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## Overview

- Cascade of Gentle-Boost classifiers (on Haar features).
- Each Detection stage rejects 40\% of background sub-windows.
- Each detection stage preserve 99.5\% positive samples



## Overview

- Sliding window detection with a cascade extended by alignment.
- The alignment is invoked iff the sub-window survives up to a certain detection stage.
- Well aligned sub-window is more likely to be detected.



## Detection with aligned cascade

- Alignment method assigns translation to sub-windows
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## Detection with aligned cascade

- Alignment method randomly shifts background subunindmine



## Detection with aligned cascade

Detector
Detector + Alignment
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Detector
Detector + Alignment


## Sequential linear predictor

- Two different alignment methods were studied:
- Linear predictor
- Fern


## Alignment with linear predictor

- Linear predictor maps features (f) from the evaluated sub-window to local displacement (t)

$$
\boldsymbol{t}=H \cdot \boldsymbol{f}
$$

where f is absolute value of Haar-like features.

## Alignment with linear predictor

- Linear predictor maps features (f) from the evaluated sub-window to local translation ( t )

$$
\boldsymbol{t}=H \cdot \boldsymbol{f}
$$

where f is absolute value of Haar-like features.

- Linear regression function (H) learned by the LeastSquares method on the training set.


## Alignment with linear predictor



## Alignment with linear predictor

- Single linear predictor has a low accuracy.
- Train a sequence of linear predictors.
- Each predictor is trained on the range of translation errors of its predecessor.


## Alignment with ferns

- Ferns: forest of random binary decisions trees.



## Alignment with ferns

- Each node forms a simple binary condition:


## Alignment with ferns

- Result of the condition determines the direction in which the evaluated sub-window continues.



## Alignment with ferns

- Then a different features are compared



## Alignment with ferns

- Leaves contain conditional probability of discretized translations.



## Alignment with ferns

- Probability is learned off-line from the training set.



## Alignment with ferns

- 50 trees, each with depth 11 (i.e. with 2048 leaves)



## Alignment with ferns

- Final probability estimated as multiplication



## Experiments (alignment stage 15)



## Experiments (alignment stage 5)




## Experiments (alignment stage 20)




## Experiments (alignment stage 15)



## Implementation details

- Cascade of Gentle-Boost detectors trained on Haar features.
- Alignment methods use absolute value of Haar features.
- Less than 0.05\% sub-windows survives up to stage 15,
- Ferns: Using the same condition at each level yields speed up (trees-> hash tables).


## Future work

- Affine or perspective alignment
- Local gradient-based maximization of the detection function.

