## Uncertainty, Chance, and Utilities

Tomáš Svoboda

Vision for Robots and Autonomous Systems, Center for Machine Perception Department of Cybernetics Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Czech Technical University in Prague

March 10, 2022

Notes -

## $\mathsf{Deterministic}\ \mathsf{opponent} \to \mathsf{stochastic}\ \mathsf{environment}$



Notes -

## $\mathsf{Deterministic}\ \mathsf{opponent} \to \mathsf{stochastic}\ \mathsf{environment}$



Notes -

### Deterministic opponent $\rightarrow$ stochastic environment



Notes -

### Deterministic opponent $\rightarrow$ stochastic environment



Notes -

Why? Actions may fail, ...



#### - Notes -

At a certain moment, command is forward, flippers are rolling but the outcome is different, robot does not move – it is slipping a bit until it catches the grip again.

A At home

tram bike car

Random variable: Situation on rails R

- $r_1$  free rails
- r<sub>2</sub> accident
- r<sub>3</sub> congestion

MAX/MIN depends on what the  $r_7$  options and terminal numbers mean. The goal may be to get to work as fast as possible.

Notes -

4 / 35

We talk about games. However, game model may be well used for modeling real world problems. This is just a two-ply game/tree. But think sequentially, or, recursively. The numbers can be seen as journey duration - then A is the MIN node - min value is the best (MAX) for me.

We can convert it to a classical MAX thinking by changing the Utilies to Working hours-delay - and we want to maximize the working hours.



Random variable: Situation on rails R

- $r_1$  free rails
- re accident
- r- congrection

MAX/MIN depends on what the  $r_{?}$  options and terminal numbers mean. The goal may be to get to work as fast as possible.

4 / 35

Notes -

We talk about games. However, game model may be well used for modeling real world problems. This is just a two-ply game/tree. But think sequentially, or, recursively.

The numbers can be seen as journey duration - then A is the MIN node - min value is the best (MAX) for me. We can convert it to a classical MAX thinking by changing the Utilies to Working hours-delay - and we want to maximize the working hours.



minutes

### Random variable: Situation on rails R

- $r_1$  free rails
- $r_2$  accident
- $r_3$  congestion

MAX/MIN depends on what the  $r_7$  options and terminal numbers mean. The goal may be to get to work as fast as possible.

Notes -

We talk about games. However, game model may be well used for modeling real world problems. This is just a two-ply game/tree. But think sequentially, or, recursively.

The numbers can be seen as journey duration - then A is the MIN node - min value is the best (MAX) for me. We can convert it to a classical MAX thinking by changing the Utilies to Working hours-delay - and we want to maximize the working hours.



MAX/MIN depends on what the r<sub>?</sub> options and terminal numbers mean. The goal may be to get to work as fast as possible.

Notes -

We talk about games. However, game model may be well used for modeling real world problems. This is just a two-ply game/tree. But think sequentially, or, recursively.

The numbers can be seen as journey duration - then A is the MIN node - min value is the best (MAX) for me. We can convert it to a classical MAX thinking by changing the Utilies to Working hours-delay - and we want to

maximize the working hours.



Random variable: Situation on rails R

- $r_1$  free rails
- r<sub>2</sub> accident
- $r_3$  congestion

MAX/MIN depends on what the  $r_{?}$  options and terminal numbers mean. The goal may be to get to work as fast as possible.

Notes -

We talk about games. However, game model may be well used for modeling real world problems. This is just a two-ply game/tree. But think sequentially, or, recursively.

The numbers can be seen as journey duration - then A is the MIN node - min value is the best (MAX) for me.

We can convert it to a classical MAX thinking by changing the Utilies to Working hours-delay - and we want to maximize the working hours.



#### Notes



#### Notes



#### Notes



#### Notes

### Expectimax

```
function EXPECTIMAX(state) return a value

if IS-TERMINAL(state): return UTILITY(state)

if state (next agent) is MAX: return MAX-VALUE(state)

if state (next agent) is CHANCE: return EXP-VALUE(state)

end function

function MAX-VALUE(state) return value v

v \leftarrow -\infty

for a in ACTIONS(state) do

v \leftarrow max(v, EXPECTIMAX(RESULT(state, a)))

end for

end function

function EXP-VALUE(state) return value v

v \leftarrow 0

for all r \in random events do

v \leftarrow v + P(r) EXPECTIMAX(RESULT(state, r))

end for

end function
```

Notes -

The scheme very much resembles the MINIMAX algorithm. Before, we had the deterministic opponent – MIN node.

Expectimax

```
function EXPECTIMAX(state) return a value
   if IS-TERMINAL(state): return UTILITY(state)
   if state (next agent) is MAX: return MAX-VALUE(state)
   if state (next agent) is CHANCE: return EXP-VALUE(state)
end function
function MAX-VALUE(state) return value v
   v \leftarrow -\infty
   for a in ACTIONS(state) do
       v \leftarrow \max(v, \text{EXPECTIMAX}(\text{RESULT}(\text{state}, a)))
   end for
end function
function EXP-VALUE(state) return value v
   v \leftarrow 0
   for all r \in random events do
       v \leftarrow v + P(r) EXPECTIMAX(RESULT(state, r))
   end for
end function
                                                                                              6 / 35
                                          Notes
```

The scheme very much resembles the MINIMAX algorithm. Before, we had the deterministic opponent – MIN node.

- Random variable an event with unknown outcome
- Probability distribution assignment of weights to the outcomes



- Random variable: R situation on rails
- Outcomes/events:  $r \in \{\text{free rails, accident, congestion}\}$
- Probability distribution: P(R = free rails) = 0.3, P(R = accident) = 0.1, P(R = congestion) = 0.6

Few reminders from laws of probability, Probabilities:

- always non-negative,
- sum over all possible outcomes is equal to 1.

Notes -

- Random variable an event with unknown outcome
- Probability distribution assignment of weights to the outcomes



Random variable: *R* - situation on rails

- Outcomes/events: r ∈ {free rails, accident, congestion}
- Probability distribution: P(R = free rails) = 0.3, P(R = accident) = 0.1,
  - P(R = congestion) = 0.6

Few reminders from laws of probability, Probabilities:

- always non-negative,
- sum over all possible outcomes is equal to 1

Notes -

- Random variable an event with unknown outcome
- Probability distribution assignment of weights to the outcomes



- Random variable: R situation on rails
- Outcomes/events:  $r \in \{ \text{free rails, accident, congestion} \}$
- Probability distribution: P(R = free rails) = 0.3, P(R = accident) = 0.1, P(R = congestion) = 0.6

Few reminders from laws of probability, Probabilities:

- always non-negative,
- sum over all possible outcomes is equal to 1

Notes -

- Random variable an event with unknown outcome
- Probability distribution assignment of weights to the outcomes



- Random variable: R situation on rails
- Outcomes/events:  $r \in \{ \text{free rails, accident, congestion} \}$
- Probability distribution: P(R = free rails) = 0.3, P(R = accident) = 0.1, P(R = congestion) = 0.6

Few reminders from laws of probability, Probabilities:

- always non-negative
- sum over all possible outcomes is equal to 1

Notes -

- Random variable an event with unknown outcome
- Probability distribution assignment of weights to the outcomes



- Random variable: R situation on rails
- Outcomes/events:  $r \in \{ \text{free rails, accident, congestion} \}$
- Probability distribution: P(R = free rails) = 0.3, P(R = accident) = 0.1, P(R = congestion) = 0.6

Few reminders from laws of probability, Probabilities:

- always non-negative,
- sum over all possible outcomes is equal to 1.

Notes

## Expectations, ...

How long does it take to go to work by tram?

- Depends on the random variable R situation on rails with possible events  $r_1, r_2, r_3$ .
- What is the expectation of the time?

 $= P(r_1)t_1 + P(r_2)t_2 + P(r_3)t_3$ 

Weighted average.

Notes -

The Expectation is a kind of long-horizon/many-realizations value. Think about trials/simulations.

## Expectations, ...

How long does it take to go to work by tram?

- Depends on the random variable R situation on rails with possible events  $r_1, r_2, r_3$ .
- What is the expectation of the time?

$$t = P(r_1)t_1 + P(r_2)t_2 + P(r_3)t_3$$

Weighted average.

Notes -

The Expectation is a kind of long-horizon/many-realizations value. Think about trials/simulations.

### How about the Reversi game?

- Is there any space for randomness?
- Is the opponent really greedy and clever enough?
- Hope for chance when there is adversarial world Dangerous optimism
- Assuming worst case even if it is not likely Dangerous pessimism .

Notes

For games where there is only a single final outcome (value)—e.g., you win, looose, or draw—and no bonus for winning fast, it does not pay off to be optimistic and assume your opponent is a fool. Such optimism can be dangerous.

For other games, like the Pacman example in the UC Berkeley lecture where there is cost for every move you make, assuming the ghost is a perfect adversary while it is behaving randomly may cost you some points.

### How about the Reversi game?

- Is there any space for randomness?
- Is the opponent really greedy and clever enough?
- ▶ Hope for chance when there is adversarial world Dangerous optimism .
- Assuming worst case even if it is not likely Dangerous pessimism .

#### Notes

For games where there is only a single final outcome (value)—e.g., you win, looose, or draw—and no bonus for winning fast, it does not pay off to be optimistic and assume your opponent is a fool. Such optimism can be dangerous.

For other games, like the Pacman example in the UC Berkeley lecture where there is cost for every move you make, assuming the ghost is a perfect adversary while it is behaving randomly may cost you some points.



#### Notes —

Read the rules at: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Backgammon or elsewhere.

White moves clockwise - toward 25, black counterclockwise - toward 0.

Moving step defined by the dice, one after another.

Moving out the gameboard from last quarter only after all stones are there.

No move to position where more than one opp stone.

One stone can be captured (see position 10).

## Random variable: Throwing two dice

Do we care which die comes first?

What is the probability of , ? A 1/24 B 1/36 C 1/18 D 1/6

Source of dice images: https://flyclipart.com/dice-clipart-tool-rolling-dice-clipart-248574

Notes -

## Random variable: Throwing two dice

Do we care which die comes first?



<sup>1</sup>Source of dice images: https://flyclipart.com/dice-clipart-tool-rolling-dice-clipart-248574

Notes -

## Random variable: Throwing two dice

Do we care which die comes first?



D 1/6

<sup>1</sup>Source of dice images: https://flyclipart.com/dice-clipart-tool-rolling-dice-clipart-248574

Notes -



What are the probabilities, what do they mean? Here, they represent solely the randomness (rolling dice). This is a combination of playing against an opponent (minimax) and chance/randomness (expectimax) in one game. Hence: *expectiminimax*.



What are the probabilities, what do they mean? Here, they represent solely the randomness (rolling dice). This is a combination of playing against an opponent (minimax) and chance/randomness (expectimax) in one game. Hence: *expectiminimax*.



Notes —



Extra random agent that moves after each MAX and MIN agent



Notes -





Notes -



Extra random agent that moves after each MAX and MIN agent



Notes


Extra random agent that moves after each MAX and MIN agent

$$= \begin{cases} \text{UTILITY}(s, \text{MAX}) & \text{if IS-TERMINAL}(s) \\ \max_{a} \text{EXPECTIMINIMAX}(\text{RESULT}(s, a)) & \text{if TO-PLAY}(s) = \text{MAX} \\ \min_{a} \text{EXPECTIMINIMAX}(\text{RESULT}(s, a)) & \text{if TO-PLAY}(s) = \text{MIN} \\ \sum_{a} P(r) \text{EXPECTIMINIMAX}(\text{RESULT}(s, r)) & \text{if TO-PLAY}(s) = \text{CHANCE} \end{cases}$$

Notes -



Extra random agent that moves after each MAX and MIN agent

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{EXPECTIMINIMAX}(s) = \\ & = \begin{cases} & \text{UTILITY}(s, \text{MAX}) & \text{if IS-TERMINAL}(s) \\ & \text{max}_a \text{EXPECTIMINIMAX}(\text{RESULT}(s, a)) & \text{if TO-PLAY}(s) = \text{MAX} \\ & \text{min}_a \text{EXPECTIMINIMAX}(\text{RESULT}(s, a)) & \text{if TO-PLAY}(s) = \text{MIN} \\ & \sum_r P(r) \text{EXPECTIMINIMAX}(\text{RESULT}(s, r)) & \text{if TO-PLAY}(s) = \text{CHANCE} \end{cases}$$

Notes -

Mixing chance into min/max tree. How big is the tree going to be?



 $O(b^m n^m)$ 

14 / 35

There are actually  $n^m$  different minimax trees. Each layer of n distinct rolls multiplies the number of min-max trees.

It is BIG! With roughly 20 legal moves in every position and 21 possible rolls of 2 dice, for expectimax search into depth = 2, we allready have:

 $20 * (21 * 20)^3 = 1.2 * 10^9$  possibilities.

So we cannot get very far with search. At the same time, given the stochasticity, the fact that we cannot search so deep is less damaging.

We need an evaluation function.

Computer program for playing Backgammon – TD-Gammon, see Chapter 16.1 [4] for a thorough explanation. We will discuss the Reinforcement learning and learning of linear classifiers later in the course.

- depth 2
- good evaluation function + reinforcement learning
- 1st AI world champion in any game



### Notes

About the scale. Utilities will be discussed later in this lecture.



Notes

About the scale. Utilities will be discussed later in this lecture.



About the scale. Utilities will be discussed later in this lecture.



#### Notes ·

About the scale. Utilities will be discussed later in this lecture.

# Pruning expectiminimax tree



- ▶ Bounds on terminal utilities needed. Terminal values from -2 to 2.
- Monte Carlo simulation for evaluation of a position (state)

### Notes -

Monte Carlo Simulation . From a given position play against itself, many times, use random dice rolls. Collect results. Compute state value.

## Pruning expectiminimax tree



- **b** Bounds on terminal utilities needed. Terminal values from -2 to 2.
- Monte Carlo simulation for evaluation of a position (state).

### Notes -

Monte Carlo Simulation . From a given position play against itself, many times, use random dice rolls. Collect results. Compute state value.

# Where to prune the Expectimax tree

- Assume terminal nodes bounded to -2 to 2, inclusive
- Going from left to right.
- Which branches can be pruned out?



Notes -





# Multi-player games



19 / 35

Notes -

I bet everybody remembers playing this kind of game ... Remember the games you played when being kids.

# Multi-player games



- Each player maximizes its own
- Coalitions, cooperations, competitions may be dynamic

Notes

I bet everybody remembers playing this kind of game ... Remember the games you played when being kids.

# Uncertainty recap (enough games, back to the robots/agents)



Uncertain outcome of an action.

Robot/Agent may not know the current state!

### Notes -

### What is state for the robot?

- inner state of the robot (interoceptive measurement)
  - speed
  - inclination, orientation (N,E,S,W)
  - battery status
  - •••
- environment (exteroceptive measurement/sensing)
  - terrain profile close to robot
  - robot position within the world frame
  - • •

All of this may influence the decision about the best next action(s).

# Uncertainty recap (enough games, back to the robots/agents)



- Uncertain outcome of an action.
- Robot/Agent may not know the current state!

### Notes

What is state for the robot?

- inner state of the robot (interoceptive measurement)
  - speed
  - inclination, orientation (N,E,S,W)
  - battery status
  - •••
- environment (exteroceptive measurement/sensing)
  - terrain profile close to robot
  - robot position within the world frame
  - ...

All of this may influence the decision about the best next action(s).

# Uncertain outcome of an action



Climbing up, rear flipper got too weak, gave up supporting the robot and it flipped back. Reason uknown, the robot climbed up similar stairs successfully many times.

# Uncertain, partially observable environment

- Current state s may be unknown, observations e
- Uncertain outcome, random variable RESULT(a)
- Probability of outcome s' given e is

 $P(\text{RESULT}(a) = s'|a, \mathbf{e})$ 

- Utility function U(s) corresponds to agent preferences.
- Expected utility of an action *a* given **e**:

$$EU(a|\mathbf{e}) = \sum_{s'} P(\text{RESULT}(a) = s'|a, \mathbf{e})U(s')$$



Amatrice, Italy, 2016. 22 / 35

Notes -

See [3], Ch. 16 Making simple decisions.

# About (conditional) probabilities

Two kind of boxes in a dark warehouse. State - box type color - is not directly observable.

- red box: 2 apples, 6 oranges
- blue box: 3 apples, 1 orange
- Scenario: Pick a box at random. *Then* pick a fruit at random.
- (Frequent) questions:
  - What is the overall probability that the selection procedure will pick an apple?
  - Given that we have chosen an orange, what is the probability that it was from the blue box?

Example from Chapter 1.2 [1]

Notes

Example serves for probability recap (sum, product rules, conditional probabilities, Bayes) Random variables:

- Identity of the box B, two possible values r, b
- Identity of the fruit F, two possible values a, o

Info about picking a box:

- P(B = r) = 0.4
- P(B = b) = 0.6

Conditional probabilities, given box selected: P(o|r) = 3/4, P(a|r) = 1/4, P(o|b) = 1/4, P(a|b) = 3/4.



# Picking fruits. What is the probability that ...?

- red box: 2 apples, 6 oranges
- blue box: 3 apples, 1 orange



- A: 11/20
- **B**: 6/8
- C: 1/2
- D: Different value.

Notes

Example serves for probability recap (sum, product rules, conditional probabilities, Bayes) Random variables:

- Identity of the box B, two possible values r, b
- Identity of the fruit F, two possible values a, o

Info about picking a box:

- P(B = r) = 0.4
- P(B = b) = 0.6

Conditional probabilities, given box selected: P(o|r) = 3/4, P(a|r) = 1/4, P(o|b) = 1/4, P(a|b) = 3/4. Answering questions:

- P(F = a) = P(a|r)P(r) + P(a|b)P(b) = (2/8) \* (4/10) + (3/4) \* (6/10) = 11/20
- P(B = b|F = o) = P(b|o)

$$P(b|o) = \frac{P(o|b)P(b)}{P(o)} = \frac{P(o|b)P(b)}{P(o|b)P(b) + P(o|r)P(r)} = 1/3$$

or  $P(o) = 1 - P(a) = 1 - \frac{11}{20} = \frac{9}{20}$ 

P(B) prior probability – *before* we observe the fruit.

P(B|F) aposteriori probability – *after* we observe the fruit.



# Picking fruits. What is the probability that ...?

- red box: 2 apples, 6 oranges
- blue box: 3 apples, 1 orange



Procedure: Pick a box (say red box in 40% cases), then pick a fruit at random. Quiz 2: Given that we have chosen an orange, what is the probability that it was from the blue box?

- A: 1/4
- B: 3/5
- C: 1/3
- D: Different value.

Notes

Example serves for probability recap (sum, product rules, conditional probabilities, Bayes) Random variables:

- Identity of the box B, two possible values r, b
- Identity of the fruit F, two possible values a, o

Info about picking a box:

- P(B = r) = 0.4
- P(B = b) = 0.6

Conditional probabilities, given box selected: P(o|r) = 3/4, P(a|r) = 1/4, P(o|b) = 1/4, P(a|b) = 3/4. Answering questions:

- P(F = a) = P(a|r)P(r) + P(a|b)P(b) = (2/8) \* (4/10) + (3/4) \* (6/10) = 11/20
- P(B = b|F = o) = P(b|o)

$$P(b|o) = \frac{P(o|b)P(b)}{P(o)} = \frac{P(o|b)P(b)}{P(o|b)P(b) + P(o|r)P(r)} = 1/3$$

or  $P(o) = 1 - P(a) = 1 - \frac{11}{20} = \frac{9}{20}$ 

P(B) prior probability – *before* we observe the fruit.

P(B|F) aposteriori probability – *after* we observe the fruit.

### Rational agent

Agent's expected utility of an action a given e:

$$EU(a|\mathbf{e}) = \sum_{s'} P(\operatorname{RESULT}(a) = s'|a, \mathbf{e})U(s')$$

What should a rational agent do?

```
Is it then all solved? Do we know all what we need?
▶ P(RESULT(a) = s'|a, e)
▶ U(s')
```

#### Notes -

Well, obviously take the action that maximizes the expected utility.

In some realms is the utility U(s) replaced by a loss L(s), and the rational agent picks the minimum loss. Complete causal model is needed to compute the probabilites P, and a complete search/planning to the end required for computing the utility U. And, eh, the state space may be, and often is, infinite. Enough pessimism, we will come back to this in next lectures/courses.

### Rational agent

Agent's expected utility of an action a given e:

$$EU(a|\mathbf{e}) = \sum_{s'} P(\text{RESULT}(a) = s'|a, \mathbf{e})U(s')$$

What should a rational agent do?

Is it then all solved? Do we know all what we need?

P(RESULT(a) = s'|a, e)
U(s')

#### Notes -

Well, obviously take the action that maximizes the expected utility.

In some realms is the utility U(s) replaced by a loss L(s), and the rational agent picks the minimum loss. Complete causal model is needed to compute the probabilites P, and a complete search/planning to the end required for computing the utility U. And, eh, the state space may be, and often is, infinite. Enough pessimism, we will come back to this in next lectures/courses.

### Rational agent

Agent's expected utility of an action a given e:

$$EU(a|\mathbf{e}) = \sum_{s'} P(\text{RESULT}(a) = s'|a, \mathbf{e})U(s')$$

What should a rational agent do?

Is it then all solved? Do we know all what we need?

$$\blacktriangleright P(\text{RESULT}(a) = s' | a, \mathbf{e})$$

► U(s')

Well, obviously take the action that maximizes the expected utility.

In some realms is the utility U(s) replaced by a loss L(s), and the rational agent picks the minimum loss. Complete causal model is needed to compute the probabilites P, and a complete search/planning to the end required for computing the utility U. And, eh, the state space may be, and often is, infinite. Enough pessimism, we will come back to this in next lectures/courses.

# Utilities



- Where do utilities come from?
- Does averaging make sense?
- Do they exist?
- What if our preferences can't be described by utilities?

### Notes -

Before we start solving all this, let's talk about utilities. Where do they come from, are they unique, .... Actually, let's talk about preferences first, we all have some preferences. Later, we will derive utilities from them.

# Agent/Robot Preferences



• Lottery: uncertain prizes L = [p, A; (1 - p), B]

Preference, indifference,

- Robot prefers A over  $B: A \succ B$
- Robot has no preferences: A ~ B
- $\blacktriangleright$  in between:  $A \succeq B$

### Notes -

You may use agent/robot/algorithm/..., according to your preferences.

Lottery can be seen as a chance node.

### Agent/Robot Preferences

▶ Prizes A, B

• Lottery: uncertain prizes L = [p, A; (1 - p), B]

Preference, indifference, ...

- **•** Robot prefers A over  $B: A \succ B$
- Robot has no preferences:  $A \sim B$
- ▶ in between:  $A \succeq B$

### Notes -

You may use agent/robot/algorithm/..., according to your preferences.

Lottery can be seen as a chance node.

### Rational preferences

- Transitivity:  $(A \succ B) \land (B \succ C) \Rightarrow (A \succ C)$
- Completeness:  $(A \succ B) \lor (B \succ A) \lor (A \sim B)$
- Continuity:  $(A \succ B \succ C) \Rightarrow \exists p [p, A; 1-p, C] \sim B$
- ▶ Substituability:  $A \sim B \Rightarrow [p, A; 1 p, C] \sim [p, B; 1 pC]$ . The same for  $\succ$  and  $\sim$ .
- Monotonocity: A ≻ B ⇒ (p > q) ⇔ [p, A; 1 − p, B] ≻ [q, A; 1 − q, B]. Agent must prefer a lottery with higher chance to win.
- Decomposability, compressing compound lotteries into one:  $[p, A; 1-p, [q, B; 1-q, C]] \sim [p, A; (1-p)q, B; (1-p)(1-q), C]$

Axioms of utility theor

Motivation: if agent/robot violates an axiom  $\Rightarrow$  irrational agent/robot

If you think it through you will see that the properties of rational preferences are quite logical, *rational* if you want ;-)

Notes -

- Transitivity:  $(A \succ B) \land (B \succ C) \Rightarrow (A \succ C)$
- Completeness:  $(A \succ B) \lor (B \succ A) \lor (A \sim B)$
- Continuity:  $(A \succ B \succ C) \Rightarrow \exists p \ [p, A; 1 p, C] \sim B$
- Substituability:  $A \sim B \Rightarrow [p, A; 1 p, C] \sim [p, B; 1 pC]$ . The same for  $\succ$  and  $\sim$ .
- Monotonocity: A ≻ B ⇒ (p > q) ⇔ [p, A; 1 − p, B] ≻ [q, A; 1 − q, B]. Agent must prefer a lottery with higher chance to win.
- Decomposability, compressing compound lotteries into one:  $[p, A; 1-p, [q, B; 1-q, C]] \sim [p, A; (1-p)q, B; (1-p)(1-q), C]$

Any agent that breaks the rules can be shown irrational.

### Rational preferences

- Transitivity:  $(A \succ B) \land (B \succ C) \Rightarrow (A \succ C)$
- Completeness:  $(A \succ B) \lor (B \succ A) \lor (A \sim B)$
- Continuity:  $(A \succ B \succ C) \Rightarrow \exists p [p, A; 1-p, C] \sim B$
- ▶ Substituability:  $A \sim B \Rightarrow [p, A; 1 p, C] \sim [p, B; 1 pC]$ . The same for  $\succ$  and  $\sim$ .
- Monotonocity: A ≻ B ⇒ (p > q) ⇔ [p, A; 1 − p, B] ≻ [q, A; 1 − q, B]. Agent must prefer a lottery with higher chance to win.
- Decomposability, compressing compound lotteries into one: [p, A; 1 - p, [q, B; 1 - q, C]] ~ [p, A; (1 - p)q, B; (1 - p)(1 - q), C]

Axioms of utility theory.

Motivation: if agent/robot violates an axiom  $\Rightarrow$  irrational agent/robot.

28 / 35

### Notes

If you think it through you will see that the properties of rational preferences are quite logical, *rational* if you want ;-)

- Transitivity:  $(A \succ B) \land (B \succ C) \Rightarrow (A \succ C)$
- Completeness:  $(A \succ B) \lor (B \succ A) \lor (A \sim B)$
- Continuity:  $(A \succ B \succ C) \Rightarrow \exists p \ [p, A; 1-p, C] \sim B$
- Substituability:  $A \sim B \Rightarrow [p, A; 1 p, C] \sim [p, B; 1 pC]$ . The same for  $\succ$  and  $\sim$ .
- Monotonocity: A ≻ B ⇒ (p > q) ⇔ [p, A; 1 − p, B] ≻ [q, A; 1 − q, B]. Agent must prefer a lottery with higher chance to win.
- Decomposability, compressing compound lotteries into one:  $[p, A; 1-p, [q, B; 1-q, C]] \sim [p, A; (1-p)q, B; (1-p)(1-q), C]$

Any agent that breaks the rules can be shown irrational.

### Transitivity and decomposability

Goods A, B, C and (nontransitive) preferences of an (irrational) agent  $A \succ B \succ C \succ A$ .



*A*, *B*, *C* are goods. Suppose an agent has *A*. As the agent prefers  $C \succ A$  we offer him/her the exchange plus the agent gives one cent (the smallest currency unit). The same for  $B \succ C$ , and  $A \succ B$ . At the end of the round, the agent has *A* again but also 3 cents less. And this can continue until the poor agent has no money at all.

### Maximum expected utility principle

Given the rational preferences (constraints), there exists a real valued function u such that:

$$u(A) > u(B) \Leftrightarrow A \succ B$$
  
 $u(A) = u(B) \Leftrightarrow A \sim B$ 

Expected utility of a Lotery L (outcomes  $s_i$  with probabilities  $p_i$ )

$$L([p_1, S_1; \cdots; p_n, S_n]) = \sum p_i u(S_i)$$

Proof in [5]. Is a utility *u* function unique?

Notes -

In other words, we can find a utility to any preferences. No, it is not unique:

$$u'(S) = au(S) + b$$

a > 0 makes the agent behavior the same. Think about Fahrenheit to Celsius conversion.

### Maximum expected utility principle

Given the rational preferences (constraints), there exists a real valued function u such that:

$$u(A) > u(B) \Leftrightarrow A \succ B$$
  
 $u(A) = u(B) \Leftrightarrow A \sim B$ 

Expected utility of a Lotery *L* (outcomes  $s_i$  with probabilities  $p_i$ ):

$$L([p_1, S_1; \cdots; p_n, S_n]) = \sum_i p_i u(S_i)$$

Proof in [5].

Notes -

In other words, we can find a utility to any preferences. No, it is not unique:

$$u'(S) = au(S) + b$$

a > 0 makes the agent behavior the same. Think about Fahrenheit to Celsius conversion.

### Maximum expected utility principle

Given the rational preferences (constraints), there exists a real valued function u such that:

$$u(A) > u(B) \Leftrightarrow A \succ B$$
  
 $u(A) = u(B) \Leftrightarrow A \sim B$ 

Expected utility of a Lotery *L* (outcomes  $s_i$  with probabilities  $p_i$ ):

$$L([p_1, S_1; \cdots; p_n, S_n]) = \sum_i p_i u(S_i)$$

Proof in [5]. Is a utility *u* function unique?

Notes -

In other words, we can find a utility to any preferences. No, it is not unique:

$$u'(S) = au(S) + b$$

a > 0 makes the agent behavior the same. Think about Fahrenheit to Celsius conversion.

# Human utilities



Notes -

# Utility of money

You triumphed in a TV show!

- a) Take \$1,000,000... or
- b) Flip a coin and loose all or win \$2,500,000

Notes -

Lottery b) Expected monetary value (EMP) vs. utility. Clearly EMP(b) is bigger than EMP(a). But what about the (human) Utility?

### Utility of money: human psychology vs. hard data



Notes

Lottery b) Expected monetary value (EMP) vs. utility. Clearly EMP(b) is bigger than EMP(a). But what about the (human) Utility?

$$u(a) = u(S_{k+1,000,000})$$
  
$$u(b) = \frac{1}{2}u(S_k) + \frac{1}{2}u(S_{k+2,500,000}),$$

where  $S_k$  is the state of possessing k (current wealth).

E.g., imagine  $u(S_k) = 5$ ,  $u(S_{k+100000}) = 8$ ,  $U(S_{k+250000}) = 9$ . Then the rational decision is to decline the gamble.

Based on empirical studies, the human utility of money is rather logarithmic. People are in general *risk-averse*. This also motivates insurances.

# References I

Some figures from [3], Chapters 5, 16. Human utilities are discussed in [2]. This lecture has been also greatly inspired by the 7th lecture of CS 188 at http://ai.berkeley.edu as it convenietly bridges the world of deterministic search and sequential decisions in uncertain worlds.

[1] Christopher M. Bishop.

Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning. Springer Science+Bussiness Media, New York, NY, 2006. https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/research/uploads/prod/2006/01/ Bishop-Pattern-Recognition-and-Machine-Learning-2006.pdf.

[2] Daniel Kahneman.

*Thinking, Fast and Slow.* Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2011.

**Notes**
## References II

- [3] Stuart Russell and Peter Norvig. Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach. Prentice Hall, 3rd edition, 2010. http://aima.cs.berkeley.edu/.
- [4] Richard S. Sutton and Andrew G. Barto. *Reinforcement Learning; an Introduction*. MIT Press, 2nd edition, 2018. http://www.incompleteideas.net/book/the-book-2nd.html.
- [5] John von Neumann and Oskar Morgenstern. Theory of Games and Economic Behavior. Princeton, 1944. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Theory\_of\_Games\_and\_Economic\_Behavior, Utility theorem.

Notes

35 / 35