

5. Marginal probabilities

GRF for pairs  $(x, s)$  on a graph  $(V, E)$ , where

$x: V \rightarrow F$  image,  $s: V \rightarrow K$  interpretation

$$p_u(s) = \frac{1}{Z(u)} \exp \left[ \sum_{i \in V} u_i(s_i) + \sum_{ij \in E} u_{ij}(s_i, s_j) \right]$$

$$p(x|s) = \prod_{i \in V} p(x_i | s_i)$$

Marginal probs:  $p(s_i)$ ,  $p(s_i, s_j)$ ,  $p(s_i | x)$ ,  $p(s_i, s_j | x)$  etc.

A. Why do we need them?

a) Inference with locally additive loss  $\rightarrow$  maximum marginal decision  $\rightarrow p(s_i | x)$  i.e. ~~max~~ posterior marginals

b) Supervised learning of model parameters  $u_i, u_{ij}$  of the prior p.d.

- training data  $\mathcal{T} = \{s^1, \dots, s^L\} \Leftrightarrow \beta(s)$

- Maximum likelihood estimator

$$L(u) = \sum_{s \in K^{|V|}} \beta(s) \log p_u(s) \rightarrow \max_u$$

write  $p_u(s) = \frac{1}{Z(u)} \exp \langle \vec{\varphi}(s), \vec{u} \rangle \Rightarrow$

$$L(\vec{u}) = \sum_{s \in K^{|V|}} \beta(s) \langle \vec{\varphi}(s), \vec{u} \rangle - \log Z(\vec{u}) \rightarrow \max_{\vec{u}}$$

$$L(\vec{u}) = \sum_{s \in K^{|V|}} \beta(s) \langle \vec{\varphi}(s), \vec{u} \rangle - \log \sum_{s \in K^{|V|}} \exp \langle \vec{\varphi}(s), \vec{u} \rangle$$

•  $L(\vec{u})$  is concave in  $\vec{u}$

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet \nabla L(\vec{u}) &= \sum_{s \in K^{|V|}} \beta(s) \vec{\varphi}(s) - \sum_{s \in K^{|V|}} p_u(s) \vec{\varphi}(s) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_\beta(\vec{\varphi}) - \mathbb{E}_u(\vec{\varphi}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

i.e. empirical marginals and model marginals must coincide

$$p_u(s_i, s_j) = \beta(s_i, s_j)$$

• problem: given  $u_i, u_{ij}$ , compute marginals  $p_u(s_i, s_j)$  for all edges  $ij \in E$ .

### B. Belief propagation

Remember: ch I, sec. 11 computing marginals of an HMM on a tree  $T = (V, E)$

$$P(s) = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{i \in V} f_i(s_i) \prod_{ij \in E} \varphi_{ij}(s_i, s_j) \stackrel{!}{=} \prod_{i \in V} p(s_i) \prod_{ij \in E} \frac{p(s_i, s_j)}{p(s_i)p(s_j)}$$

auxiliary numbers

$$\varphi_{ij}(s_i) \Leftrightarrow$$



$$p(s_i) \sim f_i(s_i) \prod_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i} \varphi_{ij}(s_i)$$

$$p(s_i, s_j) \sim f_i(s_i) \varphi_{ij}(s_i, s_j) f_j(s_j) \prod_{l \in \mathcal{N}_i \setminus j} \varphi_{il}(s_i) \prod_{m \in \mathcal{N}_j \setminus i} \varphi_{jm}(s_j)$$

$$\hookrightarrow \frac{p(s_i, s_j)}{p(s_i)p(s_j)} = \frac{\phi_{ij}(s_i, s_j)}{\psi_{ij}(s_i)\psi_{ji}(s_j)}$$

- This is an equivalent transform in the  $(+, \cdot)$ -domain!
- recursive definition of the  $\psi$ -s

$$\psi_{ij}(s_i) = \sum_{s_j} \phi_{ij}(s_i, s_j) \phi_{ji}(s_j) \prod_{e \in E_i \setminus i} \psi_{je}(s_j)$$

### BP for general graphs (aka message passing)

- repeatedly recalculate  $\psi$ -s according to the formula above until (hopefully) a fixpoint  $\psi^*$  is reached
- estimate marginals by

$$p(s_i) \sim \phi_i(s_i) \prod_{j \in E_i} \psi_{ij}^*(s_i)$$

$$p(s_i, s_j) \sim p(s_i)p(s_j) \frac{\phi_{ij}(s_i, s_j)}{\psi_{ij}^*(s_i)\psi_{ji}^*(s_j)}$$

### Remarks

- 1) BP quite often gives a reasonable estimate of unary marginals. However, it inherently fails to estimate pairwise marginals
- 2) In log-domain, when replacing  $(+, \cdot)$  by  $(\min, +)$  this leads to an approx. algorithm for solving  $(\min, +)$ -problems

C. Sampling

Let  $F: K^{|V|} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a random variable. How can we estimate its expectation  $\mathbb{E}_p[F] = \sum_{s \in K^{|V|}} p(s) F(s)$ ?

- generate an i.i.d sample  $s^j, j=1, \dots, l$  by  $p(s)$
- $\mathbb{E}_p(F) \approx \frac{1}{l} \sum_{j=1}^l F(s^j)$

Sampling from  $p(s)$ ? Theorem 1, sec. 1, ch I  $\Rightarrow$  design a homogeneous Markov chain with transition matrix  $T(s|s'), s, s' \in K^{|V|}$  s.t.

- the chain is irreducible and  $a$ -periodic
- its stationary p.d. is  $p(s)$

Practically:

- Design a set of simpler trans. matr.  $B_m, m \in M$  s.t.  $p(s)$  is stationary for all of them

- compose  $T$  by

$$T = \prod_{m \in M} B_m \quad \text{or} \quad T = \sum_{m \in M} d_m B_m$$

- prove that  $T$  is irreducible and  $a$ -periodic

Gibbs sampler Design  $B_i, i \in V$  by

$$B_i(s|s') = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s_{v_i} \neq s'_{v_i} \\ p(s_i | s'_{-i}) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- stationarity of  $p(s)$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s' \in K^{|\mathcal{V}|}} \mathbb{B}_i(s|s') p(s') &= \sum_{k \in K} p(s_i | S_{\mathcal{V} \setminus i}) p(s'_i = k, S_{\mathcal{V} \setminus i}) \\ &= p(s_i | S_{\mathcal{V} \setminus i}) p(S_{\mathcal{V} \setminus i}) = p(s) \end{aligned}$$

- $T = \prod_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \mathbb{B}_i$  and  $T = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{V}} \alpha_i \mathbb{B}_i$  are irreducible and a-periodic if  $p(s) > 0, \forall s \in K^{|\mathcal{V}|}$

### Remarks

- 1) Gibbs sampler is easy to implement
- 2) Gibbs samplers are very slow: often long "burn in time" and "slow mixing".