

Genetic Programming

Jiří Kubalík
Department of Cybernetics, CTU Prague



<http://cw.felk.cvut.cz/doku.php/courses/a0m33eoa/start>

GP: Constant Creation

In many problems exact real-valued constants are required to be present in the correct solution (evolved program tree) \implies GP must have the ability to create arbitrary real-valued constant.

Ephemeral random constant \mathfrak{R} – a special terminal.

- Initialization – whenever the ephemeral random constant \mathfrak{R} is chosen for any endpoint of the tree during the creation of the initial population, a random number of a specified data type in a specified range is generated and attached to the tree at that point.

Each occurrence of this terminal symbol invokes a generation of a unique value.

- Once these values are generated and inserted into initial program trees, these constants remain fixed.
- The numerous different random constants will become embedded in various subtrees in evolving trees.

Other constants can be further evolved by crossing the existing subtrees, such a process being driven by the goal of achieving the highest fitness.

The pressure of fitness function determines both the directions and the magnitudes of the adjustments in numerical constants.

Probabilistic Tree-Creation Method PTC1: Proof of p

- From

$$E_{tree} = \frac{1}{1 - pb}$$

we get

$$p = \frac{1 - \frac{1}{E_{tree}}}{b}$$

GP: Crossover Operators

Standard crossover operators used in GP, like standard 1-point crossover, are designed to ensure just the syntactic closure property.

- On the one hand, they produce syntactically valid children from syntactically valid parents.
- On the other hand, the only semantic guidance of the search is from the fitness measured by the difference of behavior of evolving programs and the target programs.

This is very different from real programmers' practice where any change to a program should pay heavy attention to the change in semantics of the program.

To remedy this deficiency in GP genetic operators making use of the semantic information has been introduced:

- **Semantically Driven Crossover (SDC)**

[Beadle08] Beadle, L., Johnson, C.G.: Semantically Driven Crossover in Genetic Programming, 2008.

- **Semantic Aware Crossover (SAC)**

[Nguyen09] Nguyen, Q.U. et al.: Semantic Aware Crossover for Genetic Programming: The Case for Real-Valued Function Regression, 2009.

Even-3-Parity Function: Blind Search vs. Simple GP

Experimental setup:

- Function set: $F = \{\text{AND, OR, NAND, NOR}\}$
- The number of internal nodes fixed to 20.
- Blind search – randomly samples 10,000,000 trees
- GP without ADFs
 - Population size $M = 50$.
 - Number of generations $G = 25$.
 - A run is terminated as soon as it produces a correct solution.
 - Total number of trees generated 10,000,000.

Observed GP Performance Parameters

Performance parameters:

- $P(M, i)$ – cumulative probability of success for all the generations between generation 0 and i , where M is the population size.
- $I(M, i, z)$ – number of individuals that need to be processed in order to yield a solution with probability z (here $z = 99\%$).

For the desired probability z of finding a solution by generation i at least once in R runs the following holds

$$z = 1 - [1 - P(M, i)]^R.$$

Thus, the number $R(z)$ of independent runs required to satisfy the success predicate by generation i with probability $z = 1 - \varepsilon$ is

$$R(z) = \left\lceil \frac{\log \varepsilon}{\log(1 - P(M, i))} \right\rceil.$$

Reading

- Poli, R., Langdon, W., McPhee, N.F.: *A Field Guide to Genetic Programming*, 2008, <http://www.gp-field-guide.org.uk/>
- Koza, J.: *Genetic Programming: On the Programming of Computers by Means of Natural Selection*, MIT Press, 1992.

