

Equivalent conditions for Nash equilibrium in matrix games

$$1) \quad U(p_1, p_2^*) \leq U(p_1^*, p_2^*) \leq U(p_1^*, p_2) \\ \forall p_1 \in \Delta_1, \forall p_2 \in \Delta_2$$

$$2) \quad U(s_1, p_2^*) \leq U(p_1^*, p_2^*) \leq U(p_1^*, s_2) \\ \forall s_1 \in S_1, \forall s_2 \in S_2$$

$$3) \quad \max_{s_1 \in S_1} U(s_1, p_2^*) = U(p_1^*, p_2^*) = \min_{s_2 \in S_2} U(p_1^*, s_2)$$

$$4) \quad \max_{p_1 \in \Delta_1} \min_{s_2 \in S_2} U(p_1, s_2) \quad =: \underline{v}$$

$$= \\ U(p_1^*, p_2^*) \quad =: v$$

$$= \\ \min_{p_2 \in \Delta_2} \max_{s_1 \in S_1} U(s_1, p_2^*) \quad =: \overline{v}$$

Double Oracle - correctness

1) $v \leq v_n := v(s_n, q_2^*)$ since

$$\min_{p_2 \in \Delta_2} \max_{s'_1 \in S_1} v(s'_1, p_2) = v$$

$$\wedge \max_{s'_1 \in S_1} v(s'_1, q_2^*) = v_n$$

2) Similarly

3) Let $s_i \in T_i$, $i=1,2$.

Then

$$v(q_1^*, q_2^*) = \max_{s'_1 \in T_1} v(s'_1, q_2^*)$$

NE of the subgame

$$= \max_{s'_1 \in S_1} v(s'_1, q_2^*) = v_n$$

Assumption $s_1 \in T_1$

and $v(q_1^*, q_2^*) = v_n$ analogously.
This implies that $v = v(q_1^*, q_2^*)$
and (q_1^*, q_2^*) is a NE.

Alternative reformulating condition

Let $v_n - v_e < \varepsilon$ for
some $\varepsilon > 0$. We will show
that (g_1^*, g_2^*) is an ε -NE:

$$U(g_1^*, g_2^*) \geq U(g_1^*, s_2) \geq$$

Assumption
↙

$$\underbrace{U(s_1, g_2^*)}_{v_n} - \varepsilon = \max_{s_1' \in S_1} U(s_1', g_2^*) - \varepsilon$$

IV

$$U(s_1, g_2^*) - \varepsilon$$

$$\forall s_1 \in S_1$$

The second inequality follows
analogously.