Neuroinformatics

Eduard Bakštein (credits: Daniel Novák, Tomáš Sieger)

March 31st 2016

Lecture 6: Case study - Deep brain stimulation for Parkinson's disease

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Overview Why this lecture? Because...

Parkinson's disease

Disease basics Symptoms Patophysiology Therapy

Deep brain Stimulation (DBS)

Basics Stimulators Targetting

μEEG : processing and evaluation methods

 μEEG μEEG recording of patient data

Processing µEEG

Conversion of μEEG to a spike train

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Statistics

Spike train statistics

Applications

Mechanism of DBS STN

Why this lecture?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

We included this in order to

- Show you a case study:
 - how can the methods you will learn be applied?
 - are they good for basic research of bran function?
 - are there some applications?
- Show you how we came to computational neuroscience

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

Give you some insight into what we do

Parkinson's disease (PD)

Review of Patophysiology, Diagnosis and Therapy

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ の < @

Historical perspective

Dr. James Parkinson (1755-1828) 1817:

- "involuntary tremulous motion"
- "pass from a walking to a running pace"
- "shaking palsy"



Epidemiology

- Average incidence is 20 per 100,000 in North America
- 1 Million affected in the United States, about the same in Europe
- 50,000 new cases per year
- Cost estimated to exceed \$5.6 Billion annually
- Average age of onset around 60
- Men affected slightly more than women
- Genetic Link
- African-Americans and Asians slightly less likely than Caucasians to develop PD
- Caffeine and smoking shows some protective effects
- Continuous Progressive Neurological Disease, thereby causing increasing disability of movement
- no cure

Symptoms

- Four cardinal symptoms
 - T remor
 - R igidity
 - A kinesia and bradykinesia
 - P ostural instability
- Tremor Usually tremor at rest, when person sits, arm shakes, tremor stops when person attempts to grab something
- Rigidity Increased muscle tone and increase Resistance to movement (arms and legs stiff)
- Akinesia and Bradykinesia Lack of movement or slowness in initiation and execution of voluntary movements
- Postural instability Abnormal fixation of posture (stoop when standing), equilibrium, and righting reflex
- Gait disturbances shuffling feet


Characteristic Problems

- Hypomimia decreased facial animation
- Hypophonia soft speech
- Dysarthria unclear pronunciation
- Dyspnea labored breathing
- Festination Shuffling gait
- Micrographia small handwriting
- Change in facial expression (staring, lack of blinking)
- Failure to swing one arm when walking
- Flexion (stooped) posture
- "Frozen"painful shoulder
- Limping or dragging of one leg
- Numbness, tingling, achiness or discomfort of the neck or limbs
- Subjective sensation of internal trembling
- Resting tremor

Most symptoms may affect one or both sides of the body

Patophysiology


- Loss of dopaminergic cells located in Substantia Nigra in Basal Ganglia
- Most symptoms do not appear until striata dopamine levels decline by at least 70-80%
- imbalance primarily between the excitatory neurotransmitter Acetylcholine and inhibitory neurontransmitter Dopamine.
- Cause of this neurodegenerative process unknown



▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

Dopamine

- Neurotransmitter (chemical infomation transmission at synapses)
- Role in reward-motivated behavior
- Role in motor control
- Levels increased by stimulants(incl. drugs: cocaine, amphetamine)
- Probably connected with schizophrenia
- Dopaminergic neurons rather rare (est. 400 000 in human brain)






◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

Basal Ganglia I - Movement control

- The Basal Ganglia Consists of Five Large Subcortical Nuclei That Participate in Control of Movement:
 - Caudate Nucleus
 - Putamen
 - Globus Pallidus
 - Subthalamic Nucleus
 - Substantia Nigra



Neuronal pathways of the human brain in normal condition (left) and Parkinson's disease (right). Red Arrows indicate suppression of the target (GABA), blue arrows indicate stimulation of target structure (glutamate).

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

Basal Ganglia II


18F PET scan(right) shows decreased dopamine activity in the basal ganglia


The Human Basal Ganglia



Near the base of the brain is a small area called the substantia nigra which contains cells that produce dopamine.

Dopamine acts as a transmitter between the nerve endings.






▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

Subthalamic nucleus (STN)

- Subthalamic nucleus:
 - small lens-shaped nucleus (several mm in size)
 - ventral to the thalamus
 - function not well understood
- Below: Coronal slices of human brain showing the basal ganglia.
 - ROSTRAL: striatum, globus pallidus (GPe and GPi)
 - CAUDAL: subthalamic nucleus (STN), substantia nigra (SN)







Therapy

- No no definitive cure known
 - (=no possibility to stop or revert the process)
- Current therapies only suppress symptoms

Therapeutic options

- 1. Drug treatment
 - Levodopa dopamine precursor
 - Dopamine agonists stimulate dopamine receptors directly
- 2. Pallidotomy destruction of cells in the GP
- 3. Deep brain stimulation application of electrical pulses to STN or GP
- 4. Nerve cell transplantation (Experimental, research only)
- 5. Genetic engineering (Experimental, research only)

Levodopa (L-dopa)

- Increasing the synthesis of dopamine
- Introduced in the late 1960s
- "Gold Standard" in drug therapy
- Crosses the blood-brain barrier
- Adverse effects: nausea, vomiting, postural hypotension, involuntary movements, restlessness, and cardiac arrhythmias
 - Behavioral disturbances in 20 to 25% of population
 - Trouble in thinking (cognitive effects)
 - L-dopa can induce: Psychosis, Confusion, Hallucination, Anxiety, Delusion
- Some Individuals develop hypomania (inappropriate sexual behavior); "Dirty Old Man", "Flashers"





After long-term therapy with levodopa:

 "On/off"Effect: like a switch ; without warning, suddenly person goes from full control back to bradykinesia tremor etc. Lasting 30min to several hours, then gets control again.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

- "On/off"Effect usually after 2 or more years on L Dopa
- related to denervation hypersensitivity

Pallidotomy

- Destruction of cells in the globus pallidus (GP)
- Until the late 1990s, most common type of PD surgery. DBS is now being performed more often (reversible)
- May help to restore the balance in basal ganglia.
- Procedure:
 - 1. Position of GP located using medical imaging techniques (such as MRI and/or CT)
 - 2. Insertion of a wire probe into the GPi.
 - 3. Placement confirmed by electrical tests (microrecording)
 - 4. Tissue surrounding the Probe heated by emission of electromagnetic field. The heat destroys nearby tissue.



Pallidotomy II - effects

- Almost immediate effect
- Improvements:
 - 70% to 90% reduction of dyskinesias and dystonia
 - 25% to 50% for tremor, rigidity, bradykinesia, and gait disturbance
 - Levodopa dose may be reduced after the surgery, and dyskinesia improvement is based partly on this reduction.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

- Adverse side effects: hemorrhage, weakness, severe visual and speech deficits and confusion
- Irreversible! Not much performed anymore...

Experimental techniques

Possible future techniques under research include:

Neural tissue transplants:

- Researchers are studying ways to implant neural tissues from fetal pigs into the brain to restore the degenerate area.
- In a clinical trial conducted in part at Boston University School of Medicine, three patients out of 12 implanted with the pig tissues showed significant reduction in symptoms.
- Connected with risks and side effects...

Genetic engineering:

 Scientists are modifying the genetic code of individual cells to create dopamine-producing cells from other cells, such as those from the skin.

Deep brain Stimulation (DBS)

Overview of the therapeutical technique

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E、のQの


DBS basics





- Modern treatment technique for Parkinson's disease (PD), Essential tremor, clinical depressions and other.
- Stimulation electrodes implanted into patient's brain
- Supports or replaces medication when insufficient or contraindicated
 - Typical case: patient with long-term progressive PD.

DBS implants



Stimulator device

- Device similar to heart pacemaker
- Implanted in the chest cavity
- Leads below skin to top of the head
- Battery-operated
- Remote-controlled
 - Most devices need to be reoperated once in every 2-5 years
 - Some devices remotely rechargeable



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの



Targetting

Problem: locate and implant the target structure accurately

- Target structure very small (STN several mm)
- Far away from head surface
- Soft tissue (may shift + hard to see on CT)

Procedure:

- 1. Fitting patient with stereotactic frame (\rightarrow patient coordinate system)
- 2. Imaging using MRI and CT (low accuracy)
- 3. Planning trajectory
- Microrecording (→ accurate identification of target position)
- 5. Implantation of stim. electrode
- 6. Implantation of dbs device (few days after)



Microrecording

Aim: refine on position of the target structure

- Set of microelectrodes shifted through patient's brain
- Electrophysiological properties along trajectory recorded
- Activity evaluated by trained physician
- Accurate location of target nucleus boundaries identified.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

μEEG : processing and evaluation methods

Tomáš Sieger (updates: E. Bakštein)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ の < @







 ${\sim}10~000 \mu m \\ {\sim}1{\div}100 Hz$







 \sim 10 000 μ m \sim 1 \div 100Hz

 $\mu \mathsf{EEG}$



 \sim 1 μ m \sim 10 000Hz





 \sim 10 000 μ m \sim 1 \div 100Hz





 \sim 1 μ m \sim 10 000Hz

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ の < @

microelectrodes

$\mu \mathsf{EEG}$



 \sim 10 000 μ m \sim 1 \div 100Hz





 \sim 1 μ m \sim 10 000Hz

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ の < @

microelectrodes

▶ neuron ~10µm (10-25µm)



 \sim 10 000 μ m \sim 1 \div 100Hz





 \sim 1 μ m \sim 10 000Hz

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ の < @

microelectrodes

- ▶ neuron ~10µm (10-25µm)
- electrode tip $\sim 1 \div 10 \mu m$



 \sim 10 000 μ m \sim 1 \div 100Hz





 \sim 1 μ m \sim 10 000Hz

- microelectrodes
 - ▶ neuron ~10µm (10-25µm)
 - electrode tip $\sim 1 \div 10 \mu m$
 - one / more conacts (tetrodes)



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ の < @



 \sim 10 000 μ m \sim 1 \div 100Hz





 \sim 1 μ m \sim 10 000Hz

- microelectrodes
 - ▶ neuron ~10µm (10-25µm)
 - electrode tip $\sim 1 \div 10 \mu m$
 - one / more conacts (tetrodes)
 - bipolar / unipolar



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ



 \sim 10 000 μ m \sim 1 \div 100Hz





 \sim 1 μ m \sim 10 000Hz

- microelectrodes
 - ▶ neuron ~10µm (10-25µm)
 - electrode tip $\sim 1 \div 10 \mu m$
 - one / more conacts (tetrodes)
 - bipolar / unipolar
 - recording / stimulatory



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Application: research of DBS STN mechanisms in Parkinson's disease

- Parkinson's disease: neurodegenerative disorder
- Deep brain stimulation (DBS)



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

Application: research of DBS STN mechanisms in Parkinson's disease

- Parkinson's disease: neurodegenerative disorder
- Deep brain stimulation (DBS)



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

non-motoric side effects: depression, emotional lability

Application: research of DBS STN mechanisms in Parkinson's disease

- Parkinson's disease: neurodegenerative disorder
- Deep brain stimulation (DBS)



- non-motoric side effects: depression, emotional lability
- hypothesis: STN neurones are connected to cognitive processes

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●


μEEG - recording of patient data


- ▶ 5 paralell mikcoelectrodes (cross), 2mm apart
- sampling rate 24kHz




Microregistration - shifting electrodes

3 recording positions:








A D > A P > A D > A D >

ъ

μ EEG example



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

Spike detection and spike sorting





Spike detection and spike sorting




▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三 のQ@

spike detection


Spike detection and spike sorting



- spike detection
- sorting of detected spikes to neurons



Recorded spike shape depends on the neuron-electrode positioning



 Carl Gold, D.A. Henze, Ch. Koch and G. Buzsáki, On the Origin of the Extracellular Action Potential Waveform: A Modeling Study. J.Neurophysiol 95:3113-3128, 2006.

Spike sorting

Selected methods

method	spike detection	spike sorting	
		features	clustering
WaveClus ¹	amplitude	koef. WT AP	superparamg.
KlustaKwik ²	N/A	ad hoc	Gauss mix fit + AIC
OnlineSort ³	energy	AP	min. LS of AP differences, threshold
Spike2 ⁴	amplitude manual	ad hoc	manual / k-means

¹R. Quian Quiroga, Unsupervised Spike Detection and Sorting with Wavelets and Superparamagnetic Clustering. Neural Computation 16, 1661–1687 (2004)

²Kenneth D. Harris, Accuracy of Tetrode Spike Separation as Determined by Simultaneous Intracellular and Extracellular Measurements. Neurophysiol 84:401-414, 2000.

³Ueli Rutishauser, Online detection and sorting of extracellularly recorded action potentials in human medial temporal lobe recordings, in vivo. Journal of Neuroscience Methods 154 (2006) 204–224.

⁴CED Spike2 SW, http://www.scienceproducts.com/Products/CatalogC/Acq&AnaSoftware/Spike2/Spike2.html ≥ → (≥ →) ≥ →) ⊲ (~)

Spike sorting

Problems


guarantee?

- did we detect all true spikes?
- did we include noise spikes (false positives)?
- were the spikes sorted correctly?
- which method should we choose?
 - how should we set the parameters?
- method comparison:
 - processing of artificial/simulated signal
 - problem: how to generate signal, sufficiently similar to real one

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Spike sorting

Methods: comparison of accuracy



Noise Level

Spike train statistics

tasks:

compare spike train to other quantity:

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ


- single number
- continuous signal
- compare two spike trains

Spike train statistics

- tasks:
 - compare spike train to other quantity:
 - single number
 - continuous signal
 - compare two spike trains
- how to characterize a spike train?
 - mean firing rate
 - median ISI
 - ISI coefficient of variance
 - ISI index of assymetry
 - Fano faktor
 - ► ...
 - the spike train itself!
 - holds most information


◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

- spike train \rightarrow continuous function (instantaneous firing rate)
 - convolution of spiketrain with alpha funcition $w(\tau) = \alpha^2 \tau e^{-\alpha \tau}$




▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

- spike train \rightarrow continuous function (instantaneous firing rate)
 - convolution of spiketrain with alpha funcition $w(\tau) = \alpha^2 \tau e^{-\alpha \tau}$



Compute relation between signal and instantaneous firing rate



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Compute relation between signal and instantaneous firing rate

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

- correlation (Pearson, Spearman)
- mutual information

Compute relation between signal and instantaneous firing rate

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

- correlation (Pearson, Spearman)
- mutual information
- statistical significance
 - correlation coefficients
 - watch out for assumptions (normality)
 - watch out for degrees of freedom

Compute relation between signal and instantaneous firing rate

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

- correlation (Pearson, Spearman)
- mutual information
- statistical significance
 - correlation coefficients
 - watch out for assumptions (normality)
 - watch out for degrees of freedom
- Monte-Carlo simulation: bootstrap

Compute relation between signal and instantaneous firing rate

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

- correlation (Pearson, Spearman)
- mutual information
- statistical significance
 - correlation coefficients
 - watch out for assumptions (normality)
 - watch out for degrees of freedom
- Monte-Carlo simulation: bootstrap
 - repeat many times (5000x):
 - generate artificial signals
 - compute correlation coefficient

- Compute relation between signal and instantaneous firing rate
 - correlation (Pearson, Spearman)
 - mutual information
- statistical significance
 - correlation coefficients
 - watch out for assumptions (normality)
 - watch out for degrees of freedom
- Monte-Carlo simulation: bootstrap
 - repeat many times (5000x):
 - generate artificial signals
 - compute correlation coefficient



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

result: distribution of correlation coefficients

- Compute relation between signal and instantaneous firing rate
 - correlation (Pearson, Spearman)
 - mutual information
- statistical significance
 - correlation coefficients
 - watch out for assumptions (normality)
 - watch out for degrees of freedom
- Monte-Carlo simulation: bootstrap
 - repeat many times (5000x):
 - generate artificial signals
 - compute correlation coefficient
 - result: distribution of correlation coefficients
 - we deem coefficients outside of 95% interval significant

・ コット (雪) (小田) (コット 日)

Comparing two spike trains

ad-hoc methods


- spike train metrics (Victor, Purpura)
- comparison of spike train features
- Statistical tests
 - testing difference in spiketrain intensities (testing frequency coding)
 - testing difference in spiketrain distribution (testing temporal coding)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

advantage: statistical significance


Victor metric

 Jonathan D. Victor and Keith Purpura. Metric-space analysis of spike trains: theory, algorithms, and application. Network 8,




▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = 三 のへで


Wilcoxon 2-sample test




◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 _ のへで


Wilcoxon 2-sample test






Wilcoxon 2-sample test






◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─のへで

Wilcoxon 2-sample test




< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □


Kolmogorov-Smirnov 2-sample test




◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ・三 の々で


Kolmogorov-Smirnov 2-sample test






Kolmogorov-Smirnov 2-sample test





▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

Kolmogorov-Smirnov 2-sample test



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Test of spike train differences


- combination of previous two tests
 - we want a single test at significance level α
- procedure:
 - 1. test of AP intensities at significance level $\frac{\alpha}{2}$
 - 2. test of ISI distribution at significance level $\frac{\alpha}{2}$

< □ > < 同 > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ < </p>

 \blacktriangleright the resulting test yields a significance level α

Visual stimulation + recording μEEG


visual stimulation



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

Visual stimulation + recording μEEG

visual stimulation



► recorded µEEG



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Statistics

data

- 10 patients
- 43 recording positions
- 141 recordings (74 in STN)
- 173 recording minutes (89 minutes in STN)
- 176 neurons (101 in STN)
- results: counts of identified significant neurons
 - by comparing spiketrain characteristics: 6

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

by comparing spiketrains: 20

Team

- Department of Cybernetics, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Czech Tech. University, Prague
 - Ing. Daniel Novák, PhD.
 - Mgr. Tomáš Sieger, PhD
 - Ing. Jiří Wild
 - Ing. Eduard Bakštein
- Department of neurology, 1st Faculty of Medicine, Charles University

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ● ● ● ●

- Doc. MUDr. Robert Jech, PhD.
- MUDr. Tereza Serranová
- MUDr. Filip Růžička
- Na Homolce hospital, Prague
 - MUDr. Dušan Urgošík, CSc.

Thank you for your attention!

▲□▶▲□▶▲≡▶▲≡▶ ≡ のへの