

Basics of Description Logic *ALC*

Petr Křemen

1 Crash Course on Protégé

Protégé is an ontology editor for frames (Protégé 3 and lower) and currently especially for OWL (Protege 3) and OWL 2 (Protege 4). It is open-source and downloadable from <http://protege.stanford.edu>.

- Download and install Protégé 4.1¹.
- Install Protégé plugins – File → Check for plugins...
 - Pellet
 - OWL2Query
 - OWLDiff
- Download the Pizza Ontology from the following URL: <http://www.co-ode.org/ontologies/pizza/2007/02/12/pizza.owl>.
- Run Protégé and open the ontology.
- After opening the ontology you will see an application window with several tabs – explore each of them. Several remarks:
 - Active Ontology** – here, you can find latest information about ontology metrics and expressiveness (in case of pizza.owl you should see *SHOIN*).
 - Entities/Classes** – here, you will probably spend most time when working with Protégé. Left, you can see a taxonomy (TBOX) before classification (Asserted class hierarchy) and after classification (Inferred class hierarchy). V centrální části pak jsou anotace týkající třídy zvolené v levé části a zejména pak její axiomy, ve kterých se tato třída vyskytuje.
 - Object/Data Properties/Individuals** – contain analogical view as classes.
 - OWLviz** – shows simple and intuitive visualization of TBox.

¹You can set HTTP proxy in the file `Protege.lax` by adding/changing the respective line to `lax.nl.java.option.additional=-Dhttp.proxySet=true -Dhttp.proxyHost=proxy.felk.cvut.cz -Dhttp.proxyPort=80`

DL Query – allows posing simple ontological queries, e.g. “Find all subclasses/instances/... of the given class”.

- Try classifying the ontology – go to the menu Reasoner and choose e.g. Pellet, or FaCT++. Look what are the differences before (Asserted class hierarchy) and after (Inferred class hierarchy) the classification.

Next references:

- Ontology Modeling Tutorial with Protégé:
http://protege.stanford.edu/publications/ontology_development/ontology101.pdf
- Getting Started with Protégé 4:
<http://protegewiki.stanford.edu/index.php/Protege4GettingStarted>

2 \mathcal{ALC} Practically

Consider the following \mathcal{ALC} ontology :

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{Man} &\sqsubseteq \textit{Person} \\ \textit{Woman} &\sqsubseteq \textit{Person} \sqcap \neg \textit{Man} \\ \textit{Father} &\equiv \textit{Man} \sqcap \exists \textit{hasChild} \cdot \textit{Person} \\ \textit{GrandFather} &\equiv \exists \textit{hasChild} \cdot \exists \textit{hasChild} \cdot \top \\ \textit{Sister} &\equiv \textit{Person} \sqcap \neg \textit{Man} \sqcap \exists \textit{hasSibling} \cdot \textit{Person} \end{aligned}$$

1. What is the meaning of these particular axioms ? Try to formulate them in natural language.
2. Rewrite last axiom into the semantically equivalent FOPL formula.
3. Consider the following structure:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^{\mathcal{I}} &= \textit{Person}^{\mathcal{I}} = \{\textit{John}, \textit{Mary}\} \\ \textit{Man}^{\mathcal{I}} &= \{\textit{John}\} \\ \textit{Woman}^{\mathcal{I}} &= \{\textit{Mary}\} \\ \textit{Sister}^{\mathcal{I}} &= \{\} \\ \textit{Father}^{\mathcal{I}} &= \textit{GrandFather}^{\mathcal{I}} = \{\textit{John}\} \\ \textit{hasChild}^{\mathcal{I}} &= \{\langle \textit{John}, \textit{John} \rangle\} \\ \textit{hasSibling}^{\mathcal{I}} &= \{\} \end{aligned}$$

- a) Decide, whether this structure is a model of the ontology. If not, modify it, so that it is. If yes, decide, whether this model can reflect some real setup.

- b) We know that \mathcal{ALC} has *tree model property* and *finite model property*. Is the interpretation \mathcal{I} from this example tree-shaped? If not, find a model that is tree-shaped.
- c) Is the interpretation \mathcal{I} finite? If not, find an interpretation of this ontology that is finite.
4. Using other axioms define concepts:
- “A father having just sons.”
 - “Someone who has at least one sister, but no brother.”
5. Let’s consider two roles *hasChild* and *hasSibling*. During knowledge modeling, it is often necessary to specify :
- global domain and range** of given role, i.e. statement of the type “By *hasChild* we connect always a person (instance of the *Person* class – domain) with another person (instance of the *Person* class – range)”.
- local domain and range** of given role, e.g. “Every father having only sons can be connected by *hasChild* just with man (instances of the *Man* class – range)”.
- Show, in which way it is possible to model global domain and range of these roles in \mathcal{ALC} .
6. Create a new ontology in Protégé 4 and insert there all these definition. Verify correctness of your solution of the previous task (e.g. in the DL query tab).