Naming and State

A4M36TPJ, 2015/2016

Naming Features

- Nameable values
- Parameter-passing mechanisms
- Scoping
- Name control
- Multiple namespaces
- Name capture
- Side effects

Parameter Passing

- call-by-name a formal parameter names the computation designated by an unevaluated argument expression. Normal-order reduction strategy. (Haskell)
- call-by-value a formal parameter names the value of an evaluated argument expression. Strict argument evaluation strategy. (C, Java, Pascal)

Call-by-name vs. Call-by-value

CBN	\mathbf{CBV}
(app (lam x (prim * x x))	(app (lam x (prim * x x))
(prim + 2 3))	(prim + 2 3))
$\overrightarrow{\overline{CBN}}_{[\beta]}$ (prim * (prim + 2 3)	$\overrightarrow{\overline{CBV}}_{[+]}^{[+]}$ (app (lam x (prim * x x))
(prim + 2 3))	5)
$\overrightarrow{\overline{CBN}}_{[+]}$ (prim * 5 (prim + 2 3))	$\overrightarrow{CBV}_{[\beta\text{-value}]}$ (prim * 5 5)
$ \frac{\overrightarrow{CBN}}{ + } (prim * 5 5) $	$\frac{\overrightarrow{CBV}}{ A }$ [*] 25
$\frac{\overrightarrow{CBN}}{\overrightarrow{CBN}}_{[*]}^{[+]} = 25$	
CBN [*]	
(app (lam x 2) (prim / 1 0))	(app (lam x 2) (prim / 1 0))
$\overrightarrow{\overline{CBN}}_{[\beta]}$ 2	{ This stuck expression models an error}
(app (lam x 3)	(app (lam x 3)
(app (lam a (app a a))	(app (lam a (app a a))
(lam a (app a a))))	(lam a (app a a))))
$\Longrightarrow_{[\beta]}$ 3	$\overrightarrow{\overline{CBV}}_{[\beta extsf{-value}]}$
	(app (lam x 3)
	(app (lam a (app a a))
	(lam a (app a a))))
	$\overrightarrow{\overline{CBV}}_{[\beta\text{-value}]} \ \dots \ \{Infinite \ loop\}$

Call-by-denotation (CBD)

- Call-by-name determines the meaning of an operand expression relative to the environment available at the **point of call.**
- Call-by-denotation instead determines the meaning of an operand expression relative to the environment where the formal parameter is referenced.

CBD Example

- Error in Call-by-name or Call-by-value (because x is unbound).
- In CBD, the unevaluated outer x is effectively substituted for y.

CBD Example

(app (lam x x) 3)

- CBD allows name capture.
- The evaluation of the outer x yields not what we would normally think of as a value but an environment accessor that is eventually applied to an environment that has a binding for the inner x.

Static Scope

```
function f(int a) {
   function g(int b) {
     return a + b;
  }

return a + g(3);
}
```

 In a statically scoped language, every variable reference refers to the variable introduced by the nearest lexically enclosing variable declaration of that identifier in the abstract syntax tree of the program.

Dynamic Scope

- A free variable in a procedure (or macro) body gets its meaning from the environment at the point where the procedure is called rather than the environment at the point where the procedure is created.
- In these languages, it is not possible to determine a unique declaration corresponding to a given free variable reference; the effective declaration depends on where the procedure is called.

Dynamic Scope

- In static scope a in f refers to 1, where the f was defined. The result is 301.
- In dynamic scope a in f refers to 20, where the f was called. The result is 320.

Multiple Namespaces

```
class X {
    int x;

    X(int x) {
        this.x = x;
    }

    int x() { return x; }
}
```

State

- Purely functional languages and math are stateless.
- We can model state in functional languages as an iteration over states.
- An iteration is a computation that characterizes the state of a system in terms of the values of a set of variables known as its state variables.
- The value of each state variable in an iteration at time t is a function of the values of the state variables at time t – 1.

State

```
max: N^* \to N
max(\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle) = loop(\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle, 1, 0)
loop: N^* \times N \times N \to N
```

```
loop(\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle, c, m) = m \quad \text{if } c > n
loop(\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle, c, m) = loop(\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle, c + 1, m) \quad \text{if } c \le n \land a_c \le m
loop(\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle, c, m) = loop(\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle, c + 1, a_c) \quad \text{otherwise}
```

Monadic Style

- Monadic style separates state handling code.
- The name "monadic style" is derived from an algebraic structure, the monad, that captures the essence of manipulating information that is singlethreaded through a computation.

Monadic Style Example

 $State = N^* \times N \times N$ $Action = State \rightarrow State$ $Condition = State \rightarrow Boolean$

updateMax : Action $updateMax(\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle, c, m) = (\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle, c, a_c)$

updateNeeded: Condition $updateNeeded(\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle, c, m) = true \text{ if } a_c > m$ $updateNeeded(\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle, c, m) = false \text{ otherwise}$

increaseIndex: Action $increaseIndex(\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle, c, m) = (\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle, c+1, m)$

Monadic Style Example

```
notFinished: Condition

notFinished(\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle, c, m) = false \text{ if } c > n

finished(\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle, c, m) = true \text{ otherwise}
```

```
ifStatement: Condition \times Action \rightarrow Action ifStatement(cond, body) = \lambda s.body(s) if cond(s) = true ifStatement(cond, body) = \lambda s.s otherwise
```

```
for Loop: Condition 	imes Action 	imes Action 	imes Action 
for Loop(cond, iter, body) = \lambda s.ifStatement(cond, for Loop(cond, iter, body))(iter(body(s)))
```

Monadic Style Example

```
max: N^* \to N

max(\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle) = \pi_3(forLoop(notFinished, increaseIndex, ifStatement(updateNeeded, updateMax))

(\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle, 1, 0))
```