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Hodkin and Huxley models

First direct (intracellular) recorded action-potential (spike) - 1939!!


## Very nice theory



## Giant Nerve Cells of Squid



Hodkin and Huxley experiment NOBEL 1963


## Voltage Clamp Method



## All or None

The "all or none" nature of the spike


Hodgkin, Huxley and Katz, 1952

## Membrane current in response to voltage clamp (VC)



Separating voltage-dependent active (excitable) currents Using pharmacological agents 2 different currents flow via the membrane during the spike


Changing ion concentration at bath with giant axon showed that early current is carried by $\mathrm{Na}+$ ions and late one by $\mathrm{K}+$ ions


Ion currents ( $\mathrm{K}+$ and $\mathrm{Na}+$ ) for various depolarizing voltage clamp (and extracting respective ion conductances)

$$
I_{K}=g_{K}\left(V_{m}-E_{K}\right) ; \quad I_{N a}=g_{N a}\left(V_{m}-E_{N a}\right)
$$



## Fitting an equation for the K current (K-conductance) during/following VC



Mathematically - the rising phase of K-current can be described as a power of 4 (namely as $(1-\exp (-t))^{4}$ and the decay as $\exp (-4 \mathrm{t})$

$$
g_{\mathrm{K}}=\bar{g}_{\mathrm{K}} n^{4}
$$

n represents the proportion of K -ion channels in the open state
"These equations may be given a physical basis if we assume that potassium ions can only cross the membrane when four similar particles occupy a certain region of the 2membrane..." Hodgkin AL, Huxley AF. 1952 J Physiol (Lond) 117:500-544

## Graphical interpretation of H\&H model for the K channel

Closed K channel (by 4 n gates)


Open K channel (by 4 n gates)


The activation function, n , and the rate functions $\alpha_{n}$ and $\beta_{n}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
g_{\mathrm{K}}=\bar{g}_{\mathrm{K}} n^{4}, \\
\frac{\mathrm{~d} n}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\alpha_{n}(1-n)-\beta_{n} n,
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\bar{g}_{\mathrm{K}}$ is a constant with the dimensions of conductance $/ \mathrm{cm}^{2}, \alpha_{n}$ and $\beta_{n}$ are rate constants which vary with voltage but not with time and have dimensions of [time] ${ }^{-1}, n$ is a dimensionless variable which can vary between 0 and 1.

Similar procedure is used to extract the activation (m) and inactivation (h) parameters for the Na current


$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{\mathrm{Na}} & =m^{3} h \bar{g}_{\mathrm{Na}}, \\
\frac{\mathrm{~d} m}{\mathrm{~d} t} & =\alpha_{m}(1-m)-\beta_{m} m, \\
\frac{\mathrm{~d} h}{\mathrm{~d} t} & =\alpha_{h}(1-h)-\beta_{h} h,
\end{aligned}
$$

Fitting Na current for different VC depolarizing values


## Graphical interpretation of H\&H model for the Na channel

Na channel (by 3 activated m gates and 1 inactivated h gate)



Overlay of the action potential (voltage) and underlying Na and K conductances


Fig. 17. Numerical solution of eqn. (31) showing components of membrane conductance (g) during propagated action potential ( $-V$ ). Details of the analysis are as in Fig. 15.

## Hodgkin-Huxley model



Figure: Typical form of an action potential; redrawn from an oscilloscope picture from Hodgkin and Huxley (1939).

## The minimal mechanisms

Depolarization


## HH stucture

- $I_{\text {ion }}=$ gion $_{\hat{i o n}}\left(V-E_{i o n}\right)$
- voltage and time dependent variables $n(V, t), m(V, t), h(V, t)$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{g_{K}}(V, t)=g_{K} n^{4} \\
\hat{g_{N a}}(V, t)=g_{N a} m^{3} h
\end{gathered}
$$



## Hodgkin-Huxley equations and simulation

$$
\begin{aligned}
C \frac{\mathrm{~d} V}{\mathrm{~d} t} & =-g_{\mathrm{K}} n^{4}\left(V-E_{\mathrm{K}}\right)-g_{\mathrm{Na}} m^{3} h\left(V-E_{\mathrm{Na}}\right)-g_{\mathrm{L}}\left(V-E_{\mathrm{L}}\right)+l_{e x t}(t) \\
\tau_{\mathrm{n}}(V) \frac{\mathrm{d} n}{\mathrm{~d} t} & =-\left[n-n_{0}(V)\right] \\
\tau_{\mathrm{m}}(V) \frac{\mathrm{d} m}{\mathrm{~d} t} & =-\left[m-m_{0}(V)\right] \\
\tau_{\mathrm{h}}(V) \frac{\mathrm{d} h}{\mathrm{~d} t} & =-\left[h-h_{0}(V)\right] \\
\frac{d x}{d t} & =-\frac{1}{\tau_{x}(V)}\left[x-x_{0}(V)\right] \rightarrow x(t+\Delta t)=\left(1-\frac{\Delta t}{\tau_{x}}\right) x(t)+\frac{\Delta t}{\tau_{x}} x_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$




## Ion channels resistance

$$
\begin{aligned}
x(0) & =\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}, t_{x}=\alpha \beta, x \in\{n, m, h\} \\
\alpha_{n} & =\frac{10-V}{100\left(e^{\left.\frac{10-v}{10}-1\right)}, \beta_{n}=0.125 e^{-\frac{v}{80}}\right.} \\
\alpha_{m} & =\frac{25-V}{10\left(e^{25-V}-1\right)}, \beta_{m}=4 e^{-\frac{V}{18}} \\
\alpha_{h} & =0.07 e^{\frac{v}{20}}, \beta_{h}=\frac{1}{e^{\frac{30-v}{10}}+1}
\end{aligned}
$$



## Matlab implementation

```
%%% Integration of Hodgkin--Huxley equations with Euler method
    clear; figure;%%`lf;
유ᄋ Setting parameters
    % Maximal conductances (in units of mS/cm^2); 1=K, 2=Na, 3=R
    g(1)=36; g(2)=120; g(3)=0.3;
    % Battery voltage ( in mV); 1=n, 2=m, 3=h
    E(1)=-12; E(2)=115; E(3)=10.613;
    % Initialization of some variables
    I_ext=0; V=-10; x=zeros(1,3); x(3)=1; t_rec=0;
    % Time step for integration
        dt=0.01;
%⿳亠二口斤口⿱亠⿻口丿又丶 Integration with Euler method
    for t=-30:dt:5000
        if t==10; I_ext=6; end % turns external current on at t=10
        if t==400; I_ext=0; end % turns external current off at t=40
    %}\mathrm{ alpha functions used by Hodgkin-and Huxley
        Alpha(1)=(10-V)/(100*(exp((10-V)/10)-1));
        Alpha(2)=(25-V)/(10*(exp((25-V)/10)-1));
        Alpha(3)=0.07* exp(-V/20);
    % beta functions used by Hodgkin-and Huxley
        Beta(1)=0.125* exp(-V/80);
        Beta(2)=4*exp(-V/18);
        Beta(3)=1/(\operatorname{exp}((30-V)/10)+1);
    % tau_x and x_0 (x=1,2,3) are defined with alpha and beta
        tau=1./(Alpha+Beta);
        x_0=Alpha.*tau;
    % leaky integration with Euler method
        x=(1-dt./tau).*x+dt./tau.*x_0; % % X is m,n,h
    % calculate actual conductances g}\mathrm{ with given }n,m,
        gnmh(1)=g(1)*x(1)^4;
        gnmh(2)=g(2)*x(2)^ 3*x(3);
        gnmh(3)=g(3);
    % Ohm's law
        I=gnmh. *(V-E);
    q}\mathrm{ update voltage of membrane
        V=V+dt*(I_ext-sum(I));
    % record some variables for plotting after equilibration
        if t>=0;
            t_rec=t_rec+1;
            x plot(t_rec)=t;
            y_plot(t_rec)=V;
        end
```


## Refractory period

- waiting for inactivation of sodium channels about 1 ms
- absolute refractory period limiting firing rate to 1000 Hz
- hyperpolarizing activity further limits the neuron's rate
- relative refractory period
- brainstem neurons 600 Hz , cortical neurons 3 Hz


## Propagation of action potentials

- action potentials=spikes travel about $10 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$.
- non-loss signal transfer - SLOW
- myelin = FAST lossy signal transfer in axon
- Ranvier nodes = AP regeneration
- myelination happens after second year of age
- Alzheimer deased - DESmyelination!


## NON-LOSS transfer

$1 \mathrm{Na}^{+}$channels locally open in response to stimulus, generating an action potential here

2. Some depolarizing current passively flows down axon
$\mathrm{Na}^{+}$channel $\mathrm{K}^{+}$channel $\uparrow \quad$ Membrane rand


Point C



## LOSSY transfer



$t=2$


## Stimulation of neuron



## HH - simplification: Hugh Wilson model for neocortical neurons

- $h=1-n$
- $\tau_{m} \approx m_{0}(V)$
- $h=1$ no inactivation of the fast $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$channel combining leakage and Na channel, only for cortical neurons
- $R$ describes recovery of membrane potential
- 2 differential equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
C \frac{d V}{d t} & =-g_{K} R\left(V-E_{K}\right)-g_{N a}(V)(V-E N a)+l_{\text {ext }}(t) \\
\tau_{R} \frac{d R}{d t} & =-\left[R-R_{0}(V)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Wilson model

- more realistic mammalian neocortical neurons
- two more channels types $\rightarrow$ more diverse firing
- cation $C_{a}^{2+}$ described by gating variable $T$
- slow hyperpolarizing current $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$-mediated $\mathrm{K}^{+}$described by gating variable $H$

$$
\begin{aligned}
C \frac{d V}{d t} & =-g_{N a}\left(V-E_{N a}\right)-g_{K} R\left(V-E_{K}\right)-g_{T}\left(V-E_{T}\right)-g_{H} H\left(V-E_{H}\right. \\
\tau_{R} \frac{d R}{d t} & =-\left[R-R_{0}(V)\right] \\
\tau_{T} \frac{d T}{d t} & =-\left[T-T_{0}(V)\right] \\
\tau_{H} \frac{d H}{d t} & =-[H-3 T(V)] \\
g_{N a}(V) & =17.8+0.476 V+33.8 V^{2} \\
R_{0}(V) & =1.24+3.7 V+3.2 V^{2} \\
T_{0}(V) & =4.205+11.6 V+8 V^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Wilson model:results

- RS: regular spiking neuron
- FS: fast spiking neuron
- CS: continously spiking neuron
- IB: bursting neuron

B. Regular spiking neuron

C. Bursting neuron



## Matlab implementation

```
%% Integration of Wilson model with the Euler method
    clear; clf;
%% Parameters of the model: 1=K,R 2=Ca,T 3=KCa,H 4=Na
    g(1)=26; g(2)=2.25; g(3)=9.5;g(4)=1;
    E(1)=-.95; E(2)=1.20; E(3)=E(1); E(4)=.50;
%% Initial values
    dt=.01; I_ext=0; v=-1; x=zeros(1,4);
    tau(1)=dt./4.2; tau(2)=dt./14; tau(3)=dt./45; tau(4)=1;
%% Integration
    t_rec=0;
    for t=-100:dt:200
        switch t;
            case 0; I_ext=1;
        end
    x0(1)=1.24 + 3.7*V + 3.2*V^2;
    x0(2)=4.205 + 11.6*V + 8 *V^2;
    x0(3)=3*x(2);
    x0(4)=17.8 + 47.6*V +33.8*V^2;
    x=x-tau.*(x-x0); %rem x(4)=x0(4) because tau(4)=1
    I=g.**.*(V-E);
    V=V+dt*(I_ext-sum(I));
    if t>=0;
            t_rec=t_rec+1;
            x_plot(t_rec)=t;
            y_plot(t_rec)=V;
        end
    end % time loop
%% Plotting reults
    plot(x_plot,100*y_plot); xlabel('Time'); ylabel('Membrane potential');
```


## Physiology versus Neurons Models

## Rall (1964)

Histological Vs. Schmetic Neurons


## Physiology versus Neurons Models

Understand experimental synaptic potentials recorded at the soma


1. Most of the input current flows into the dendrites (not directly to soma)
2. Dendrites are non-isopotential electrical devices
(i) voltage attenuates from synapse to soma;
(ii) it takes time (delay) for the PSP to reach the soma;
(iii) somatic EPSP/IPSP shape is expected to change with synaptic location

## Dendrit Cable Theory

## Rall Cable Theory for Dendrites

Understanding (mathematically) the impact of (remote) dendritic synapses (the input) on the soma/axon (output) region


Wilfrid Rall

## Cylindric model

A. Physiologically \& morphologically caharacterized neuron


Voltage attenuation
Synaptic potentials attenuate from the synapse origin towards other regions of the dendrites


## Axial and membrane current

synapse


## Passive cable equations



$$
\frac{r_{m}}{r_{i}} \div \frac{{ }^{2} V(x, t)}{x^{2}} \quad r_{m} c_{m} \frac{V(x, t)}{t} \quad V(x, t)=0
$$

$$
\frac{{ }^{2} V}{X^{2}}=\frac{V}{T}+V(X, T) \quad \begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x} / \lambda \\
& \mathrm{T}=\mathrm{t} / \tau_{\mathrm{m}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Compartmental models

A. Chain of compartments C. Compartmental reconstruction

B. Branching compartments


## Cable theory

- discretization - compartments like branching $j, j+1, j+1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda^{2} \frac{\partial V_{m}(x, t)}{\partial x^{2}}-\tau_{m} \frac{\partial V_{m}(x, t)}{\partial t}-V_{m}(x, t)+V_{0} & =R_{m} l_{\text {inj }}(x, t) \\
\lambda & =\sqrt{\frac{d R_{m}}{2 R_{i}}} \\
\tau_{m} & =R_{m} C_{m} \\
V_{m} & =V_{0} e-\frac{x}{\lambda} \\
\frac{\partial V_{m}(x, t)}{\partial x^{2}} \leftarrow \frac{V_{j+1}-2 V_{j}(t)+V_{j-1}(t)}{\left(x_{j-1}-x_{j}\right)^{2}} &
\end{aligned}
$$

## Steady state condition

("Sealed-end" boundary) dV/dX $=0$; $x=L$

$$
\frac{{ }^{2} V}{X^{2}}=\frac{V}{\pi}+V(X, T)
$$



## Simulating voltage attenuation



Rall and Rinzel, 1973

## Simulators
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