We process nodes of DAG in their topological order. Denote by d[x] length of the path which ends in x and its length is maximal.

Charakteristic DP view "from the end to the beginning":
-- d[x] is set when values of d are known for all previous (= already processed) nodes in the topological order.
-- d[x] is the maximum of values

{d[y1] + w1, d[y2] + w2, ..., d[yk] + wk},
where (y1, x), (y2, x), ... are all edges ending in x and w1, w2, ..., are their respective weights.



-- d[x]is the maximum of values {d[y1] + w1, d[y2] + w2, ..., d[yk] + wk}, where (y1, x), (y2, x), ... are all edges ending in x and w1, w2, ..., are their respective weights.

- -- If all values {d[y1] + w1, d[y2] + w2, ..., d[yk] + wk} are negative then none of them contributes to the longest path and the value of d[x] is reset: d[x] = 0.
- -- The node yj, for which the value d[yj] + wj is maximal and non-negative, is set as a predecessor of x on the longest path.









28



29











### Variant I

```
2. for each node x in V(G) {
   for each edge e = (y, x) in E(G)
    if (x. dist < y.dist + e.weight) {
        x. dist = y.dist + e.weight
        x.pred = y;
   }</pre>
```

```
if (x. dist < 0) x.dist = 0;
```

# Variant II

2. for each node x in V(G) { if (x. dist < 0) x.dist = 0; for each edge e = (x, y) in E(G) if (y. dist < x.dist + e.weight) { y. dist = x.dist + e.weight y.pred = x;



#### Warning

Algorithms presented in the literature and on the web often solve the maximum path in DAG problem only for non-negative edge weights and do not mention explicitly this limitation. Those algorithms cannot handle DAG containing negative weight edges.

Incorrect result produced by algorithm expecting only non-engative edge weights



Actual maximum path is 3 -- 5 -- 7 which weight is 4. Algorithm limited to non-negative weights finds only suboptimal path 1 -- 2 -- 4 -- 6 which weight is 2.

Problem of reconstucting optimal paths -- the number of paths can be too high.



Each path from the root to the leaf is optimal, its weight is  $N \cdot (a+b)$ .

Number of all paths is Comb(2N, N), it holds that  $2^{N} < Comb(2N, N) < 4^{N}$ .

The numbert of optimal paths thus grows exponentially with the value of N.

Ν	# of optimal paths
1	2
10	184756
20	137846528820
30	118264581564861424
40	107507208733336176461620