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## Course Preparation / Recap

$\square$ Algorithm Properties
$\square$ Searches
$\square$ Logics
$\square$ Satisfiability Problem

## Algorithm Properties

$\square$ Soundness
$\square$ The result returned by the algorithm is a solution to the problem
$\square$ Completeness
$\square$ If a solution exists, the algorithm finds it
$\square$ Admissibility
$\square$ It is guaranteed that the algorithm finds the optimal solution
$\square$ Optimality has to be defined

## Search Space

$\square$ Search Space S is a set of states, where the goal is to find the states that satisfy the condition $g$.
$\square$ Formally the problem is defined as a tuple ( $s_{0}, g$, O), where:
$\square \mathrm{s}_{0}$ is the initial state
$\square g$ is the goal condition
$\square O$ is a set of state - transition operators

## Breadth - First Search

$\square$ Is complete
$\square$ Complexity
$\square$ Time O(bd)
$\square$ Space $O\left(b^{d}\right)$

$\square \boldsymbol{b}$ is the number of siblings of each node
$\square \mathbf{d}$ is the depth of the search space

## Depth-First Search

$\square$ Is complete
$\square$ if no endless paths are present
$\square$ Complexity
$\square$ Time depends on the way of the search
$\square$ Space $O(d)$
$\square \mathbf{d}$ is the depth of the search space
$\square \mathbf{f}^{\prime}(\mathbf{n})=\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{n})+h^{\prime}(\mathbf{n})$
$\square \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{n})$ - total distance it has taken to get from the starting position to the current location
$\square \mathbf{h}^{\prime}(\mathbf{n})$ - the estimated distance from the current position to the goal destination/state. A heuristic function is used to create this estimate on how far away it will take to reach the goal state.

## First-order logic

$\square$ Whereas propositional logic assumes the world contains facts,
$\square$ first-order logic (like natural language) assumes the world contains
$\square$ Objects: people, houses, numbers, colors, baseball games, wars, ...
$\square$ Relations: red, round, prime, brother of, bigger than, part of, comes between, ...
$\square$ Functions: father of, best friend, one more than, plus, ...

## Syntax of FOL: Basic elements

$\square$ Constants KingJohn, 2, NUS,...
$\square$ Predicates Brother, $>$,...
$\square$ Functions Sqrt, LeftLegOf,...
$\square$ Variables $x, y, a, b, \ldots$
$\square$ Connectives

$$
\neg, \Rightarrow, \wedge, \vee, \Leftrightarrow
$$

$\square$ Equality
$=$
$\square$ Quantifiers $\quad \forall, \exists$

## Atomic sentences

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Atomic sentence }= & \left.\begin{array}{l}
\text { predicate }\left(\text { term }_{1}, \ldots, \text { term }_{n}\right) \\
\text { or term }
\end{array}\right) \\
\text { Term } \quad \text { term }_{2}
\end{array}\right] \begin{aligned}
& \text { function }\left(\text { term } \text { or }_{1}, \ldots, \text { term }_{n}\right) \\
& \text { or constant or variable }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\square$ E.g., Brother(KingJohn,RichardTheLionheart) > (Length(LeftLegOf(Richard)), Length(LeftLegOf(KingJohn)))

## Complex sentences

$\square$ Complex sentences are made from atomic sentences using connectives

$$
\neg S, S_{1} \wedge S_{2}, S_{1} \vee S_{2}, S_{1} \Rightarrow S_{2}, S_{1} \Leftrightarrow S_{2}
$$

E.g. Sibling(KingJohn,Richard) $\Rightarrow$

Sibling(Richard,KingJohn)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& >(1,2) \vee \leq(1,2) \\
& >(1,2) \wedge \neg>(1,2)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Models for FOL: Example



## Universal quantification

$\square \quad \forall<$ variables $>$ <sentence>
$\square$ Everyone at NUS is smart: $\forall x \operatorname{At}(x, C V U T) \Rightarrow \operatorname{Smart}(x)$
$\square \forall x P$ is true in a model $m$ iff $P$ is true with $x$ being each possible object in the model
$\square$ Roughly speaking, equivalent to the conjunction of instantiations of $P$

## A common mistake to avoid

$\square$ Typically, $\Rightarrow$ is the main connective with $\forall$
$\square$ Common mistake: using $\wedge$ as the main connective with $\forall$ :
$\forall \mathrm{xAt}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{CVUT}) \wedge \operatorname{Smart}(\mathrm{x})$
means "Everyone is at CVUT and everyone is smart"

## Existential quantification

$\square \exists<$ variables> <sentence>
$\square$ Someone at CVUT is smart:
$\square \exists x \operatorname{At}(x, C V U T) \wedge \operatorname{Smart}(x$
$\square \exists x P$ is true in a model $m$ iff $P$ is true with $x$ being some possible object in the model
$\square$ Roughly speaking, equivalent to the disjunction of instantiations of $P$

## Another common mistake to avoid

$\square$ Typically, $\wedge$ is the main connective with $\exists$
$\square$ Common mistake: using $\Rightarrow$ as the main connective with $\exists$ :
$\square \exists x \operatorname{At}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{CVUT}) \Rightarrow \operatorname{Smart}(\mathrm{x})$
$\square$ is true if there is anyone who is not at CVUT!

## Equality

$\square$ term $_{1}=$ term $_{2}$ is true under a given interpretation if and only if term ${ }_{1}$ and term ${ }_{2}$ refer to the same object
$\square$ E.g., definition of Sibling in terms of Parent:
$\square \forall x, y \operatorname{Sibling}(x, y) \Leftrightarrow[\neg(x=y) \wedge \exists m, f \neg(m=f) \wedge \operatorname{Parent}(m, x)$ $\wedge \operatorname{Parent}(f, x) \wedge \operatorname{Parent}(m, y) \wedge \operatorname{Parent}(f, y)]$

## Satisfiability

$\square$ Model of the formula is a set of assignments of the true/false values to the variables in a way that the formula is evaluated to be true.
$\square \neg p$ is true iff $p$ is false
$\square p \wedge q$ is true iff $p$ is true and $q$ is true
$\square$ Satisfiability problem (SAT) is a problem of evaluating, whether a model for the given formula exists.

## 3-SAT problem

$\square$ Conjunctive normal form
$\square 3-C N F$
$\square$ First known NP-complete problem
$\square\left(x_{11}\right.$ OR $x_{12}$ OR $\left.x_{13}\right)$ AND
$\left(x_{21} O R x_{22} O R x_{23}\right)$ AND
$\left(x_{31}\right.$ OR $x_{32}$ OR $x_{33}$ ) AND
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