

Logical reasoning and programming, lab session XI

(December 9, 2019)

XI.1 Skolemize the following formula

$$\forall X(p(a) \vee \exists Y(q(Y) \wedge \forall Z(p(Y, Z) \vee \exists U q(X, U))) \vee \exists W q(a, W)).$$

Why is it possible in this particular case to do that without producing an equivalent formula in prenex form?

XI.2 Unify the following pairs of formulae:

- (a) $\{p(X, Y) \doteq p(Y, f(Z))\}$,
- (b) $\{p(a, Y, f(Y)) \doteq p(Z, Z, U)\}$,
- (c) $\{p(X, g(X)) \doteq p(Y, Y)\}$,
- (d) $\{p(X, g(X), Y) \doteq p(Z, U, g(U))\}$,
- (e) $\{p(g(X), Y) \doteq p(Y, Y), p(Y, Y) \doteq p(U, f(W))\}$.

XI.3 What is the size of the maximal term that is produced when you try to unify

$$\{f(g(X_1, X_1), g(X_2, X_2), \dots, g(X_{n-1}, X_{n-1})) \doteq f(X_2, X_3, \dots, X_n)\}.$$

XI.4 Show that the resolution rule is correct.

XI.5 Derive the empty clause \square using the resolution calculus from:

- (a) $\{\{\neg p(X), \neg p(f(X))\}, \{p(f(X)), p(X)\}, \{\neg p(X), p(f(X))\}\}$
- (b) $\{\{\neg p(X, a), \neg p(X, Y), \neg p(Y, X)\}, \{p(X, f(X)), p(X, a)\}, \{p(f(X), X), p(X, a)\}\}$

XI.6 Prove using the resolution calculus that from

$$\begin{aligned} &\forall X \forall Y (p(X, Y) \rightarrow p(Y, X)) \\ &\forall X \forall Y \forall Z ((p(X, Y) \wedge p(Y, Z)) \rightarrow p(X, Z)) \\ &\forall X \exists Y p(X, Y) \end{aligned}$$

follows $\forall X p(X, X)$.

XI.7 List all possible applications of the factoring rule on the clause

$$\{p(X, f(Y), Z), \neg s(Z, T), p(T, T, g(a)), p(f(b), S, g(W)), \neg s(c, d)\}.$$

If it is possible to use the factoring rule several times, then produce even these results.