



**OPPA European Social Fund
Prague & EU: We invest in your future.**

Tutorial 2:

Modal logic -- what is modal logic?

- informally, a logic which contains a modality, or several modalities
- a modal logic can be described with the notion of possible worlds
 - $M = (W, R, V)$
 - W - a set of possible worlds (states of the system (e.g.,
 - R - accessibility relation ($w, w' \in R$, if world w' is reachable (or it is considered possible) from state w), we can denote this as $(w R w')$
 - V - evaluation of propositions in each world of W
 - basic modality: $w \models \Box p \rightarrow p$ is necessary true if and only if for every w' , such that $w R w'$, it holds that $w' \models p$
 - this for example means that in every other world state that I consider possible, p must hold
 - we can pose some restrictions on the properties of relation R
 - reflexive
 - symmetric
 - transitive
 - serial
 - euclidean
 - different modal logics apply different restrictions on the relation R
 - e.g., in modal logic representing knowledge (relation R represents that agent i knows something - K_i) uses reflexive, symmetric, transitive and euclidean assumptions
 - temporal logics have serial restriction (there is always a next state)
 - different restrictions cause different axioms to hold
 - e.g., if there is no symmetric restriction, an agent can believe that p is true, although it could be the case that p does not hold in the particular state -- i.e., the agents beliefs can be incorrect
- an example with cards
 - we have 3 players (A,B,C), 8 cards = 4xA, 4x8
 - each player receives two cards and places them on her forehead without looking at them
 - each player is able to observe the cards of the other players
 - players take turns and they try to determine what cards they have on their foreheads
 - we assume all players are rational, they do not take guesses, and they do not lie
 - an example:
 - you are player C, you observe AA, 88; it is your turn (both players A and B have said that they did not know) -- can you determine what your cards are?
 - modeling the dynamic of this game using modal logic and possible worlds
 - the player C considers possible states (AA, 88, AA) - (AA, 88, 88) - (AA, 88, 8A)
 - without any other information the player C cannot determine what cards are on her forehead
 - when player A says that she does not know, player C can remove the state (AA, 88, 88) from the state of possible worlds -- if this would have been the case, the player A could have surely determined the cards on her forehead (she would have observed 88, 88 -- there is no other possibility than having AA), but A has said "I do not know", therefore this state is no longer possible.
- example with a robot -- Where is waldo?
 - we can use temporal logic to describe behavior/dynamics of an agent or a system, but also e.g., the goals

- we need to specify the states, sensors ($r_1 \dots r_4$ -> in which region the robot is, s_w -> whether the robot senses waldo)
- we can specify the goal as follows: $\Box \Diamond (r_2 \text{ OR } s_w) \text{ AND } \Box \Diamond (r_4 \text{ OR } s_w)$
 - the robot has to look for Waldo repeatedly in regions 2 and 4 until it sees Waldo
 - $\Box \Diamond p$ - this means that p will hold infinitely often in temporal logics
- we can use model checking tools to verify whether our robot based on some simple rules can actually accomplish the goal
- alternatively, there are approaches that could generate behavior of agent/robot based on the specification of the environment and goals in (restricted variant of) temporal logic



**OPPA European Social Fund
Prague & EU: We invest in your future.**
