

# TRIANGULATIONS

#### PETR FELKEL

FEL CTU PRAGUE felkel@fel.cvut.cz http://service.felk.cvut.cz/courses/X36VGE

Based on [Berg] and [Mount]

Version from 23.11.2011

# **Talk overview**

- Polygon triangulation
  - Monotone polygon triangulation
  - Monotonization of non-monotone polygon

- Relation of DT in 2D and lower envelope (CH) in 3D


Felkel: Computational geometry

relation of VD in 2D to upper envelope in 3D

- Delaunay triangulation (DT)
  - Input: set of 2D points
  - Properties

and

Incremental Algorithm



# **Polygon triangulation problem**


- Triangulation (in general)
  - = subdividing a spatial domain into simplices
- Application
  - decomposition of complex shapes into simpler shapes
  - art gallery problem (how many cameras and where)
- We will discuss
  - a simple polygon triangulation
  - without demand on triangle shapes
- Complexity of polygon triangulation
  - O(n) alg. exists [Chazelle91], but it is too complicated

Felkel: Computational geometr


= practical algorithms run in O(*n* log *n*)

## Simple polygon


- = region enclosed by a closed polygonal chain that does not intersect itself
- Visible points
- = two points on the boundary are visible if the interior of the line segment joining them lies entirely in the interior of the polygon
- Diagonal
- = line segment joining any pair of visible vertices




- A polygonal chain C is strictly monotone with respect to line L, if any line orthogonal to L intersects C in at most one *point*
- A chain C is monotone with respect to line L, if any line orthogonal to L intersects C in at most one connected component (point, line segment,...)
- Polygon P is monotone with respect to line L, if its boundary (bnd(P), ∂P) can be split into two chains, each of which is monotone with respect to L



- Horizontally monotone polygon
  - = monotone with respect to x-axis
    - Can be tested in O(n)
    - Find leftmost and rightmost point in O(n)
    - Split boundary to upper and lower chain
    - Walk left to right, verifying that x-coord are nondecreasing




- Every simple polygon can be triangulated
- Simple polygon with n vertices consists of
  - exactly n-2 triangles
  - exactly n-3 diagonals
  - Each diagonal is added once
     > O(n) sweep line algorithm exist



# Simple polygon triangulation


- Simple polygon can be triangulated in 2 steps:
  - 1. Partition the polygon into x-monotone pieces
  - 2. Triangulate all monotone pieces

(we will discuss the steps in the reversed order)




# 2. Triangulation of the monotone polygon

- Sweep left to right
- Triangulate everything you can by adding diagonals between visible points
- Remove triangulated region from further consideration - DONE



#### Triangulation of the monotone polygon



# **Main invariant**

#### Main invariant

- Let v<sub>i</sub> be the vertex being just processed
- The untriangulated region left of v<sub>i</sub> consists of two x-monotone chains (upper and lower)
- Each chain has at least one edge
- If it has more than one edge
  - these edges form a reflex chain
  - = sequence of vertices with interior angle  $\geq 180^{\circ}$
- Left vertex of the last added diagonal is u
- Vertices between u and v<sub>i</sub> are waiting in the stack


Felkel: Computational geometry

[Mour

Initial invarian

### **Triangulation cases**


- Case 1: v<sub>i</sub> lies on the opposite chain
  - Add diagonals from next(u) to v<sub>i-1</sub>
  - Set  $u = v_{i-1}$ . Last diagonal (invariant) is  $v_i v_{i-1}$
- Case 2: v is on the same chain as v<sub>i-1</sub>



#### **1. Polygon subdivision into monotone pieces**

 X-monotonicity breaks the polygon in vertices with edges directed both left or both right






### **Data structures for subdivision**

#### Events

- Endpoints of edges, known from the beginning
- Can be stored in sorted list no priority queue
- Sweep status
  - List of edges intersecting sweep line (top to bottom)
  - Stored in O(log n) time dictionary (like balanced tree)

#### Event processing

 Six event types based on local structure of edges around vertex v




# **Helper – definition**

 $helper(e_a)$ 


= the rightmost vertically visible processed vertex below edge  $e_a$  on polygonal chain between  $e_a \& e_b$ 

• visible to every point along the sweep line between  $e_a \& e_b$ 



# Helper

#### helper(e<sub>a</sub>) is defined only for edges intersected by the sweep line



# Six event types of vertex v

- Split vertex
  - Find edge *e* above *v*,
     connect *e* with helper(e) by diagonal
- Polygon interior is white Previous helper h(e)

е

- Add 2 new edges incident to v into SL status
- Set new helper(e) = helper(lower edge of these two) = v
- Merge vertex
  - Find two edges incident with v in SL status
  - Delete both from SL status
  - Let e is edge immediately above v
  - Make helper(e) = v


(Interior angle >180° for both – split & merge vertices)


Felkel: Computational geometry

# Six event types of vertex v

#### Start vertex

- Both incident edges lie right from v
- But interior angle <180°</li>
- Insert both edges to SL status
- Set helper(upper edge) = v
- End vertex






# Six event types of vertex v


- Upper chain-vertex
  - one side is to the left, one side to the right, interior is below
  - replace the left edge with the right edge in SL status
  - Make v helper of the new (upper) edge
- Lower chain-vertex
  - one side is to the left, one side to the right, interior is above
  - replace the left edge with the right edge in SL status

Felkel: Computational geometr

- Make v helper of the edge e above









# **Polygon subdivision complexity**

- Simple polygon with *n* vertices can be partitioned into x-monotone polygons in
  - $O(n \log n)$  time and
  - O(n) storage




### **Dual graph G for a Voronoi diagram**



**Delaunay graph DG(P)** 

[Boris Nikolajevič Delone]



# **Delaunay graph and Delaunay triangulation**

- Delaunay graph DG(P) has convex polygonal faces (with number of vertices ≥3, equal to the degree of Voronoi vertex)
- Delaunay triangulation DT(P)
  - = Delaunay graph for sites in general position
    - No four sites on a circle
    - Faces are triangles (Voronoi vertices have degree = 3)

[Berg]

DT is unique (DG not!)

DG(P) sites not in general position


Triangulate larger faces – such triangulation is not
 unique –

Felkel: Computational geometry

# Delaunay triangulation properties 1/2


Circumcircle property

- The circumcircle of any triangle in DT is empty (no sites) Proof: It's center is the Voronoi vertex
- Three points *a,b,c* are vertices of thesame face of DG(P) iff circle through *a,b,c* contains no point of P in its interior
- Empty circle property and legal edge
- Two points *a,b* form an edge of DG(P) it is a legal edge iff ' closed disc with *a,b* on its boundary that contains no other point of P in its interior ... disc minimal diameter = dist(a,b)
- Closest pair property
- The closest pair of points in P are neighbors in DT(P)



# **Delaunay triangulation properties**

- DT edges do not intersect
- Triangulation T is legal, iff T is a Delaunay triangulation (i.e., if it does not contain illegal edges)
- Edge that was legal before may become illegal if one of the triangles incident to it changes
- In convex quadrilateral abcd (abcd do not lie on common circle)
   exactly one of ac, bd is an illegal edge
   = principle of edge flip operation




2/2

# **Edge flip operation**

Edge flip

#### = a local operation, that increases the angle vector

 Given two adjacent triangles *abc* and *cda* such that their union forms a convex quadrilateral, the edge flip operation replaces the diagonal *ac* with *bd*.




### **Delaunay triangulation**

- Let *T* be a triangulation with *m* triangles (and 3*m* angles)
- Angle-vector
  - = increasing ordered sequence  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n)$  of 3m angles of triangles (non-decreasing)
- Delaunay triangulation has the lexicographically largest angle sequence

<ul> <li>It maximizes the minimal angle.</li> </ul>																																		
																			÷	+														
<ul> <li>It maximizes all angles</li> </ul>																÷	+	+	+	+														
<ul> <li>It is an angle optimal triangulation</li> </ul>															+	+	+	+	+	+														
	IL	13	aı		ΠÇ	JIC	; 0	μ		a	u	a	iy	u	au	U	Ť						÷	Ŧ	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
																			+			+	÷	+	+	÷.	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+
																-15	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
												÷		÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
÷.,	Ť							+	+		+	+	+	+	+	t	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ 1	+
$\overrightarrow{+}$	+				+	Ŧ	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		3	Ľ	X	+
				+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	Fel	kel:	Corr	nputa	ation	al ge	eom	etry	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	$\ $	Ŕ	Ž	¥ 7	+
÷			7	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	(27	/ 61)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	/	R	16	كر	+
	_	– It – It	– It ma – It ma	<ul><li>It max</li><li>It max</li></ul>	<ul><li>It maxim</li><li>It maxim</li></ul>	<ul> <li>It maximize</li> <li>It maximize</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>It maximizes</li> <li>It maximizes</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>It maximizes th</li> <li>It maximizes a</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>It maximizes the</li> <li>It maximizes all a</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>It maximizes the set</li> <li>It maximizes all and</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>It maximizes the second control in the second control in</li></ul>	<ul> <li>It maximizes the secon</li> <li>It maximizes all angles</li> </ul>	<ul><li>It maximizes the second</li><li>It maximizes all angles</li></ul>	- It maximizes the second mid- It maximizes all angles - It is an angle optimal triang	- It maximizes the second mini - It maximizes all angles - It is an angle optimal triangul	- It maximizes the second minima - It maximizes all angles - It is an angle optimal triangulati $\vec{z} \neq \vec{z} + \vec{z}$	- It maximizes all angles - It is an angle optimal triangulation $\vec{z} \neq \vec{z} \neq +$	- It maximizes the second minimal ar - It maximizes all angles - It is an angle optimal triangulation $\vec{z} \neq \vec{z} + $	- It maximizes the second minimal angle - It maximizes all angles - It is an angle optimal triangulation $\vec{z} \neq \vec{z} + \vec{z}$	- It maximizes the second minimal angle, - It maximizes all angles - It is an angle optimal triangulation $\vec{z} \neq \vec{z} + z$	<ul> <li>It maximizes the second minimal angle,</li> <li>It maximizes all angles</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>It maximizes the second minimal angle,</li> <li>It maximizes all angles</li> <li>It is an angle optimal triangulation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>It maximizes the second minimal angle,</li> <li>It maximizes all angles</li> <li>It is an angle optimal triangulation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>It maximizes the second minimal angle,</li> <li>It maximizes all angles</li> <li>It is an angle optimal triangulation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>It maximizes the second minimal angle,</li> <li>It maximizes all angles</li> <li>It is an angle optimal triangulation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>It maximizes the second minimal angle,</li> <li>It maximizes all angles</li> <li>It is an angle optimal triangulation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>It maximizes the second minimal angle,</li> <li>It maximizes all angles</li> <li>It is an angle optimal triangulation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>It maximizes the second minimal angle,</li> <li>It maximizes all angles</li> <li>It is an angle optimal triangulation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>It maximizes the second minimal angle,</li> <li>It maximizes all angles</li> <li>It is an angle optimal triangulation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>It maximizes the second minimal angle,</li> <li>It maximizes all angles</li> <li>It is an angle optimal triangulation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>It maximizes the second minimal angle,</li> <li>It maximizes all angles</li> <li>It is an angle optimal triangulation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>It maximizes the second minimal angle,</li> <li>It maximizes all angles</li> <li>It is an angle optimal triangulation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>It maximizes the second minimal angle,</li> <li>It maximizes all angles</li> <li>It is an angle optimal triangulation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>It maximizes the second minimal angle,</li> <li>It maximizes all angles</li> <li>It is an angle optimal triangulation</li> </ul>

## Illegal edge flip and angle vector

The minimum angle increases after the edge flip




# Incremental algorithm principle


- Create a large triangle containing all points (to avoid problems with unbounded cells)
  - must be larger than the largest circle through 3 points
  - will be discarded at the end
- 2. Insert the points in random order
  - Find triangle with inserted point p
  - Add edges to its vertices
     (these new edges are correct)
  - Check correctness of the old edges (triangles)
     "around p" and legalize (flip) potentially illegal edges



### **Incremental algorithm in detail**



# **Incremental algorithm – insertion of a point**



# **Incremental algorithm – edge legalization**

LegalizeEdge( p, ab, T )


*Input:* Edge *ab* being checked after insertion of point p to triangulation T *Output:* Delaunay triangulation of p + T

- 1. if( ab is edge on the exterior face ) return
- 2. let *d* be the vertex to the right of edge *ab*
- 3. if( inCircle( *p*, *a*, *b*, *d* ) ) // *d* is in the circle around *pab* => *d* is illegal
- 4. Flip edge *ab* for *pd*
- 5. LegalizeEdge( *p*, *ad*, *T* )
- 6. LegalizeEdge( *p*, *db*, *T* )

If insertion of *p* may make edge *ab* illegal (circle around *pab* will contain point *d* ) After edge flip, the edge *pd* will be legal (the circumcircles of the resulting triangles *pdb, pad* will bee empty)

I must check and possibly flip edges ad, db


Inserted point p




## **Correctness of edge flip of illegal edge**

- Point p is in C (it violated DT criteria for adb)
- adb was a triangle of DT => C was an empty circle
- Circle C'ð C => C' is also an empty circle


=> New edge *pd* is a Delaunay edge




#### **Delaunay triangulation - point insert**





#### **Delaunay triangulation – other point insert**





#### **Delaunay triangulation – other point insert**






















# **Correctness of the algorithm**


- Every new edge (created due to insertion of p)
  - is incident to p
  - must be legal
     no need to test them
- Edge can only become illegal if one of its incident triangle changes
  - Algorithm tests any edge that may become illegal
     the algorithm is correct
- Every edge flip makes the angle-vector larger
   => algorithm can never get into infinite loop





- For finding a triangle abc μ T containing p
  - Leaves for triangles
  - Internal nodes for destroyed triangles
  - Links to new triangles
- Search p: start in root (initial triangle)















# **InCircle test**

- *a,b,c* are counterclockwise in the plane
- Test, if *d* lies to the left of the oriented circle through *a,b,c*



# **Creation of the initial triangle**

- For given points set P
- Initial triangle  $p_{-2}p_{-1}p_0$ 
  - Must contain all points of P
  - Must not be (none of its points) in any circle defined by non-collinear points of P
- *I*<sub>-2</sub> = horizontal line above *P*
- $I_{-1}$  = horizontal line below P
- $p_{-2}$  = lies on  $I_{-2}$  as far left that  $p_{-2}$  lies outside every circle
- p<sub>-1</sub> = lies on I<sub>-1</sub> as far right that p<sub>-1</sub> lies outside every circle defined by 3 non-collinear points of P

 $p_0$ 


[Mount]

 $p_{-1}$ 




# **Complexity of incremental DT algorithm**

- Delaunay triangulation of a set P in the plane can be computed in
  - O(n log n) expected time
  - using O(n) storage
- For details see [Berg, Section 9.4]



# **Delaunay triangulations and Convex hulls**

- Delaunay triangulation in R<sup>d</sup> can be computed as convex hull in R<sup>d+1</sup>
- 2D: Connection is the paraboloid:  $z = x^2 + y^2$




# Vertical projection of points to paraboloid

Vertical projection of 2D point to paraboloid


$$(x, y) \rightarrow (x, y, x^2 + y^2)$$

• Lower convex hull = portion of CH visible from  $z = -\infty$ 




## **Relation between CH and DT**


- Delaunay condition
   Points p,q,r µ S form a Delaunay triangle iff the circumcircle of p,q,r is empty (contains no point)
- Convex hull condition
   Points p',q',r' µ S' form a face of CH(S') iff the plane passing through p',q',r' is supporting S' (all other points lie to one side of the plane)



## **Relation between CH and DT**



- 4 distinct points p,q,r,s in the plane, and let p', q', r', s' be their respective projections onto the paraboloid, z = x<sup>2</sup> + y<sup>2</sup>
- The point s lies within the circumcircle of pqr iff s' lies on the lower side of the plane passing through p', q', r'.



# **Plane intersection with paraboloid**

- Non-vertical tangent plane through  $(a, b, a^2 + b^2)$
- Derivation at this point  $\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = 2x, \quad \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} = 2y$  $z = x^2 + y^2$ • Evaluates to 2a and 2bPlane:  $z = 2ax + 2by + \gamma$   $\gamma = -(a^2 + b^2)$  $a^2 + b^2 = 2a \cdot a + 2b \cdot b + \gamma'$ Shift upwards  $z = 2ax + 2by - (a^2 + b^2)$  & eliminate z  $x^{2} + y^{2} = 2ax + 2by - (a^{2} + b^{2}) + r^{2} + r^{2}$ **Circle:**  $(x-a)^2 + (y-b)^2 = r^2$ Felkel: Computational geometry


## **Test inCircle revisited**

- Points *p*,*q*,*r* are counterclockwise in the plane
- Test, if *s* lies in the circumcircle of *pqr* is equal to
  - = test, weather s' lies within a lower half plane passing through p',q',r' (3D)
  - = test, if quadruple p',q',r',s' is positively oriented (3D)
  - = test, if *s lies* to the left of the oriented circle through *abc* (2D)


$$(2D) = \det \begin{pmatrix} p_x & p_y & p_x^2 + p_y^2 & 1 \\ q_x & q_y & q_x^2 + q_y^2 & 1 \\ r_x & r_y & r_x^2 + r_y^2 & 1 \\ s_x & s_y & s_x^2 + s_y^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} > 0.$$

# Voronoi diagram as upper envelope in R<sup>d+1</sup>

- VD in plane computed as intersection of halfplanes
   H<sup>+</sup>(p<sub>i</sub>) tangent to paraboloid in projection of p = [a,b]
- = upper envelope of halfplanes H<sup>+</sup>(p<sub>i</sub>)



# Voronoi diagram as upper envelope in R<sup>d+1</sup>



# **Derivation of projected Voronoi edge**

Felkel: Computational geometry

(61 / 61)

## References

- [Berg] Mark de Berg, Otfried Cheong, Marc van Kreveld, Mark Overmars: Computational Geometry: Algorithms and Applications, Springer-Verlag, 3rd rev. ed. 2008. 386 pages, 370 fig. ISBN: 978-3-540-77973-5, Chapters 3 and 9, http://www.cs.uu.nl/geobook/
- [Mount] David Mount, CMSC 754: Computational Geometry, Lecture Notes for Spring 2007, University of Maryland, Lectures 7,22, 13,14, and 30.

http://www.cs.umd.edu/class/spring2007/cmsc754/lectures.shtml

- [Rourke] Joseph O'Rourke: .: Computational Geometry in C, Cambridge University Press, 1993, ISBN 0-521- 44592-2 <u>http://maven.smith.edu/~orourke/books/compgeom.html</u>
- [Fukuda] Komei Fukuda: Frequently Asked Questions in Polyhedral Computation. Version June 18, 2004

http://www.ifor.math.ethz.ch/~fukuda/polyfaq/polyfaq.html + +

