Neuroinformatics

April 18, 2013

Lecture 8: Feed Forward Networks

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

Digital representation of a letter



Optical character recognition: Predict meaning from features. E.g., given features **x**, what is the character **y**

$$f: \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{S}_1^n \to \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{S}_2^m$$

Examples given by lookup table

B	oole	an ANI	<u>D</u> functior	۱
	<i>x</i> ₁	<i>x</i> ₂	y	
	0	0	1	
	0	1	0	
	1	0	0	
	1	1	1	

Look-up table for a non-boolean example function

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

<i>X</i> ₁	<i>X</i> ₂	У	
1	2	-1	
2	1	1	
3	-2	5	
-1	-1	7	

The population node as perceptron

Update rule: $\mathbf{r}^{\text{out}} = g(\mathbf{w}\mathbf{r}^{\text{in}})$ (component-wise: $r_i^{\text{out}} = g(\sum_j w_{ij}r_j^{\text{in}})$) For example: $r_i^{\text{in}} = x_i$, $\tilde{y} = r^{\text{out}}$, linear grain function g(x) = x:

$$\tilde{y} = W_1 X_1 + W_2 X_2$$



ヘロト ヘポト ヘヨト ヘヨト

How to find the right weight values?

Objective (error) function, for example: mean square error (MSE)

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} (r_i^{\text{out}} - y_i)^2$$

Gradient descent method: $w_{ij} \leftarrow w_{ij} - \epsilon \frac{\partial E}{\partial w_{ij}}$ = $w_{ij} - \epsilon(y_i - r_i^{\text{out}})r_j^{\text{in}}$ for MSE, linear gain



Initialize weights arbitrarily Repeat until error is sufficiently small Apply a sample pattern to the input nodes: $r_i^0 = r_i^{in} = \xi_i^{in}$ Calculate rate of the output nodes: $r_i^{out} = g(\sum_j w_{ij}r_j^{in})$ Compute the delta term for the output layer: $\delta_i = g'(h_i^{out})(\xi_i^{out} - r_i^{out})$ Update the weight matrix by adding the term: $\Delta w_{ij} = \epsilon \delta_i r_j^{in}$

Example: OCR



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ・三 のへで

Example: Boolean function

A. Boolean OR function











perceptronTrain.m

```
1
     %% Letter recognition with threshold perceptron
 2
     clear; clf;
 3
     nIn=12*13; nOut=26;
 4
      wOut=rand(nOut,nIn)-0.5;
 5
 6
     % training vectors
 7
     load pattern1;
 8
      rIn=reshape(pattern1', nIn, 26);
 9
      rDes=diag(ones(1,26));
10
11
     % Updating and training network
     for training step=1:20;
12
13
          % test all pattern
14
           rOut=(wOut*rIn)>0.5;
15
           distH=sum(sum((rDes-rOut).^2))/26;
16
           error(training_step)=distH;
          % training with delta rule
17
18
           wOut=wOut+0.1*(rDes-rOut)*rIn';
19
      end
2.0
21
      plot(0:19,error)
      xlabel('Training step')
2.2
23
      ylabel('Average Hamming distance')
```

Percepton as Linear Classifier: ML approach

- Assume a binary classification problem, i.e. $S = \{s_1, s_2\}$.
- One discriminant function $g(\vec{x})$ enough: classify

$$y = \left\{egin{array}{cc} s_1, & ext{if } g(ec{x}) > 0; \ s_2, & ext{otherwise.} \end{array}
ight.$$

- ▶ we will estimate \vec{b} , c directly from the given sample $D = \{(\vec{x}_1, y_1), (\vec{x}_2, y_2) \dots (\vec{x}_m, y_m)\}.$
- We want $(\vec{b}^t \vec{x}_i + c) > 0$ if $y_i = s_1$ and $(\vec{b}^t \vec{x}_i + c) < 0$ otherwise.
- Same as requesting $(\vec{b}^t \vec{z}_i + c) > 0$ for all z_i , where $z_i = x_i$ if $y_i = s_1$ and $z_i = -x_i$ otherwise.
- ► Let formally $z_i^{n+1} = 1 \forall i$ and $\vec{w} = [\vec{b}, c]$ (add *c* as the last component of \vec{w}).
- Thus we can write simply $g(\vec{z}) = \vec{w}^t \vec{z}$ and request $\vec{w}^t \vec{z}_i > 0$ for all z_i .

Let

$$E(\vec{w}) = \sum_{\vec{z}_i \in M} - \vec{w}^t \vec{z}_i$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

where *M* is the set $\vec{z_i}$ that are misclassified.

Percepton ML view

- $E(\vec{b}, c)$ is always non-negative.
- ► If $E(\vec{w}) = 0$ then all examples in *D* are correctly classified and *D* is linearly separable. We want to find the minimum of $E(\vec{w})$.
- ► E(w) is piece-wise linear. A gradient algorithm can be used to search a minimum.
- ► Gradient algorithm: go towards a minimum by making discrete steps in ℜⁿ⁺¹ in the direction opposite to the gradient of E(w).

$$\nabla(E(\vec{w})) = \left(\frac{\partial E(\vec{w})}{\partial w_1}, \frac{\partial E(\vec{w})}{\partial w_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial E(\vec{w})}{\partial w_{n+1}}\right) = \sum_{z_i \in M} -\vec{z}$$

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本

- The perceptron gradient algorithm:
 - 1. k = 0. Choose a random \vec{w} .

$$2. \ k \leftarrow k + 1$$

3.
$$\vec{w} \leftarrow \vec{w} + \eta(k) \sum_{z_i \in M_k} \vec{z}$$

4. if
$$|\nu(k) \sum_{z_i \in M_k} \vec{z}| > \theta$$
 go to 2

- 5. return w
- > η the learning rate, θ an error threshold.

Percepton graphical representation

- $y(\vec{x}) = \vec{w}^t \vec{x} + w_0, \, y(\vec{x_a}) = y(\vec{x_b})$
- $\vec{x_a}$ a $\vec{x_b}$ is on decision surface, hence $\vec{w}^t(\vec{x_a} \vec{x_b}) = 0$)
- w is orthonomal to decision surface, $\omega_0(b)$ is translation [Bishop]



Percepton learning



Percepton - linear separability

- If the two classes are linearly separable, the perceptron algorithm will terminate in a finite number of steps with zero training error.
- ► A problem that is linearly non-separable in ℜⁿ may be separable after being transformed to ℜ^{n'} n' > n. For example, new coordinates may contain all quadratic terms:

 $[x(1),\ldots x(n),x^2(1),x(1)x(2),x(1)x(3),\ldots x^2(n)]$

A linear separation method such as the perceptron may be applied in the extended space, generating nonlinear separation in the original space.





Percepton - history

Frank Rosenblatt - HW realization of percepton in 1958



- Learning of simple symbols and alphabet inspiration by brain nets
- Character was illuminated by powerful lights, image focused onto 20 x 20 array of cadmium sulphide photocells giving 400 pixel image
- Patch board different configuration of input features
- Rack of adaptive weights, each weight rotary variable resistor driven by electric motor - weights were adjusted automatically by the elarning algorithm
- MARK 1 computer (Harvard IBM): 765000 parts, 16 m long, 2.4 m height, 2 m wide, 3 operation per second, multiplication took 6 sec

The multilayer Perceptron (MLP)



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Update rule: $\mathbf{r}^{\text{out}} = g^{\text{out}}(\mathbf{w}^{\text{out}}g^{\text{h}}(\mathbf{w}^{\text{h}}\mathbf{r}^{\text{in}}))$

Learning rule (error backpropagation): $w_{ij} \leftarrow w_{ij} - \epsilon \frac{\partial E}{\partial w_{ij}}$

The error-backpropagation algorithm

Initialize weights arbitrarily Repeat until error is sufficiently small Apply a sample pattern to the input nodes: $r_i^0 := r_i^{\text{in}} = \xi_i^{\text{in}}$ Propagate input through the network by calculating the rates of nodes in successive layers *I*: $r_i^l = g(h_i^l) = g(\sum_j w_{ij}^l r_j^{l-1})$ Compute the delta term for the output layer: $\delta_i^{\text{out}} = g'(h_i^{\text{out}})(\xi_i^{\text{out}} - r_i^{\text{out}})$ Back-propagate delta terms through the network: $\delta_i^{l-1} = g'(h_i^{l-1}) \sum_j w_{ji}^l \delta_j^l$ Update weight matrix by adding the term: $\Delta w_{ij}^l = \epsilon \delta_i^l r_i^{l-1}$

MLP as universal approximator

- Hidden layer enables realization of complicated non-linear fces
- Each neuron can have its own activation fce
- We suppose that we have only ONE type of activation fce
- QUESTION: Can 3-forward layer approximate any non-linear function?

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

ANSWER: YES- thanks to A.Kolmogorov Any continuous fce can be implemented by 3-layes net under assumption of sufficient number of n_H hidden neurons,suitable non-linearities and weights w.

Andrej Kolmogorov

- He constructed perpetuum mobilein high school, his teacher could not discover the trick
- First he studied history in Moscow university
- He published the first scientific work on realities in Novgorod area during 15. a 16. centurary
- The biggest contribution in probability field



(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

mlp.m

```
1
     %% MLP with backpropagation learning on XOR problem
 2
     clear; clf;
 3
      N i=2; N h=2; N o=1;
 4
      w h=rand(N h, N i)-0.5; w o=rand(N o, N h)-0.5;
 5
 6
      % training vectors (XOR)
 7
      r i=[0 1 0 1; 0 0 1 1];
 8
      r d=[0 1 1 0];
 9
10
      % Updating and training network with sigmoid activation function
      for sweep=1:10000;
11
12
        % training randomly on one pattern
1.3
          i=ceil(4*rand):
          r h=1./(1+exp(-w h*r i(:,i)));
14
15
          r = 0.1 / (1 + exp(-w o + r h));
          d o=(r o.*(1-r o)).*(r d(:,i)-r o);
16
17
          d_h = (r_h \cdot (1 - r_h)) \cdot (w_0' \cdot d_0);
18
          w = w + 0.7 * (r h * d o')';
19
          w h=w h+0.7*(r i(:,i)*d h')';
20
        % test all pattern
21
          r o test=1./(1+exp(-w o*(1./(1+exp(-w h*r i)))));
2.2
           d(sweep)=0.5*sum((r \circ test-r d).^2);
23
      end
2.4
      plot(d)
```

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - 釣�()~.

MLP for XOR function



Learning curve for XOR problem



・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

æ

Example 3-layer neural net - XOR problem

▶
$$0 \bigoplus 0 = 0, 1 \bigoplus 1 = 0, 1 \bigoplus 0 = 1, 0 \bigoplus 1 = 1$$

 $\blacktriangleright -1 \bigoplus -1 = -1, 1 \bigoplus 1 = -1, 1 \bigoplus -1 = 1, -1 \bigoplus 1 = 1$



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

Non-linear fce approximation

Fourier transform ANALOGY



Comparision of 2-layer and 3-layer net



E 990

MLP, generalization, overfitting



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆豆▶ ◆豆▶ □豆 の々で

Validation

- error of training set in monotonic-decreasing fce because of gradient algorithm optimization
- we divide data to training and validation set We use validation as stopping criteria (e.g. the first minimum)
- DEMO Neural Network Toolbox Matlab http://www.mathworks.com/products/neu/

```
http://www.mathworks.com/products/neuralnet/
```

netlab -Bishop

```
http://wwwl.aston.ac.uk/eas/research/groups/
ncrg/resources/netlab/
```



MLP biological plausibility

- 1. universal approximator \rightarrow small number of hidden neurons \rightarrow smooth solution & big number of hidden layers in biological systems
- 2. problematic training with error-back propagation, some exchange between postsynaptic and presynaptic neurons is possible, however
- 3. inclusion of derivative terms??
- 4. non-locality of the algorithm, neuron must gather the back-propagated errors from all other nodes to which it projects

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Kernel machine

- ▶ better recognition after transformation of feature space x_1x_2, x_i^2 , $x \to \Theta(x), w \to \Theta(w)$
- ► the net input of node $h = \sum_i (w_i r_i) = wr$, node in the network, $h = \Theta(w)\Theta(r) = K(w, r)$
- ► K is kernel function, special case is Gaussian kernel function $K(w, x) = \frac{(w-x)^2}{2\delta^2}$, FITS tuning curve
- Radial basis networks





Advance learning

 shallow part of error function, very slow convergence, using momentum term

$$\Delta w_{ij}(t+1) = \eta \frac{\partial E}{w_{ij}} + \alpha \Delta w_{ij}(t)$$

 Acceleration of learning process, other fce than MSE: entropic error function

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu,i} [(1 + y_i^{\mu}) \log \frac{1 + y_i^{\mu}}{1 + r_i^{out}} + (1 - y_i^{\mu}) \log \frac{1 - y_i^{\mu}}{1 - r_i^{out}}]$$

- measure information content of the output, even less computation of delta term: g(x) = tanh(x), δ_i = y_i - r^{out}
- more sophisticated training using higher-order gradients: in MATLAB Levenberg-Marquardt. The relation of such sophistivate technique to biological learning is,so far, unclear!
- ► random search → stochastic processes, stochastic annealing, genetic algorithms

Self-organizing network architectures

- ▶ how many nodes we need? too few → not good mapping, too many → reduction of generalization abilities, how the nodes should be connected?
- \blacktriangleright node creation algorithm \rightarrow adding more and more nodes
- ► pruning algorithms \rightarrow starting with large number of ones, e.g. weight decay, $w_{ij}(t+1) = w_{ij}(t) + \delta w_{ij} \epsilon^{decay} w_{ij}(t)$
- ► genetics algorithm → vector [0010001] indicating presence of connection, biological inspiration → development of major structure of the central nervous system





The chromosome for the feed forward portion only

0110110011

Recurrent mapping networks - context units

- Elman net simple recurrent net, physical back-projections
- short-term memory input is connected to context units remember the inputs from the previous time steps
- training of sequence of inputs e.g. predicting the next output (time series)



◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶

Probabilistic MLP

- data classification, n^{out} classes probability of the membership of the object
- ▶ all outputs nodes to 1, *r^out* firing rate of output node

$$\sum_{i} r_i^{out} = 1$$

- \blacktriangleright output layer competing for the output \rightarrow collateral inhibitory connections, strong inhabitation winner take all
- confidence of membership soft competition:

$$r_i^{out} = \frac{e^{r_i^{out}}}{\sum_j r_j^{out}}$$



MLP with approximate softmax version



Support Vector Machines

- MLP: good interpolators, bad extrapolaters, local problem minima, slow convergence
- margin: distance from the middle line to the border, large-margin classifiers: more robust than percepton

Linear large-margine classifier





Margin

- distance of the line to the origins: $\frac{(\theta+1)}{|w|}$, $\frac{(\theta-1)}{|w|}$
- ► distance between the lines: $d = \frac{2}{|w|}$, minimizing weights subject to constrains

$$w_1 x_1 + w_2 x_2 - \theta = 0$$

$$w_1 x_1 + w_2 x_2 - \theta = 1$$

$$w_1 x_1 + w_2 x_2 - \theta = -1$$

$$y(wx - \theta - 1) < 0$$

- Lagrange formalism, constraints are added with multiplies α
- L_P is quadratic optimization problem, equivalent to dual problem L_D , data points on margine \rightarrow support vector

$$L_P = \frac{1}{2}|w|^2 + \sum_i \alpha_i y_i (wx_i - \theta) + sum_i \alpha_i$$

SVM: Kernel trick

- ▶ non-linear separable data! Transformation φ(x) = (x, x²), Kernel function φ)(x_i)φ(x_j) = K(x_i, x_j)
- \blacktriangleright right choice of kernel \rightarrow convex optimization problem:

$$K(x_i, x_j) = e^{\frac{(x_i, x_j)}{2\sigma^2}}$$



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

Further Readings

- Simon Haykin (1999), **Neural networks: a comprehensive foundation**, MacMillan (2nd edition).
- John Hertz, Anders Krogh, and Richard G. Palmer (1991), Introduction to the theory of neural computation, Addison-Wesley.
- Berndt Müller, Joachim Reinhardt, and Michael Thomas Strickland (1995), Neural Networks: An Introduction, Springer
- Christopher M. Bishop (2006), Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning, Springer
- Laurence F. Abbott and Sacha B. Nelson (2000), **Synaptic plasticity: taming the** beast, in Nature Neurosci. (suppl.), 3: 1178–83.
- Christopher J. C. Burges (1998), A Tutorial on Support Vector Machines for Pattern Recognition in Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery 2:121–167.
- Alex J. Smola and Bernhard Schölhopf (2004), A tutorial on support vector regression in Statistics and computing 14: 199-222.
- David E. Rumelhart, James L. McClelland, and the PDP research group (1986), Parallel Distributed Processing: Explorations in the Microstructure of Cognition, MIT Press.
- Peter McLeod, Kim Plunkett, and Edmund T. Rolls (1998), Introduction to connectionist modelling of cognitive processes, Oxford University Press.
- E. Bruce Goldstein (1999), **Sensation & perception**, Brooks/Cole Publishing Company (5th edition).