

Path Planning

Jan Faigl

Department of Computer Science

Faculty of Electrical Engineering

Czech Technical University in Prague

Lecture 03

B4M36UIR – Artificial Intelligence in Robotics



Overview of the Lecture

- Part 1 – Path Planning
 - Introduction to Path Planning
 - Notation and Terminology
 - Path Planning Methods
- Part 2 – Grid and Graph based Path Planning Methods
 - Grid-based Planning
 - DT for Path Planning
 - Graph Search Algorithms
 - D* Lite
 - Path Planning based on Reaction-Diffusion Process



Part I

Part 1 – Path and Motion Planning



Outline

- Introduction to Path Planning
- Notation and Terminology
- Path Planning Methods



Robot Motion Planning – Motivational problem

- How to transform high-level task specification (provided by humans) into a low-level description suitable for controlling the actuators?

*To develop **algorithms** for such a transformation.*

The motion planning algorithms provide transformations how to move a robot (object) considering all operational constraints.

It encompasses several disciplines, e.g., mathematics, robotics, computer science, control theory, artificial intelligence, computational geometry, etc.




Robot Motion Planning – Motivational problem

- How to transform high-level task specification (provided by humans) into a low-level description suitable for controlling the actuators?

To develop *algorithms* for such a transformation.

The motion planning algorithms provide transformations how to move a robot (object) considering all operational constraints.




It encompasses several disciplines, e.g., mathematics, robotics, computer science, control theory, artificial intelligence, computational geometry, etc.



Piano Mover's Problem

A classical motion planning problem

Having a CAD model of the piano, model of the environment, the problem is how to move the piano from one place to another without hitting anything.



Basic motion planning algorithms are focused primarily on rotations and translations.

- We need **notion** of model representations and formal definition of the problem.
- Moreover, we also need a context about the problem and **realistic assumptions**.


The plans have to be admissible and feasible.



Piano Mover's Problem

A classical motion planning problem

Having a CAD model of the piano, model of the environment, the problem is how to move the piano from one place to another without hitting anything.



Basic motion planning algorithms are focused primarily on rotations and translations.

- We need **notion** of model representations and formal definition of the problem.
- Moreover, we also need a context about the problem and **realistic assumptions**.

The plans have to be admissible and feasible.



Robotic Planning Context

Mission Planning


Tasks and Actions Plans

symbol level



Motion Planning


Problem



"geometric" level


*Models of
robot and
workspace*

Path Planning



Path

Trajectory Planning



Trajectory



Robot Control

Sensing and Acting

*feedback control
controller – drives (motors) – sensors*

"physical" level



Robotic Planning Context

Mission Planning


Tasks and Actions Plans

symbol level



Path (Motion) Planning / Trajectory Planning


Problem



"geometric" level


*Models of
robot and
workspace*

Path Planning



Path

Trajectory Generation



Trajectory

Open-loop control?



Robot Control

Sensing and Acting

*feedback control
controller – drives (motors) – sensors*

"physical" level



Robotic Planning Context

Mission Planning


Tasks and Actions Plans

symbol level



Path (Motion) Planning / Trajectory Planning


Problem



"geometric" level


*Models of
robot and
workspace*

Path Planning



Path

Trajectory Generation



Trajectory

Open-loop control?



Robot Control

Sensing and Acting


*feedback control
controller – drives (motors) – sensors*

*Sources of uncertainties
because of real environment*


"physical" level



Robotic Planning Context



Robotic Planning Context



Real Mobile Robots

In a real deployment, the problem is more complex.

- The world is changing.
- Robots update the knowledge about the environment.

localization, mapping and navigation

- New decisions have to be made based on the feedback from the environment.

Motion planning is a part of the mission re-planning loop.



An example of **robotic mission**:

Multi-robot exploration of unknown environment.

Josef Štrunc, Bachelor thesis, CTU, 2009.

How to deal with real-world complexity?

Relaxing constraints and considering realistic assumptions.



Real Mobile Robots

In a real deployment, the problem is more complex.

- The world is changing.
- Robots update the knowledge about the environment.

localization, mapping and navigation

- New decisions have to be made based on the feedback from the environment.

Motion planning is a part of the mission re-planning loop.



An example of **robotic mission**:

Multi-robot exploration of unknown environment.

Josef Štrunc, Bachelor thesis, CTU, 2009.

How to deal with real-world complexity?

Relaxing constraints and considering realistic assumptions.



Real Mobile Robots

In a real deployment, the problem is more complex.

- The world is changing.
- Robots update the knowledge about the environment.

localization, mapping and navigation

- New decisions have to be made based on the feedback from the environment.

Motion planning is a part of the mission re-planning loop.



An example of **robotic mission**:

Multi-robot exploration of unknown environment.

Josef Štrunc, Bachelor thesis, CTU, 2009.

How to deal with real-world complexity?

Relaxing constraints and considering realistic assumptions.



Outline

- Introduction to Path Planning
- **Notation and Terminology**
- Path Planning Methods



Notation

- $\mathcal{W}$ – **World model** describes the robot workspace and its boundary determines the obstacles $\mathcal{O}_i$.

2D world, $\mathcal{W} = \mathbb{R}^2$

- A **Robot** is defined by its geometry, parameters (kinematics) and it is controllable by the motion plan.
- $\mathcal{C}$ – **Configuration space** (**C-space**)

A concept to describe possible configurations of the robot. The robot's **configuration** completely specify the robot location in $\mathcal{W}$ including specification of all degrees of freedom.

E.g., a robot with rigid body in a plane $\mathcal{C} = \{x, y, \varphi\} = \mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1$.

- Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a subset of $\mathcal{W}$ occupied by the robot, $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(q)$.
- A subset of $\mathcal{C}$ occupied by obstacles is

$$\mathcal{C}_{obs} = \{q \in \mathcal{C} : \mathcal{A}(q) \cap \mathcal{O}_i, \forall i\}.$$

- **Collision-free configurations** are

$$\mathcal{C}_{free} = \mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{C}_{obs}.$$



Notation

- $\mathcal{W}$ – **World model** describes the robot workspace and its boundary determines the obstacles $\mathcal{O}_i$.

2D world, $\mathcal{W} = \mathbb{R}^2$

- A **Robot** is defined by its geometry, parameters (kinematics) and it is controllable by the motion plan.
- $\mathcal{C}$ – **Configuration space** (**C-space**)

A concept to describe possible configurations of the robot. The robot's **configuration** completely specify the robot location in $\mathcal{W}$ including specification of all degrees of freedom.

E.g., a robot with rigid body in a plane $\mathcal{C} = \{x, y, \varphi\} = \mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1$.

- Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a subset of $\mathcal{W}$ occupied by the robot, $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(q)$.
- A subset of $\mathcal{C}$ occupied by obstacles is

$$\mathcal{C}_{obs} = \{q \in \mathcal{C} : \mathcal{A}(q) \cap \mathcal{O}_i, \forall i\}.$$

- **Collision-free configurations** are

$$\mathcal{C}_{free} = \mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{C}_{obs}.$$



Notation

- $\mathcal{W}$ – **World model** describes the robot workspace and its boundary determines the obstacles $\mathcal{O}_i$.

2D world, $\mathcal{W} = \mathbb{R}^2$

- A **Robot** is defined by its geometry, parameters (kinematics) and it is controllable by the motion plan.

- $\mathcal{C}$ – **Configuration space** ($\mathcal{C}$ -space)

A concept to describe possible configurations of the robot. The robot's **configuration** completely specify the robot location in $\mathcal{W}$ including specification of all degrees of freedom.

E.g., a robot with rigid body in a plane $\mathcal{C} = \{x, y, \varphi\} = \mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1$.

- Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a subset of $\mathcal{W}$ occupied by the robot, $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(q)$.
- A subset of $\mathcal{C}$ occupied by obstacles is

$$\mathcal{C}_{obs} = \{q \in \mathcal{C} : \mathcal{A}(q) \cap \mathcal{O}_i, \forall i\}.$$

- **Collision-free configurations** are

$$\mathcal{C}_{free} = \mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{C}_{obs}.$$



Notation

- $\mathcal{W}$ – **World model** describes the robot workspace and its boundary determines the obstacles $\mathcal{O}_i$.

2D world, $\mathcal{W} = \mathbb{R}^2$

- A **Robot** is defined by its geometry, parameters (kinematics) and it is controllable by the motion plan.
- $\mathcal{C}$ – **Configuration space** (**$\mathcal{C}$ -space**)

A concept to describe possible configurations of the robot. The robot's **configuration** completely specify the robot location in $\mathcal{W}$ including specification of all degrees of freedom.

E.g., a robot with rigid body in a plane $\mathcal{C} = \{x, y, \varphi\} = \mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1$.

- Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a subset of $\mathcal{W}$ occupied by the robot, $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(q)$.
- A subset of $\mathcal{C}$ occupied by obstacles is

$$\mathcal{C}_{obs} = \{q \in \mathcal{C} : \mathcal{A}(q) \cap \mathcal{O}_i, \forall i\}.$$

- **Collision-free configurations** are

$$\mathcal{C}_{free} = \mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{C}_{obs}.$$



Notation

- $\mathcal{W}$ – **World model** describes the robot workspace and its boundary determines the obstacles $\mathcal{O}_i$.

2D world, $\mathcal{W} = \mathbb{R}^2$

- A **Robot** is defined by its geometry, parameters (kinematics) and it is controllable by the motion plan.
- $\mathcal{C}$ – **Configuration space** (**$\mathcal{C}$ -space**)

A concept to describe possible configurations of the robot. The robot's **configuration** completely specify the robot location in $\mathcal{W}$ including specification of all degrees of freedom.

E.g., a robot with rigid body in a plane $\mathcal{C} = \{x, y, \varphi\} = \mathbb{R}^2 \times S^1$.

- Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a subset of $\mathcal{W}$ occupied by the robot, $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(q)$.
- A subset of $\mathcal{C}$ occupied by obstacles is

$$\mathcal{C}_{obs} = \{q \in \mathcal{C} : \mathcal{A}(q) \cap \mathcal{O}_i, \forall i\}.$$

- **Collision-free configurations** are

$$\mathcal{C}_{free} = \mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{C}_{obs}.$$



Path / Motion Planning Problem

- **Path** is a continuous mapping in $\mathcal{C}$ -space such that

$$\pi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\text{free}}, \text{ with } \pi(0) = q_0, \text{ and } \pi(1) = q_f.$$

- **Trajectory** is a path with explicit parametrization of time, e.g., accompanied by a description of the motion laws ($\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$, where $\mathcal{U}$ is robot's action space).

It includes dynamics.

$$[T_0, T_f] \ni \textcolor{red}{t} \rightsquigarrow \textcolor{red}{\tau} \in [0, 1] : q(\textcolor{red}{t}) = \pi(\textcolor{red}{\tau}) \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{free}}$$

The path planning is the determination of the function $\pi(\cdot)$.

Additional requirements can be given:

- Smoothness of the path;
 - Kinodynamic constraints, e.g., considering friction forces;
 - Optimality criterion – shortest vs fastest (length vs curvature).
-
- **Path planning** – planning a collision-free path in $\mathcal{C}$ -space.
 - **Motion planning** – planning collision-free motion in the **state space**.



Path / Motion Planning Problem

- **Path** is a continuous mapping in $\mathcal{C}$ -space such that

$$\pi : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{free}, \text{ with } \pi(0) = q_0, \text{ and } \pi(1) = q_f.$$

- **Trajectory** is a path with explicit parametrization of time, e.g., accompanied by a description of the motion laws ($\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$, where $\mathcal{U}$ is robot's action space).

It includes dynamics.

$$[T_0, T_f] \ni \textcolor{red}{t} \rightsquigarrow \textcolor{red}{\tau} \in [0, 1] : q(\textcolor{red}{t}) = \pi(\textcolor{red}{\tau}) \in \mathcal{C}_{free}$$

The path planning is the determination of the function $\pi(\cdot)$.


Additional requirements can be given:

- **Smoothness** of the path;
 - **Kinodynamic constraints**, e.g., considering friction forces;
 - **Optimality criterion** – shortest vs fastest (length vs curvature).
-
- **Path planning** – planning a collision-free path in $\mathcal{C}$ -space.
 - **Motion planning** – planning collision-free motion in the **state space**.




Planning in $\mathcal{C}$ -space

Robot path planning for a disk-shaped robot with a radius ρ .



Motion planning problem in geometrical representation of $\mathcal{W}$.




Motion planning problem in $\mathcal{C}$ -space representation.

$\mathcal{C}$ -space has been obtained by enlarging obstacles by the disk $\mathcal{A}$ with the radius ρ .

By applying Minkowski sum: $\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{A} = \{x + y \mid x \in \mathcal{O}, y \in \mathcal{A}\}$.



Example of $\mathcal{C}_{obs}$ for a Robot with Rotation



A simple 2D obstacle $\rightarrow$ has a complicated $\mathcal{C}_{obs}$.


- Deterministic algorithms exist.

Requires exponential time in $\mathcal{C}$ dimension, J. Canny, PAMI, 8(2):200–209, 1986.

- Explicit representation of $\mathcal{C}_{free}$ is impractical to compute.



Example of $\mathcal{C}_{obs}$ for a Robot with Rotation



A simple 2D obstacle $\rightarrow$ has a complicated $\mathcal{C}_{obs}$.

- Deterministic algorithms exist.

Requires exponential time in $\mathcal{C}$ dimension, J. Canny, PAMI, 8(2):200–209, 1986.

- Explicit representation of $\mathcal{C}_{free}$ is impractical to compute.



Representation of $\mathcal{C}$ -space

How to deal with continuous representation of $\mathcal{C}$ -space?

Continuous Representation of $\mathcal{C}$ -space



Discretization

processing critical geometric events, (random) sampling
roadmaps, cell decomposition, potential field



Graph Search Techniques

BFS, Gradient Search, A^*



Outline

- Introduction to Path Planning
- Notation and Terminology
- Path Planning Methods



Planning Methods - Overview

(selected approaches)

- **Point-to-point** path/motion planning. *Multi-goal path/motion/trajectory planning later*
- **Roadmap based methods** — *Create a connectivity graph of the free space.*
 - Visibility graph; *(Complete but impractical)*
 - Cell decomposition;
 - Voronoi graph.
- Discretization into a **grid-based** (or lattice-based) representation *(Resolution complete)*
- **Potential field methods** *(Complete only for a “navigation function”, which is hard to compute in general)*

Classic path planning algorithms

- **Randomized sampling-based methods**
 - Creates a roadmap from connected random samples in $\mathcal{C}_{free}$.
 - Probabilistic roadmaps.
 - Very successful in practice.

Samples are drawn from some distribution.



Planning Methods - Overview

(selected approaches)

- **Point-to-point** path/motion planning. *Multi-goal path/motion/trajectory planning later*
- **Roadmap based methods** — *Create a connectivity graph of the free space.*
 - Visibility graph; *(Complete but impractical)*
 - Cell decomposition;
 - Voronoi graph.
- Discretization into a **grid-based** (or lattice-based) representation *(Resolution complete)*
- **Potential field methods** *(Complete only for a “navigation function”, which is hard to compute in general)*


Classic path planning algorithms

- **Randomized sampling-based methods**
 - Creates a roadmap from connected random samples in $\mathcal{C}_{free}$.
 - Probabilistic roadmaps. *Samples are drawn from some distribution.*
 - Very successful in practice.




Visibility Graph


1. Compute visibility graph.
2. Find the shortest path.



Problem



Visibility graph



Found shortest path

E.g., by Dijkstra's algorithm.

Constructions of the visibility graph:

- Naïve – all segments between n vertices of the map $O(n^3)$;
- Using rotation trees for a set of segments – $O(n^2)$.

M. H. Overmars and E. Welzl, 1988



- ## Visibility Graph



Constructions of the visibility graph:

- M. H. Overmars and E. Welzl, 1988




Minimal Construct: Efficient Shortest Path in Polygonal Maps

- **Minimal Construct** algorithm computes visibility graph during the A^* search instead of first computation of the complete visibility graph and then finding the shortest path using A^* or Dijkstra algorithm.
- Based on A^* search with line intersection tests are delayed until they become necessary.
- The intersection tests are further accelerated using bounding boxes.



Full Visibility Graph




Minimal Construct

Marcell Missura, Daniel D. Lee, and Maren Bennewitz (2018): [Minimal Construct](#): Efficient Shortest Path Finding for Mobile Robots in Polygonal Maps. IROS.




Voronoi Graph


1. Roadmap is Voronoi graph that **maximizes clearance** from the obstacles.
2. Start and goal positions are connected to the graph.
3. Path is found using a graph search algorithm.



Voronoi graph



Path in graph



Found path




Visibility Graph vs Voronoi Graph

Visibility graph

- Shortest path, but it is close to obstacles. We have to consider safety of the path.
- Complicated in higher dimensions


An error in plan execution can lead to a collision.



Voronoi graph

- It maximizes clearance, which can provide conservative paths.
- Small changes in obstacles can lead to large changes in the graph.
- Complicated in higher dimensions.

A combination is called Visibility-Voronoi – R. Wein, J. P. van den Berg, D. Halperin, 2004.



For higher dimensions we need other types of roadmaps.




Cell Decomposition

1. Decompose free space into parts.


Any two points in a convex region can be directly connected by a segment.

2. Create an adjacency graph representing the connectivity of the free space.
3. Find a path in the graph.


Trapezoidal decomposition



Centroids represent cells



Connect adjacency cells




Find path in the adjacency graph

- Other decomposition (e.g., triangulation) are possible.



Shortest Path Map (SPM)




- Speedup computation of the shortest path towards a particular goal location p_g for a polygonal domain $\mathcal{P}$ with n vertices.
- A partitioning of the free space into cells with respect to the particular location p_g .
- Each cell has a vertex on the shortest path to p_g .
- Shortest path from any point p is found by determining the cell (in $O(\log n)$ using point location alg.) and then traversing the shortest path with up to k bends, i.e., it is found in $O(\log n + k)$.
- Determining the SPM using “wavefront” propagation based on *continuous Dijkstra paradigm*.
Joseph S. B. Mitchell: A new algorithm for shortest paths among obstacles in the plane, Annals of Mathematics and Artificial Intelligence, 3(1):83–105, 1991.
- SPM is a precompute structure for the given $\mathcal{P}$ and p_g ;
 - single-point query.



A similar structure can be found for two-point query, e.g., H. Guo, A. Maheshwari, J.-R. Sack, 2008.

Point Location Problem

- For a given partitioning of the polygonal domain into a discrete set of cells, determine the cell for a given point p .






Masato Edahiro, Iwao Kokubo and Takao Asano: *A new point-location algorithm and its practical efficiency: comparison with existing algorithms*, *ACM Trans. Graph.*, 3(2):86–109, 1984.

- It can be implemented using **interval trees** – slabs and slices.





Point Location Problem

- For a given partitioning of the polygonal domain into a discrete set of cells, determine the cell for a given point p .



Masato Edahiro, Iwao Kokubo and Takao Asano: *A new point-location algorithm and its practical efficiency: comparison with existing algorithms*, *ACM Trans. Graph.*, 3(2):86–109, 1984.

- It can be implemented using **interval trees** – slabs and slices.





Point location problem, SPM and similarly problems are from the **Computational Geometry** field.



Approximate Shortest Path and Navigation Mesh

- We can use any convex partitioning of the polygonal map to speed up shortest path queries.
 1. Precompute all shortest paths from map vertices to p_g using visibility graph.
 2. Then, an estimation of the shortest path from p to p_g is the shortest path among the one of the cell vertex.




- The estimation can be further improved by “ray-shooting” technique combined with walking in triangulation (convex partitioning).
(Faigl, 2010)




Path Refinement


- Testing collision of the point p with particular vertices of the estimation of the shortest path.
 - Let the initial path estimation from p to p_g be a sequence of k vertices $(p, v_1, \dots, v_k, p_g)$.
 - We can iteratively test if the segment (p, v_i) , $1 < i \leq k$ is collision free up to (p, p_g) .



Path over v_0



Path over v_1



Full refinement


With the precomputed structures, an estimate of the shortest path is determined in units of microseconds.




Navigation Mesh

- In addition to robotic approaches, fast shortest path queries are studied in computer games.
- There is a class of algorithms based on navigation mesh.
 - A supporting structure representing the free space.


*It usually originated from the grid based maps, but it is represented as **CDT – Constrained Delaunay triangulation**.*




Grid mesh



Merged grid mesh



CDT mesh



Merged CDT mesh

- E.g., **Polyanya** algorithm based on navigation mesh and best-first search.

*M. Cui, D. Harabor, A. Grastien: **Compromise-free Pathfinding on a Navigation Mesh**, IJCAI 2017, 496–502.
<https://bitbucket.org/dharabor/pathfinding>*




Informative



Artificial Potential Field Method

- The idea is to create a function f that will provide a direction towards the goal for any configuration of the robot.
- Such a function is called **navigation function** and $-\nabla f(q)$ points to the goal.
- Create a **potential field** that will **attract robot towards the goal** q_f while obstacles will generate **repulsive potential** repelling the robot away from the obstacles.

The navigation function is a sum of potentials.



Such a potential function can have several local minima.





Avoiding Local Minima in Artificial Potential Field

- Consider harmonic functions that have only one extremum

$$\nabla^2 f(q) = 0.$$

- Finite element method with defined Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions.



J. Mačák, Master thesis, CTU, 2009



Part II

Part 2 – Grid and Graph based Path Planning Methods



Outline


- Grid-based Planning
- DT for Path Planning
- Graph Search Algorithms
- D* Lite
- Path Planning based on Reaction-Diffusion Process





Grid-based Planning

- A subdivision of $\mathcal{C}_{free}$ into smaller cells.
- **Grow obstacles** can be simplified by growing borders by a diameter of the robot.
- Construction of the planning graph $G = (V, E)$ for V as a set of cells and E as the **neighbor-relations**.

- 4-neighbors and 8-neighbors





- A grid map can be constructed from the so-called occupancy grid maps.
E.g., using thresholding.




Grid-based Environment Representations

- Hierarchical planning with coarse resolution and re-planning on finer resolution.

Holte, R. C. et al. (1996): Hierarchical A*: searching abstraction hierarchies efficiently. AAAI.




- Octree can be used for the map representation.
- In addition to squared (or rectangular) grid a hexagonal grid can be used.
- 3D grid maps – **OctoMap** <https://octomap.github.io>.
 - Memory grows with the size of the environment.
 - Due to limited resolution it may fail in narrow passages of C_{free} .




Example of Simple Grid-based Planning

- Wave-front propagation using path simplification
- Initial map with a robot and goal.
- Obstacle growing.
- Wave-front propagation – “flood fill”.
- Find a path using a navigation function.
- Path simplification.
 - “Ray-shooting” technique combined with **Bresenham’s line algorithm**.
 - The path is a sequence of “key” cells for avoiding obstacles.



Example of Simple Grid-based Planning

- Wave-front propagation using path simplification
- Initial map with a robot and goal.
- **Obstacle growing.**
- Wave-front propagation – “flood fill”.
- Find a path using a navigation function.
- Path simplification.
 - “Ray-shooting” technique combined with **Bresenham’s line algorithm**.
 - The path is a sequence of “key” cells for avoiding obstacles.



Example of Simple Grid-based Planning


- Wave-front propagation using path simplification
- Initial map with a robot and goal.
- Obstacle growing.
- Wave-front propagation – “flood fill”.
- Find a path using a navigation function.
- Path simplification.
 - “Ray-shooting” technique combined with **Bresenham’s line algorithm**.
 - The path is a sequence of “key” cells for avoiding obstacles.

8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	1
8	7						2	2
8	8						3	3
9	9						4	4
10	10	10	10				5	5
11	11	11	10				6	6
	12	11	10	9	8	7	7	7
		11	10	9	8	8	8	8




Example of Simple Grid-based Planning

- Wave-front propagation using path simplification
- Initial map with a robot and goal.
- Obstacle growing.
- Wave-front propagation – “flood fill”.
- Find a path using a navigation function.
- Path simplification.
 - “Ray-shooting” technique combined with **Bresenham’s line algorithm**.
 - The path is a sequence of “key” cells for avoiding obstacles.







Example of Simple Grid-based Planning

- Wave-front propagation using path simplification
- Initial map with a robot and goal.
- Obstacle growing.
- Wave-front propagation – “flood fill”.
- Find a path using a navigation function.
- **Path simplification.**
 - “Ray-shooting” technique combined with **Bresenham’s line algorithm**.
 - The path is a sequence of “key” cells for avoiding obstacles.




Example – Wave-Front Propagation (Flood Fill)




Path Simplification


- The initial path is found in a grid using 8-neighborhood.
- The rayshoot cast a line into a grid and possible collisions of the robot with obstacles are checked.
- The “farthest” cells without collisions are used as “turn” points.
- The final path is a sequence of straight line segments.




Initial and goal locations



Obstacle growing, wave-front propagation



Ray-shooting



Simplified path

Bresenham's Line Algorithm

- Filling a grid by a line with avoiding float numbers.
- A line from (x_0, y_0) to (x_1, y_1) is given by $y = \frac{y_1 - y_0}{x_1 - x_0}(x - x_0) + y_0$.

```

1  CoordsVector& bresenham(const Coords& pt1, const Coords& pt2,
    CoordsVector& line)
2  {
3      // The pt2 point is not added into line
4      int x0 = pt1.c; int y0 = pt1.r;
5      int x1 = pt2.c; int y1 = pt2.r;
6      Coords p;
7      int dx = x1 - x0;
8      int dy = y1 - y0;
9      int steep = (abs(dy) >= abs(dx));
10     if (steep) {
11         SWAP(x0, y0);
12         SWAP(x1, y1);
13         dx = x1 - x0; // recompute Dx, Dy
14         dy = y1 - y0;
15     }
16     int xstep = 1;
17     if (dx < 0) {
18         xstep = -1;
19         dx = -dx;
20     }
21     int ystep = 1;
22     if (dy < 0) {
23         ystep = -1;
24         dy = -dy;
25     }
26     int twoDy = 2 * dy;
27     int twoDyTwoDx = twoDy - 2 * dx; //2*Dy - 2*Dx
28     int e = twoDy - dx; //2*Dy - Dx
29     int y = y0;
30     int xDraw, yDraw;
31     for (int x = x0; x != x1; x += xstep) {
32         if (steep) {
33             xDraw = y;
34             yDraw = x;
35         } else {
36             xDraw = x;
37             yDraw = y;
38         }
39         p.c = xDraw;
40         p.r = yDraw;
41         line.push_back(p); // add to the line
42         if (e > 0) {
43             e += twoDyTwoDx; //E += 2*Dy - 2*Dx
44             y = y + ystep;
45         } else {
46             e += twoDy; //E += 2*Dy
47         }
48     }
49     return line;
50 }

```



Outline

- Grid-based Planning
- DT for Path Planning
- Graph Search Algorithms
- D* Lite
- Path Planning based on Reaction-Diffusion Process



Distance Transform based Path Planning

- For a given goal location and grid map compute a navigational function using *wave-front* algorithm, i.e., a kind of *potential field*.
 - The value of the goal cell is set to 0 and all other free cells are set to some very high value.
 - For each free cell compute a number of cells towards the goal cell.
 - It uses 8-neighbors and distance is the Euclidean distance of the centers of two cells, i.e., $EV=1$ for orthogonal cells or $EV = \sqrt{2}$ for diagonal cells.
 - The values are iteratively computed until the values are changing.
 - The value of the cell c is computed as

$$cost(c) = \min_{i=1}^8 (cost(c_i) + EV_{c_i,c}),$$

where c_i is one of the neighboring cells from 8-neighborhood of the cell c .

- The algorithm provides a cost map of the path distance from any free cell to the goal cell.
- The path is then used following the gradient of the cell cost.

Jarvis, R. (2004): Distance Transform Based Visibility Measures for Covert Path Planning in Known but Dynamic Environments.



Distance Transform Path Planning

Algorithm 1: Distance Transform for Path Planning

```
for  $y := 0$  to  $yMax$  do
  for  $x := 0$  to  $xMax$  do
    if goal  $[x,y]$  then
      cell  $[x,y] := 0$ ;
    else
      cell  $[x,y] := xMax * yMax$ ; //initialization, e.g., pragmatic of the use longest distance as  $\infty$  ;

repeat
  for  $y := 1$  to  $(yMax - 1)$  do
    for  $x := 1$  to  $(xMax - 1)$  do
      if not blocked  $[x,y]$  then
        cell  $[x,y] := cost(x, y)$ ;

    for  $y := (yMax-1)$  downto 1 do
      for  $x := (xMax-1)$  downto 1 do
        if not blocked  $[x,y]$  then
          cell  $[x,y] := cost(x, y)$ ;

until no change;
```



Distance Transform based Path Planning – Impl. 1/2

```

1  Grid& DT::compute(Grid& grid) const
2  {
3      static const double DIAGONAL = sqrt(2);
4      static const double ORTOGONAL = 1;
5      const int H = map.H;
6      const int W = map.W;
7      assert(grid.H == H and grid.W == W, "size");
8      bool anyChange = true;
9      int counter = 0;
10     while (anyChange) {
11         anyChange = false;
12         for (int r = 1; r < H - 1; ++r) {
13             for (int c = 1; c < W - 1; ++c) {
14                 if (map[r][c] != FREESPACE) {
15                     continue;
16                 } //obstacle detected
17                 double t[4];
18                 t[0] = grid[r - 1][c - 1] + DIAGONAL;
19                 t[1] = grid[r - 1][c] + ORTOGONAL;
20                 t[2] = grid[r - 1][c + 1] + DIAGONAL;
21                 t[3] = grid[r][c - 1] + ORTOGONAL;
22                 double pom = grid[r][c];
23                 for (int i = 0; i < 4; i++) {
24                     if (pom > t[i]) {
25                         pom = t[i];
26                         anyChange = true;
27                     }
28                 }
29                 if (anyChange) {
30                     grid[r][c] = pom;
31                 }
32             }
33         }

```

```

35     for (int r = H - 2; r > 0; --r) {
36         for (int c = W - 2; c > 0; --c) {
37             if (map[r][c] != FREESPACE) {
38                 continue;
39             } //obstacle detected
40             double t[4];
41             t[1] = grid[r + 1][c] + ORTOGONAL;
42             t[0] = grid[r + 1][c + 1] + DIAGONAL;
43             t[3] = grid[r][c + 1] + ORTOGONAL;
44             t[2] = grid[r + 1][c - 1] + DIAGONAL;
45             double pom = grid[r][c];
46             bool s = false;
47             for (int i = 0; i < 4; i++) {
48                 if (pom > t[i]) {
49                     pom = t[i];
50                     s = true;
51                 }
52             }
53             if (s) {
54                 anyChange = true;
55                 grid[r][c] = pom;
56             }
57         }
58     }
59     counter++;
60 } //end while any change
61 return grid;
62 }

```

A boundary is assumed around the rectangular map



Distance Transform based Path Planning – Impl. 2/2

- The path is retrived by following the minimal value towards the goal using `min8Point()`.

```

1  Coords& min8Point(const Grid& grid, Coords& p)
2  {
3      double min = std::numeric_limits<double>::max();
4      const int H = grid.H;
5      const int W = grid.W;
6      Coords t;
7
8      for (int r = p.r - 1; r <= p.r + 1; r++) {
9          if (r < 0 or r >= H) { continue; }
10         for (int c = p.c - 1; c <= p.c + 1; c++) {
11             if (c < 0 or c >= W) { continue; }
12             if (min > grid[r][c]) {
13                 min = grid[r][c];
14                 t.r = r; t.c = c;
15             }
16         }
17     }
18     p = t;
19     return p;
20 }
```



```

22  CoordsVector& DT::findPath(const Coords& start, const Coords&
    goal, CoordsVector& path)
23  {
24      static const double DIAGONAL = sqrt(2);
25      static const double ORTOGONAL = 1;
26      const int H = map.H;
27      const int W = map.W;
28      Grid grid(H, W, H*W); // H*W max grid value
29      grid[goal.r][goal.c] = 0;
30      compute(grid);
31
32      if (grid[start.r][start.c] >= H*W) {
33          WARN("Path has not been found");
34      } else {
35          Coords pt = start;
36          while (pt.r != goal.r or pt.c != goal.c) {
37              path.push_back(pt);
38              min8Point(grid, pt);
39          }
40          path.push_back(goal);
41      }
42      return path;
43  }
```




DT Example

■ $\delta = 10$ cm, $L = 27.2$ m



■ $\delta = 30$ cm, $L = 42.8$ m



Outline

- Grid-based Planning
- DT for Path Planning
- Graph Search Algorithms
- D* Lite
- Path Planning based on Reaction-Diffusion Process



Graph Search Algorithms


- The grid can be considered as a graph and the path can be found using graph search algorithms.
- The search algorithms working on a graph are of general use, e.g.,
 - Breadth-first search (BFS);
 - Depth first search (DFS);
 - Dijkstra's algorithm;
 - A* algorithm and its variants.
- There can be grid based speedups techniques, e.g.,
 - **Jump Search Algorithm (JPS)** and **JPS⁺**.
- There are many search algorithms for on-line search, incremental search and with any-time and real-time properties, e.g.,
 - Lifelong Planning A* (LPA*).
 - E-Graphs – Experience graphs

Koenig, S., Likhachev, M. and Furcy, D. (2004): Lifelong Planning A*. AIJ.

Phillips, M. et al. (2012): E-Graphs: Bootstrapping Planning with Experience Graphs. RSS.



Examples of Graph/Grid Search Algorithms



A* Algorithm

- A* uses a user-defined h -values (heuristic) to focus the search.

Peter Hart, Nils Nilsson, and Bertram Raphael, 1968

- Prefer expansion of the node n with the lowest value

$$f(n) = g(n) + h(n),$$

where $g(n)$ is the cost (path length) from the start to n and $h(n)$ is the estimated cost from n to the goal.

- h -values approximate the goal distance from particular nodes.
- **Admissibility condition** – heuristic always underestimate the remaining cost to reach the goal.
 - Let $h^*(n)$ be the true cost of the optimal path from n to the goal.
 - Then $h(n)$ is **admissible** if for all n : $h(n) \leq h^*(n)$. *Do we need admissible? When and why?*
 - E.g., Euclidean distance is admissible.
 - A straight line will always be the shortest path.
- Dijkstra's algorithm – $h(n) = 0$.



A* Implementation Notes

- The most costly operations of A* are:
 - Insert and lookup an element in the **closed list**;
 - Insert element and get minimal element (according to $f()$ value) from the **open list**.
- The **closed list** can be efficiently implemented as a **hash set**.
- The **open list** is usually implemented as a **priority queue**, e.g.,
 - Fibonacci heap, binomial heap, k -level bucket;
 - **binary heap** is usually sufficient with $O(\log n)$.
- Forward A*
 1. Create a search tree and initiate it with the start location.
 2. Select generated but not yet expanded state s with the smallest f -value,
 $f(s) = g(s) + h(s)$.
 3. Stop if s is the goal.
 4. Expand the state s .
 5. Goto Step 2.

Similar to Dijkstra's algorithm but it uses $f(s)$ with the heuristic $h(s)$ instead of pure $g(s)$.



Dijkstra's vs A* vs Jump Point Search (JPS)




Jump Point Search Algorithm for Grid-based Path Planning


- **Jump Point Search** (JPS) algorithm is based on a macro operator that identifies and selectively expands only certain nodes (**jump points**).

Harabor, D. and Grastien, A. (2011): Online Graph Pruning for Pathfinding on Grid Maps. AAAI.

- Natural neighbors after neighbor pruning with forced neighbors because of obstacle.



- Intermediate nodes on a path connecting two jump points are never expanded.



- No preprocessing and no memory overheads while it speeds up A*.

<https://harablog.wordpress.com/2011/09/07/jump-point-search/>

- JPS⁺ is optimized preprocessed version of **JPS** with goal bounding.

<https://github.com/SteveRabin/JPSPlusWithGoalBounding>

<http://www.gdcvault.com/play/1022094/JPS-Over-100x-Faster-than>



Theta* – Any-Angle Path Planning Algorithm

- Any-angle path planning algorithms simplify the path during the search.
- **Theta*** is an extension of A* with `LineOfSight()`.

Nash, A., Daniel, K, Koenig, S. and Felner, A. (2007): Theta*: Any-Angle Path Planning on Grids. AAAI.

Algorithm 2: Theta* Any-Angle Planning


```

if LineOfSight(parent(s), s') then
    /* Path 2 – any-angle path */
    if  $g(\text{parent}(s)) + c(\text{parent}(s), s') < g(s')$  then
        parent(s') := parent(s);
         $g(s') := g(\text{parent}(s)) + c(\text{parent}(s), s')$ ;
    else
        /* Path 1 – A* path */
        if  $g(s) + c(s, s') < g(s')$  then
            parent(s') := s;
             $g(s') := g(s) + c(s, s')$ ;

```


- Path 2: considers path from start to parent(s) and from parent(s) to s' if s' has line-of-sight to parent(s).

<http://aigamedev.com/open/tutorials/theta-star-any-angle-paths/>



Theta* Any-Angle Path Planning Examples

- Example of found paths by the Theta* algorithm for the same problems as for the DT-based examples on Slide 42.



$\delta = 10 \text{ cm}, L = 26.3 \text{ m}$



$\delta = 30 \text{ cm}, L = 40.3 \text{ m}$

The same path planning problems solved by DT (without path smoothing) have $L_{\delta=10} = 27.2 \text{ m}$ and $L_{\delta=30} = 42.8 \text{ m}$, while DT seems to be significantly faster.

- **Lazy Theta*** – reduces the number of line-of-sight checks.

Nash, A., Koenig, S. and Tovey, C. (2010): Lazy Theta*: Any-Angle Path Planning and Path Length Analysis in 3D. AAAI.

<http://aigamedev.com/open/tutorial/lazy-theta-star/>



A* Variants – Online Search

- The state space (map) may not be known exactly in advance.
 - Environment can **dynamically** change.
 - True travel costs are **experienced** during the path execution.
- Repeated A* searches can be computationally demanding.
- **Incremental heuristic search**
 - Repeated planning of the path from the current state to the goal.
 - Planning under the **free-space** assumption.
 - **Reuse** information from the previous searches (**closed list** entries).
 - Focused Dynamic A* (**D***) – h^* is based on **traversability**, it has been used, e.g., for the Mars rover “Opportunity”

Stentz, A. (1995): The Focussed D* Algorithm for Real-Time Replanning. IJCAI.

- **D* Lite** – similar to D*

Koenig, S. and Likhachev, M. (2005): Fast Replanning for Navigation in Unknown Terrain. T-RO.

■ Real-Time Heuristic Search

- Repeated planning with limited **look-ahead** – suboptimal but fast
 - Learning Real-Time A* (**LRTA***) Korf, E. (1990): Real-time heuristic search. JAI.
 - Real-Time Adaptive A* (**RTAA***) Koenig, S. and Likhachev, M. (2006): Real-time adaptive A*. AAMAS.



Real-Time Adaptive A* (RTAA*)

- Execute A* with limited **look-ahead**.
- Learns better informed **heuristic** from the experience, initially $h(s)$, e.g., Euclidean distance.
- Look-ahead defines **trade-off** between optimality and computational cost.

- `astar(lookahead)`

A* expansion as far as "lookahead" nodes and it terminates with the state s' .

```
while ( $s_{curr} \notin GOAL$ ) do
  astar(lookahead);
  if  $s' = FAILURE$  then
    return FAILURE;
  for all  $s \in CLOSED$  do
     $H(s) := g(s') + h(s') - g(s)$ ;
  execute(plan); // perform one step
return SUCCESS;
```

s' is the last state expanded during the previous A* search.




Outline

- Grid-based Planning
- DT for Path Planning
- Graph Search Algorithms
- D* Lite
- Path Planning based on Reaction-Diffusion Process



D* Lite – Demo



<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=X5a149nSE9s>



D* Lite Overview

- It is similar to D*, but it is based on **Lifelong Planning A***.

Koenig, S. and Likhachev, M. (2002): D* Lite. AAAI.

- It searches from the goal node to the start node, i.e., g -values estimate the goal distance.
- Store pending nodes in a priority queue.
- Process nodes in order of increasing objective function value.
- Incrementally repair solution paths when changes occur.
- Maintains two estimates of costs per node:
 - g – the objective function value – based on what we know;
 - rhs – one-step lookahead of the objective function value – based on what we know.
- **Consistency:**
 - Consistent – $g = rhs$;
 - Inconsistent – $g \neq rhs$.
- Inconsistent nodes are stored in the priority queue (open list) for processing.



D* Lite: Cost Estimates

- rhs of the node u is computed based on g of its successors in the graph and the transition costs of the edge to those successors

$$rhs(u) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } u = s_{start} \\ \min_{s' \in Succ(u)} (g(s') + c(s', u)) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

- The key/priority of a node s on the open list is the minimum of $g(s)$ and $rhs(s)$ plus a focusing heuristic h

$$[\min(g(s), rhs(s)) + h(s_{start}, s); \min(g(s), rhs(s))].$$

- The first term is used as the primary key.
- The second term is used as the secondary key for tie-breaking.



D* Lite Algorithm

- **Main** – repeat until the robot reaches the goal (or $g(s_{start}) = \infty$ there is no path).

```

Initialize();
ComputeShortestPath();
while ( $s_{start} \neq s_{goal}$ ) do
     $s_{start} = \operatorname{argmin}_{s' \in \operatorname{Succ}(s_{start})} (c(s_{start}, s') + g(s'))$ ;
    Move to  $s_{start}$ ;
    Scan the graph for changed edge costs;
    if any edge cost changed perform then
        foreach directed edges  $(u, v)$  with changed edge
            costs do
                Update the edge cost  $c(u, v)$ ;
                UpdateVertex( $u$ );
        foreach  $s \in U$  do
            U.Update( $s$ , CalculateKey( $s$ ));
    ComputeShortestPath();
  
```

Procedure Initialize

```

U = 0;
foreach  $s \in S$  do
     $rhs(s) := g(s) := \infty$ ;
 $rhs(s_{goal}) := 0$ ;
U.Insert( $s_{goal}$ , CalculateKey( $s_{goal}$ ));
  
```

U is priority queue with the vertices.



D* Lite Algorithm – ComputeShortestPath()

Procedure ComputeShortestPath

```

while  $U.TopKey() < CalculateKey(s_{start})$  OR  $rhs(s_{start}) \neq g(s_{start})$  do
     $u := U.Pop();$ 
    if  $g(u) > rhs(u)$  then
         $g(u) := rhs(u);$ 
        foreach  $s \in Pred(u)$  do UpdateVertex(s);
    else
         $g(u) := \infty;$ 
        foreach  $s \in Pred(u) \cup \{u\}$  do UpdateVertex(s);

```

Procedure UpdateVertex

```

if  $u \neq s_{goal}$  then  $rhs(u) := \min_{s' \in Succ(u)} (c(u, s') + g(s'));$ 
if  $u \in U$  then  $U.Remove(u);$ 
if  $g(u) \neq rhs(u)$  then  $U.Insert(u, CalculateKey(u));$ 

```

Procedure CalculateKey


```

return  $[\min(g(s), rhs(s)) + h(s_{start}, s); \min(g(s), rhs(s))]$ 


```



D* Lite – Demo



D* Lite – Example



Legend

Free node

Obstacle node

On open list

Active node

- A grid map of the environment (what is actually known).
- 8-connected graph superimposed on the grid (bidirectional).
- Focusing heuristic is not used ($h = 0$).

Transition costs

- Free space – Free space: 1.0 and 1.4 (for diagonal edge).
- From/to obstacle: ∞ .



D* Lite – Example Planning (1)

3,0 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	3,1 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	3,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	3,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	3,4 g: ∞ rhs: ∞
2,0 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	2,1 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	2,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	2,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	2,4 start g: ∞ rhs: ∞ 
1,0 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	1,1 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	1,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	1,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	1,4 g: ∞ rhs: ∞
0,0 goal g: ∞ rhs: 0	0,1 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	0,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	0,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	0,4 g: ∞ rhs: ∞

Legend

Free node

Obstacle node

On open list

Active node

Initialization

- Set $rhs = 0$ for the goal.
- Set $rhs = g = \infty$ for all other nodes.



D* Lite – Example Planning (2)

3,0 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	3,1 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	3,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	3,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	3,4 g: ∞ rhs: ∞
2,0 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	2,1 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	2,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	2,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	2,4 start g: ∞ rhs: ∞ 
1,0 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	1,1 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	1,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	1,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	1,4 g: ∞ rhs: ∞
0,0 goal g: ∞ rhs: 0	0,1 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	0,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	0,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	0,4 g: ∞ rhs: ∞

Legend

Free node

Obstacle node

On open list

Active node

Initialization

- Put the goal to the open list.

It is inconsistent.



D* Lite – Example Planning (3-init)

3,0 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	3,1 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	3,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	3,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	3,4 g: ∞ rhs: ∞
2,0 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	2,1 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	2,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	2,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	2,4 start g: ∞ rhs: ∞ 
1,0 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	1,1 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	1,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	1,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	1,4 g: ∞ rhs: ∞
0,0 goal g: ∞ rhs: 0	0,1 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	0,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	0,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	0,4 g: ∞ rhs: ∞

Legend

Free node

Obstacle node

On open list

Active node

ComputeShortestPath

- Pop the minimum element from the open list (goal).
- It is over-consistent ($g > rhs$).



D* Lite – Example Planning (3)

3,0 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	3,1 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	3,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	3,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	3,4 g: ∞ rhs: ∞
2,0 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	2,1 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	2,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	2,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	2,4 start g: ∞ rhs: ∞ 
1,0 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	1,1 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	1,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	1,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	1,4 g: ∞ rhs: ∞
0,0 goal g: 0 rhs: 0	0,1 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	0,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	0,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	0,4 g: ∞ rhs: ∞

Legend

Free node

Obstacle node

On open list

Active node

ComputeShortestPath

- Pop the minimum element from the open list (goal).
- It is over-consistent ($g > rhs$) therefore set $g = rhs$.



D* Lite – Example Planning (4)

3,0 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	3,1 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	3,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	3,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	3,4 g: ∞ rhs: ∞
2,0 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	2,1 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	2,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	2,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	2,4 start g: ∞ rhs: ∞ 
1,0 g: ∞ rhs: 1	1,1 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	1,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	1,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	1,4 g: ∞ rhs: ∞
0,0 goal g: 0 rhs: 0	0,1 g: ∞ rhs: 1	0,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	0,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	0,4 g: ∞ rhs: ∞

Small black arrows denote the node used for computing the *rhs* value, i.e., using the respective transition cost.

- The *rhs* value of (1,1) is ∞ because the transition to obstacle has cost ∞ .

Legend

Free node

Obstacle node

On open list

Active node

ComputeShortestPath

- Expand popped node (`UpdateVertex()` on all its predecessors).
- This computes the *rhs* values for the predecessors.
- Nodes that become inconsistent are added to the open list.



D* Lite – Example Planning (5-init)

3,0 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	3,1 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	3,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	3,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	3,4 g: ∞ rhs: ∞
2,0 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	2,1 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	2,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	2,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	2,4 start g: ∞ rhs: ∞ 
1,0 g: ∞ rhs: 1	1,1 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	1,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	1,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	1,4 g: ∞ rhs: ∞
0,0 goal g: 0 rhs: 0	0,1 g: ∞ rhs: 1	0,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	0,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	0,4 g: ∞ rhs: ∞

Legend

Free node

Obstacle node

On open list

Active node

ComputeShortestPath

- Pop the minimum element from the open list (1,0).
- It is over-consistent ($g > rhs$).



D* Lite – Example Planning (5)

3,0 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	3,1 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	3,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	3,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	3,4 g: ∞ rhs: ∞
2,0 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	2,1 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	2,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	2,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	2,4 start g: ∞ rhs: ∞ 
1,0 g: 1 rhs: 1	1,1 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	1,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	1,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	1,4 g: ∞ rhs: ∞
0,0 goal g: 0 rhs: 0	0,1 g: ∞ rhs: 1	0,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	0,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	0,4 g: ∞ rhs: ∞

Legend

Free node

Obstacle node

On open list

Active node

ComputeShortestPath

- Pop the minimum element from the open list (1,0).
- It is over-consistent ($g > rhs$) set $g = rhs$.



D* Lite – Example Planning (6)

3,0 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	3,1 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	3,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	3,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	3,4 g: ∞ rhs: ∞
2,0 g: ∞ rhs: 2	2,1 g: ∞ rhs: 2.4	2,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	2,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	2,4 start g: ∞ rhs: ∞ 
1,0 g: 1 rhs: 1	1,1 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	1,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	1,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	1,4 g: ∞ rhs: ∞
0,0 goal g: 0 rhs: 0	0,1 g: ∞ rhs: 1	0,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	0,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	0,4 g: ∞ rhs: ∞

Arrows indicate the flow of information: from (2,4) to (2,1), from (2,1) to (1,1), from (1,1) to (1,0), and from (1,0) to (0,0).

Legend

Free node

Obstacle node

On open list

Active node

ComputeShortestPath

- Expand the popped node (UpdateVertex() on all predecessors in the graph).
- Compute *rhs* values of the predecessors accordingly.
- Put them to the open list if they become inconsistent.

- The *rhs* value of (0,0), (1,1) does not change.
- They do not become inconsistent and thus they are not put on the open list.



D* Lite – Example Planning (7)

3,0 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	3,1 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	3,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	3,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	3,4 g: ∞ rhs: ∞
2,0 g: ∞ rhs: 2	2,1 g: ∞ rhs: 2.4	2,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	2,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	2,4 start g: ∞ rhs: ∞ 
1,0 g: 1 rhs: 1	1,1 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	1,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	1,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	1,4 g: ∞ rhs: ∞
0,0 goal g: 0 rhs: 0	0,1 g: 1 rhs: 1	0,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	0,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	0,4 g: ∞ rhs: ∞

Legend

Free node

Obstacle node

On open list

Active node

ComputeShortestPath

- Pop the minimum element from the open list (0,1).
- It is over-consistent ($g > rhs$) and thus set $g = rhs$.
- Expand the popped element, e.g., call `UpdateVertex()`.



D* Lite – Example Planning (8)

3,0 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	3,1 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	3,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	3,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	3,4 g: ∞ rhs: ∞
2,0 g: 2 rhs: 2	2,1 g: ∞ rhs: 2.4	2,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	2,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	2,4 start g: ∞ rhs: ∞ 
1,0 g: 1 rhs: 1	1,1 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	1,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	1,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	1,4 g: ∞ rhs: ∞
0,0 goal g: 0 rhs: 0	0,1 g: 1 rhs: 1	0,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	0,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	0,4 g: ∞ rhs: ∞

Legend

Free node

Obstacle node

On open list

Active node

ComputeShortestPath

- Pop the minimum element from the open list (2,0).
- It is over-consistent ($g > rhs$) and thus set $g = rhs$.



D* Lite – Example Planning (9)

3,0 g: ∞ rhs: 3	3,1 g: ∞ rhs: 3.4	3,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	3,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	3,4 g: ∞ rhs: ∞
2,0 g: 2 rhs: 2	2,1 g: ∞ rhs: 2.4	2,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	2,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	2,4 start g: ∞ rhs: ∞ 
1,0 g: 1 rhs: 1	1,1 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	1,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	1,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	1,4 g: ∞ rhs: ∞
0,0 goal g: 0 rhs: 0	0,1 g: 1 rhs: 1	0,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	0,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	0,4 g: ∞ rhs: ∞

Legend

Free node

Obstacle node

On open list


Active node

ComputeShortestPath

- Expand the popped element and put the predecessors that become inconsistent onto the open list.



D* Lite – Example Planning (10-init)



Legend

Free node

Obstacle node

On open list

Active node

ComputeShortestPath

- Pop the minimum element from the open list (2,1).
- It is over-consistent ($g > rhs$).



D* Lite – Example Planning (10)

3,0 g: ∞ rhs: 3	3,1 g: ∞ rhs: 3.4	3,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	3,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	3,4 g: ∞ rhs: ∞
2,0 g: 2 rhs: 2	2,1 g: 2.4 rhs: 2.4	2,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	2,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	2,4 start g: ∞ rhs: ∞
1,0 g: 1 rhs: 1	1,1 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	1,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	1,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	1,4 g: ∞ rhs: ∞
0,0 goal g: 0 rhs: 0	0,1 g: 1 rhs: 1	0,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	0,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	0,4 g: ∞ rhs: ∞

Legend

Free node

Obstacle node

On open list


Active node

ComputeShortestPath

- Pop the minimum element from the open list (2,1).
- It is over-consistent ($g > rhs$) and thus set $g = rhs$.



D* Lite – Example Planning (11)



Legend

Free node

Obstacle node

On open list

Active node

ComputeShortestPath

- Expand the popped element and put the predecessors that become inconsistent onto the open list.



D* Lite – Example Planning (12)

3,0 g: 3 rhs: 3	3,1 g: ∞ rhs: 3.4	3,2 g: ∞ rhs: 3.8	3,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	3,4 g: ∞ rhs: ∞
2,0 g: 2 rhs: 2	2,1 g: 2.4 rhs: 2.4	2,2 g: ∞ rhs: 3.4	2,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	2,4 g: ∞ rhs: ∞ start
1,0 g: 1 rhs: 1	1,1 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	1,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	1,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	1,4 g: ∞ rhs: ∞
0,0 g: 0 rhs: 0 goal	0,1 g: 1 rhs: 1	0,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	0,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	0,4 g: ∞ rhs: ∞

Legend

Free node

Obstacle node

On open list


Active node

ComputeShortestPath

- Pop the minimum element from the open list (3,0).
- It is over-consistent ($g > rhs$) and thus set $g = rhs$.
- Expand the popped element and put the predecessors that become inconsistent onto the open list.
- In this cases, none of the predecessors become inconsistent.



D* Lite – Example Planning (13)



Legend

Free node

Obstacle node

On open list

Active node

ComputeShortestPath

- Pop the minimum element from the open list (3,0).
- It is over-consistent ($g > rhs$) and thus set $g = rhs$.
- Expand the popped element and put the predecessors that become inconsistent onto the open list.
- In this cases, none of the predecessors become inconsistent.



D* Lite – Example Planning (14)

3,0 g: 3 rhs: 3	3,1 g: 3.4 rhs: 3.4	3,2 g: ∞ rhs: 3.8	3,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	3,4 g: ∞ rhs: ∞
2,0 g: 2 rhs: 2	2,1 g: 2.4 rhs: 2.4	2,2 g: 3.4 rhs: 3.4	2,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	2,4 g: ∞ rhs: ∞ start
1,0 g: 1 rhs: 1	1,1 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	1,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	1,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	1,4 g: ∞ rhs: ∞
0,0 g: 0 rhs: 0 goal	0,1 g: 1 rhs: 1	0,2 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	0,3 g: ∞ rhs: ∞	0,4 g: ∞ rhs: ∞

Legend

Free node

Obstacle node

On open list


Active node

ComputeShortestPath

- Pop the minimum element from the open list (2,2).
- It is over-consistent ($g > rhs$) and thus set $g = rhs$.



D* Lite – Example Planning (15)



Legend

Free node

Obstacle node

On open list


Active node

ComputeShortestPath

- Expand the popped element and put the predecessors that become inconsistent onto the open list, i.e., (3,2), (3,3), (2,3).



D* Lite – Example Planning (16)



Legend

Free node

Obstacle node

On open list


Active node

ComputeShortestPath

- Pop the minimum element from the open list (3,2).
- It is over-consistent ($g > rhs$) and thus set $g = rhs$.
- Expand the popped element and put the predecessors that become inconsistent onto the open list.
- In this cases, none of the predecessors become inconsistent.



D* Lite – Example Planning (17)



Legend

Free node

Obstacle node

On open list


Active node

ComputeShortestPath

- Pop the minimum element from the open list (2,3).
- It is over-consistent ($g > rhs$) and thus set $g = rhs$.



D* Lite – Example Planning (18)



Legend

Free node

Obstacle node

On open list


Active node

ComputeShortestPath

- Expand the popped element and put the predecessors that become inconsistent onto the open list, i.e., (3,4), (2,4), (1,4).
- The start node is on the open list.
- However, the search does not finish at this stage.
- There are still inconsistent nodes (on the open list) with a lower value of *rhs*.



D* Lite – Example Planning (19)



Legend

Free node

Obstacle node

On open list


Active node

ComputeShortestPath

- Pop the minimum element from the open list (3,2).
- It is over-consistent ($g > rhs$) and thus set $g = rhs$.
- Expand the popped element and put the predecessors that become inconsistent onto the open list.
- In this cases, none of the predecessors become inconsistent.



D* Lite – Example Planning (20)



Legend

Free node

Obstacle node

On open list


Active node

ComputeShortestPath

- Pop the minimum element from the open list (1,3).
- It is over-consistent ($g > rhs$) and thus set $g = rhs$.



D* Lite – Example Planning (21)



Legend

Free node

Obstacle node

On open list


Active node

ComputeShortestPath

- Expand the popped element and put the predecessors that become inconsistent onto the open list, i.e., (0,3) and (0,4).



D* Lite – Example Planning (22)



Legend

Free node

Obstacle node

On open list

Active node


ComputeShortestPath

- Pop the minimum element from the open list (2,4).
- It is over-consistent ($g > rhs$) and thus set $g = rhs$.
- Expand the popped element and put the predecessors that become inconsistent (none in this case) onto the open list.

- The **start** node becomes consistent and the top key on the open list is not less than the key of the start node.
- An optimal path is found and the loop of the ComputeShortestPath is broken.



D* Lite – Example Planning (23)



Legend

Free node

Obstacle node


On open list

Active node

- Follow the gradient of g values from the start node.



D* Lite – Example Planning (24)



Legend

Free node

Obstacle node


On open list

Active node

- Follow the gradient of g values from the start node.



D* Lite – Example Planning (25)



Legend

Free node

Obstacle node


On open list

Active node

- A new obstacle is detected during the movement from (2,3) to (2,2).
- **Replanning** is needed!



D* Lite – Example Planning (25 update)



Legend

Free node

Obstacle node


On open list

Active node

- All directed edges with changed edge, we need to call the `UpdateVertex()`.
- All edges into and out of (2,2) have to be considered.



D* Lite – Example Planning (26 update 1/2)



Legend

Free node

Obstacle node

On open list


Active node

Update Vertex

- Outgoing edges from (2,2).
- Call `UpdateVertex()` on (2,2).
- The transition costs are now ∞ because of obstacle.
- Therefore the $rhs = \infty$ and (2,2) becomes inconsistent and it is put on the open list.



D* Lite – Example Planning (26 update 2/2)



Legend

Free node

Obstacle node

On open list


Active node

Update Vertex

- Incoming edges to (2,2).
- Call `UpdateVertex()` on the neighbors (2,2).
- The transition cost is ∞ , and therefore, the *rhs* value previously computed using (2,2) is changed.



D* Lite – Example Planning (27)



Legend

Free node

Obstacle node

On open list


Active node

Update Vertex

- The neighbor of (2,2) is (3,3).
- The minimum possible *rhs* value of (3,3) is 4.8 but it is based on the *g* value of (3,2) and not (2,2), which is the detected obstacle.
- The node (3,3) is still consistent and thus it is not put on the open list.



D* Lite – Example Planning (28)



Legend

Free node

Obstacle node

On open list


Active node

Update Vertex

- (2,3) is also a neighbor of (2,2).
- The minimum possible *rhs* value of (2,3) is 5.2 because (2,2) is an obstacle (using (3,2) with $3.8 + 1.4$).
- The *rhs* value of (2,3) is different from *g*; thus, (2,3) is put on the open list.



D* Lite – Example Planning (29)



Legend

Free node

Obstacle node

On open list


Active node

Update Vertex

- Another neighbor of (2,2) is (1,3).
- The minimum possible *rhs* value of (1,3) is 5.4 computed based on *g* of (2,3) with $4.4 + 1 = 5.4$.
- The *rhs* value is always computed using the *g* values of its successors.



D* Lite – Example Planning (29 update)



Legend

Free node

Obstacle node

On open list

Active node


Update Vertex

- None of the other neighbors of (2,2) end up being inconsistent.
- We go back to calling `ComputeShortestPath()` until an optimal path is determined.

- The node corresponding to the robot's current position is inconsistent and its key is greater than the minimum key on the open list.
- Thus, the optimal path is not found yet.



D* Lite – Example Planning (30)



Legend

Free node

Obstacle node

On open list

Active node


ComputeShortestPath

- Pop the minimum element from the open list (2,2), which is obstacle.
- It is under-consistent ($g < rhs$), therefore set $g = \infty$.
- Expand the popped element and put the predecessors that become inconsistent (none in this case) onto the open list.

- Because (2,2) was under-consistent (when popped), UpdateVertex() has to be called on it.
- However, it has no effect as its rhs value is up to date and consistent.



D* Lite – Example Planning (31-init)



Legend

Free node

Obstacle node

On open list


Active node

ComputeShortestPath

- Pop the minimum element from the open list (2,3).
- It is under-consistent $g < rhs$.



D* Lite – Example Planning (31)



Legend

Free node

Obstacle node

On open list


Active node

ComputeShortestPath

- Pop the minimum element from the open list (2,3).
- It is under-consistent $g < rhs$ therefore set $g = \infty$.



D* Lite – Example Planning (32)



Legend

Free node

Obstacle node

On open list


Active node

ComputeShortestPath

- Expand the popped element and update the predecessors.
- (2,4) becomes inconsistent.
- (1,3) gets updated and still inconsistent.
- The *rhs* value (1,4) does not change, but it is now computed from the *g* value of (1,3).



D* Lite – Example Planning (33)



Legend

Free node

Obstacle node

On open list


Active node

ComputeShortestPath

- Because (2,3) was under-consistent (when popped), a call `UpdateVertex()` on it is needed.
- As it is still inconsistent it is put back onto the open list.



D* Lite – Example Planning (34)



Legend

Free node

Obstacle node

On open list


Active node

ComputeShortestPath

- Pop the minimum element from the open list (1,3).
- It is under-consistent ($g < rhs$), therefore set $g = \infty$.



D* Lite – Example Planning (35)



Legend

Free node

Obstacle node

On open list


Active node

ComputeShortestPath

- Expand the popped element and update the predecessors.
- (1,4) gets updated and still inconsistent.
- (0,3) and (0,4) get updated and now consistent (both g and rhs are ∞).



D* Lite – Example Planning (36)



Legend

Free node

Obstacle node

On open list


Active node

ComputeShortestPath

- Because (1,3) was under-consistent (when popped), call `UpdateVertex()` on it is needed.
- As it is still inconsistent it is put back onto the open list.



D* Lite – Example Planning (37)



Legend

Free node

Obstacle node

On open list


Active node

ComputeShortestPath

- Pop the minimum element from the open list (2,3).
- It is over-consistent ($g > rhs$), therefore set $g = rhs$.



D* Lite – Example Planning (38)



Legend

Free node

Obstacle node

On open list


Active node

ComputeShortestPath

- Expand the popped element and update the predecessors.
- (1,3) gets updated and still inconsistent.
- The node (2,3) corresponding to the robot's position is consistent.
- Besides, the top of the key on the open list is not less than the key of (2,3).
- The optimal path has been found and we can break out of the loop.



D* Lite – Example Planning (39)



Legend

Free node

Obstacle node

On open list

Active node

- Follow the gradient of g values from the robot's current position (node).



D* Lite – Comments

- D* Lite works with real valued costs, not only with binary costs (free/obstacle).
- The search can be focused with an admissible heuristic that would be added to g and rhs .
- The final version of D* Lite includes further optimization (not shown in the example).
 - Updating the rhs value without considering all successors every time.
 - Re-focusing the search as the robot moves without reordering the entire open list.



Outline

- Grid-based Planning
- DT for Path Planning
- Graph Search Algorithms
- D* Lite
- Path Planning based on Reaction-Diffusion Process



Reaction-Diffusion Processes Background

- *Reaction-Diffusion* (RD) models – dynamical systems capable to reproduce the autowaves.
- *Autowaves* - a class of nonlinear waves that propagate through an active media.

At the expense of the energy stored in the medium, e.g., grass combustion.

- RD model describes spatio-temporal evolution of two state variables $u = u(\vec{x}, t)$ and $v = v(\vec{x}, t)$ in space $\vec{x}$ and time t

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{u} &= f(u, v) + D_u \Delta u \\ \dot{v} &= g(u, v) + D_v \Delta v\end{aligned}$$

where Δ is the Laplacian.

This RD-based path planning is informative, just for *curiosity*.



Reaction-Diffusion Background

- FitzHugh-Nagumo (FHN) model

FitzHugh R, Biophysical Journal (1961)

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{u} &= \varepsilon (u - u^3 - v + \phi) + D_u \Delta u \\ \dot{v} &= (u - \alpha v + \beta) + D_v \Delta u\end{aligned},$$

where α, β, ϵ , and ϕ are parameters of the model.


- Dynamics of RD system is determined by the associated *nullcline configurations* for $\dot{u}=0$ and $\dot{v}=0$ in the absence of diffusion, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon (u - u^3 - v + \phi) &= 0, \\ (u - \alpha v + \beta) &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

which have associated geometrical shapes.



Nullcline Configurations and Steady States



- Nullclines intersections represent:

- Stable States (SSs);
- Unstable States.


- Bistable regime

The system (concentration levels of (u, v) for each grid cell) tends to be in SSs.

- We can modulate relative stability of both SS.

"preference" of SS^+ over SS^- .

- System moves from SS^- to SS^+ , if a small perturbation is introduced.
- The SSs are separated by a mobile frontier – a kind of traveling frontwave (autowaves).



RD-based Path Planning – Computational Model

- Finite difference method on a Cartesian grid with Dirichlet boundary conditions (FTCS). *discretization → grid based computation → grid map*
- *External forcing* – introducing additional information
i.e., constraining concentration levels to some specific values.
- Two-phase evolution of the underlying RD model.

1. Propagation phase


- Freespace is set to SS^- and the start location SS^+ .
- Parallel propagation of the frontwave with *non-annihilation property*.

Vázquez-Otero and Muñuzuri, CNNA (2010)


- Terminate when the frontwave reaches the goal.

2. Contraction phase


- Different nullclines configuration.
- Start and goal positions are forced towards SS^+ .
- SS^- shrinks until only the path linking the forced points remains.




Example of Found Paths



700 × 700



700 × 700



1200 × 1200


- The path clearance may be adjusted by the **wavelength** and size of the computational grid.

Control of the path distance from the obstacles (path safety).




Comparison with Standard Approaches

Distance Transform




Jarvis R
Advanced Mobile Robots (1994)

Voronoi Diagram



Beeson P, Jong N, Kuipers B
ICRA (2005)

Reaction-Diffusion



Otero A, Faigl J, Muñuzuri A
IROS (2012)

- RD-based approach provides competitive paths regarding path length and clearance, while they seem to be smooth.



Robustness to Noisy Data



Vázquez-Otero, A., Faigl, J., Duro, N. and Dormido, R. (2014): Reaction-Diffusion based Computational Model for Autonomous Mobile Robot Exploration of Unknown Environments. International Journal of Unconventional Computing (IJUC).



Summary of the Lecture



Topics Discussed

- Motion and path planning problems
 - Path planning methods – overview
 - Notation of configuration space
- Path planning methods for geometrical map representation
 - Shortest-Path Roadmaps
 - Voronoi diagram based planning
 - Cell decomposition method
- Distance transform can be utilized for kind of *navigational function*
 - Front-Wave propagation and path simplification
- Artificial potential field method
- Graph search (planning) methods for grid-like representation
 - Dijkstra's, A*, JPS, Theta*
 - Dedicated speed up techniques can be employed to decreasing computational burden, e.g., JPS
 - Grid-path can be smoothed, e.g., using path simplification or Theta* like algorithms
- We can avoid demanding planning from scratch reusing the previous plan for the updated environment map, e.g., using **D* Lite**
- Unconventional reaction-diffusion based planning (*informative*)
- **Next: Robotic Information Gathering – Mobile Robot Exploration**

