

6. Representing HMMs as exponential families

Definition 1

An exponential family of distributions for a random variable $X \in \mathcal{X}$ is a parametric model with densities

$$p_{\theta}(x) = h(x) \exp[\langle \varphi(x), \theta \rangle - a(\theta)]$$

where

- $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the natural parameter
- $\varphi(x) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the sufficient statistics
- $h(x) \geq 0$ is the base measure
- $a(\theta)$ is the log partition function (cumulant function) given by

$$a(\theta) = \log \int h(x) \exp\langle \varphi(x), \theta \rangle d\nu(x).$$

□

Example 1

a) Bernoulli distribution $p_{\theta}(x) = \theta^x (1-\theta)^{1-x}$, $x=0,1$

$$p_{\theta}(x) = \exp\left[x \log \frac{\theta}{1-\theta} + \log(1-\theta)\right]$$

b) Univariate normal distribution $p_{\mu}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^2\right]$

$$h(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2}$$

$$\varphi(x) = x$$

$$a(\mu) = \frac{1}{2}\mu^2$$

Minimal representation:

- $\nexists b \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle b, \varphi(x) \rangle = \text{const} \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}$
- $\nexists b \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle b, \theta \rangle = \text{const} \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta$

Def 16, Sec. 1 \Rightarrow the joint p.d. of a Markov chain model with strictly positive prob's can be written as

$$p(s) = p(s_1, \dots, s_n) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{i=2}^n g_i(s_{i-1}, s_i) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp \sum_{i=2}^n u_i(s_{i-1}, s_i)$$

Remark 1 The factors g_i , resp. the potentials u_i define the model uniquely. The reverse is not true.

Remark 2 The partition function $Z(u)$ is defined by

$$Z(u) = \sum_{s \in K^n} \exp \sum_{i=2}^n u_i(s_{i-1}, s_i)$$

and can be computed by an algorithm similar to the one discussed in Sec. 3.

Denote:

- (1) $\varphi(s_i) \in \mathbb{R}^K$ the binary valued indicator vector that denotes the state $s_i \in K$ in "one out of K " encoding, i.e.

$$\varphi(s_i = k) = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$$

- (2) U_i the $K \times K$ matrix with values $u_i(s_{i-1}, s_i)$

The joint p.d. of a strictly positive Markov chain model can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(s) &= \frac{1}{Z(u)} \exp \sum_{i=2}^n \langle \varphi(s_{i-1}), U_i \varphi(s_i) \rangle \\
 &= \frac{1}{Z(u)} \exp \sum_{i=2}^n \langle \Phi(s_{i-1}, s_i), U_i \rangle,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Phi(s_{i-1}, s_i) = \varphi(s_{i-1}) \otimes \varphi(s_i)$$

is a $K \times K$ binary valued indicator matrix and

$$\langle \Phi, U \rangle = \text{Tr}(\Phi^T U)$$

denotes the Frobenius inner product.

Finally, denote $\Phi = (\Phi_2, \dots, \Phi_n)$ and $U = (U_2, \dots, U_n)$ and write

$$p(s) = \frac{1}{Z(u)} \exp \langle \Phi(s), U \rangle$$

The joint p.d. of an HMM can be written as

$$p(s) = \frac{1}{Z(u)} \exp \langle \Phi(x, s), U \rangle$$

by using similar notations.

Remark 3 The EF-representations of Markov models / HMMs are not minimal.

Remark 4 The components of the expectations

$\mathbb{E}_{\text{sup}_u(s)}[\Phi(s)]$ for a Markov chain model are the pairwise marginal probabilities for pairs of ~~consec~~ consecutive states.

7. ML estimator for supervised learning of HMMs

Given an i.i.d. sample of pairs of sequences

$$T = \{(x_j^i, s_j^i) \mid x_j^i \in F^n, s_j^i \in K^n, j=1, \dots, \ell\}$$

estimate the model parameters of the HMM by the maximum likelihood estimator

$$\begin{aligned} u^* &\in \operatorname{argmax}_u \prod_{(x,s) \in T} p_u(x,s) = \\ &= \operatorname{argmax}_u \frac{1}{|T|} \sum_{(x,s) \in T} \log p_u(x,s), \end{aligned}$$

i.e. find optimal $\tilde{u}_i^*(x_i, s_i)$, $u_i^*(s_{i-1}, s_i)$ or, equivalently, $p(x_i, s_i)$, $p(s_{i-1}, s_i)$.

Intuitive answer: u^* is given by

$$p_{u^*}(s_{i-1}, s_i) = \beta(s_{i-1}, s_i)$$

$$p_{u^*}(x_i, s_i) = \beta(x_i, s_i)$$

where β -s denote the frequencies of the corresponding events in T .

Let us prove correctness. The log-likelihood of T is

$$\begin{aligned} L(u) &= \frac{1}{|T|} \sum_{(x,s) \in T} [\langle \Phi(x,s), u \rangle - \log Z(u)] \\ &= \langle \Psi, u \rangle - \log Z(u), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Psi = \mathbb{E}_T \Phi = \frac{1}{|T|} \sum_{(x,s) \in T} \Phi(x,s)$$

Remark 1 Observe that all we need to know from the sample T is $\Psi = \mathbb{E}_T \Phi$

Lemma 1 The log-partition function $\log Z(u)$ of an HMM is convex in u .

Proof

$$\nabla_u \log Z(u) = \frac{1}{Z(u)} \sum_{x,s} \exp\langle \varphi(x,s), u \rangle \varphi(x,s) \stackrel{!}{=} \mathbb{E}_u \varphi$$

Recall that the components of $\mathbb{E}_u \varphi$ are the pairwise marginal prob's on the edges of the model.

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_u^2 \log Z(u) &= \mathbb{E}_u [\varphi \otimes \varphi] - \mathbb{E}_u [\varphi] \otimes \mathbb{E}_u [\varphi] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_u [(\varphi - \mathbb{E}_u \varphi) \otimes (\varphi - \mathbb{E}_u \varphi)] \end{aligned}$$

The expectation of a positive semidefinite matrix is p.s.d. $\Rightarrow \log Z(u)$ is convex. \square

The log-likelihood is concave and has global maxima only as a consequence. They are given by

$$\nabla_u L(u^*) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}|} \sum_{(x,s) \in \mathcal{T}} \varphi(x,s) - \mathbb{E}_{u^*} [\varphi] = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{T}} [\varphi] - \mathbb{E}_{u^*} [\varphi] = 0$$

Recall that the components of $\mathbb{E}_u [\varphi]$ are the pairwise marginal prob's of the model $p_u(x,s)$. Hence, the optimiser u^* defines the model whose pairwise marginal prob's coincide with the empirical marginal frequencies in \mathcal{T} .