STATISTICAL MACHINE LEARNING (WS2018) SEMINAR 2

Assignment 1. Consider the task of age estimation based on visual cues. Let us denote the visual features by $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and the unknown age by $y \in \mathbb{N}$. The statistical relation between the two random variables is known and given by their joint distribution p(x, y). a) Deduce the optimal inference rule for the loss function $\ell(y, y') = |y - y'|^2$. b) Same for the loss function $\ell(y, y') = |y - y'|$.

Assignment 2. We are given a prediction strategy $h: \mathcal{X} \to \{1, \ldots, Y\}$. Our task is to estimate the expected risk $R^{\ell}(h) = \mathbb{E}_{(x,y)\sim p}\ell(y,h(x))$ where $\ell: \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y} \to \mathbb{R}$ is some application specific loss function. To this end, we collect a set of examples $\mathcal{S}^{l} = \{(x^{i}, y^{i}) \in (\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}) \mid i = 1, \ldots, l\}$ drawn i.i.d. from the distribution p(x, y) and compute the test error

$$R_{\mathcal{S}^{l}}(h) = \frac{1}{l} \sum_{i=1}^{l} \ell(y^{j}, h(x^{j}))$$

What is the minimal number of test examples l we need to collect in order to have a guarantee that the expected risk $R^{\ell}(h)$ is inside the interval $(R_{S^{l}}(h) - \varepsilon, R_{S^{l}}(h) + \varepsilon)$ with probability $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ for some predefined $\varepsilon > 0$?

a) Give a formula to compute l as a function of ε and γ for the 0/1-loss $\ell(y, y') = [\![y \neq y']\!]$. Evaluate l for $\varepsilon = 0.01$ and $\gamma \in \{0.90, 0.95, 99\}$.

b) Solve the problem a) in case that the loss is the mean absolute error, $\ell(y, y') = |y-y'|$. Evaluate l for $\varepsilon = 1$ and $\gamma \in \{0.90, 0.95, 99\}$.

c) How does the formulas depend on the particular loss function?

Assignment 3. We are given a set $\mathcal{H} = \{h_i \colon \mathcal{X} \to \{1, \ldots, 100\} \mid i = 1, \ldots, 1000\}$ containing 1000 strategies each predicting the human age $y \in \{1, \ldots, 100\}$ from a facial image $x \in \mathcal{X}$. The quality of a single strategy is measured by the expected absolute deviation between the predicted age and the true age

$$R^{\text{MAE}}(h) = \mathbb{E}_{(x,y) \sim p}(|y - h(x)|) ,$$

where the expectation is computed w.r.t. an unknown distribution p(x, y). The empirical estimate of $R^{\text{MAE}}(h)$ reads

$$R_{\mathcal{T}^m}(h) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m |y^j - h(x^j)|$$

where $\mathcal{T}^m = \{(x^i, y^i) \in (\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}) \mid i = 1, \dots, m\}$ is a set of examples drawn from i.i.d. random variables with the distribution p(x, y). Let $h_m \in \operatorname{Arg\,min}_{h \in \mathcal{H}} R_{\mathcal{T}^m}(h)$ be a strategy with the minimal empirical risk.

a) What is the minimal $\varepsilon > 0$ which allows you to claim that the expected risk $R^{MAE}(h_m)$ is in the interval $(R_{\mathcal{T}^m}(h_m) - \varepsilon, R_{\mathcal{T}^m}(h_m) + \varepsilon)$ with probability 95% at least ? b) What is the minimal number of the training examples m which guarantees that $R^{\text{MAE}}(h_m)$ is in the interval $(R_{\mathcal{T}^m}(h_m) - 1, R_{\mathcal{T}^m}(h_m) + 1)$ with probability 95% at least?

Assignment 4. Our task is to learn a prediction strategy $h: \mathcal{X} \to \{\text{male}, \text{female}\}$ estimating gender from a facial image $x \in \mathcal{X}$. We use our prior knowledge to design H different hypothesis spaces $\mathcal{H}_i \subset \mathcal{Y}^{\mathcal{X}}, i \in \{1, \ldots, H\}$. For example, each \mathcal{H}_i can correspond to Convolutional Neural Network with a different architecture. We randomly partition our i.i.d. drawn examples into three sets:

- $\mathcal{T}^m = \{(x^i, y^i) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \mid i = 1, ..., m\}$ training set with m examples $\mathcal{V}^v = \{(x^i, y^i) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \mid i = 1, ..., v\}$ validation set with v examples
- $S^l = \{(x^i, y^i) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \mid i = 1, ..., l\}$ test set with l examples

The prediction strategy is found in two-stage process. In the first stage we apply ERM and the training set \mathcal{T}^m to learn strategy from each individual hypothesis space:

$$h_m^i \in \underset{h \in \mathcal{H}_i}{\operatorname{Arg\,min}} R_{\mathcal{T}^m}(h), \qquad i \in \{1, \dots, H\}.$$

In the second stage, often called *model selection*, we apply the ERM and the validation set \mathcal{V}^{v} to select the best hypothesis out of those learned in the first stage:

$$h_{\mathbf{v}} \in \underset{i \in \{1, \dots, H\}}{\operatorname{Arg\,min}} R_{\mathcal{V}^{v}}(h_{m}^{i}).$$

The very last step involves usage of the test set S^l to evaluate the accuracy of the found hypothesis $h_{\rm v}$ by computing the test risk $R_{S^l}(h_{\rm v})$. In all cases the risks are computed using the 0/1-loss function $\ell(y, y') = [\![y \neq y']\!]$.

a) How would you chose the number of examples in the training, validation and the test set? *Hint: consider application of the solutions of Assignment 2 and 3.*

b) Assume that you applied the two-stage approach described above and evaluated the test risk of the found hypothesis. Let us consider three different results you could obtain:

	$R_{\mathcal{T}^m}(h_{\mathrm{v}})$	$R_{\mathcal{V}^v}(h_{\mathrm{v}})$	$R_{\mathcal{S}^l}(h_{\mathrm{v}})$
case 1	0.01%	14.2%	15.1%
case 2	3.6%	4.1%	12.3%
case 3	4.5%	4.8%	4.3%

What is the next reasonable step(s) you will take in order to improve the test accuracy? Consider each case separately. *Hint: your repertory of actions involves collecting new examples, changing the number of examples in trn/val/tst sets, using additional hypothesis space with higher/lower complexity etc.*

Assignment 5. Our goal is estimate the expected risk $R^{0/1}(h) = \mathbb{E}_{(x,y)\sim p}[\![y \neq h(x)]\!]$ of a given prediction strategy $h: \mathcal{X} \to \{+1, -1\}$. To this end, we have collected independently two sets of examples. The first set $\mathcal{S}^{l_+} = \{x^i \in \mathcal{X} \mid i = 1, \dots, l_+\}$ contains examples drawn i.i.d. from $p(x \mid y = +1)$, and the second set $\mathcal{S}^{l_-} = \{x^i \in \mathcal{X} \mid i = 1, \dots, l_-\}$ examples drawn i.i.d. from $p(x \mid y = -1)$. Assume that we known the prior probability p(y = +1). We estimate the value of $R^{0/1}(h)$ by computing

$$\hat{R}(h) = p(y = +1) \cdot \hat{R}_{FN}(h) + p(y = -1) \cdot \hat{R}_{FP}(h) , \qquad (1)$$

where

$$\hat{R}_{\rm FN}(h) = \frac{1}{l_+} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{S}^{l_+}} \llbracket h(x) = -1 \rrbracket \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{R}_{\rm FP}(h) = \frac{1}{l_+} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{S}^{l_-}} \llbracket h(x) = +1 \rrbracket$$

is the empirical estimate of the false negative and the false positive rate, respectively.

a) Explain in what sense is $\hat{R}(h)$ a reasonable estimate of $R^{0/1}(h)$.

b) Find the smallest $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $R^{0/1}(h)$ is inside the interval $(\hat{R}(h) - \varepsilon, \hat{R}(h) + \varepsilon)$ with probability γ at least.

c) Evaluate ε for $\gamma = 0.95$, p(y = +1) = 0.5, $l_+ = 1000$ and $l_- = 20000$.