sqcup D$	$C^{\mathcal{I}} \cup D^{\mathcal{I}}$
$\forall R \cdot C$	$\{x \mid \forall y \in \Delta^{\mathcal{I}}. ((x, y) \in R^{\mathcal{I}}) \Rightarrow (y \in C^{\mathcal{I}})\}$
$\exists R \cdot C$	$\{x \mid \exists y \in \Delta^{\mathcal{I}}. ((x, y) \in R^{\mathcal{I}}) \wedge (y \in C^{\mathcal{I}})\}$

# Crisp notion of truth

## Axiom satisfaction

axiom	satisfied when
$\langle i : C \rangle$	$i^{\mathcal{I}} \in C^{\mathcal{I}}$
$\langle (i, j) : R \rangle$	$(i^{\mathcal{I}}, j^{\mathcal{I}}) \in R^{\mathcal{I}}$
$\langle C \sqsubseteq D \rangle$	$C^{\mathcal{I}} \sqsubseteq D^{\mathcal{I}}$
transitive(R)	$R^{\mathcal{I}}$ is transitive
...	

- Concept  $C$  is *satisfiable* iff there is an interpretation  $\mathcal{I}$  s.t.  
 $\mathcal{I} \models \langle i : C \rangle$  for some  $i$ .
- Interpretation  $\mathcal{I}$  *satisfies* a knowledgebase  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{A}Box + \mathcal{T}Box$  (or  $\mathcal{I}$  is a *model* of  $\mathcal{K}$ ) iff  $\mathcal{I}$  satisfies all its axioms.
- Axiom  $T$  is a *logical consequence* of  $\mathcal{K}$  iff every model of  $\mathcal{K}$  satisfies  $T$ .  
 We write  $\mathcal{K} \models T$ .



# Fuzzy description logic

## Basic idea

1. Keep the the previous slides intact.
2. Add  $\circ$  below and above every operation.
3. Watch the semantic change.

## Overview

We will show the **fuzzyDL** reasoner [Bobillo and Straccia, 2008] capabilities, which extends the  $\mathcal{SHIF}(\mathcal{D})$  family with fuzzy capabilities.

### Concept constructors

We start with atomic concepts  $A$ . Derived concepts are on the next slide together with their interpretation. (Each concept is interpreted as a fuzzy subset of the domain.)

## Fuzzy DL interpretation

*Fuzzy interpretation*  $\mathcal{I}$  is a tuple  $\Delta^{\mathcal{I}}, \cdot^{\mathcal{I}}$  which maps

an individual to a domain object

$$i^{\mathcal{I}} \in \Delta^{\mathcal{I}}$$

an atomic concept to a domain subsets

$$C^{\mathcal{I}} \in \mathbb{F}(\Delta^{\mathcal{I}})$$

an atomic role to a relation on the domain

$$R^{\mathcal{I}} \in \mathbb{F}(\Delta^{\mathcal{I}} \times \Delta^{\mathcal{I}})$$

$C, D :=$	interpretation of $x$
$\perp$	$\mathbf{0}$
$\top$	$\mathbf{1}$
$A$	$A^{\mathcal{F}}(x)$
$\neg C$	$\neg_S C^{\mathcal{F}}(x)$
$C \sqcap_S D$	$C^{\mathcal{F}}(x) \wedge_S D^{\mathcal{F}}(x)$
$C \sqcap_L D$	$C^{\mathcal{F}}(x) \wedge_L D^{\mathcal{F}}(x)$
$C \sqcup_S D$	$C^{\mathcal{F}}(x) \vee_S D^{\mathcal{F}}(x)$
$C \sqcup_L D$	$C^{\mathcal{F}}(x) \vee_L D^{\mathcal{F}}(x)$
$C \xrightarrow[S]{R} D$	$C^{\mathcal{F}}(x) \xrightarrow[S]{R} D^{\mathcal{F}}(x)$
$C \xrightarrow[L]{R} D$	$C^{\mathcal{F}}(x) \xrightarrow[L]{R} D^{\mathcal{F}}(x)$
$C \xrightarrow[S]{S} D$	$C^{\mathcal{F}}(x) \xrightarrow[S]{S} D^{\mathcal{F}}(x)$

$C, D :=$	interpretation of $x$
$\exists R \cdot C$	$\sup_y R^{\mathcal{F}}(x, y) \wedge_{\circ} C^{\mathcal{F}}(y)$
$\forall R \cdot C$	$\inf_y R^{\mathcal{F}}(x, y) \overset{\circ}{\Rightarrow} C^{\mathcal{F}}(y)$
$(n C)$ $\text{mod}(C)$	$n \cdot C(x)$ $\text{mod}(C^{\mathcal{F}}(x))$
$w_1 C_1 + \dots + w_k C_k$	$w_1 C_1^{\mathcal{F}}(x) + \dots + w_k C_k^{\mathcal{F}}(x)$
$C \overset{\forall}{\leq} n$	$\begin{cases} C^{\mathcal{F}}(x) & C^{\mathcal{F}}(x) \overset{\forall}{\leq} n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Male  $\sqcap$  Female  $\neq$   $\perp$



# Modifiers

*Modifier* is a function that alters the membership function.

## Example

Linear modifier of degree  $c$  is

$$a = \frac{c}{c + 1}$$

$$b = \frac{1}{c + 1}$$

# Fuzzy DL ontology

Ontology consists of  $\mathcal{A}Box$  and  $\mathcal{T}Box$ :

## $\mathcal{A}Box$ (Assertion Box)

Contains *concept assertions*  $\langle i \in I : p \in P \mid \alpha \rangle$  and *role assertions*  $\langle (i, j \in I) : r \in R \mid \alpha \rangle$ .

## $\mathcal{T}Box$ (Terminology Box)

GCI axioms  $\langle C \sqsubseteq D \mid \alpha \rangle$  state that “C is D at least by  $\alpha$ ”.

Besides GCI, there are role hierarchy axioms  $\langle R_1 \sqsubseteq R_2 \rangle$ , transitivity axioms and definitions of inverse relations.



# Notion of a fuzzy truth

## Fuzzy axioms

axiom	satisfied if
$\langle i : C \mid \alpha \rangle$	$C^{\mathcal{F}}(i^{\mathcal{F}}) \geq \alpha$
$\langle (i, j) : R \mid \alpha \rangle$	$R^{\mathcal{F}}(i^{\mathcal{F}}, j^{\mathcal{F}}) \geq \alpha$
$\langle C \sqsubseteq D \mid \alpha \rangle$	$C \overset{\circ}{\subseteq} D \geq \alpha$
$\langle R_1 \sqsubseteq R_2 \rangle$	$R_1^{\mathcal{F}} \subseteq R_2^{\mathcal{F}}$
$\langle \text{transitive } R \rangle$	$R$ is $\circ$ -transitive
$\langle R_1 = R_2^{-1} \rangle$	$R_1^{\mathcal{F}} = (R_2^{\mathcal{F}})^{-1}$

Using these definitions, the notions of *logical consequence* and *satisfiability* (of both concepts and axioms) remains the same.  
More on slide 207.

# What can you ask the reasoner?

## Best/Worst Degree Bound

What is the minimal degree of an axiom that  $\mathcal{K}$  ensures?

$$glb(\mathcal{K}, \tau) = \sup\{\alpha \mid \mathcal{K} \models \langle \tau \geq \alpha \rangle\}$$

$$wub(\mathcal{K}, \tau) = \inf\{\alpha \mid \mathcal{K} \models \langle \tau \leq \alpha \rangle\}$$

where  $\tau$  is an axiom of type  $\langle i : C \rangle$  or  $\langle (i, j) : R \rangle$  or  $\langle C \sqsubseteq D \rangle$ .

- From an empty  $\mathcal{K}$ , you cannot infer anything and therefore  $glb(\mathcal{K}, \tau) = 0$  and  $wub(\mathcal{K}, \tau) = 1$  (if using atomic concepts only). Only by adding new axioms into  $\mathcal{K}$ , the bounds “tighten up”.
- What happens if  $glb(\mathcal{K}, \tau) \geq wub(\mathcal{K}, \tau)$  for some axiom  $\tau$ ?

# What can you ask the reasoner?

## Best Satisfiability Bound

What is the maximal degree of satisfiability of  $C$ ?

$$glb(\mathcal{K}, C) = \sup_{\mathcal{I}} \sup_{x \in \Delta} \{C^{\mathcal{I}}(x) \mid \mathcal{I} \models \mathcal{K}\}.$$

This is a generalization of *concept satisfiability*.

## Homework

Next time we will see a reasoning algorithm for fuzzy DL. Please read [Straccia and Bobillo, 2008]:

### Basic idea of the fuzzyDL solver:

Straccia, Umberto and Fernando Bobillo. **“Mixed integer programming, general concept inclusions and fuzzy description logics.”**

Mathware & Soft Computing 14, no. 3 (2008): 247-259.

Where can you find the article? Google scholar is a place to start.

# Bibliography



**Baader, F. (2003).**

**The Description Logic Handbook: Theory, Implementation, and Applications.**

Cambridge University Press.



**Bobillo, O. and Straccia, U. (2008).**

**fuzzydl: An expressive fuzzy description logic reasoner.**

In In Proc. FUZZ-IEEE-2008. IEEE Computer Society, pages 923--930.



**Straccia, U. and Bobillo, F. (2008).**

**Mixed integer programming, general concept inclusions and fuzzy description logics.**

Mathware and Soft Computing, 14(3):247--259.