

TRIANGULATIONS

PETR FELKEL

FEL CTU PRAGUE felkel@fel.cvut.cz http://service.felk.cvut.cz/courses/X36VGE

Based on [Berg] and [Mount]

Version from 23.11.2011

Talk overview

- Polygon triangulation
 - Monotone polygon triangulation
 - Monotonization of non-monotone polygon

- Relation of DT in 2D and lower envelope (CH) in 3D


Felkel: Computational geometry

relation of VD in 2D to upper envelope in 3D

- Delaunay triangulation (DT)
 - Input: set of 2D points
 - Properties

and

Incremental Algorithm



Polygon triangulation problem


- Triangulation (in general)
 - = subdividing a spatial domain into simplices
- Application
 - decomposition of complex shapes into simpler shapes
 - art gallery problem (how many cameras and where)
- We will discuss
 - a simple polygon triangulation
 - without demand on triangle shapes
- Complexity of polygon triangulation
 - O(n) alg. exists [Chazelle91], but it is too complicated

Felkel: Computational geometr


= practical algorithms run in O(*n* log *n*)

Simple polygon


- = region enclosed by a closed polygonal chain that does not intersect itself
- Visible points
- = two points on the boundary are visible if the interior of the line segment joining them lies entirely in the interior of the polygon
- Diagonal
- = line segment joining any pair of visible vertices




- A polygonal chain C is strictly monotone with respect to line L, if any line orthogonal to L intersects C in at most one *point*
- A chain C is monotone with respect to line L, if any line orthogonal to L intersects C in at most one connected component (point, line segment,...)
- Polygon P is monotone with respect to line L, if its boundary (bnd(P), ∂P) can be split into two chains, each of which is monotone with respect to L



- Horizontally monotone polygon
 - = monotone with respect to x-axis
 - Can be tested in O(n)
 - Find leftmost and rightmost point in O(n)
 - Split boundary to upper and lower chain
 - Walk left to right, verifying that x-coord are nondecreasing




- Every simple polygon can be triangulated
- Simple polygon with n vertices consists of
 - exactly n-2 triangles
 - exactly n-3 diagonals
 - Each diagonal is added once
 > O(n) sweep line algorithm exist



Simple polygon triangulation


- Simple polygon can be triangulated in 2 steps:
 - 1. Partition the polygon into x-monotone pieces
 - 2. Triangulate all monotone pieces

(we will discuss the steps in the reversed order)




2. Triangulation of the monotone polygon

- Sweep left to right
- Triangulate everything you can by adding diagonals between visible points
- Remove triangulated region from further consideration - DONE



Triangulation of the monotone polygon



Main invariant

Main invariant

- Let v_i be the vertex being just processed
- The untriangulated region left of v_i consists of two x-monotone chains (upper and lower)
- Each chain has at least one edge
- If it has more than one edge
 - these edges form a reflex chain
 - = sequence of vertices with interior angle $\geq 180^{\circ}$
- Left vertex of the last added diagonal is u
- Vertices between u and v_i are waiting in the stack


Felkel: Computational geometry

[Mour

Initial invarian

Triangulation cases


- Case 1: v_i lies on the opposite chain
 - Add diagonals from next(u) to v_{i-1}
 - Set $u = v_{i-1}$. Last diagonal (invariant) is $v_i v_{i-1}$
- Case 2: v is on the same chain as v_{i-1}



1. Polygon subdivision into monotone pieces

 X-monotonicity breaks the polygon in vertices with edges directed both left or both right






Data structures for subdivision

Events

- Endpoints of edges, known from the beginning
- Can be stored in sorted list no priority queue
- Sweep status
 - List of edges intersecting sweep line (top to bottom)
 - Stored in O(log n) time dictionary (like balanced tree)

Event processing

 Six event types based on local structure of edges around vertex v




Helper – definition

 $helper(e_a)$


= the rightmost vertically visible processed vertex below edge e_a on polygonal chain between $e_a \& e_b$

• visible to every point along the sweep line between $e_a \& e_b$



Helper

helper(e_a) is defined only for edges intersected by the sweep line



Six event types of vertex v

- Split vertex
 - Find edge *e* above *v*,
 connect *e* with helper(e) by diagonal
- Polygon interior is white Previous helper h(e)

е

- Add 2 new edges incident to v into SL status
- Set new helper(e) = helper(lower edge of these two) = v
- Merge vertex
 - Find two edges incident with v in SL status
 - Delete both from SL status
 - Let e is edge immediately above v
 - Make helper(e) = v


(Interior angle >180° for both – split & merge vertices)


Felkel: Computational geometry

Six event types of vertex v

Start vertex

- Both incident edges lie right from v
- But interior angle <180°
- Insert both edges to SL status
- Set helper(upper edge) = v
- End vertex






Six event types of vertex v


- Upper chain-vertex
 - one side is to the left, one side to the right, interior is below
 - replace the left edge with the right edge in SL status
 - Make v helper of the new (upper) edge
- Lower chain-vertex
 - one side is to the left, one side to the right, interior is above
 - replace the left edge with the right edge in SL status

Felkel: Computational geometr

- Make v helper of the edge e above









Polygon subdivision complexity

- Simple polygon with *n* vertices can be partitioned into x-monotone polygons in
 - $O(n \log n)$ time and
 - O(n) storage




Dual graph G for a Voronoi diagram



Delaunay graph DG(P)

[Boris Nikolajevič Delone]



Delaunay graph and Delaunay triangulation

- Delaunay graph DG(P) has convex polygonal faces (with number of vertices ≥3, equal to the degree of Voronoi vertex)
- Delaunay triangulation DT(P)
 - = Delaunay graph for sites in general position
 - No four sites on a circle
 - Faces are triangles (Voronoi vertices have degree = 3)

[Berg]

DT is unique (DG not!)

DG(P) sites not in general position


Triangulate larger faces – such triangulation is not
 unique –

Felkel: Computational geometry

Delaunay triangulation properties 1/2


Circumcircle property

- The circumcircle of any triangle in DT is empty (no sites) Proof: It's center is the Voronoi vertex
- Three points *a,b,c* are vertices of thesame face of DG(P) iff circle through *a,b,c* contains no point of P in its interior
- Empty circle property and legal edge
- Two points *a,b* form an edge of DG(P) it is a legal edge iff ' closed disc with *a,b* on its boundary that contains no other point of P in its interior ... disc minimal diameter = dist(a,b)
- Closest pair property
- The closest pair of points in P are neighbors in DT(P)



Delaunay triangulation properties

- DT edges do not intersect
- Triangulation T is legal, iff T is a Delaunay triangulation (i.e., if it does not contain illegal edges)
- Edge that was legal before may become illegal if one of the triangles incident to it changes
- In convex quadrilateral abcd (abcd do not lie on common circle)
 exactly one of ac, bd is an illegal edge
 = principle of edge flip operation




2/2

Edge flip operation

Edge flip

= a local operation, that increases the angle vector

 Given two adjacent triangles *abc* and *cda* such that their union forms a convex quadrilateral, the edge flip operation replaces the diagonal *ac* with *bd*.




Delaunay triangulation

- Let *T* be a triangulation with *m* triangles (and 3*m* angles)
- Angle-vector
 - = increasing ordered sequence $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n)$ of 3m angles of triangles (non-decreasing)
- Delaunay triangulation has the lexicographically largest angle sequence

 It maximizes the minimal angle. 																																		
																			÷	+														
 It maximizes all angles 																÷	+	+	+	+														
 It is an angle optimal triangulation 															+	+	+	+	+	+														
	IL	13	aı		ΠÇ	JIC	; 0	μ		a	u	a	iy	u	au	U	Ť						÷	Ŧ	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
																			+			+	÷	+	+	÷.	+	+	+	+	+	+	÷	+
																-15	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
												÷		÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
÷.,	Ť							+	+		+	+	+	+	+	t	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ 1	+
$\overrightarrow{+}$	+				+	Ŧ	÷	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		3	Ľ	X	+
				+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	Fel	kel:	Corr	nputa	ation	al ge	eom	etry	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	$\ $	Ŕ	Ž	¥ 7	+
÷			7	+	+	*	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	(27	/ 61)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	/	R	16	كر	+
	_	– It – It	– It ma – It ma	It maxIt max	It maximIt maxim	 It maximize It maximize 	 It maximizes It maximizes 	 It maximizes th It maximizes a 	 It maximizes the It maximizes all a 	 It maximizes the set It maximizes all and 	 It maximizes the second control in the second control in	 It maximizes the secon It maximizes all angles 	It maximizes the secondIt maximizes all angles	- It maximizes the second mid- It maximizes all angles - It is an angle optimal triang	- It maximizes the second mini - It maximizes all angles - It is an angle optimal triangul	- It maximizes the second minima - It maximizes all angles - It is an angle optimal triangulati $\vec{z} \neq \vec{z} + \vec{z}$	- It maximizes all angles - It is an angle optimal triangulation $\vec{z} \neq \vec{z} \neq +$	- It maximizes the second minimal ar - It maximizes all angles - It is an angle optimal triangulation $\vec{z} \neq \vec{z} + $	- It maximizes the second minimal angle - It maximizes all angles - It is an angle optimal triangulation $\vec{z} \neq \vec{z} + \vec{z}$	- It maximizes the second minimal angle, - It maximizes all angles - It is an angle optimal triangulation $\vec{z} \neq \vec{z} + z$	 It maximizes the second minimal angle, It maximizes all angles 	 It maximizes the second minimal angle, It maximizes all angles It is an angle optimal triangulation 	 It maximizes the second minimal angle, It maximizes all angles It is an angle optimal triangulation 	 It maximizes the second minimal angle, It maximizes all angles It is an angle optimal triangulation 	 It maximizes the second minimal angle, It maximizes all angles It is an angle optimal triangulation 	 It maximizes the second minimal angle, It maximizes all angles It is an angle optimal triangulation 	 It maximizes the second minimal angle, It maximizes all angles It is an angle optimal triangulation 	 It maximizes the second minimal angle, It maximizes all angles It is an angle optimal triangulation 	 It maximizes the second minimal angle, It maximizes all angles It is an angle optimal triangulation 	 It maximizes the second minimal angle, It maximizes all angles It is an angle optimal triangulation 	 It maximizes the second minimal angle, It maximizes all angles It is an angle optimal triangulation 	 It maximizes the second minimal angle, It maximizes all angles It is an angle optimal triangulation 	 It maximizes the second minimal angle, It maximizes all angles It is an angle optimal triangulation 	 It maximizes the second minimal angle, It maximizes all angles It is an angle optimal triangulation

Illegal edge flip and angle vector

The minimum angle increases after the edge flip




Incremental algorithm principle


- Create a large triangle containing all points (to avoid problems with unbounded cells)
 - must be larger than the largest circle through 3 points
 - will be discarded at the end
- 2. Insert the points in random order
 - Find triangle with inserted point p
 - Add edges to its vertices
 (these new edges are correct)
 - Check correctness of the old edges (triangles)
 "around p" and legalize (flip) potentially illegal edges



Incremental algorithm in detail



Incremental algorithm – insertion of a point



Incremental algorithm – edge legalization

LegalizeEdge(p, ab, T)


Input: Edge *ab* being checked after insertion of point p to triangulation T *Output:* Delaunay triangulation of p + T

- 1. if(ab is edge on the exterior face) return
- 2. let *d* be the vertex to the right of edge *ab*
- 3. if(inCircle(*p*, *a*, *b*, *d*)) // *d* is in the circle around *pab* => *d* is illegal
- 4. Flip edge *ab* for *pd*
- 5. LegalizeEdge(*p*, *ad*, *T*)
- 6. LegalizeEdge(*p*, *db*, *T*)

If insertion of *p* may make edge *ab* illegal (circle around *pab* will contain point *d*) After edge flip, the edge *pd* will be legal (the circumcircles of the resulting triangles *pdb, pad* will bee empty)

I must check and possibly flip edges ad, db


Inserted point p




Correctness of edge flip of illegal edge

- Point p is in C (it violated DT criteria for adb)
- adb was a triangle of DT => C was an empty circle
- Circle C'ð C => C' is also an empty circle


=> New edge *pd* is a Delaunay edge




Delaunay triangulation - point insert





Delaunay triangulation – other point insert





Delaunay triangulation – other point insert






















Correctness of the algorithm


- Every new edge (created due to insertion of p)
 - is incident to p
 - must be legal
 no need to test them
- Edge can only become illegal if one of its incident triangle changes
 - Algorithm tests any edge that may become illegal
 the algorithm is correct
- Every edge flip makes the angle-vector larger
 => algorithm can never get into infinite loop





- For finding a triangle abc μ T containing p
 - Leaves for triangles
 - Internal nodes for destroyed triangles
 - Links to new triangles
- Search p: start in root (initial triangle)















InCircle test

- *a,b,c* are counterclockwise in the plane
- Test, if *d* lies to the left of the oriented circle through *a,b,c*



Creation of the initial triangle

- For given points set P
- Initial triangle $p_{-2}p_{-1}p_0$
 - Must contain all points of P
 - Must not be (none of its points) in any circle defined by non-collinear points of P
- *I*₋₂ = horizontal line above *P*
- I_{-1} = horizontal line below P
- p_{-2} = lies on I_{-2} as far left that p_{-2} lies outside every circle
- p₋₁ = lies on I₋₁ as far right that p₋₁ lies outside every circle defined by 3 non-collinear points of P

 p_0


[Mount]

 p_{-1}




Complexity of incremental DT algorithm

- Delaunay triangulation of a set P in the plane can be computed in
 - O(n log n) expected time
 - using O(n) storage
- For details see [Berg, Section 9.4]



Delaunay triangulations and Convex hulls

- Delaunay triangulation in R^d can be computed as convex hull in R^{d+1}
- 2D: Connection is the paraboloid: $z = x^2 + y^2$




Vertical projection of points to paraboloid

Vertical projection of 2D point to paraboloid


$$(x, y) \rightarrow (x, y, x^2 + y^2)$$

• Lower convex hull = portion of CH visible from $z = -\infty$




Relation between CH and DT


- Delaunay condition
 Points p,q,r µ S form a Delaunay triangle iff the circumcircle of p,q,r is empty (contains no point)
- Convex hull condition
 Points p',q',r' µ S' form a face of CH(S') iff the plane passing through p',q',r' is supporting S' (all other points lie to one side of the plane)



Relation between CH and DT



- 4 distinct points p,q,r,s in the plane, and let p', q', r', s' be their respective projections onto the paraboloid, z = x² + y²
- The point s lies within the circumcircle of pqr iff s' lies on the lower side of the plane passing through p', q', r'.



Plane intersection with paraboloid

- Non-vertical tangent plane through $(a, b, a^2 + b^2)$
- Derivation at this point $\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = 2x, \quad \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} = 2y$ $z = x^2 + y^2$ • Evaluates to 2a and 2bPlane: $z = 2ax + 2by + \gamma$ $\gamma = -(a^2 + b^2)$ $a^2 + b^2 = 2a \cdot a + 2b \cdot b + \gamma'$ Shift upwards $z = 2ax + 2by - (a^2 + b^2)$ & eliminate z $x^{2} + y^{2} = 2ax + 2by - (a^{2} + b^{2}) + r^{2} + r^{2}$ **Circle:** $(x-a)^2 + (y-b)^2 = r^2$ Felkel: Computational geometry


Test inCircle revisited

- Points *p*,*q*,*r* are counterclockwise in the plane
- Test, if *s* lies in the circumcircle of *pqr* is equal to
 - = test, weather s' lies within a lower half plane passing through p',q',r' (3D)
 - = test, if quadruple p',q',r',s' is positively oriented (3D)
 - = test, if *s lies* to the left of the oriented circle through *abc* (2D)


$$(2D) = \det \begin{pmatrix} p_x & p_y & p_x^2 + p_y^2 & 1 \\ q_x & q_y & q_x^2 + q_y^2 & 1 \\ r_x & r_y & r_x^2 + r_y^2 & 1 \\ s_x & s_y & s_x^2 + s_y^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} > 0.$$

Voronoi diagram as upper envelope in R^{d+1}

- VD in plane computed as intersection of halfplanes
 H⁺(p_i) tangent to paraboloid in projection of p = [a,b]
- = upper envelope of halfplanes H⁺(p_i)



Voronoi diagram as upper envelope in R^{d+1}



Derivation of projected Voronoi edge

Felkel: Computational geometry

(61 / 61)

References

- [Berg] Mark de Berg, Otfried Cheong, Marc van Kreveld, Mark Overmars: Computational Geometry: Algorithms and Applications, Springer-Verlag, 3rd rev. ed. 2008. 386 pages, 370 fig. ISBN: 978-3-540-77973-5, Chapters 3 and 9, http://www.cs.uu.nl/geobook/
- [Mount] David Mount, CMSC 754: Computational Geometry, Lecture Notes for Spring 2007, University of Maryland, Lectures 7,22, 13,14, and 30.

http://www.cs.umd.edu/class/spring2007/cmsc754/lectures.shtml

- [Rourke] Joseph O'Rourke: .: Computational Geometry in C, Cambridge University Press, 1993, ISBN 0-521- 44592-2 <u>http://maven.smith.edu/~orourke/books/compgeom.html</u>
- [Fukuda] Komei Fukuda: Frequently Asked Questions in Polyhedral Computation. Version June 18, 2004

http://www.ifor.math.ethz.ch/~fukuda/polyfaq/polyfaq.html + +

