AE4M33RZN, Fuzzy logic: Fuzzy description logic

#### Radomír Černoch

radomir.cernoch@fel.cvut.cz

Faculty of Electrical Engineering, CTU in Prague

3/12/2012

# Crisp description logic

Our treatment of fuzzy description logic is based on a family of crisp description logic SHIF(D) [Baader, 2003]:

- AL
  - atomic negation
  - intersection
  - universal restrictions
  - limited existential quantification
- *C* = full concept negation
- S = ALC + transitive roles
- $\mathcal{H}$ = role hierarchies

- *I* = inverse properties
- *F*
- concept intersection
- universal restrictions
- limited existential quantification
- role restriction
- $\mathcal{D}$  = data types

## SHIF concepts

## Let *A* and *R* be the sets of *atomic concepts* and *atomic roles*. Concept constructors

 $C, D := \top | \bot$ (1) top and bottom concepts (2) atomic concept  $|\neg C$ (3) concept negation ICnD (4) intersection IСUD (5) concept union  $|\forall R \cdot C|$ (6) full universal quantification  $I \exists R \cdot C$ full existential quantification (7)

# Crisp description logic ontology

Ontology consists of  $\mathscr{A}Box$  and  $\mathscr{T}Box$ . We use the set of individuals *I*:

## **MBox** (Assertion Box)

Contains concept assertions  $\langle i \in I : p \in P \rangle$ and role assertions  $\langle (i, j \in I) : r \in R \rangle$ .

## **TBox (Terminology Box)**

Contains general concept inclusion (GCI) axioms  $\langle C \sqsubseteq D \rangle$  and role axioms (role hierarchy  $\langle R_1 \sqsubseteq R_2 \rangle$ , transitivity, ...).

## Crisp description logic interpretation

Interpretation  $\mathscr{I}$  is a tuple  $(\Delta^{\mathscr{I}}, \cdot^{\mathscr{I}})$  (interpretation domain, interpretation function), which maps

an individual to domain object an atomic concept to domain subsets an atomic role to subset of domain tuples 
$$\begin{split} & \boldsymbol{i}^{\mathcal{I}} \in \Delta^{\mathcal{I}} \\ & \mathsf{C}^{\mathcal{I}} \subseteq \Delta^{\mathcal{I}} \\ & \mathsf{R}^{\mathcal{I}} \subseteq \Delta^{\mathcal{I}} \times \Delta^{\mathcal{I}} \end{split}$$

## Crisp description logic interpretation

The non-atomic concepts are interpreted as follows:

non-atomic concept	its interpretation
Т	$\Delta^{\mathscr{I}}$
$\perp$	Ø
¬C	$\Delta^{\mathscr{I}} \setminus C^{\mathscr{I}}$
СпD	$C^{\mathscr{I}} \cap D^{\mathscr{I}}$
СЦО	$C^{\mathscr{I}} \cup D^{\mathscr{I}}$
∀R·C	$\{x \mid \forall y \in \Delta^{\mathscr{I}}. ((x, y) \in R^{\mathscr{I}}) \Longrightarrow (y \in C^{\mathscr{I}})\}$
∃R·C	$\{x \mid \exists y \in \Delta^{\mathscr{I}}. ((x, y) \in R^{\mathscr{I}}) \land (y \in C^{\mathscr{I}})\}$

## Crisp notion of truth

### **Axiom satisfaction**

...

axiom	satisfied when
$\langle i : C \rangle$	$i^{\mathscr{I}} \in C^{\mathscr{I}}$
$\langle (i,j):R \rangle$	$(i^{\mathscr{I}}, j^{\mathscr{I}}) \in R^{\mathscr{I}}$
⟨C⊑D⟩	$C^{\mathscr{I}} \sqsubseteq D^{\mathscr{I}}$
transitive(R)	$R^\mathscr{I}$ is transitive

- Concept C is *satisfiable* iff there is an interpretation *S* s.t.
   *S* ⊨< *i* : C > for some *i*.
- Interpretation *I* satisfies a knowledgebase *K* = *A*Box + *T*Box (or *I* is a model of *K*) iff *I* satisfies all its axioms.
- Axiom *T* is a *logical consequence* of  $\mathcal{K}$  iff every model of  $\mathcal{K}$  satisfies *T*. We write  $\mathcal{K} \models T$ .

Fuzzy description logic

### Basic idea

- **1.** Keep the the previous slides intact.
- 2. Add  $\circ$  below and above every operation.
- 3. Watch the semantic change.

### **Overview**

We will show the **fuzzyDL** reasoner [Bobillo and Straccia, 2008] capabilities, which extends the SHIF(D) family with fuzzy capabilities.

#### **Concept constructors**

We start with atomic concepts A. Derived concepts are on the next slide together with their interpretation. (Each concept is interpreted as a fuzzy subset of the domain.)

## **Fuzzy DL interpretation**

*Fuzzy interpretation*  $\mathscr{I}$  is a tuple  $\Delta^{\mathscr{I}}$ ,  $\cdot^{\mathscr{I}}$  which maps

an individual to a domain object an atomic concept to a domain subsets  $C^{\mathscr{I}} \in \mathbb{F}(\Delta^{\mathscr{I}})$ an atomic role to a relation on the domain

 $i^{\mathscr{I}} \in \Delta^{\mathscr{I}}$  $\mathsf{R}^{\mathscr{I}} \in \mathbb{F}(\Delta^{\mathscr{I}} \times \Delta^{\mathscr{I}})$ 

C, D :=	interpretation of $x$
$\perp$	0
Т	1
Α	$A^{\mathscr{I}}(x)$
¬C	$rac{1}{s} C^{\mathscr{I}}(\mathbf{x})$
C⊓D	$C^{\mathscr{I}}(x) \bigwedge_{S} D^{\mathscr{I}}(x)$
С <sub>П</sub> D	$C^{\mathscr{I}}(x) \underset{L}{\wedge} D^{\mathscr{I}}(x)$
С <sup>Б</sup> D	$C^{\mathscr{I}}(\mathbf{x})\stackrel{S}{\lor}D^{\mathscr{I}}(\mathbf{x})$
СНО	$C^{\mathscr{I}}(\mathbf{x}) \stackrel{\mathrm{L}}{\lor} D^{\mathscr{I}}(\mathbf{x})$
$C \xrightarrow{R}{S} D$	$C^{\mathscr{I}}(\mathbf{x}) \stackrel{\mathbb{R}}{\underset{\mathrm{S}}{\Longrightarrow}} D^{\mathscr{I}}(\mathbf{x})$
$C \mathop{\mapsto}_{L}^{R} D$	$C^{\mathscr{I}}(\mathbf{x}) \stackrel{\mathbb{R}}{\underset{\mathrm{L}}{\longrightarrow}} D^{\mathscr{I}}(\mathbf{x})$
$C \xrightarrow{S}{s} D$	$C^{\mathscr{I}}(\mathbf{x}) \stackrel{\mathrm{S}}{\underset{\mathrm{S}}{\Longrightarrow}} D^{\mathscr{I}}(\mathbf{x})$

C, D :=	interpretation of x
∃R · C	$\sup_{y} R^{\mathscr{I}}(x,y) \stackrel{\wedge}{\scriptscriptstyle{\circ}} C^{\mathscr{I}}(y)$
$\forall R \cdot C$	$\inf_{y} R^{\mathscr{I}}(x,y) \stackrel{\circ}{\underset{\circ}{\Rightarrow}} C^{\mathscr{I}}(y)$
(n C)	$ \begin{array}{c} n \cdot C(x) \\ mod(C^{\mathscr{I}}(x)) \end{array} $
mod(C)	
$\boldsymbol{w}_{1}C_{1} + + \boldsymbol{w}_{k}C_{k}$	$w_1C_1^{\mathscr{I}}(x) + \ldots + w_kC_k^{\mathscr{I}}(x)$
$C \leqq n$	$\begin{cases} C^{\mathscr{I}}(x) & C^{\mathscr{I}}(x) \leqq n \\ o & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

# Male $\sqcap$ Female $\neq \bot$



## **Modifiers**

*Modifier* is a function that alters the membership function.

#### Example

Linear modifier of degree c is

$$a = \frac{c}{c+1}$$
$$b = \frac{1}{c+1}$$

# Fuzzy DL ontology

Ontology consists of  $\mathscr{A}Box$  and  $\mathscr{T}Box$ :

## **MBox** (Assertion Box)

Contains concept assertions  $\langle i \in I : p \in P | \alpha \rangle$  and role assertions  $\langle (i, j \in I) : r \in R | \alpha \rangle$ .

## **TBox (Terminology Box)**

GCI axioms  $\langle C \sqsubseteq D | \alpha \rangle$  state that "C is D at least by  $\alpha$ ". Besides GCI, there are role hierarchy axioms  $\langle R_1 \sqsubseteq R_2 \rangle$ , transitivity axioms and definitions of inverse relations.

# Notion of a fuzzy truth

### **Fuzzy axioms**

axiom	satisfied if
$\langle i : C   \alpha \rangle$	$C^{\mathscr{I}}(i^{\mathscr{I}}) \geq \alpha$
$\langle (i,j) : R     \alpha \rangle$	$R^{\mathscr{I}}(i^{\mathscr{I}},j^{\mathscr{I}}) \geq \alpha$
$\langle C \sqsubseteq D   \alpha \rangle$	$C \stackrel{\circ}{\subseteq} D \ge \alpha$
$\langle R_{1} \sqsubseteq R_{2} \rangle$	$R_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathscr{I}} \subseteq R_{2}^{\mathscr{I}}$
$\langle transitive R  angle$	<i>R</i> is ∘-transitive
$\langle R_1 = R_2^{-1} \rangle$	$R_{1}^{\mathscr{I}} = (R_{2}^{\mathscr{I}})^{-1}$

Using these definitions, the notions of *logical consequence* and *satisfiability* (of both concepts and axioms) remains the same. More on slide 307.

## What can you ask the reasoner?

### **Best/Worst Degree Bound**

What is the minimal degree of an axiom that  $\mathcal{K}$ ensures?

$$bdb(\mathcal{K}, \tau) = \sup\{\alpha \mid \mathcal{K} \models \langle \tau \mid \alpha \rangle\}$$
$$wdb(\mathcal{K}, \tau) = \inf\{\alpha \mid \mathcal{K} \models \langle \tau \mid \alpha \rangle\}$$

where  $\tau$  is an axiom of type  $\langle i : C \rangle$  or  $\langle (i, j) : R \rangle$  or  $\langle C \sqsubseteq D \rangle$ .

- From an empty *K*, you cannot infer anything and therefore bdb(*K*, τ) = 1 and wdb(*K*, τ) = 0 (if using atomic concepts only). Only by adding new axioms into *K*, the bounds "tighten up".
- What happens if  $wdb(\mathcal{K}, \tau) \ge bdb(\mathcal{K}, \tau)$  for some axiom  $\tau$ ?

## What can you ask the reasoner?

### **Best Satisfiability Bound**

What is the maximal degree of satisfiability of C?

$$bsb(\mathcal{K}, \mathsf{C}) = \sup_{\mathscr{I}} \sup_{x \in \Delta} \{\mathsf{C}^{\mathscr{I}}(x) \,|\, \mathscr{I} \vDash \mathcal{K}\}.$$

This is a generalization of *concept satisfiability*.

# Bibliography

#### Baader, F. (2003).

The Description Logic Handbook: Theory, Implementation, and Applications.

Cambridge University Press.

Bobillo, O. and Straccia, U. (2008).
 fuzzydl: An expressive fuzzy description logic reasoner.
 In In Proc. FUZZ-IEEE-2008. IEEE Computer Society, pages 923--930.