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## Degenerate (Critical) Configurations for Exterior Orientation


no solution

1. $C$ cocyclic with $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}\right)$
camera sees points on a line

## unstable solution

- center of projection $C$ located on the orthogonal circular cylinder with base circumscribing the three points $X_{i}$
unstable: a small change of $X_{i}$ results in a large change of $C$
can be detected by error propagation
degenerate
- camera $C$ is coplanar with points $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}\right)$ but is not on the circumscribed circle of $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}\right) \quad$ camera sees points on a line
- additional critical configurations depend on the quadratic equations solver
[Haralick et al. IJCV 1994]


## Populating A Little ZOO of Minimal Geometric Problems in CV

| problem | given | unknown | slide |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| camera resection | 6 world－image correspondences $\left\{\left(X_{i}, m_{i}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{6}$ | $\mathbf{P}$ | $\rightarrow 62$ |
| exterior orientation | $\mathbf{K}, 3$ world－image correspondences $\left\{\left(X_{i}, m_{i}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{3}$ | $\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{C}$ | $\rightarrow 66$ |
| relative orientation | 3 world－world correspondences $\left\{\left(X_{i}, Y_{i}\right)\right\}_{i=1}^{3}$ | $\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{t}$ | $\rightarrow 70$ |

－camera resection and exterior orientation are similar problems in a sense：
－we do resectioning when our camera is uncalibrated
－we do orientation when our camera is calibrated
－relative orientation involves no camera（see next）
it is a recurring problem in 3D vision
－more problems to come

## －The Relative Orientation Problem

Problem：Given point triples $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}\right)$ and $\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}, Y_{3}\right)$ in a general position in $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ such that the correspondence $X_{i} \leftrightarrow Y_{i}$ is known，determine the relative orientation（ $\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{t}$ ）that maps $\mathbf{X}_{i}$ to $\mathbf{Y}_{i}$ ，i．e．

$$
\mathbf{Y}_{i}=\mathbf{R} \mathbf{X}_{i}+\mathbf{t}, \quad i=1,2,3 .
$$

## Applies to：

－3D scanners
－merging partial reconstructions from different viewpoints
－generalization of the last step of P3P
Obs：Let the centroid be $\overline{\mathbf{X}}=\frac{1}{3} \sum_{i} \mathbf{X}_{i}$ and analogically for $\overline{\mathbf{Y}}$ ．Then

$$
\overline{\mathbf{Y}}=\mathrm{R} \overline{\mathbf{X}}+\mathrm{t} .
$$

Therefore

$$
\mathbf{Z}_{i} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left(\mathbf{Y}_{i}-\overline{\mathbf{Y}}\right)=\mathbf{R}\left(\mathbf{X}_{i}-\overline{\mathbf{X}}\right) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{W}_{i}
$$

If all dot products are equal， $\mathbf{Z}_{i}^{\top} \mathbf{Z}_{j}=\mathbf{W}_{i}^{\top} \mathbf{W}_{j}$ for $i, j=1,2,3$ ，we have

$$
\mathbf{R}^{*}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\mathbf{W}_{1} & \mathbf{W}_{2} & \mathbf{W}_{3}
\end{array}\right]^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\mathbf{Z}_{1} & \mathbf{Z}_{2} & \mathbf{Z}_{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Poor man＇s solver：
－normalize $\mathbf{W}_{i}, \mathbf{Z}_{i}$ to unit length，use the above formula，and then find the closest rotation matrix
－but this is equivalent to a non－optimal objective
it ignores errors in vector lengths

## An Optimal Algorithm for Relative Orientation

We setup a minimization problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{R}^{*}=\arg \min _{\mathbf{R}} \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left\|\mathbf{Z}_{i}-\mathbf{R} \mathbf{W}_{i}\right\|^{2} \quad \text { s.t. } \quad \mathbf{R}^{\top} \mathbf{R}=\mathbf{I}, \quad \operatorname{det} \mathbf{R}=1 \\
\arg \min _{\mathbf{R}} \sum_{i}\left\|\mathbf{Z}_{i}-\mathbf{R} \mathbf{W}_{i}\right\|^{2}=\arg \min _{\mathbf{R}} \sum_{i}\left(\left\|\mathbf{Z}_{i}\right\|^{2}-2 \mathbf{Z}_{i}^{\top} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{W}_{i}+\left\|\mathbf{W}_{i}\right\|^{2}\right)=\cdots=\arg \max _{\mathbf{R}} \sum_{i} \mathbf{Z}_{i}^{\top} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{W}_{i}
\end{gathered}
$$

Obs 1: Let $\mathbf{A}: \mathbf{B}=\sum_{i, j} a_{i j} b_{i j}$ be the dot-product (Frobenius inner product) over real matrices. Then

$$
\mathbf{A}: \mathbf{B}=\mathbf{B}: \mathbf{A}=\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\top} \mathbf{B}\right)=\operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{A})^{\top} \operatorname{vec}(\mathbf{B})=\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}
$$

Obs 2: (cyclic property for matrix trace)

$$
\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A B C})=\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{C A B})
$$

Obs 3: $\left(\mathbf{Z}_{i}, \mathbf{W}_{i}\right.$ are vectors)

$$
\mathbf{Z}_{i}^{\top} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{W}_{i}=\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{i}^{\top} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{W}_{i}\right) \stackrel{\mathrm{O} 2}{=} \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{W}_{i} \mathbf{Z}_{i}^{\top} \mathbf{R}\right) \stackrel{\mathrm{O} 1}{=}\left(\mathbf{Z}_{i} \mathbf{W}_{i}^{\top}\right): \mathbf{R}=\mathbf{R}:\left(\mathbf{Z}_{i} \mathbf{W}_{i}^{\top}\right)
$$

Let there be SVD of

$$
\sum_{i} \mathbf{Z}_{i} \mathbf{W}_{i}^{\top} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \mathbf{M}=\mathbf{U D} \mathbf{V}^{\top}
$$

Then

$$
\mathbf{R}: \mathbf{M}=\mathbf{R}:\left(\mathbf{U D} \mathbf{V}^{\top}\right) \stackrel{\mathrm{O1}}{=} \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{R}^{\top} \mathbf{U D} \mathbf{V}^{\top}\right) \stackrel{\mathrm{O2}}{=} \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{V}^{\top} \mathbf{R}^{\top} \mathbf{U D}\right) \stackrel{\mathrm{O} 1}{=}\left(\mathbf{U}^{\top} \mathbf{R V}\right): \mathbf{D}
$$

## cont'd: The Algorithm

We are solving

$$
\mathbf{R}^{*}=\arg \max _{\mathbf{R}} \sum_{i} \mathbf{Z}_{i}^{\top} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{W}_{i}=\arg \max _{\mathbf{R}}\left(\mathbf{U}^{\top} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{V}\right): \mathbf{D}
$$

A particular solution is found as follows:

- $\mathbf{U}^{\top} \mathbf{R V}$ must be (1) orthogonal, and closest to: (2) diagonal and (3) positive definite $\mathbf{D}$
- Since $\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V}$ are orthogonal matrices then the solution to the problem is among $\mathbf{R}^{*}=\mathbf{U S V}{ }^{\top}$, where $\mathbf{S}$ is diagonal and orthogonal, i.e. one of

$$
\pm \operatorname{diag}(1,1,1), \quad \pm \operatorname{diag}(1,-1,-1), \quad \pm \operatorname{diag}(-1,1,-1), \quad \pm \operatorname{diag}(-1,-1,1)
$$

- $\mathbf{U}^{\top} \mathbf{V}$ is not necessarily positive definite
- We choose $\mathbf{S}$ so that $\left(\mathbf{R}^{*}\right)^{\top} \mathbf{R}^{*}=\mathbf{I}$


## Alg:

1. Compute matrix $\mathbf{M}=\sum_{i} \mathbf{Z}_{i} \mathbf{W}_{i}^{\top}$.
2. Compute SVD $\mathbf{M}=\mathbf{U D V}{ }^{\top}$.
3. Compute all $\mathbf{R}_{k}=\mathbf{U} \mathbf{S}_{k} \mathbf{V}^{\top}$ that give $\mathbf{R}_{k}^{\top} \mathbf{R}_{k}=\mathbf{I}$.
4. Compute $\mathbf{t}_{k}=\overline{\mathbf{Y}}-\mathbf{R}_{k} \overline{\mathbf{X}}$.

- The algorithm can be used for more than 3 points
- Triple pairs can be pre-filtered based on motion invariants (lengths, angles)
- Can be used for the last step of the exterior orientation (P3P) problem $\rightarrow 66$


## Module IV

## Computing with a Camera Pair

4.1) Camera Motions Inducing Epipolar Geometry, Fundamental and Essential Matrices
4.2 Estimating Fundamental Matrix from 7 Correspondences
4.3 Estimating Essential Matrix from 5 Correspondences
4.4) Triangulation: 3D Point Position from a Pair of Corresponding Points
covered by
[1] [H\&Z] Secs: 9.1, 9.2, 9.6, 11.1, 11.2, 11.9, 12.2, 12.3, 12.5.1
[2] H. Li and R. Hartley. Five-point motion estimation made easy. In Proc ICPR 2006, pp. 630-633
additional references
$\square$ H. Longuet-Higgins. A computer algorithm for reconstructing a scene from two projections. Nature, 293(5828):133-135, 1981.

## Geometric Model of a Camera Stereo Pair

$$
\mathbf{P}_{i}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{Q}_{i} & \mathbf{q}_{i}
\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{K}_{i}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{R}_{i} & \mathbf{t}_{i}
\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{K}_{i} \mathbf{R}_{i}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{I} & -\mathbf{C}_{i}
\end{array}\right] \quad i=1,2 \quad \rightarrow 31
$$

## Epipolar geometry：

－brings constraints necessary for inter－image matching
－its parametric form encapsulates information about the relative pose of two cameras


## Description

－baseline $b$ joins projection centers $C_{1}, C_{2}$

$$
\mathbf{b}=\mathbf{C}_{2}-\mathbf{C}_{1}
$$

－epipole $e_{i} \in \pi_{i}$ is the image of $C_{j}$ ：

$$
\underline{\mathbf{e}}_{1} \simeq \mathbf{P}_{1} \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{2}, \quad \underline{\mathbf{e}}_{2} \simeq \mathbf{P}_{2} \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{1}
$$

－$l_{i} \in \pi_{i}$ is the image of optical ray $d_{j}, j \neq i$ and also the epipolar plane

$$
\varepsilon=\left(C_{2}, X, C_{1}\right)
$$

－$l_{j}$ is the epipolar line（＇epipolar＇）in image $\pi_{j}$ induced by $m_{i}$ in image $\pi_{i}$

Epipolar constraint relates $\underline{\mathbf{m}}_{1}$ and $\underline{\mathbf{m}}_{2}$ ：corresponding $d_{2}, b, d_{1}$ are coplanar a necessary condition $\rightarrow 87$

## Epipolar Geometry Example: Forward Motion


image 1

- red: correspondences
- green: epipolar line pairs per correspondence

image 2
click on the image to see their IDs same ID in both images

Epipole is the image of the other camera's center.
How high was the camera above the floor?


## Cross Products and Maps by Skew-Symmetric $3 \times 3$ Matrices

- There is an equivalence $\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{m}=[\mathbf{b}]_{\times} \mathbf{m}$, where $[\mathbf{b}]_{\times}$is a $3 \times 3$ skew-symmetric matrix

$$
[\mathbf{b}]_{\times}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -b_{3} & b_{2} \\
b_{3} & 0 & -b_{1} \\
-b_{2} & b_{1} & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad \text { assuming } \quad \mathbf{b}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
b_{1} \\
b_{2} \\
b_{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

## Some properties

1. $[\mathbf{b}]_{\times}^{\top}=-[\mathbf{b}]_{\times}$
2. $\mathbf{A}$ is skew-symmetric iff $\mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}=0$ for all $\mathbf{x}$
3. $[\mathbf{b}]_{\times}^{3}=-\|\mathbf{b}\|^{2} \cdot[\mathbf{b}]_{\times}$
4. $\left\|[\mathbf{b}]_{\times}\right\|_{F}=\sqrt{2}\|\mathbf{b}\|$
5. $\operatorname{rank}[\mathbf{b}]_{\times}=2$ iff $\|\mathbf{b}\|>0$

Frobenius norm $\left(\|\mathbf{A}\|_{F}=\sqrt{\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbf{A}^{\top} \mathbf{A}\right)}=\sqrt{\sum_{i, j}\left|a_{i j}\right|^{2}}\right)$ check minors of $[\mathbf{b}]_{\times}$
6. $[\mathbf{b}]_{\times} \mathbf{b}=\mathbf{0}$
7. eigenvalues of $[\mathbf{b}]_{\times}$are $(0, \lambda,-\lambda)$
8. for any $3 \times 3$ regular $\mathbf{B}: \quad \mathbf{B}^{\top}[\mathbf{B z}]_{\times} \mathbf{B}=\operatorname{det} \mathbf{B}[\mathbf{z}]_{\times}$
follows from the factoring on $\rightarrow 39$
9. in particular: if $\mathbf{R} \mathbf{R}^{\top}=\mathbf{I}$ then $[\mathbf{R b}]_{\times}=\mathbf{R}[\mathbf{b}]_{\times} \mathbf{R}^{\top}$

- note that if $\mathbf{R}_{b}$ is rotation about $\mathbf{b}$ then $\mathbf{R}_{b} \mathbf{b}=\mathbf{b}$
- note $[\mathbf{b}]_{\times}$is not a homography; it is not a rotation matrix
it is the logarithm of a rotation mtx


## Expressing Epipolar Constraint Algebraically



$$
\mathbf{P}_{i}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{Q}_{i} & \mathbf{q}_{i}
\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{K}_{i}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{R}_{i} & \mathbf{t}_{i}
\end{array}\right], i=1,2
$$

defs:
$\mathbf{R}_{21}$ - relative camera rotation, $\mathbf{R}_{21}=\mathbf{R}_{2} \mathbf{R}_{1}^{\top}$
$\mathbf{t}_{21}$ - relative camera translation, $\mathbf{t}_{21}=\mathbf{t}_{2}-\mathbf{R}_{21} \mathbf{t}_{1}=-\mathbf{R}_{2} \mathbf{b} \rightarrow 74$
b - baseline vector (world coordinate system)
remember: $\mathbf{C}=-\mathbf{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{q}=-\mathbf{R}^{\top} \mathbf{t}$

$$
0=\mathbf{d}_{2}^{\top} \underbrace{\top}_{\text {normal of } \varepsilon} \mathbf{p}_{\varepsilon} \simeq \underbrace{\left(\mathbf{Q}_{2}^{-1} \underline{\mathbf{m}}_{2}\right)^{\top}}_{\text {optical ray }} \underbrace{\mathbf{Q}_{1}^{\top} \underline{\mathbf{l}}_{1}}_{\text {optical plane }}=\underline{\mathbf{m}}_{2}^{\top} \underbrace{\mathbf{Q}_{2}^{-\top} \mathbf{Q}_{1}^{\top}\left(\mathbf{e}_{1} \times \underline{\mathbf{m}}_{1}\right)}_{\text {image of } \varepsilon \text { in } \pi_{2}}=\underline{\mathbf{m}}_{2}^{\top} \underbrace{\left(\mathbf{Q}_{2}^{-\top} \mathbf{Q}_{1}^{\top}\left[\underline{\mathbf{e}}_{1}\right]_{\times}\right)}_{\text {fundamental matrix } \mathbf{F}} \underline{\mathbf{m}}_{1}
$$

Epipolar constraint $\quad \underline{\mathbf{m}}_{2}^{\top} \mathbf{F} \underline{\mathbf{m}}_{1}=0 \quad$ is a point-line incidence constraint

- point $\underline{\mathbf{m}}_{2}$ is incident on epipolar line $\underline{\mathbf{l}}_{2} \simeq \mathbf{F} \underline{\mathbf{m}}_{1}$
- point $\underline{\mathbf{m}}_{1}$ is incident on epipolar line $\underline{l}_{1} \simeq \mathbf{F}^{\top} \underline{\mathbf{m}}_{2}$
- $\mathbf{F e}_{1}=\mathbf{F}^{\top} \underline{\mathbf{e}}_{2}=\mathbf{0}$ (non-trivially)
- all epipolars meet at the epipole

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{\mathbf{e}}_{1} \simeq \mathbf{Q}_{1} \mathbf{C}_{2}+\mathbf{q}_{1}=\mathbf{Q}_{1} \mathbf{C}_{2}-\mathbf{Q}_{1} \mathbf{C}_{1}=\mathbf{K}_{1} \mathbf{R}_{1} \mathbf{b}=-\mathbf{K}_{1} \mathbf{R}_{1} \mathbf{R}_{2}^{\top} \mathbf{t}_{21}=-\mathbf{K}_{1} \mathbf{R}_{21}^{\top} \mathbf{t}_{21} \\
& \mathbf{F}=\mathbf{Q}_{2}^{-\top} \mathbf{Q}_{1}^{\top}\left[\underline{\mathbf{1}}_{1}\right]_{\times}=\mathbf{Q}_{2}^{-\top} \mathbf{Q}_{1}^{\top}\left[-\mathbf{K}_{1} \mathbf{R}_{21}^{\top} \mathbf{t}_{21}\right]_{\times}=\stackrel{1}{\circledast} \simeq \mathbf{K}_{2}^{-\top}\left[-\mathbf{t}_{21}\right]_{\times} \mathbf{R}_{21} \mathbf{K}_{1}^{-1} \text { fundamental } \\
& \mathbf{E}=\left[-\mathbf{t}_{21}\right]_{\times} \mathbf{R}_{21}=\underbrace{\left[\mathbf{R}_{2} \mathbf{b}\right]_{\times} \mathbf{R}_{21}}_{\text {baseline in Cam 2 }} \stackrel{\rightarrow 76 / 9}{=} \mathbf{R}_{21} \underbrace{\left[\mathbf{R}_{1} \mathbf{b}\right]_{\times}}_{\text {baseline in Cam 1 }}=\mathbf{R}_{21}\left[-\mathbf{R}_{21}^{\top} \mathbf{t}_{21}\right]_{\times} \quad \text { essential }
\end{aligned}
$$

## The Structure and the Key Properties of the Fundamental Matrix

$$
\mathbf{F}=(\underbrace{\mathbf{Q}_{2} \mathbf{Q}_{1}^{-1}}_{\text {epipolar homography } \mathbf{H}_{e}})^{-\top}\left[\mathbf{e}_{1}\right]_{\times}=\underbrace{\mathbf{K}_{2}^{-\top} \mathbf{R}_{21} \mathbf{K}_{1}^{\top}}_{\mathbf{H}_{e}^{-\top}} \overbrace{\left[\mathbf{e}_{1}\right]_{\times}}^{\text {left epipole }} \stackrel{\rightarrow}{\sim} \stackrel{7 \mathrm{r}}{\sim} \overbrace{\left[\mathbf{H}_{e} \mathbf{e}_{1}\right]_{\times}}^{\text {right epipole }} \mathbf{H}_{e}=\mathbf{K}_{2}^{-\top} \underbrace{\left[-\mathbf{t}_{21}\right]_{\times} \mathbf{R}_{21}}_{\text {essential matrix } \mathbf{E}} \mathbf{K}_{1}^{-1}
$$

1. E captures relative camera pose only
[Longuet-Higgins 1981]
(the change of the world coordinate system does not change $\mathbf{E}$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{R}_{i}^{\prime} & \mathbf{t}_{i}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{R}_{i} & \mathbf{t}_{i}
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{R} & \mathbf{t} \\
\mathbf{0}^{\top} & 1
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{R}_{i} \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{R}_{i} \mathbf{t}+\mathbf{t}_{i}
\end{array}\right] } \\
& \mathbf{R}_{21}^{\prime}=\mathbf{R}_{2}^{\prime} \mathbf{R}_{1}^{\prime \top}=\cdots=\mathbf{R}_{21} \text { then } \\
& \mathbf{t}_{21}^{\prime}=\mathbf{t}_{2}^{\prime}-\mathbf{R}_{21}^{\prime} \mathbf{t}_{1}^{\prime}=\cdots=\mathbf{t}_{21}
\end{aligned}
$$

2. the translation length $\mathbf{t}_{21}$ is lost since $\mathbf{E}$ is homogeneous
3. $\mathbf{F}$ maps points to lines and it is not a homography
4. $\mathbf{H}_{e}$ maps epipoles to epipoles, $\mathbf{H}_{e}^{-\top}$ epipolar lines to epipolar lines: $\underline{l}_{2} \simeq \mathbf{H}_{e}^{-\top} \underline{\mathbf{l}}_{1}$

another epipolar line map: $\underline{1}_{2} \simeq \mathbf{F}\left[\mathbf{e}_{1}\right]_{\times} \underline{1}_{1}=\mathbf{F}\left(\underline{e}_{1} \times \underline{1}_{1}\right)$

- proof by point/line 'transmutation' (left)
- point $\underline{\mathbf{e}}_{1}$ does not lie on line $\underline{\mathbf{e}}_{1}$ (dashed): $\underline{\mathbf{e}}_{1}^{\top} \underline{\mathbf{e}}_{1} \neq 0$
- $\mathbf{F}\left[\mathbf{e}_{1}\right]_{\times}$is not a homography, unlike $\mathbf{H}_{e}^{-\top}$ but it does the same job for epipolar line mapping
- no need to decompose $\mathbf{F}$ to obtain $\mathbf{H}_{e}$


## Summary: Relations and Mappings Involving Fundamental Matrix



$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
0 & =\underline{\mathbf{m}}_{2}^{\top} \mathbf{F} \underline{\mathbf{m}}_{1} & & \\
\underline{\mathbf{e}}_{1} & \simeq \operatorname{null}(\mathbf{F}), & & \underline{\mathbf{e}}_{2} \simeq \operatorname{null}\left(\mathbf{F}^{\top}\right) \\
\underline{\mathbf{e}}_{1} & \simeq \mathbf{H}_{e}^{-1} \underline{\mathbf{e}}_{2} & & \underline{\mathbf{e}}_{2} \simeq \mathbf{H}_{e} \underline{\mathbf{e}}_{1} \\
\underline{\mathbf{l}}_{1} & \simeq \mathbf{F}^{\top} \underline{\mathbf{m}}_{2} & \underline{\mathbf{l}}_{2} \simeq \mathbf{F} \underline{\mathbf{m}}_{1} \\
\underline{\mathbf{l}}_{1} & \simeq \mathbf{H}_{e}^{\top} \underline{\mathbf{l}}_{2} & \underline{\mathbf{l}}_{2} \simeq \mathbf{H}_{e}^{-\top} \underline{\mathbf{l}}_{1} \\
\underline{\mathbf{l}}_{1} & \simeq \mathbf{F}^{\top}\left[\underline{\mathbf{e}}_{2}\right]_{\times} \mathbf{l}_{2} & & \underline{\mathbf{l}}_{2} \simeq \mathbf{F}\left[\underline{\mathbf{e}}_{1}\right]_{\times} \underline{\mathbf{l}}_{1}
\end{array}
$$



- $\mathbf{F}\left[\underline{\mathbf{e}}_{1}\right]_{\times}$maps epipolar lines to epipolar lines but it is not a homography
- $\mathbf{H}_{e}=\mathbf{Q}_{2} \mathbf{Q}_{1}^{-1}$ is the epipolar homography $\rightarrow 78$ $\mathbf{H}_{e}^{-\top}$ maps epipolar lines to epipolar lines, where

$$
\mathbf{H}_{e}=\mathbf{Q}_{2} \mathbf{Q}_{1}^{-1}=\mathbf{K}_{2} \mathbf{R}_{21} \mathbf{K}_{1}^{-1}
$$

Thank You


