

# Statistical Machine Learning (BE4M33SSU)

## Lecture 5.

Czech Technical University in Prague

- ◆ Unsupervised Learning
- ◆ Maximum Likelihood Estimator, consistency
- ◆ Expectation Maximisation Algorithm
- ◆ Examples

## 5.1 Why do we need unsupervised learning?

If the model  $p(x, y)$  is known  $\Rightarrow h(x) = \arg \max_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \sum_{y' \in \mathcal{Y}} p(x, y') \ell(y', y)$

**Learning so far:**  $p(x, y)$  unknown

Given: hypothesis class  $\mathcal{H}$  and i.i.d. training data  $\mathcal{T}^m = \{(x^i, y^i) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$

ERM:  $h = \arg \min_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \ell(y^i, h(x^i))$

**Learning now:** training data possibly incomplete (missing information)

Given: model class  $p_\theta(x, y)$ ,  $\theta \in \Theta$ , but the true value  $\theta_0$  is unknown

Training data i.i.d. generated from  $p_{\theta_0}$ , e.g.

1.  $\mathcal{T}^m = \{(x^i, y^i) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$  as before,
2.  $\mathcal{T}^m = \{x^i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$
3.  $Z = f(X, Y)$  is a random variable,  $\mathcal{T}^m = \{z^i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$

or, combinations thereof.

## 5.1 Why do we need unsupervised learning?

### Approach:

1. use the Maximum Likelihood estimate  $\theta^* = \arg \max_{\theta \in \Theta} \log p_{\theta}(\mathcal{T}^m)$ ,
2. and the predictor  $h(x) = \arg \min_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \sum_{y' \in \mathcal{Y}} p_{\theta^*}(x, y') \ell(y', y)$ .

### Questions:

- ◆ Is the Maximum Likelihood estimator  $\theta^*(\mathcal{T}^m)$  consistent? I.e., does

$$\mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}(\|\theta^*(\mathcal{T}^m) - \theta_0\| > \epsilon) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

hold for any  $\epsilon > 0$ ?

- ◆ How to implement the estimator in case of training data with missing information (unsupervised learning)?

## 5.2 Consistency of the Maximum Likelihood estimator

$\mathcal{T}^m = \{z^i \mid i = 1, \dots, m\}$  i.i.d. generated from  $p_{\theta_0}(z)$ ,  $\theta_0 \in \Theta$  unknown

Which conditions ensure consistency of the MLE  $\theta^* = \arg \max_{\theta \in \Theta} \log p_{\theta}(\mathcal{T}^m)$ ?

log-likelihood of training data  $L(\theta, \mathcal{T}^m) := \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \log p_{\theta}(z_i)$

expected log-likelihood  $L(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_{\theta_0}(L(\theta, \mathcal{T}^m)) = \sum_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} p_{\theta_0}(z) \log p_{\theta}(z)$

**How to check consistency of MLE (main steps):**

- ◆ prove that  $\theta_0 = \arg \max_{\theta \in \Theta} L(\theta)$  holds, i.e. the model is identifiable
- ◆ ensure that the Uniform Law of Large Numbers (ULLN) holds, i.e.

$$\mathbb{P}_{\theta_0} \left( \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} |L(\theta, \mathcal{T}^m) - L(\theta)| > \epsilon \right) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

holds for any  $\epsilon > 0$ .

## 5.2 Consistency of the Maximum Likelihood estimator

The first condition, i.e. identifiability of the model  $\theta_0$  is easy to prove if  $p_{\theta_0}(z) \neq p_{\theta}(z)$  holds  $\forall \theta \neq \theta_0$ .

Let  $p(z), q(z)$  be two probability distributions s.t.  $p \neq q$ . Then

$$\sum_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} p(z) \log p(z) > \sum_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} p(z) \log q(z).$$

This follows from strict concavity of the function  $\log(x)$ :

$$\sum_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} p(z) \log \frac{q(z)}{p(z)} < \log \sum_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} \frac{q(z)p(z)}{p(z)} = \log 1 = 0$$

---

Recall the Kullback-Leibler divergence for distributions

$$D_{KL}(p||q) = \sum_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} p(z) \log \frac{p(z)}{q(z)}$$

## 5.2 Consistency of the Maximum Likelihood estimator

Proving the second condition, i.e. ULLN directly, is sometimes not too complicated (see seminar).

Sufficient conditions that ensure the ULLN:

- ◆  $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  is compact,  $L(\theta, \mathcal{T}^m)$  is continuous in  $\theta$  and there is a function  $d(z) \geq \log p_\theta(z) \forall \theta$  with  $\mathbb{E}_{\theta_0}(d(z)) < \infty$ ,
- ◆  $\log p_\theta(z)$  is a concave function of  $\theta$ ,  $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  is convex and  $\theta_0 \in \text{int}(\Theta)$ .

## 5.3 The Expectation Maximisation Algorithm

Model class  $p_\theta(x, y)$ ,  $\theta \in \Theta$ , but the true value  $\theta_0$  is unknown

Training data  $\mathcal{T}^m = \{x^i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$  i.i.d. generated from  $p_{\theta_0}$

How shall we implement the MLE

$$\theta^*(\mathcal{T}^m) = \arg \max_{\theta \in \Theta} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \log p_\theta(x^i) = \arg \max_{\theta \in \Theta} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \log \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} p_\theta(x^i, y)$$

Expectation Maximisation Algorithm (Schlesinger, 1968, Sundberg, 1974, Dempster, Laird, and Rubin, 1977)

## 5.3 The Expectation Maximisation Algorithm

Schlesinger (1968): Introduce arbitrary numbers  $\alpha(y | x^i) \geq 0$ , for each  $x^i \in \mathcal{T}^m$ , s.t.  $\sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \alpha(y | x^i) = 1$ . Write the log-likelihood as

$$\begin{aligned}
 L(\theta, \mathcal{T}^m) &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \log \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} p_{\theta}(x^i, y) = \\
 &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \alpha(y | x^i) \log p_{\theta}(x^i, y) - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \alpha(y | x^i) \log \underbrace{\frac{p_{\theta}(x^i, y)}{\sum_{y' \in \mathcal{Y}} p_{\theta}(x^i, y')}}_{p_{\theta}(y|x^i)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Initialise the algorithm with  $\theta^{(0)}$  and iterate (until convergence in  $\alpha$ )

**E-step** Set the auxiliary variables to  $\alpha^{(t)}(y | x^i) = p_{\theta^{(t)}}(y | x^i)$

**M-step** Solve the Maximum Likelihood estimation for complete training data

$$\theta^{(t+1)} = \arg \max_{\theta \in \Theta} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \alpha^{(t)}(y | x^i) \log p_{\theta}(x^i, y)$$

**Claim:** the sequence  $L(\theta^{(t)}, \mathcal{T}^m)$ ,  $t = 0, 1, \dots$  is non-decreasing, the sequence  $\alpha^{(t)}$  converges.



## 5.3 The Expectation Maximisation Algorithm

Minka (1998): Consider the following lower bound of the log-likelihood

$$L(\theta, \mathcal{T}^m) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \log \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} p_{\theta}(x^i, y) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \log \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \frac{\alpha(y | x^i)}{\alpha(y | x^i)} p_{\theta}(x^i, y) \geq$$

$$L_B(\theta, \mathcal{T}^m) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \alpha(y | x^i) \log p_{\theta}(x^i, y) - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \alpha(y | x^i) \log \alpha(y | x^i)$$

Maximise  $L_B$  by block-coordinate ascent, i.e. start with some  $\theta^{(0)}$  and iterate

**E-step** Maximisation w.r.t.  $\alpha$ -s gives  $\alpha^{(t)}(y | x^i) = p_{\theta^{(t)}}(y | x^i)$

**M-step** maximisation w.r.t.  $\theta$  means to solve the MLE for complete training data

$$\theta^{(t+1)} = \arg \max_{\theta \in \Theta} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \alpha^{(t)}(y | x^i) \log p_{\theta}(x^i, y)$$

### Claims:

- ◆ The bound is tight if  $\alpha(y | x^i) = p_{\theta}(y | x^i)$ ,
- ◆ see previous slide

## 5.3 The Expectation Maximisation Algorithm

Compare Schlesinger's representation of  $L$  and Minka's lower bound  $L_B$

$$L(\theta, \alpha, \mathcal{T}^m) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \alpha(y | x^i) \log p_\theta(x^i, y) - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \alpha(y | x^i) \log p_\theta(y | x^i)$$

$$L_B(\theta, \alpha, \mathcal{T}^m) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \alpha(y | x^i) \log p_\theta(x^i, y) - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \alpha(y | x^i) \log \alpha(y | x^i)$$

## 5.4 Example: Exponential Families

Exponential family for observations  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  and hidden labels  $y \in \mathcal{Y}$

$$p_{\mathbf{u}}(x, y) = \frac{1}{Z(\mathbf{u})} \exp \langle \phi(x, y), \mathbf{u} \rangle$$

where

- ◆  $\phi: \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  is a generalised feature map,
- ◆  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^k$  is a parameter vector and
- ◆  $Z(\mathbf{u})$  is the normalisation constant  $Z(\mathbf{u}) = \sum_{x, y} \exp \langle \phi(x, y), \mathbf{u} \rangle$

### Supervised learning:

(1) Each model of the class is identifiable under mild conditions (see Assignment 2 of Seminar 3)

(2)  $\log p_{\mathbf{u}}(x, y)$  is a concave function of  $\mathbf{u}$ , hence ULLN holds for exponential families

$$\log p_{\mathbf{u}}(x, y) = \langle \phi(x, y), \mathbf{u} \rangle - \log Z(\mathbf{u})$$

## 5.4 Example: Exponential Families

Computing the second derivative of  $\log Z(\mathbf{u})$

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{u}} \log Z(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{u}} \phi$$

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{u}}^2 \log Z(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{u}} [(\phi - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{u}} \phi) \otimes (\phi - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{u}} \phi)]$$

The expectation of a positive semi-definite (random) matrix is positive semi-definite. Hence,  $\log Z(\mathbf{u})$  is convex. Consequently, the ULLN holds for the ML estimator.

(3) Learning task: Given training data  $\mathcal{T}^m = \{(x^i, y^i) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ , the MLE reads

$$L(\mathbf{u}, \mathcal{T}^m) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \langle \phi(x^i, y^i), \mathbf{u} \rangle - \log Z(\mathbf{u}) = \langle \bar{\Phi}^m, \mathbf{u} \rangle - \log Z(\mathbf{u}) \rightarrow \max_{\mathbf{u}}$$

The objective function is concave in  $\mathbf{u}$ . Apply some convex minimisation algorithm (provided that computation of  $\log Z(\mathbf{u})$  is tractable).

## 5.4 Example: Exponential Families

**Unsupervised learning:** Given training data  $\mathcal{T}^m = \{x^i \mid i = 1, \dots, m\}$ , the MLE task reads

$$L(\mathbf{u}, \mathcal{T}^m) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \log \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} p_{\mathbf{u}}(x^i, y) \rightarrow \max_{\mathbf{u}}$$

Recall the EM algorithm: Maximise Minka's lower bound  $L_B(\theta, \alpha, \mathcal{T}^m)$  of the log-likelihood by block-coordinate ascent, i.e., start with some  $\mathbf{u}^{(0)}$  and iterate

**E-step** Maximisation w.r.t.  $\alpha$ -s for fixed  $\mathbf{u}^{(t)}$  gives

$$\alpha^{(t)}(y \mid x^i) = p_{\mathbf{u}^{(t)}}(y \mid x^i) = \frac{\exp \langle \phi(x^i, y), \mathbf{u}^{(t)} \rangle}{\sum_{y' \in \mathcal{Y}} \exp \langle \phi(x^i, y'), \mathbf{u}^{(t)} \rangle}$$

**M-step** Maximisation w.r.t.  $\mathbf{u}$  for fixed  $\alpha^{(t)}$  reads

$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \alpha^{(t)}(y \mid x^i) \langle \phi(x^i, y), \mathbf{u} \rangle - \log Z(\mathbf{u}) \rightarrow \max_{\mathbf{u}}$$

## 5.4 Example: Exponential Families

Denoting

$$\Phi^{(t)} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \alpha^{(t)}(y | x^i) \phi(x^i, y),$$

we get the same type of optimisation task as for supervised learning!

$$\langle \Phi^{(t)}, \mathbf{u} \rangle - \log Z(\mathbf{u}) \rightarrow \max_{\mathbf{u}}.$$

### Additional reading:

Schlesinger, Hlavac, Ten Lectures on Statistical and Structural Pattern Recognition, Chapter 6, Kluwer 2002 (also available in Czech, e.g. in CMP library)

Thomas P. Minka, Expectation-Maximization as lower bound maximization, 1998 (short tutorial, available in internet)