B(E)4M36SMU Reinforcement learning 1 - MDP

Monday 20th February, 2023



Why reinforcement learning?

- https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=18zKZLqkfII
- https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=W_gxLKSsSIE&list= PL5nBAYUyJTrM48dViibyi68urttMlUv7e

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- AlphaGo, AlphaZero, ...
- autonomous helicopter flying
- solving Rubik's cube (2019): https://openai.com/blog/solving-rubiks-cube/

Why reinforcement learning?

- https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=18zKZLqkfII
- https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=W_gxLKSsSIE&list= PL5nBAYUyJTrM48dViibyi68urttMlUv7e

- ロ ト - 4 回 ト - 4 □

- AlphaGo, AlphaZero, . . .
- autonomous helicopter flying
- solving Rubik's cube (2019): https://openai.com/blog/solving-rubiks-cube/

What makes it successful?

- agent learns from reward and punishment
- inspired by nature (pain/hunger = negative, pleasure/food = positive)

sequential decision problem

- fully observable environment
- stochastic environment with Markovian transition model

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

- additive rewards/discounted rewards
- = Markov decision process

sequential decision problem

- fully observable environment
- stochastic environment with Markovian transition model

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

- additive rewards/discounted rewards
- = Markov decision process

- sequential decision problem
- fully observable environment
- stochastic environment with Markovian transition model

- additive rewards/discounted rewards
- Markov decision process

- sequential decision problem
- fully observable environment
- stochastic environment with Markovian transition model

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

- additive rewards/discounted rewards
- = Markov decision process

Markov decision process

5-tuple $(S, A, P(s' | s, a), r(s), \gamma)$

- ► *S* is a finite set of states
- A is a finite set of actions
- P(s' | s, a) is the probability that action a in state s will lead to state s'

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

- r(s) is the immediate reward received in state s
- $\gamma \in [0, 1]$ is the discount factor

• What if $\gamma = 0$?

Markov decision process

5-tuple $(S, A, P(s' | s, a), r(s), \gamma)$

- ► *S* is a finite set of states
- A is a finite set of actions
- P(s' | s, a) is the probability that action a in state s will lead to state s'

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

- r(s) is the immediate reward received in state s
- $\gamma \in [0, 1]$ is the discount factor
- What if $\gamma = 0$?

What is a solution?

A fixed sequence of states does not solve the problem ... why?

- A solution must specify what to do in *any* state.
- This solution is called policy π .
- $\pi(s)$ recommends action is state s.

What is a solution?

A fixed sequence of states does not solve the problem ... why?

- A solution must specify what to do in *any* state.
- This solution is called policy π .
- $\pi(s)$ recommends action is state s.

What is a solution?

A fixed sequence of states does not solve the problem ... why?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

- A solution must specify what to do in any state.
- This solution is called policy π .
- $\pi(s)$ recommends action is state s.

Expected utility obtained by executing π starting in s₀ is the sum of discounted rewards

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

How to calculate it?

Expected utility obtained by executing π starting in s₀ is the sum of discounted rewards

$$U^{\pi}(s_0) = \mathsf{E}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k r(s_k)\right)$$

Expected utility obtained by executing π starting in s₀ is the sum of discounted rewards

$$U^{\pi}(s_0) = \mathsf{E}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k r(s_k)\right)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

• What is policy if we know utility U^{π} ?

Expected utility obtained by executing π starting in s₀ is the sum of discounted rewards

$$U^{\pi}(s_0) = \mathsf{E}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k r(s_k)\right)$$

$$\pi(s) = \operatorname*{arg\,max}_{\pi} U^{\pi}(s)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Utility in Marcovian setting

Choose action a ∈ A(s) that maximizes the expected utility of the subsequent state:

$$\pi^*(s) = \operatorname*{arg\,max}_{a \in \mathcal{A}(s)} \sum_{s'} \mathcal{P}(s' \mid s, a) \mathcal{U}(s')$$

Bellman equation

$$U(s) = r(s) + \gamma \max_{a \in A(s)} \sum_{s'} P(s' \mid s, a) U(s').$$

An alternative formulation ... what is different?

$$U(s) = \max_{a \in A(s)} \sum_{s'} P(s' \mid s, a) (r(s, a, s') + \gamma U(s'))$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

Utility in Marcovian setting

Choose action a ∈ A(s) that maximizes the expected utility of the subsequent state:

$$\pi^*(s) = rgmax_{a \in \mathcal{A}(s)} \sum_{s'} P(s' \mid s, a) U(s')$$

Bellman equation

$$U(s) = r(s) + \gamma \max_{a \in A(s)} \sum_{s'} P(s' \mid s, a) U(s').$$

An alternative formulation ... what is different?

$$U(s) = \max_{a \in A(s)} \sum_{s'} P(s' \mid s, a) (r(s, a, s') + \gamma U(s'))$$

Utility in Marcovian setting

Choose action a ∈ A(s) that maximizes the expected utility of the subsequent state:

$$\pi^*(s) = \operatorname*{arg\,max}_{a \in \mathcal{A}(s)} \sum_{s'} \mathcal{P}(s' \mid s, a) \mathcal{U}(s')$$

Bellman equation

$$U(s) = r(s) + \gamma \max_{a \in A(s)} \sum_{s'} P(s' \mid s, a) U(s').$$

An alternative formulation ... what is different?

$$U(s) = \max_{a \in A(s)} \sum_{s'} P(s' \mid s, a) (r(s, a, s') + \gamma U(s'))$$

Value iteration

Bellman update

$$\widehat{U}_{i+1}(s) \leftarrow r(s) + \gamma \max_{a \in A(s)} \sum_{s'} P(s' \mid s, a) \widehat{U}_i(s')$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

The utility function is a fixed point of Bellman update.Connection to fixed point iteration.

Value iteration

 $\begin{array}{l} \widehat{U}(s) \text{ gets initial values} \\ \textbf{repeat} \\ \Delta \leftarrow 0 \\ \textbf{for all } s \in S \textbf{ do} \\ tmp \leftarrow \widehat{U}(s) \\ \widehat{U}(s) \leftarrow r(s) + \gamma \max_{a \in A(s)} \sum_{s'} P(s' \mid s, a) tmp(s') \\ \Delta \leftarrow \max(\Delta, |tmp - \widehat{U}(s)|) \\ \textbf{end for} \\ \textbf{until } \Delta < \theta \end{array}$

- Convergence guaranteed.
- A bound on relative error available.

Policy iteration

$$\begin{split} \widehat{U}(s) \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \pi(s) \in A(s) \text{ are arbitrary} \\ \textbf{repeat} \\ \Delta \leftarrow 0 \\ \textbf{for all } s \in S \textbf{ do} \qquad \triangleright \text{ Policy evaluation} \\ tmp \leftarrow \widehat{U}(s) \\ \widehat{U}(s) \leftarrow r(s) + \gamma \sum_{s'} P(s' \mid s, \pi(s)) tmp(s') \\ \Delta \leftarrow \max(\Delta, |tmp - \widehat{U}(s)|) \\ \textbf{end for} \end{split}$$

until $\Delta < \theta$ \triangleright Or simply solve the system of linear equations.

 $\pi(s) \leftarrow \arg \max_{a \in A(s)} \sum_{s'} P(s' \mid s, a) \widehat{U}(s') \qquad \triangleright P.$ improvement if policy did not change, stop. Otherwise goto policy evaluation step.

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

We do not need exact utility values.

Example

There are 4 matches lying on a table. The goal of an automated robot is to gradually remove them such that there is no match remaining on the table. The robot can remove 1 or 2 matches in one step. The problem is that the robot's arm is unreliable, it can remove more matches than the robot planned. To be precise, in half the attempts the arm removes one more match than planned. If the robot tries to remove more matches than actually available, the task becomes cyclic (-1 turns into 4, -2 turns into 3). Propose the optimal robot control strategy, the goal is to minimize the number of steps.

- (a) Propose a task formalization based on Markov Decision Process (MDP).
- (b) Formally derive the optimal strategy. If the derivation turns out difficult, show a few steps only and define the termination conditions.
- (c) Use the derivation ad b and for each state select one out of two available actions.
- (d) How many steps the robot with the optimal control strategy needs to reach zero matches?

Recommended literature

Stuart Russell and Peter Norvig Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach, third edition. http://aima.cs.berkeley.edu/ Chapter 17

Richard S. Sutton and Andrew G. Barto Reinforcement Learning: An Introduction, second edition. http://www.incompleteideas.net/book/the-book-2nd.html Chapters 3,4