## Deep Learning: Assignments with Solutions

Assignment 1 (Node statistics). Let us consider a neuron in a linear layer of a classification network. Its output is given by

$$
y=\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i}
$$

where $x$ is the output of the preceding layer. Let us consider the statistics of $x$ over the training data and assume that the components $x_{i}$ are statistically independent and identically distributed with zero mean and variance $\sigma^{2}$. The weight components $w_{i}$ are initialized i.i.d. with zero mean and variance $\widetilde{\sigma}^{2}$. Compute the mean and variance of $y$. Solution. Since $w_{i}$ and $x_{i}$ are statistically independent, we obtain the mean of $y$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}[y]=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left[w_{i}\right] \mathbb{E}\left[x_{i}\right]=0 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

To compute the variance of $y$, we use that $\mathbb{V}[X Y]=\mathbb{V}[X] \mathbb{V}[Y]$ and $\mathbb{V}[X+Y]=$ $\mathbb{V}[X]+\mathbb{V}[Y]$ hold for any pair of statistically independent random variables $X$ and $Y$. We obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{V}[y]=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{V}\left[w_{i}\right] \mathbb{V}\left[x_{i}\right]=n \widetilde{\sigma}^{2} \sigma^{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assignment 2 (Backpropagation).
Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ be a vector with components $x_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots N$ and consider a layer performing the following computation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i}=a\left(x_{i}+x_{i+2}\right)+b \quad \text { for } i=1 \ldots N-2 . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given the gradient of the loss function in $y, g:=\nabla_{y} L \in \mathbb{R}^{N-2}$, compute the gradient of the loss in $a, b$ and $x$.
Solution.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mathrm{d} L}{\mathrm{~d} b}=\sum_{i=1}^{N-2} \frac{\mathrm{~d} L}{\mathrm{~d} y_{i}} \frac{\partial y_{i}}{\partial b}=\sum_{i=1}^{N-2} \frac{\partial L}{\partial y_{i}}=\sum_{i=1}^{N-2} g_{i} .  \tag{4}\\
& \frac{\mathrm{d} L}{\mathrm{~d} a}=\sum_{i=1}^{N-2} \frac{\mathrm{~d} L}{\mathrm{~d} y_{i}} \frac{\partial y_{i}}{\partial a}=\sum_{i=1}^{N-2} g_{i}\left(x_{i}+x_{i+2}\right) . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} L}{\mathrm{~d} x_{j}}=\sum_{i=1}^{N-2} g_{i} \frac{\partial y_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}=\sum_{i=1}^{N-2} g_{i} a(\llbracket j=i \rrbracket+\llbracket j=i+2 \rrbracket)= \begin{cases}a g_{j} & \text { if } j \leq 2,  \tag{6}\\ a\left(g_{j}+g_{j-2}\right) & \text { if } j=2, \ldots N-2, \\ a g_{j-2} & \text { if } j \geq N-2 .\end{cases}
$$

Assignment 3 (SGD with Regularization).
Consider a regularized loss function $\tilde{L}(\theta)=L(\theta)+\frac{\lambda}{2}\|\theta\|^{2}$. Let $\theta^{t}$ be the current parameter estimate and $g^{t}$ be the gradient of $L$ at $\theta^{t}$.
a) Give an update step for an SGD-like algorithm that applies a variance reduction technique to stochastic gradients $g^{t}$ in order to obtain smoothed estimates $\tilde{g}_{t}$.
b) Solve the following proximal step problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta^{t+1}=\underset{\theta}{\arg \min }\left[\left\langle\tilde{g}^{t}, \theta-\theta^{t}\right\rangle+\frac{\lambda}{2}\|\theta\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon^{\prime}}\left\|\theta-\theta^{t}\right\|^{2}\right] . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solution. a) To reduce the variance of stochastic gradients $g^{t}$ we will use exponentially weighted average with parameter $q$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{g}^{t}:=\tilde{g}^{t-1}(1-q)+g^{t} q . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we write standard SGD step using the gradient $\tilde{g}^{t}+\lambda \theta^{t}$ - the smoothed gradient of $L$ plus the gradient of regularization at $\theta^{t}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta^{t+1}:=\theta^{t}-\varepsilon\left(\tilde{g}^{t}+\lambda \theta^{t}\right) . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

b)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta^{t+1}=\underset{\theta}{\arg \min }\left[\left\langle\tilde{g}^{t}, \theta-\theta^{t}\right\rangle+\frac{\lambda}{2}\|\theta\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon^{\prime}}\left\|\theta-\theta^{t}\right\|^{2}\right] . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving for stationary point:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} \theta}=\tilde{g}^{t}+\lambda \theta+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\left(\theta-\theta^{t}\right) . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We find:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta^{t+1}=\frac{\theta^{t}-\varepsilon^{\prime} \tilde{g}^{t}}{\varepsilon^{\prime} \lambda+1} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark. We can check that by setting $\varepsilon^{\prime}=\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\lambda \varepsilon}$ this solution matches the common SGD step (9), i.e. for quadratic regularization linearizing it or considering explicitly in the proximal problem is equivalent.

Assignment 4 (Adversarial attack). Let us consider a neural network for classification with predictive class log probabilities given by the vector $f(x ; \theta) \in \mathbb{R}^{K}$. An attacker wants to find a perturbed image $\tilde{x}$ satisfying $\left|\tilde{x}_{i}-x_{i}\right|<\varepsilon$ for all $i$ such that it would minimize the probability of predicting the correct label $y$.
Formulate the attacker's task as an optimization problem using a linear approximation of $f$ in the box $\left|\tilde{x}_{i}-x_{i}\right|<\varepsilon$. Solve this problem.
Solution. The log probability of the correct label is $f_{y}(x ; \theta)$ and its linear approximation in the neighbourhod of $x$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{y}(\tilde{x} ; \theta) \approx f_{y}(x ; \theta)+g^{T}(\tilde{x}-x), \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g$ denotes the gradient $\nabla_{x} f_{y}(x ; \theta)$. The attackers task is

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& g^{T}(\tilde{x}-x) \rightarrow \min _{\tilde{x}} \\
\text { s.t. } & \left|\tilde{x}_{i}-x_{i}\right|<\varepsilon \quad \forall i . \tag{15}
\end{array}
$$

It decomposes into independent tasks for each $\tilde{x}_{i}$ with solution $\tilde{x}_{i}^{*}=-\varepsilon \operatorname{sign}\left(g_{i}\right)$.

Assignment 5 (KL divergence and cross entropy).
Assume that the training data are given by a generator $p^{*}(y, x)$. We want to learn the conditional distribution $p(y \mid x ; \theta)$ in the form of a neural network parametrized by $\theta$. Prove that minimizing $\mathbb{E}_{p^{*}(x)}\left[D_{\mathrm{KL}}\left(p^{*}(y \mid x) \| p(y \mid x ; \theta)\right)\right]$ is equivalent to minimizing the expected cross-entropy of $p(y \mid x ; \theta)$ relative to $p^{*}(y \mid x)$, where the expectation is taken over $p^{*}(x)$.
Solution. Let us expand the KL divergence for a give $x$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{\mathrm{KL}}\left(p^{*}(y \mid x) \| p(y \mid x ; \theta)\right)=\int_{y} p^{*}(y \mid x) \log \frac{p^{*}(y \mid x)}{p(y \mid x ; \theta)}  \tag{16}\\
& =\underbrace{\int_{y} p^{*}(y \mid x) p^{*}(y \mid x)}_{\text {does not depend on } \theta} \underbrace{-\int_{y} p^{*}(y \mid x) \log p(y \mid x ; \theta)}_{\text {cross-entropy }} . \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking expectation in $p^{*}(x)$ the first term still does not depend on $\theta$ and thus optimization with or without it is equivalent.

Assignment 6 (SGD with Regularization 2).
Consider a regularized loss function $\tilde{L}(\theta)=L(\theta)+\rho(\|\theta\|)$, where $\rho: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$is a differentiable function. Let $\theta^{t}$ be the current parameter estimate and $g$ be the gradient of $L$ at $\theta^{t}$. Show that the solution of the composite proximal step problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{\theta}{\arg \min }\left[\left\langle g, \theta-\theta^{t}\right\rangle+\rho(\|\theta\|)+\frac{1}{2 \varepsilon}\left\|\theta-\theta^{t}\right\|^{2}\right] \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a sufficiently small $\varepsilon$ takes the form: $\theta=\frac{a}{\|a\|} l$, where $a=\theta^{t}-\varepsilon g$ is the usual non-regularized SGD update and and $l$ is a root of the equation $l+\varepsilon \rho^{\prime}(l)=\|a\|$.
Solution. We solve for a critical point:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0=\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}=g+\rho^{\prime}(\|\theta\|) \frac{\theta}{\|\theta\|}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(\theta-\theta^{t}\right) \\
& \theta\left(\frac{\varepsilon \rho^{\prime}(\|\theta\|)}{\|\theta\|}+1\right)=\theta^{t}-\varepsilon g . \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left(\frac{\varepsilon \rho^{\prime}(\|\theta\|)}{\|\theta\|}+1\right)$ is a scalar we conclude that $\theta$ will be proportional to $\theta^{t}-\varepsilon g=: a$. Take the norm of the vectors on both sides in (19):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|\theta\|\left(\frac{\varepsilon \rho^{\prime}(\|\theta\|)}{\|\theta\|}+1\right)=\|a\|  \tag{20}\\
& \varepsilon \rho^{\prime}(\|\theta\|)+\|\theta\|=\|a\| .
\end{align*}
$$

Denoting $l=\|\theta\|$, we can express $\frac{\varepsilon \rho^{\prime}(\|\theta\|)}{\|\theta\|}+1=\frac{\|a\|}{l}$. The equation (20) holds for $\varepsilon$ sufficiently small so that the value of $\frac{\varepsilon \rho^{\prime}(\|\theta\|)}{\|\theta\|}$ is positive, otherwise its absolute value needs to be taken.

Assignment 7 (Shift of Prior). A neural network with softmax activation in the last layer has been trained for classifying patterns by predicting the posterior class probabilities $p(y \mid x), y \in K$. The relative class frequencies in the training set were $p(y)$. When applying the network, it turned out that the prior class probabilities for real data are different and equal to $p^{*}(y)$. Explain how to use the network as a predictor without re-training it. We assume the $0 / 1$ loss for prediction.
Solution. Let us denote the distribution of the training data by $p(x, y)$. We have

$$
p(x, y)=p(x \mid y) p(y)=p(y \mid x) p(x)
$$

and the trained network estimates $p(y \mid x)$. Let us denote the data distribution in the application by $p_{a}(x, y)$. We have

$$
p_{a}(x, y)=p(x \mid y) p^{*}(y)=p_{a}(y \mid x) p_{a}(x)
$$

i.e. $p(x \mid y)$ remains unchanged and $p(y)$ changes to $p^{*}(y)$. Comparing the two equations we get

$$
p_{a}(y \mid x) \propto \frac{p^{*}(y)}{p(y)} p(y \mid x) .
$$

Hence, the trained network can be used in the application just by reweighting its softmax outputs by the factors $\frac{p^{*}(y)}{p(y)}$ and deciding for the class with the largest reweighted output.

Assignment 8 (K-means). Let us consider the standard $k$-means clustering problem for data $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $K$ cluster centers $y_{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$

$$
\sum_{x \in \mathcal{T}^{m}} \min _{k}\left\|x-y_{k}\right\|^{2} \rightarrow \min _{y}
$$

where $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{K}\right)$ denotes the set of all cluster centers and $\mathcal{T}^{m}$ denotes the training set.
a) Propose a stochastic gradient descent method that operates in full online mode. I.e. it receives one example per iteration (the mini-batch size is 1). Explain why it is necessary to choose a decreasing learning rate.
b) What is the run-time complexity for a training epoch? Compare it with the run-time complexity of the standard k -means algorithm.
Solution. a) Given a single training example $x \in \mathcal{T}^{m}$, we have the objective $f(y)=$ $\min _{k}\left\|x-y_{k}\right\|^{2}$ and its gradients w.r.t. the cluster centers are

$$
\nabla_{y_{k}} f(y)= \begin{cases}2\left(y_{k}-x\right) & \text { if } k=\arg \min _{k^{\prime}}\left\|x-y_{k^{\prime}}\right\|^{2} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

We obtain the following SGD algorithm for the problem.
Given a training example $x$ do
(1) find the closest cluster center $k=\arg \min _{k^{\prime}}\left\|x-y_{k^{\prime}}\right\|^{2}$,
(2) update $y_{k} \rightarrow y_{k}+\alpha(t)\left(x-y_{k}\right)$,
where $\alpha(t)$ is a decreasing learning rate. The algorithm will not converge to a local minimum if the learning rate is constant. Instead, it will keep oszillating around it.
b) The run-time complexity of the SGD algorithm for one training epoch is $\mathcal{O}(n m K)$. The standard k-means algorithm iteration consists of two steps (i) assignment and (ii) update. The run-time complexity of the former dominates and is $\mathcal{O}(n m K)$.

Assignment 9 (Backprop).
Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Consider the following normalized linear layer:

$$
y_{i}=\frac{w_{i}^{\top} x+b_{i}}{\left\|w_{i}\right\|}
$$

where $w_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ for $i=1 \ldots m, b_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\left\|w_{i}\right\|$ is the Euclidean norm of vector $w_{i}$. Given the gradient of the loss function in $y, g:=\nabla_{y} L \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$, compute gradients of the loss in $w, b, x$.
Solution. We will use general the total derivative rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} L}{\mathrm{~d} \theta}=\sum_{i} \frac{\mathrm{~d} L}{\mathrm{~d} y_{i}} \frac{\partial y_{i}}{\partial \theta}=\sum_{i} g_{i} \frac{\partial y_{i}}{\partial \theta} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $y_{i}$ depends only on $b_{i}$ and not on $b_{j}$ for $j \neq i$ for $\nabla_{b} L$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} L}{\mathrm{~d} b_{i}}=g_{i} \frac{\partial y_{i}}{\partial b_{i}}=\frac{g_{j}}{\left\|w_{j}\right\|} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\nabla_{x} L$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} L}{\mathrm{~d} x_{j}}=\sum_{i} g_{i} \frac{\partial y_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}=\sum_{i} g_{i} \frac{w_{i j}}{\left\|w_{i}\right\|} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $y_{i}$ depends only on $w_{i}$ and not on $w_{j}$ for $j \neq i$ for $\nabla_{w} L$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} L}{\mathrm{~d} w_{i}}=\sum_{i} g_{i} \frac{\partial y_{i}}{\partial w_{i}}=\sum_{i} g_{i}\left(\frac{x}{\left\|w_{i}\right\|}+\left(w_{i}^{\top} x+b_{i}\right) \frac{-w_{i}}{\left\|w_{i}\right\|^{3}}\right) . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Assignment 10 (VAE).

Consider a variational autoencoder with the decoder model being a normal distribution $p(x \mid z)=\mathcal{N}\left(x ; \mu(z), \sigma^{2} I\right)$, where $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $\sigma$ is a parameter. Show that the optimal value of the variance $\sigma^{2}$ for the evidence lower bound

$$
\mathrm{ELBO}=\mathbb{E}_{p_{d}(x)} \mathbb{E}_{q(z \mid x)}[\log p(x \mid z)]-D_{K L}(q(z \mid x) \| p(z))
$$

with the current encoder $q(z \mid x)$ is given by

$$
\sigma^{2}=\frac{1}{d} \mathbb{E}_{p_{d}(x)} \mathbb{E}_{q(z \mid x)}\left[\|x-\mu(z)\|^{2}\right] .
$$

Solution. The density of the Normal distribution with diagonal covariance matrix is

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(x \mid z)=\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma}\right)^{d} \exp \left(-\frac{\|x-\mu(z)\|^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right) . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Respectively the $\log$ density is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log p(x \mid z)=\log \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma}\right)^{d}-\frac{\|x-\mu(z)\|^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}=-\frac{d}{2} d \log (2 \pi)-d \log \sigma-\frac{\|x-\mu(z)\|^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}} . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the log density is a convex function of $\sigma$. We find optimum by finding stationary points of ELBO in $\sigma$. The KL divergence term does not depend on $\sigma$ and its derivative is zero. Since the expectation densities do not depend on $\sigma$, the derivative can be interchanged with expectation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \operatorname{ELBO}=\mathbb{E}_{p_{d}(x)} \mathbb{E}_{q(z \mid x)}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \log p(x \mid z)\right] \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

We then calculate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \log p(x \mid z)=-\frac{d}{\sigma}+\frac{\|x-\mu(z)\|^{2}}{\sigma^{3}} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

And solve

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbb{E}_{p_{d}(x)} \mathbb{E}_{q(z \mid x)}\left[-\frac{d}{\sigma}+\frac{\|x-\mu(z)\|^{2}}{\sigma^{3}}\right]=0 .  \tag{29a}\\
\frac{d}{\sigma}=\frac{1}{\sigma^{3}} \mathbb{E}_{p_{d}(x)} \mathbb{E}_{q(z \mid x)}\left[\|x-\mu(z)\|^{2}\right] .  \tag{29b}\\
\sigma^{2}=\frac{1}{d} \mathbb{E}_{p_{d}(x)} \mathbb{E}_{q(z \mid x)}\left[\|x-\mu(z)\|^{2}\right] . \tag{29c}
\end{gather*}
$$

Remark. The solution takes the same form as the maximum likelihood estimate of variance from supervised data samples $x, z$. The difference is that here we do not know the ground truth samples $(x, z)$ and estimate them using the current encoder, i.e., draw them from the distribution $p_{d}(x) q(z \mid x)$.

Assignment 11 (Mirror Descent).
Solve the proximal step problem:

$$
\min _{x}\left\langle\nabla f\left(x^{0}\right), x-x^{0}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{\varepsilon} D\left(x, x^{0}\right),
$$

where $x^{0} \in(0,1)$ and

$$
D\left(x, x^{0}\right)=\sum_{i}\left(x_{i} \log \frac{x_{i}}{x_{i}^{0}}+\left(1-x_{i}\right) \log \frac{1-x_{i}}{1-x_{i}^{0}}\right) .
$$

Hint: The problem is convex and can be solved by stationary point conditions.

Solution. The objective is a sum of terms where each summand $i$ depends on $x_{i}$ only. Therefore minimization decouples into independent minimizations over $x_{i}$ :

$$
\min _{x_{i}}\left\langle g_{i}, x_{i}-x_{i}^{0}\right\rangle+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(x_{i} \log \frac{x_{i}}{x_{i}^{0}}+\left(1-x_{i}\right) \log \frac{1-x_{i}}{1-x_{i}^{0}}\right),
$$

where $g=\nabla f\left(x^{0}\right)$. We solve for the critical point $x_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}=g_{i}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(\log \frac{x_{i}}{x_{i}^{0}}-\log \frac{1-x_{i}}{1-x_{i}^{0}}\right) \\
& 0=-\varepsilon g_{i}+\log \frac{x_{i}}{1-x_{i}}-\log \frac{x_{i}^{0}}{1-x_{i}^{0}} \\
& \log \frac{x_{i}}{1-x_{i}}=\log \frac{x_{i}^{0}}{1-x_{i}^{0}}-\varepsilon g_{i} \\
& x_{i}=\operatorname{sigmoid}\left(\log \frac{x_{i}^{0}}{1-x_{i}^{0}}-\varepsilon g_{i}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark. Suppose we solve these proximal problems iteratively and $x^{t}$ is the current iteration. Denote $y^{t}=\operatorname{logit}\left(x^{t}\right)=\log \frac{x^{t}}{1-x^{t}}$, then $x^{t}=\operatorname{sigmoid}\left(y^{t}\right)$ and on the next iteration we do not need to calculate $\log \frac{x^{t}}{1-x^{t}}$, we could just reuse $y^{t}$. Then the iterates can be simplified to

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{t+1} & =y^{t}-\varepsilon g \\
x^{t+1} & =\operatorname{sigmoid}\left(y^{t+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

