## Search trees (AVL, B, B+), Skip list

- Data structures supporting Find, Insert and Delete operations

TODO list z minula:

- Prezentace na CW před přednáškou
- Kvízy
- Kotlin


## Znáte pojmy AVL strom a B-strom?

A. Znám AVL strom i B-strom.
B. Znám pouze AVL strom.
C. Znám pouze B-strom.
D. Neznám AVL ani B-strom.



## BST and AVL

## short illustrative repetition

## Binary search tree

For each node Y it holds:
Keys in the left subtree of $Y$ are smaller than the key of $\mathbf{Y}$.

Keys in the right subtree of $Y$ are bigger than the key of $Y$.


## Binary search tree

BST may not be balanced and usually it is not.

BST may not be regular and usually it is not.

Apply the INORDER traversal to obtain sorted list of the keys of BST.


BST is flexible due to operations:
Find - return the pointer to the node with the given key (or null). Insert - insert a node with the given key.
Delete - (find and) remove the node with the given key.

## Operation Find in BST

## Find 18

## Each BST

 operation starts in the root.

## Operation Insert in BST



Key 42 belongs here

## Insert

1. Find the place (like in Find) for the leaf where the key belongs.
2. Create this leaf and connect it to the tree.

## Operation Delete in BST (I.)

Delete a node with 0 children (= leaf)


Delete I. Find the node (like in Find operation) with the given key and set the reference to it from its parent to null.

## Operation Delete in BST (II.)

Delete a node with 1 child.

## Delete 68

Node with key 68 disappears

Change the 76 --> 68 reference to 76 --> 73 reference.

Delete II. Find the node (like in Find operation) with the given key and set the reference to it from its parent to its (single) child.

## Operation Delete in BST (IIla.)

Delete a node with 2 children.

## Delete 34



Delete IIla.

1. Find the node (like in Find operation) with the given key and then find the leftmost (= smallest key) node $y$ in the right subtree of $x$.
2. Point from $y$ to children of $x$,
from parent of $y$ point to the child of $y$ instead of $y$, from parent of $x$ point to $y$.

Operation Delete in BST (IIIb.) is equivalent to Delete IIIa.
Delete a node with 2 children.


Delete Illb.

1. Find the node (like in Find operation) with the given key and then find the rightmost ( $=$ smallest key) node $y$ in the left subtree of $x$.
2. Point from $y$ to children of $x$, from parent of $y$ point to the child of $y$ instead of $y$, from parent of $x$ point to $y$.

## AVL tree -- G.M. Adelson-Velskij \& E.M. Landis, 1962

AVL tree is a BST with additional properties which keep it acceptably balanced.

## Operations

Find, Insert, Delete also apply to AVL tree.


AVL rule:
There are two integers associated with each node:
Depth of the left and depth of the right subtree of the node. Note: Depth of an empty tree is $\mathbf{- 1}$.

The difference of the heights of the left and the right subtree may be only -1 or 0 or 1 in each node of the tree.

## AVL tree -- G.M. Adelson-Velskij \& E.M. Landis, 1962

Find -- same as in a BST

Insert -- first, insert as in a BST, next, travel from the inserted node upwards and update the node depths.
If disbalance occurs in any node along the path then apply an appropriate rotation and stop.

Delete -- first, delete as in a BST, next, travel from the deleted position upwards and update the node depths.
If disbalance occurs in any node along the path then apply an appropriate rotation.
Continue travelling along the path up to the root.

## Rotation $\mathbf{R}$ in general

Before

## Disbalancing

 node

Disbalance detected

## After



## Rotation L in general

## Before



Rotation $L$ is a mirror image of rotation $R$, there is no other


## Rotation RL in general

## Before



Rotation RL is a mirror image of rotation LR, there is no other difference between the two.

Rules for aplying rotations L, R, LR, RL in Insert operation

Travel from the inserted node up to the root and update the subtree depths in each node along the path.

If a node is disbalanced and you came to it along two consecutive edges

* in the up and right direction perform rotation $\mathbf{R}$ in this node,
* in the up and left direction perform rotation $L$ in this node,
* first in the in the up and left and then in the up and right direction perform rotation LR in this node,
* first in the in the up and right and then in the up and left direction perform rotation RL in this node,

After one rotation in the Insert operation the AVL tree is balanced.
After one rotation in the Delete operation the AVL tree might still not be balanced, all nodes on the path to the root have to be checked.

Necessity of multiple rotations in operation Delete.
Example. The AVL tree is originally balanced.

## Delete the

 rightmost key.

## Asymptotic complexities of Find, Insert, Delete in BST and AVL

|  | BST with $n$ nodes |  | AVL tree with $n$ nodes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Operation | Balanced | Maybe not <br> balanced | Balanced |
| Find | $O(\log (n))$ | $O(n)$ | $O(\log (n))$ |
| Insert | $\Theta(\log (n))$ | $O(n)$ | $\Theta(\log (n))$ |
| Delete | $O(\log (n))$ | $O(n)$ | $\Theta(\log (n))$ |



## Problem: Find(Q) in your list.

## A regular linked list



A linked list with faster search capability


A linked list with even faster search capability
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## A linked list with $\log (N)$ search capability.



Note the shape similarity to a balanced binary search tree.

## Difficulty:

Subsequent Insert/Delete operations would destroy this favourable list shape.
The cost of restauration is huge -- $\Theta(\mathrm{N})$.

## Solution:

Create a randomized shape, roughly similar to the optimal shape.
Random deviations from the nice shape in the long run nearly cancel each other. The result is a list shape with properties relatively close to the optimal properties.

A skip list is an ordered linked list where each node contains a variable number of links, with the $k$-th link in the node implementing singly linked list that skips (forward) the nodes with less than $k$ links.
[Sedgewick]
Each element points to its immediate successor (= next element). Some elements also point to one or more elements further down the list.

A level $\boldsymbol{k}$ element has $\boldsymbol{k}$ forward pointers. the $\boldsymbol{j}$-th pointer points to the next element in level $\boldsymbol{j}$.


Keeping the code simple

```
while(x.forward[i].key < searchKey) // x.forward[i] != null
```

There is a sentinel with infinite key value at the tail of the list.
The level of the sentinel is the same as the whole list level.
The list may be implemented as circular with the header serving as the sentinel.


Note that the skiplist is displayed as linear in this presentation (with separate sentinel) to keep pictures less cluttered.


A skip list element contains:
-- Key
-- Value
-- Forward[]

Search key.
(Optional, not discussed here, allowing associative structure.)
Array of pointers to the following skip list elements.

The header and the sentinel are of the same type.
A skip list data structure contains also:
-- Header
-- Sentinel
-- Level
-- MaxLevel
-- Update[ ]

A node with the initial set of forward pointers.
Optional last node with $\infty$ value, it is the header in circular list. The current number of levels in the skip list.
The maximum number of levels to which a skip list can grow. Auxiliary array with predecessors of an inserted/deleted element see Insert and Delete operations.

## Basic randomness

The level of an element is chosen by flipping a coin.
Flip a coin until it comes up tails. Count one plus the number of times
the coin came up heads before it comes up tails.

This result represents the level of the element.


Sixpence of Queen Elizabeth I, struck in 1593 at the Tower Mint. [wikipedia.org]


Example of an experimental independent levels calculation ( $p=0.5$, see below) .

## Experiment with Lehmer generator <br> $X_{n+1}=16807 X_{n} \bmod 2^{31}-1$ <br> seed $=23021905$ // birth date of Derrick Henry Lehmer

## 




Coin flipping:
(Xn >> 16) \& 1
Head $=1$

| Number of nodes | Level | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Expected | 64 | 32 | 16 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 1 | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 4$ |
|  | Actual | 60 | 36 | 17 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

## More general randomness

Choose a fraction $p$ between 0 and 1.
Rule: Fraction $p$ of elements with level $k$ pointers will have level $k+1$ elements as well.

On average: $\quad \square(1-p) \quad$ elements will be level 1 elements,
$\square(1-p)^{\wedge} 2$ elements will be level 2 elements,
$\square(1-p)^{\wedge} 3$ elements will be level 3 elements, etc.


Example of an experimental independent levels calculation with $p=0.33$.

## Choosing a Random Level

A level is chosen for an element in effect by flipping a coin that has probablility $p$ of coming up heads. We keep flipping until we get "tails" or until the maximum number of levels is reached.
int randomLevel( List list ) \{
//random() returns a random value in [0..1)
int newLevel = 1;
while( random() < list.p ) // no MaxLevel check! newLevel++;
return min( newLevel, list. MaxLevel );// efficiency! \}

## Search

Scan through the top list until the current node either contains the search key or it contains a smaller key and a link to a node with a larger key.

Then, move to the second-from-top list and iterate the procedure, continuing forward and downward until the search key is found or a search mismatch happens at the bottom level.


## Search

Start with the coarsest grain list and find where in that list the key resides, then drop down to the next less coarse grain list and repeat the search.

Node search( List list, int searchKey ) \{ Node x = list.header;
// loop invariant: x.key < searchKey, strict ineq!!
for ( int $i=$ list.level; i >= 1; i-- )
while ( x.forward[i].key < searchKey ) x = x.forward[i];
// x.key < searchKey <= x.forward[1].key
x = x.forward[1];
if( $x . k e y==$ searchKey ) return $x$;
else return null; // not found
\}

## Insert

Find the place for the new element.
Compute its level $\boldsymbol{k}$ by flipping the coin.
Insert the element into first $\boldsymbol{k}$ lists, starting at the bottom list.
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The array update [ ] is an auxiliary array supporting Insert / Delete operations.
update[k] points to that element in the list
whose level $\mathbf{k}$ pointer points to the inserted (or deleted) element, ( = predecessor in the $\mathbf{k}$-th level).

Note that in many cases, when the level of the inserted/deleted element is 1 , only update[1] will be used.


Insert A, S, E, R, C, H, I, N, G.
The nodes, in the order of insertion, ( $\mathbf{A}, \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{G}$ ) were assigned levels 1, 3, 2, 1, 1, 3, 1, 3, 2.
[Sedgewick]


## Insert A, S, E, R, C, H, I, N, G.



The nodes, in the order of insertion, were assigned levels $1,3,2,1,1,3,1,3,2$. (A, S, E, R,C,H,I,N,G)


Insert A, C, E, G, H, I, N, R, S. The nodes (A, C, E, G , H, I, N , R, S ) (Same values, different order) were assigned levels $1,3,2,1,1,3,1,3,2$.


The nodes, in the order of insertion, were assigned levels 1,3,2,1,1,3,1,3,2. (A, C, E, G, H, I, N, R, S)

The result of the previous example


The nodes were inserted in random order.

The nodes, in the order of insertion, were assigned levels 1,3,2,1,1,3,1,3,2. (A, S, E, R, C, H, I, N, G)

The shapes of the lists are different, the probabilistic properties are the same.

## // update[k] .. predecessor at level k

void insert(List list, int searchKey, Data newValue) \{

```
Node x = list.header;
for( int i = list.level; i >= 1; i-- ) {
    //invariant: x.key < searchKey <= x.forward[i].key
    while( x.forward[i].key < searchKey )
        x = x.forward[i];
    update[i] = x;
}
x = x.forward[1]; // expected position
if( x.key == searchKey )
    x.value = newValue; // associative structure
else { // key not found, do insertion:
```

... else \{ // key not found, do insertion here:
int newLevel = randomLevel ( list ) ;
/* If newLevel is greater than the current level of the list, knock newLevel down so that it is only one level more than the current level of the list. In other words, increase the level of the list by at most 1 in each insert operation. */
if( newLevel > list.level ) \{
if( list.level < list. MaxLevel ) list.level++;
newLevel = list.level;
update[newLevel] = list.header; // sentinel
\}
// finally, physical insertion:
Node $x=$ makeNode ( newLevel, searchKey, newValue ) ; for ( int i = 1; i <= newLevel; i++ ) \{ x.forward[i] = update[i].forward[i]; update[i].forward[i] = x; \}
\}
\}\} / / of insert


Deleting in a skip list is like deleting the same value independently from each list in which forward pointers of the deleted element are involved.

The algorithm registers the element's predecessor in the list, makes the predecessor point to the element that the deleted element points to, and finally deletes the element. It is a regular list delete operation.

```
// update is an array of pointers to the
// predecessors of the element to be deleted
```

void delete (List list, int searchKey) \{

```
    Node x = list.header;
    for (int i = list.level; i >= 1; i--) {
        while (x.forward[i].key < searchKey)
            x = x.forward[i];
        update[i] = x;
}
x = x.forward[1];
if (x.key == searchkey) { // go delete ...
```

(**) If the element to be deleted is a level $\boldsymbol{k}$ node, break out of the loop when level $(\boldsymbol{k}+1)$ is reached. Since the code does not store the level of an element, we determine that we have exhausted the levels of an element when a predecessor element points past it, rather than to it.

## - continued

```
for (int i = 1; i <= list.level; i++) {
    if (update[i].forward[i] != x) break; //(**)
    update[i].forward[i] = x.forward[i];
}
destroy_remove(x);
```

/* if deleting the element causes some of the
highest level list to become empty, decrease the
list level until a non-empty list is encountered.*/
while ((list.level > 1) \&\&
(list.header.forward[list.level] == list.header))
list.level--;
\}\} // deleted

## Choosing p

One might think that p should be chosen to be 0.5 .
If $p$ is chosen to be 0.5 , then roughly half our elements will be level 1 nodes, 0.25 will be level 2 nodes, 0.125 will be level 3 nodes, and so on.

This will give us
-- on average $\log (\mathrm{N})$ search time and
-- on average 2 pointers per node.
However, empirical tests show that choosing p to be 0.25 results in
-- roughly the same search time
-- but only an average of 1.33 pointers per node,
-- somewhat more variability in the search times.
There is a greater chance of a search taking longer than expected, but the decrease in storage overhead seems to be worth it sometimes.

## Notes on size and compexity

The average number of links in a randomized skip list with parameter $p$ is N $\cdot \mathbf{1 / ( 1 - p )}$

The average number of key comparisons in search and insert in a randomized skip list with parameter $p$ is on average
$-\log _{p}(N) / 2 p=\log (N)^{*}(-1)^{*}(2 p * \log (p))^{-1}=\log (N) /(2 p * \log (1 / p))$
Experimental time comparisons:

|  | Search |  |  | Insert |  | Delete |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Skip list | 0.051 | $\mathbf{( 1 . 0 )}$ | 0.065 | $\mathbf{( 1 . 0 )}$ | 0.059 | $(\mathbf{1 . 0})$ |
| AVL tree | 0.046 | $(0.91)$ | 0.100 | $(1.55)$ | 0.085 | $(1.46)$ |
| $2-3$ tree | 0.054 | $(1.05)$ | 0.210 | $(3.2)$ | 0.21 | $(3.65)$ |
| Splay tree | 0.490 | $(9.6)$ | 0.510 | $(7.8)$ | 0.53 | $(9.0)$ |

Times in ms on some antiquated HW [Pugh, 1990]

## Notes on compexity

The probabilistic analysis of skip lists is rather advanced.
However, it can be shown that the expected times of search, insert, delete are all

$$
O\left((1 / p) \log _{1 / p} n\right)=O(\log n)
$$

The choice of $p$ determines the variability of these search times.
Intuitively, decreasing $p$ will increase the variability since it will decrease the number of higher-level elements (i.e., the number of "skip" nodes in the list).

The Pugh paper contains a number of graphs that show the probability of a search taking significantly longer than expected for given values of $p$. For example, if $p$ is 0.5 and there are more than 256 elements in the list, the chances of a search taking 3 times longer than expected are less than 1 in a million. If $p$ is decreased to 0.25 , the chances rise to about 1 in a thousand.


## Array-like property -- random element access

Supplement each forward pointer with its
"length" $=1+$ number of the list elements it skips.
A k-th list element can be acessed in expected $O(\log n)$ time.
Search, Insert, Delete are analogous to the "plain" variant. The length of the affected pointers has to be updated after each Insert or Delete. Asymptotic complexity remains the same in all cases -- $\mathrm{O}(\log \mathrm{n})$.

- Erik Demaine's presentation at MIT http://videolectures.net/mit6046jf05_demaine_lec12/

- Robert Sedgewick: Algorithms in C++, Parts 1-4: Fundamentals, Data Structure, Sorting, Searching, Third Edition, Addison Wesley Professional, 1998
- William Pugh: Skip lists: A probabilistic alternative to balanced trees.

Communications of the ACM, 33(6):668-676, 1990.

- William Pugh: A Skip List Cookbook [http://cglab.ca/~morin/teaching/5408/refs/p90b.pdf]
- Bradley T. Vander Zanden: [http://web.eecs.utk.edu/~huangj/CS302S04/notes/skip-lists.html]

Also: java.util. concurrent. ConcurrentSkipListSet<E>

Kvízová pauza


## Najděte pandu



 Third Edition, Addison Wesley Professional, 1998

- http://www.cs.helsinki.fi/u/mluukkai/tirak2010/B-tree.pdf
- (CLRS) Cormen, Leiserson, Rivest, Stein: Introduction to Algorithms, 3rd ed., MIT Press, 2009


## B-tree -- Rudolf Bayer, Edward M. McCreight, 1972

- All lengths of paths from the root to the leaves are equal.
- B-tree is perfectly balanced. Keys in the nodes are kept sorted.
- Fixed parameter $\mathrm{k}>1$ dictates the same size of all nodes.
- Each node except for the root contains at least $k$ and at most $2 k$ keys and if it is not a leaf it has at least $k+1$ and at most $2 k+1$ children.
- The root may contain any number of keys from 1 to $2 k$. If it is not simultaneously a leaf it has at least 2 and at most $2 k+1$ children.


Cormen et al. 1990: B-tree degree:
Nodes have lower and upper bounds on the number of keys they can contain. We express these bounds in terms of a fixed integer $t \geq 2$ called the minimum degree of the B -tree:
a. Every node other than the root must have at least $\mathrm{t}-1$ keys.

Every internal node other than the root thus has at least $t$ children.
If the tree is nonempty, the root must have at least one key.
b. Every node may contain at most 2t-1 keys.

Therefore, an internal node may have at most 2 t children.


min keys $=4 \quad$ max keys $=9$
children $=5 \quad$ children $=10$

## Find 17



Search in the node is sequential (or binary or other...).
If the node is not a leaf and the key is not in the node then the search continues in the appropriate child node.

If the node is a leaf and the key is not in the node then the key is not in the tree.

Update strategies:

1. Multi phase strategy: "Solve the problem when it appears".

First insert or delete the item and only then rearrange the tree if necessary. This may require additional traversing up to the root.
2. Single phase strategy: "Avoid future problems".

Travel from the root to the node/key which is to be inserted or deleted and during the travel rearrange the tree to prevent the additional traversing up to the root.

## Milti phase strategy



## Insert 5



## Insert 20



## Molti phase strategy

## Insert 27

Sort keys outside the tree.

Select median, create new node, move to it the values bigger than the median.

Try to insert the median into the parent node.

Success.


## Mult phase strategy

Insert 15


Sort keys outside the tree.

## 1012141516



Select median, create new node, move to it the values bigger than the median.

Try to insert the median into the parent node.

Success?

The parent node is full - repeat the process analogously.

## Sort values

Select median, create new node, move to it the values bigger than the median together with the corresponding references.

Cannot propagate the median into the parent (there is no parent), create a new root and store the median there.


## Insert 15



Each level acquired one new node, a new root was created too, the tree grows upwards and remains perfectly balanced.

## Mult phase strategy

Delete in a sufficiently full leaf.

Delete 4

Deleted


## Mult phase strategy

## Delete in an internal node

Delete 17

| 2 | 5 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


The deleted key is substituted by the smallest bigger key, like in an usual BST.

The smallest bigger key is always in a leaf in a B-tree. If the leaf is sufficiently full the delete operation is complete.


## Mult phase strategy

The neighbour leaf
Delete in an insufficiently full leaf. is sufficiently full.

Merge the keys of the two leaves with the dividing key in the parent into one sorted list.


## Multi phase strategy

Recapitulation - delete 27


27 correctly deleted


Delete rules IV

## Mult phase strategy

Delete in an
insufficiently full node
None of the neighbours is sufficiently full.

Delete 12

Merge the keys of the node and of one of the neighbours and the median in the parent into one sorted list. Move all these keys to the original node, delete the neighbour, remove the original median and associated reference
 from the parent.

## Mult phase strategy

The parent violates B-tree rules.

If the parent of the deleted node is not sufficiently full apply the same deleting strategy to the parent and continue the process towards the root until the rules of B-tree are satisfied.


## Recapitulation - delete 12



Key 12 was deleted and the tree was reconstructed accordingly.


## Single phase strategy

Cormen et al. 1990, $t=3$, minimum degree 3 , max degree $=6$, minimum keys in node $=2$, maximum keys in node $=5$.

## 

Insert B

## 

## Insert Q



#  

Insert L
Single phase: Split the root, because it is full, and then continue downwards inserting L


Insert F


Pokročilá Algoritmizace, A4M33PAL, ZS 2012/2013, FEL ČVUT, 12/14

## Delete F

## 

1. If the key $\mathbf{k}$ is in node $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{X}$ is a leaf, delete the key $\mathbf{k}$ from $\mathbf{X}$.

Unaffected nodes TIU

## Single phase strategy

## 

2. If the key $\mathbf{k}$ is in node $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{X}$ is an internal node, do the following:

2a. If the child $\mathbf{Y}$ that precedes $\mathbf{k}$ in node $\mathbf{X}$ has at least t keys, then find the predecessor $\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{p}}$ of $\mathbf{k}$ in the subtree rooted at $\mathbf{Y}$. Recursively delete $\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{p}}$, and replace $\mathbf{k}$ by $\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{p}}$ in $\mathbf{X}$. (We can find $\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{p}}$ and delete it in a single downward pass.) 2b. If $\mathbf{Y}$ has fewer than $t$ keys, then, symmetrically, examine the child $\mathbf{Z}$ that follows $\mathbf{k}$ in node $\mathbf{X}$ and continue as in $2 a$.


## Single phase strategy

Delete G


2c. Otherwise, i.e. if both $\mathbf{Y}$ and $\mathbf{Z}$ have only $\mathbf{t - 1}$ keys, merge $\mathbf{k}$ and all of $\mathbf{Z}$ into $\mathbf{Y}$, so that $\mathbf{X}$ loses both $\mathbf{k}$ and the pointer to $\mathbf{Z}$, and $\mathbf{Y}$ now contains $2 \mathrm{t}-1$ keys. Then free $\mathbf{Z}$ and recursively delete $\mathbf{k}$ from $\mathbf{Y}$.


## Single phase strategy

3. If the key $\mathbf{k}$ is not present in internal node $\mathbf{X}$, determine the child $\mathbf{X} . \mathbf{c}$ of $\mathbf{X}$. X.c is a root of such subtree that contains $\mathbf{k}$, if $\mathbf{k}$ is in the tree at all. If X.C has only $t-1$ keys, execute step 3a or 3b as necessary to guarantee that we descend to a node containing at least t keys. Then continue by recursing on the appropriate child of $\mathbf{X}$.

## Delete D



## 

3a. If X.c and both of X.c 's immediate siblings have t-1 keys, merge X.c with one sibling, which involves moving a key from $\mathbf{X}$ down into the new merged node to become the median key for that node.


## Single phase strategy

## Delete B

## 

3b. If X.c has only $t-1$ keys but has an immediate sibling with at least $t$ keys, give X.c an extra key by moving a key from $\mathbf{X}$ down into X.c, moving a key fromX.c 's immediate left or right sibling up into $\mathbf{X}$, and moving the appropriate child pointer from the sibling into X.c.


## B+ tree

B+ tree is analogous to B-tree, namely in:
-- Being perfectly balanced all the time,
-- that nodes cannot be less than half full,
-- operational complexity.
The differences are:
-- Records (or pointers to actual records) are stored only in the leaf nodes,
-- internal nodes store only search key values which are used only as routers to guide the search.

The leaf nodes of a $B^{+}$-tree are linked together to form a linked list. This is done so that the records can be retrieved sequentially without accessing the B+-tree index. This also supports fast processing of range-search queries.


## Routers and keys 75

## Data records or pointers to them

Leaves links

Values in internal nodes are routers, originally each of them was a key when a record was inserted. Insert and Delete operations split and merge the nodes and thus move the keys and routers around. A router may remain in the tree even after the corresponding record and its key was deleted.

Values in the leaves are actual keys associated with the records and must be deleted when a record is deleted (their router copies may live on).

## Inserting key K (and its associated data record) into B+ tree

Find, as in B tree, correct leaf to insert K. Then there are 3 cases:
Case 1
Free slot in a leaf? YES
Place the key and its associated record in the leaf.

## Case 2

Free slot in a leaf? NO. Free slot in the parent node? YES.

1. Consider all keys in the leaf, including $K$, to be sorted.
2. Insert middle (median) key M in the parent node in the appropriate slot Y .
(If parent does not exist, first create an empty one $=$ new root.)
3. Split the leaf into two new leaves L1 and L2.
4. Left leaf (L1) from Y contains records with keys smaller than M.
5. Right leaf (L2) from Y contains records with keys equal to or greater than M .

Note: Splitting leaves and inner nodes works in the same way as in B-trees.

## Inserting key K (and its associated data record) into B+ tree

Find, as in B tree, correct leaf to insert K. Then there are 3 cases:
Case 3
Free slot in a leaf? NO. Free slot in the parent node? NO.

1. Split the leaf into two leaves L1 and L2, consider all its keys including K sorted, denote M median of these keys.
2. Records with keys < M go to the left leaf L1.
3. Records with keys $>=\mathrm{M}$ go to the right leaf L 2 .
4. Split the parent node $P$ to nodes P1 and P2, consider all its keys including M sorted, denote M1 median of these keys.
5. Keys < M1 key go to P1.
6. Keys > M1 key go to P2.
7. If parent $P P$ of $P$ is not full, insert $M 1$ to $P P$ and stop.
(If PP does not exist, first create an empty one $=$ new root.)
Else set $\mathrm{M}:=\mathrm{M} 1, \mathrm{P}:=\mathrm{PP}$ and continue splitting parent nodes recursively up the tree, repeating from step 4.

## Initial tree

Insert 28
$510|1520=25 / 28| 30$ 5 $50|5560| 65$-75|80|85|90

Changes $\square$ Leaves links $\varpi$

Data records and pointers to them are not drawn here for simplicity's sake.

## Initial tree

## 

## Insert 70



Changes $\square$

## Leaves links $\varpi$

## Initial tree



Changes
Leaves links $\curvearrowleft$
Note the router 60 in the root, detached from its original position in the leaf.

## Deleting key K (and its associated data record) in B+ tree

Find, as in B tree, key K in a leaf. Then there are 3 cases:

## Case 1

Leaf more than half full or leaf $==$ root? YES.
Delete the key and its record from the leaf L. Arrange the keys in the leaf in ascending order to fill the void. If the deleted key K appears also in the parent node P replace it by the next bigger key K 1 from L (explain why it exists) and leave K1 in L as well.

## Case 2

Leaf more than half full? NO. Left or right sibling more than half full? YES.
Move one (or more if you wish and rules permit) key(s) from sibling $S$ to the leaf L , reflect the changes in the parent P of L and parent P 2 of sibling S .
(If $S$ does not exist then $L$ is the root, which may contain any number of keys).

## Deleting key K (and its associated data record) in B+ tree

Find, as in B tree, key K in a leaf. Then there are 3 cases:

Case 3
Leaf more than half full? NO. Left or right sibling more than half full? NO.

1. Consider sibling $S$ of $L$ which has the same parent $P$ as $L$.
2. Consider set $M$ of ordered keys of $L$ and $S$ without $K$ but together with key $K 1$ in $P$ which separates $L$ and $S$.
3. Merge: Store $M$ in $L$, connect $L$ to the other sibling of $S$ (if exists), destroy $S$.
4. Set the reference left to K 1 to point to L . Delete K 1 from P . If P contains K
delete it also from $P$. If $P$ is still at least half full stop, else continue with 5.
5. If any sibling SP of P is more then half full, move necessary number of keys from SP to $P$ and adjust links in P, SP and their parents accordingly and stop. Else set $\mathrm{L}:=\mathrm{P}$ and continue recursively up the tree (like in B -tree), repeating from step 1.

Note: Merging leaves and inner nodes works the same way as in B-trees.

## Initial tree


Delete 70


Changes $\square$ Leaves links $\varpi$


Delete 25


Changes $\square$ Leaves links $\varpi$


Merge


Changes $\square$ Leaves links $\sim$

## Initial tree

Delete 75

Merge

... done.
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## Complexities

Find, Insert, Delete, all need $\Theta\left(\mathrm{b} \log _{\mathrm{b}} n\right)$ operations, where n is number of records in the tree, and b is the branching factor or, as it is often understood, the order of the tree.

Note: Be careful, some authors (e.g CLRS) define degree/order of B-tree as [b/2], there is no unified precise common terminology.

Range search thanks to the linked leaves is performed in time
$\Theta\left(b \log _{b}(n)+k / b\right)$
where k is the range (number of elements) of the query.

