

Vytěžování dat

Úloha 2: Bayesovské rozhodování

Michael Anděl



Evropský sociální fond
Praha & EU: Investujeme do vaší budoucnosti

Fakulta elektrotechnická, ČVUT

(Binary) Bayesian Classification – Revision

- **Given** the data $\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{x}_1, y_1), (\mathbf{x}_2, y_2) \dots, (\mathbf{x}_n, y_n)\}$ with $y_i \in \{0, 1\}$, $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{X}$; where \mathbb{X} is a feature space
- **Find** a rule $f : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$

(Binary) Bayesian Classification – Revision

- **Given** the data $\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{x}_1, y_1), (\mathbf{x}_2, y_2) \dots, (\mathbf{x}_n, y_n)\}$ with $y_i \in \{0, 1\}$, $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{X}$ where \mathbb{X} is a feature space
- **Find** a rule $f : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$

What about aposteriori probability?

- $f = \mathbf{x} \mapsto 1$ IF $p(y = 1|\mathbf{x}) > p(y = 0|\mathbf{x})$ ELSE 0

But what about $p(y|x)$?

- $p(y|x) = \frac{p(\mathbf{x}, y)}{p(\mathbf{x})}$
- $p(y = 1|\mathbf{x}) > p(y = 0|\mathbf{x}) \iff p(\mathbf{x}, y = 1) > p(\mathbf{x}, y = 0)$

But what about $p(\mathbf{x}, y)$?

- $p(\mathbf{x}, y) = p(\mathbf{x}|y)p(y)$
- $p(\mathbf{x}|y = c) \approx \mathcal{N}(\mu_c, \sigma_c^2)$
- $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2) \approx \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-(x-\mu)/2\sigma^2}$
- $\mu_c \approx \frac{1}{|J_c|} \sum_{j \in J_c} x_j$
- $\sigma_c \approx \sqrt{\sum_{j \in J_c} (x_j - \mu_c)^2}$
- $J_c = \{i : 0 < i < n \wedge y_i = c\}$

(Binary) Bayesian Classification – Revision

- **Given** the data $\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{x}_1, y_1), (\mathbf{x}_2, y_2) \dots, (\mathbf{x}_n, y_n)\}$ with $y_i \in \{0, 1\}$, $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{X}$ where \mathbb{X} is a feature space
- **Find** a rule $f : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$

What about aposteriori probability?

- $f = \mathbf{x} \mapsto 1$ IF $p(y = 1|\mathbf{x}) > p(y = 0|\mathbf{x})$ ELSE 0

But what about $p(y|\mathbf{x})$?

- $p(y|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{x}, y)}{p(\mathbf{x})}$
- $p(y = 1|\mathbf{x}) > p(y = 0|\mathbf{x}) \iff p(\mathbf{x}, y = 1) > p(\mathbf{x}, y = 0)$

But what about $p(\mathbf{x}, y)$?

- $p(\mathbf{x}, y) = p(\mathbf{x}|y)p(y)$
- $p(\mathbf{x}|y = c) \approx \mathcal{N}(\mu_c, \sigma_c^2)$
- $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2) \approx \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-(x-\mu)/2\sigma^2}$
- $\mu_c \approx \frac{1}{|J_c|} \sum_{j \in J_c} x_j$
- $\sigma_c \approx \sqrt{\sum_{j \in J_c} (x_j - \mu_c)^2}$
- $J_c = \{i : 0 < i < n \wedge y_i = c\}$

(Binary) Bayesian Classification – Revision

- **Given** the data $\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{x}_1, y_1), (\mathbf{x}_2, y_2) \dots, (\mathbf{x}_n, y_n)\}$ with $y_i \in \{0, 1\}$, $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{X}$ where \mathbb{X} is a feature space
- **Find** a rule $f : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$

What about aposteriori probability?

- $f = \mathbf{x} \mapsto 1$ IF $p(y = 1|\mathbf{x}) > p(y = 0|\mathbf{x})$ ELSE 0

But what about $p(y|\mathbf{x})$?

- $p(y|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{x}, y)}{p(\mathbf{x})}$
- $p(y = 1|\mathbf{x}) > p(y = 0|\mathbf{x}) \iff p(\mathbf{x}, y = 1) > p(\mathbf{x}, y = 0)$

But what about $p(\mathbf{x}, y)$?

- $p(\mathbf{x}, y) = p(\mathbf{x}|y)p(y)$
- $p(\mathbf{x}|y = c) \approx \mathcal{N}(\mu_c, \sigma_c^2)$
- $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2) \approx \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-(x-\mu)/2\sigma^2}$
- $\mu_c \approx \frac{1}{|J_c|} \sum_{j \in J_c} x_j$
- $\sigma_c \approx \sqrt{\sum_{j \in J_c} (x_j - \mu_c)^2}$
- $J_c = \{i : 0 < i < n \wedge y_i = c\}$

Assignment

1. Generate 2 populations $\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2$ from $\mathcal{N}(160, 10)$ and $\mathcal{N}(185, 10)$ respectively, each of 100 examples. Use `randn`.
2. Plot the frequencies (histograms) of these two populations into a **one** figure. Use `hist`, `bar(..., '<color>')`,
3. Concatenate $\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2$ into one data sample (vector) \mathbf{X} .
4. Create a vector \mathbf{y} assigning respective class to the elements of \mathbf{X} .
5. Make a classification rule, based on the **aposteriori probability**, which a *decision vector* saying for each element of \mathbf{X} whether the element has been generated from $\mathcal{N}(160, 10)$, or $\mathcal{N}(185, 10)$, respectively. You can employ `nodrmpdf`, `arrayfun`.
6. Compare the decision vector with the true classes in \mathbf{y} (enumerate the classification accuracy).

Just upload the functional m-file. No protocol needed for now.