Statistical Machine Learning (BE4M33SSU) Lecture 8: Deep Neural Networks

Jan Drchal

Czech Technical University in Prague Faculty of Electrical Engineering Department of Computer Science

Overview

Topics covered in the lecture:

- Deep Architectures
- Parameter initialization
- Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs)
- Transfer learning



Why Deep Architectures?



- Is it better to use deep architectures rather than the shallow ones for complex nonlinear mappings?
- We know that deep architectures evolved in Nature (e.g., cortex)
- Universal approximation theorem: one layer is enough so why to bother with more layers?
- Mhaskar et al: Learning Functions: When Is Deep Better Than Shallow, 2016:
 - deep neural networks can have exponentially less units than shallow networks for learning the same function
 - functions such as those realized by current deep convolutional neural networks are considered
- Handcrafted features vs. automatic extraction
- Gradually increasing complexity, intermediate representations: each successive layer brings higher abstraction

Features in Deep Neural Networks





Zeiler and Fergus: Visualizing and Understanding Convolutional Networks, 2013

Features in Deep Neural Networks





Zeiler and Fergus: Visualizing and Understanding Convolutional Networks, 2013

Features in Deep Neural Networks



Ð

m p

4/26

Zeiler and Fergus: Visualizing and Understanding Convolutional Networks, 2013

Parameter Initialization



- Is it a good idea to set all weights to zero?
- **No.** All neurons would behave the same: the same δ s are backpropagated. We need to *break the symmetry*
- Use small numbers, e.g., sample from a Gaussian distribution with zero mean:
 - works well for shallow networks,
 - for deep networks we might get into trouble

Gaussian Initialization Example

ullet MLP, ten anh layers, 500 units each. Each input fed with $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$

р

6/26

igstarrow Weights initialized to $\mathcal{N}(0,\sigma^2)$



Vanishing Gradient

+ For large σ (saturation) the anh derivative is almost zero

• For small σ (output close to zero):

- the derivative is at most 1,
- the weights are very small and $\frac{\partial z_j^{l+1}}{\partial z_i^l} = w_{ij}$ holds for the preceding linear layer
- In both cases: $\delta \rightarrow 0$ as the number of layers increases





Xavier Initialization

 Glorot and Bengio: Understanding the difficulty of training deep feedforward neural networks, 2010 8/26

• For the linear neuron $s = \sum_i w_i x_i$, let w_i and x_i be independent random variables, w_i and x_i are i.i.d., $E(x_i) = E(w_i) = 0$:

$$\operatorname{Var}(s) = \operatorname{Var}\left(\sum_{i} w_{i} x_{i}\right) = \sum_{i} \operatorname{Var}(w_{i} x_{i}) =$$

$$= \sum_{i} \mathbb{E}\left(\left[w_{i} x_{i} - \mathbb{E}(w_{i} x_{i})\right]^{2}\right) = \sum_{i} \mathbb{E}\left(\left[w_{i} x_{i} - \mathbb{E}(w_{i})\mathbb{E}(x_{i})\right]^{2}\right) =$$

$$= \sum_{i} \mathbb{E}(w_{i}^{2} x_{i}^{2}) = \sum_{i} \mathbb{E}(w_{i}^{2}) \mathbb{E}(x_{i}^{2}) =$$

$$= \sum_{i} \mathbb{E}(\left[w_{i} - \mathbb{E}(w_{i})\right]^{2}) \mathbb{E}(\left[x_{i} - \mathbb{E}(x_{i})\right]^{2}) =$$

$$= \sum_{i} \operatorname{Var}(x_{i}) \operatorname{Var}(w_{i}) = n_{in} \operatorname{Var}(x) \operatorname{Var}(w)$$

Xavier Initialization (contd.)

- We have $Var(s) = n_{in}Var(x)Var(w)$
- We want Var(s) = Var(x)
- Choose $\operatorname{Var}(w) = \frac{1}{n_{\text{in}}}$
- igstarrow Works well for anh as it is linear near zero
- Do not forget to standardize ANN input data



tanh



Processing Images

- Input: grayscale image 32×32 pixels
- Output: layer of 32×32 features
- How many parameters do we need when input and output is fully connected?



р

Processing Images

- Input: grayscale image 32×32 pixels
- Output: layer of 32×32 features
- How many parameters do we need when input and output is fully connected?

 $\underset{\rm outputs}{32^2}\times(\underset{\rm inputs}{32^2}+\underset{\rm biases}{1})\approx 1{\rm M}$



р

Locally Connected Layer

- **(2)** m p 11/26
- Motivation: topographical mapping in the visual cortex nearby cells process nearby regions in the visual field
- Each neuron has a **receptive field** of 3×3 pixels
- It is fully connected only to the corresponding set of 9 inputs
- How many parameters do we need now?



Locally Connected Layer

- Motivation: topographical mapping in the visual cortex nearby cells process nearby regions in the visual field
- Each neuron has a **receptive field** of 3×3 pixels
- It is fully connected only to the corresponding set of 9 inputs
- How many parameters do we need now?

$$\underset{\text{outputs}}{30^2}\times(\underset{\text{inputs}}{3^2}+\underset{\text{bias}}{1})=9\text{k}$$



р

Multiple Input Channels

- We can have more input channels, e.g., colors
- ullet Now the input is defined by width, height and depth: 32 imes32 imes3
- The number of parameters is $30^2_{\text{outputs}} \times (3 \times 3^2_{\text{inputs}} + 1) \approx 25 \text{k}$



m p

Sharing Parameters

- We can further reduce the number of parameters by sharing weights
- Use the same set of weights and bias for all outputs, define a *filter*
- The number of parameters drops to $3 \times 3^2 + 1_{\text{bias}} = 28$
- Translation equivariance







Multiple Output Channels

- Extract multiple different of features
- Use multiple *filters* to get more *feature maps*
- For 4 filters we have $4_{\text{filters}} \times (3 \times 3^2 + 1_{\text{bias}}) = 112$ parameters
- This is the convolutional layer
- Processes volume into volume





Convolution Applied to an Image





https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kernel_(image_processing)

Convolution in 2D: Forward Message





$$z_{kld} = f_{kld}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{b}) = b_d + \sum_{i=1}^{F} \sum_{j=1}^{F} \sum_{c=1}^{C} x_{k+i-1, l+j-1, c} w_{ijcd}$$

Stride

р

17/26

• Stride hyper parameter, typically $S \in \{1, 2\}$

Higher stride produces smaller output volumes spatially



Stride

р

17/26

• Stride hyper parameter, typically $S \in \{1, 2\}$

Higher stride produces smaller output volumes spatially



Zero Padding

Convolutional layer reduces the spatial size of the output w.r.t. the input

р

18/26

- For many layers this might be a problem
- This is often fixed by zero padding the input

 \bullet The size of the zero padding is denoted P



Convolutional Layer Summary

- Input volume: $W_{input} \times H_{input} \times C$
- Output volume: $W_{\text{output}} \times H_{\text{output}} \times D$
- Having *D* filters:
 - $\bullet\,$ receptive field of $F\times F$ units,
 - $\bullet\,$ stride S
 - $\bullet\,$ zero padding P

$$W_{\text{output}} = (W_{\text{input}} - F + 2P)/S + 1$$
$$H_{\text{output}} = (H_{\text{input}} - F + 2P)/S + 1$$

• Needs F^2CD weights and D biases

• The number of activations and δs to store: $W_{\text{output}} \times H_{\text{output}} \times D$



Convolutional Layer: Nonlinearities



- In most cases a nonlinearity (sigmoid, tanh, ReLU) is applied to the outputs of the convolutional layer
- Example: ReLU units



Rob Fergus: MLSS 2015 Summer School

Max Pooling

- Reduces spatial resolution \rightarrow less parameters \rightarrow helps with overfitting
- Introduces translation invariance and invariance to small rotations
- Depth is not affected





Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs)



http://cs231n.github.io/convolutional-networks/



VGGNet 2014

- Simonyan, Zisserman: Very Deep Convolutional Networks for Large-Scale Image Recognition, 2014
- Lowering filter spatial resolution (F = 3, S = 1, P = 1), increasing depth

р

- A sequence of 3×3 filters can emulate a single large one
- Top five error 7.3%, 6.8% for an ensemble of 2 CNNs



Convolutional vs. Fully-Connected Layers



- Convolutional layer can be simply transformed to a Fully-connected layer \rightarrow sparse weight matrix
- The other direction is also possible:
 FC layer of D units following a F × F × C convolutional layer can be replaced by a 1 × 1 × D convolutional layer using F × F filters (P = 0, S = 1)
- In both cases you do not have to recompute the weights, you just rearrange them

Fully-Connected Layer to Convolutional Example



m p

Fully-Connected Layer to Convolutional Example



m p

Fully-Connected Layer to Convolutional Example



m p

- Idea: use an existing model as a base to solve a *similar problem*
- Often used when not enough data available to solve the target problem directly
- Example: reuse an ImageNet network for object localization







- Idea: use an existing model as a base to solve a *similar problem*
- Often used when not enough data available to solve the target problem directly
- Example: reuse an ImageNet network for object localization







- Idea: use an existing model as a base to solve a *similar problem*
- Often used when not enough data available to solve the target problem directly
- Example: reuse an ImageNet network for object localization









- Idea: use an existing model as a base to solve a *similar problem*
- Often used when not enough data available to solve the target problem directly
- Example: reuse an ImageNet network for object localization

You can:

- cut the original network at various layers,
- fix or not the weights of the original network or use different *learning rates*
- use different type of model instead of the output layers, e.g., linear SVM


















































Sharpen	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 5 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	
Box blur (normalized)	$\frac{1}{9} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 &$	
Gaussian blur (approximation)	$\frac{1}{16} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 4 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$	























P = 1, S = 1													
0	0	0	0	0	0								
0					0								
0					0								
0					0								
0					0								
0	0	0	0	0	0								



Input feature map



Output feature map



F = 2, S = 2											
2	2	0	4	3	4						
0	0	5	0	4	1						
4	5	2	5	1	4						
5	2	1	0	2	1						
2	3	3	3	5	3						
0	3	0	4	0	1						



2	5	4
5	5	4
3	4	5



	input	conv3-64	conv3-64	MP	conv3-128	conv3-128	MP	conv3-256	conv3-256	conv3-256	MP	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	MP	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	MP	FC - 4096	FC - 4096	FC – 1000	softmax
parameters		1.7k	37k		74k	147k		295k	590k	590k		1.2M	2.4M	2.4M		2.4M	2.4M	2.4M		103M	16.7M	4M	
activations	150k	3.2M	3.2M	800k	1.6M	1.6M	400k	800k	800k	800k	200k	400k	400k	400k	100k	100k	100k	100k	25k	4096	4096	1000	1000
	224 x 224 x 3	224 x 224 x 64		112 × 112 × 64	112 x 112 x 128		56 x 56 x 128	56 x 56 x 256			28 x 28 x 256	28 x 28 x 512			14 x 14 512	14 x 14 x 512			7 x 7 x 512	1 x x1 x 4096	1 x 1 x 4096	1 x 1 x 1000	
















