

## Logical reasoning and programming, lab session 7

(October 31, 2022)

7.1 Decide whether for any formula  $\varphi$  holds:

- (a)  $\varphi \equiv \forall\varphi$ ,
- (b)  $\varphi \equiv \exists\varphi$ ,
- (c)  $\models \varphi$  iff  $\models \forall\varphi$ ,
- (d)  $\models \varphi$  iff  $\models \exists\varphi$ ,

where  $\forall\varphi$  ( $\exists\varphi$ ) is the universal (existential) closure of  $\varphi$ . If not, does at least one implication hold?

7.2 Show that for any set of formulae  $\Gamma$  and a formula  $\varphi$  holds if  $\Gamma \models \varphi$ , then  $\forall\Gamma \models \varphi$ , where  $\forall\Gamma = \{\forall\psi : \psi \in \Gamma\}$ . Does the opposite direction hold?

7.3 Does it hold  $\Gamma \models \varphi$  iff  $\forall\Gamma \models \forall\varphi$ ?

7.4 Find a set of formulae  $\Gamma$  and a formula  $\varphi$  such that  $\Gamma \models \varphi$  and  $\Gamma \not\models \neg\varphi$ .

7.5 Unify the following pairs of formulae:

- (a)  $\{p(X, Y) \doteq p(Y, f(Z))\}$ ,
- (b)  $\{p(a, Y, f(Y)) \doteq p(Z, Z, U)\}$ ,
- (c)  $\{p(X, g(X)) \doteq p(Y, Y)\}$ ,
- (d)  $\{p(X, g(X), Y) \doteq p(Z, U, g(U))\}$ ,
- (e)  $\{p(g(X), Y) \doteq p(Y, Y), p(Y, Y) \doteq p(U, f(W))\}$ .

Note: You can check your results in SWISH using `unify_with_occurs_check/2`.

7.6 What is the size of the maximal term that is produced when you try to unify

$$\{f(g(X_1, X_1), g(X_2, X_2), \dots, g(X_{n-1}, X_{n-1})) \doteq f(X_2, X_3, \dots, X_n)\}.$$

7.7 We say that a binary predicate  $q$  is the transitive closure of a binary predicate  $p$ , if  $q(s, t)$  iff there is a sequence of terms  $s = t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-1}, t_n = t$  such that  $p(t_i, t_{i+1})$ , for  $1 \leq i < n$ . Is the formula

$$\forall X \forall Z (q(X, Z) \leftrightarrow (p(X, Z) \vee \exists Y (p(X, Y) \wedge q(Y, Z))))$$

a correct definition of  $q$ ?

7.8 The compactness theorem in First-Order Logic says that a set of sentences has a model iff every finite subset of it has a model. Use this theorem to show that the transitive closure is not definable in FOL.

Hint: Assume for a contradiction that  $\varphi$  is a formula that expresses that  $q$  is the transitive closure of  $p$ . Let  $\psi^n(a, b) = \neg(\exists X_1 \dots \exists X_{n-1} (p(a, X_1) \wedge p(X_1, X_2) \wedge \dots \wedge p(X_{n-1}, b)))$  (Hence  $\psi^1(a, b)$  means  $\neg p(a, b)$  and  $\psi^2(a, b)$  means  $\neg(\exists X_1 (p(a, X_1) \wedge p(X_1, b)))$ ). What can you say about the satisfiability of  $\Gamma = \{\varphi\} \cup \{q(a, b)\} \cup \{\psi^1(a, b), \psi^2(a, b), \dots\}$ ?

**7.9** Show that the resolution rule is correct.

**7.10** Derive the empty clause  $\square$  using the resolution calculus from:

(a)  $\{\{\neg p(X), \neg p(f(X))\}, \{p(f(X)), p(X)\}, \{\neg p(X), p(f(X))\}\}$

(b)  $\{\{\neg p(X, a), \neg p(X, Y), \neg p(Y, X)\}, \{p(X, f(X)), p(X, a)\}, \{p(f(X), X), p(X, a)\}\}$

**7.11** Prove using the resolution calculus that from

$$\forall X \forall Y (p(X, Y) \rightarrow p(Y, X))$$

$$\forall X \forall Y \forall Z ((p(X, Y) \wedge p(Y, Z)) \rightarrow p(X, Z))$$

$$\forall X \exists Y p(X, Y)$$

follows  $\forall X p(X, X)$ .

**7.12** Check PyRes; simple resolution-based theorem provers for first-order logic. You can find proofs for the previous examples using them. For example, use

```
pyres-fof.py -tifb -HPickGiven5 -nlargest
```

There are various heuristics (FIFO, SymbolCount, PickGiven5, and PickGiven2) and literal selections (first, smallest, largest, leastvars, and eqleastvars) available. Use `-p` to see a proof.

**7.13** List all the possible applications of the factoring rule on the clause

$$\{p(X, f(Y), Z), p(T, T, g(a)), p(f(b), S, g(W)), \neg s(Z, T), \neg s(c, d)\}.$$

If it is possible to use the factoring rule several times, then produce even these results.