

5. Supervised learning of GRFS

A. Maximum likelihood estimators

Given: A family of p.d.s $p_u(x)$, $x \in X$, $u \in \mathcal{U}$ and a sample $\mathcal{X}_n = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, where x_i are generated i.i.d. from $p_{u_0}(x)$ with unknown $u_0 \in \mathcal{U}$

Task: Estimate the unknown parameter u_0

Maximum likelihood estimator

$$u_* \in \operatorname{argmax}_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log p_u(x_i) = \operatorname{argmax}_{u \in \mathcal{U}} L(u; \mathcal{X}_n)$$

Consistency of MLEs

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 L(u; \mathcal{X}_n) & \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{P} & L(u) = \sum_{x \in X} p_{u_0}(x) \log p_u(x) \\
 \downarrow \operatorname{argmax}_{u \in \mathcal{U}} & & \downarrow \operatorname{argmax}_{u \in \mathcal{U}} \\
 u_*(\mathcal{X}_n) & \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{P} & u_0
 \end{array}$$

a) $L(u; \mathcal{X}_n) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{P} L(u) ?$ Yes, WLLN

$$P(|L(u; \mathcal{X}_n) - L(u)| > \varepsilon) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0 \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{U}, \forall \varepsilon > 0$$

b) $u_0 \in \operatorname{argmax}_{u \in \mathcal{U}} L(u) ?$ Yes, Shannon:

For any two p.d.s p, q , $D_{KL}(p \parallel q) \geq 0$
with equality iff $p \equiv q$

c) $U_*(\mathcal{X}_n) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{P} U_0$? Yes, if one of the following holds

- 1) \mathcal{U} is finite
- 2) \mathcal{U} is compact and $L(u; \mathcal{X}_n)$ converges uniformly, i.e.

$$P(\sup_{u \in \mathcal{U}} |L(u; \mathcal{X}_n) - L(u)| > \epsilon) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

- 3) \mathcal{U} is a convex set, $u_0 \in \text{int}(\mathcal{U})$ and $L(u; \mathcal{X}_n)$ is concave in u for all \mathcal{X}_n

3. Maximum likelihood learning for Gibbs random fields

$S = \{S_i \mid i \in V\}$ is a Gibbs random field w.r.t. to the graph structure (V, E) . i.e. its distribution is

$$p_u(s) = \frac{1}{Z(u)} \exp \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} u_{ij}(s_i, s_j)$$

but the parameters u_{ij} are unknown.

We are given a sample of realisations

$$\mathcal{T}_\ell = \{s^j \in \mathcal{S} \mid j = 1, \dots, \ell\}$$

i.i.d. generated from the model and want to estimate its parameters.

A K -valued GRF on the graph (V, E) is an exponential family \Rightarrow we may write for the MLE

$$L(u; \mathcal{T}_\ell) = \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{s \in \mathcal{T}_\ell} \log p_u(s) =$$

$$L(u; \mathcal{T}_c) = \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{s \in \mathcal{T}_c} \langle \varphi(s), u \rangle - \log Z(u)$$

- $L(u; \mathcal{T}_c)$ is concave in u . If in addition

$\exists p(s) > 0$ s.t. $\mathbb{E}_p(\Phi) = \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{s \in \mathcal{T}_c} \varphi(s)$ then \Rightarrow MLE is consistent.

- How to solve the task

$$L(u; \mathcal{T}_c) = \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{s \in \mathcal{T}_c} \langle \varphi(s), u \rangle - \log Z(u) \rightarrow \max_u$$

for a GRF? E.g. by gradient ascend, where

$$\nabla L(u; \mathcal{T}_c) = \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{s \in \mathcal{T}_c} \varphi(s) - \mathbb{E}_u(\Phi)$$

Find a GRF with u such that its pairwise marginal distr. coincide with the pairwise marginal statistics of the sample

Use e.g. sampling or Bethe approximation to compute $\mathbb{E}_u(\Phi)$ i.e. the pairwise marginals in each iteration of the gradient ascend.

LC. Pseudo-likelihood estimators for GRFs

Can we do better & simpler? Besag, 1975 \Rightarrow

Remember that a GRF on a graph (V, E) is defined by fixing the family of cond. distr.

$$p_u(s_i | S_{N_i}) = \frac{1}{Z_i(u, S_{N_i})} \exp \sum_{j \in N_i} u_{ij}(s_i, s_j)$$

see sec. 4, Gibbs sampler.

Use pseudo-likelihood instead of likelihood

$$\tilde{L}(u; \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_c) = \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{s \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_c} \sum_{i \in V} \log p_u(s_i | S_{N_i}) \rightarrow \max_u$$

$$\tilde{L}(u; \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_c) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{s \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_c} \sum_{i \in V} \sum_{j \in N_i} u_{ij}(s_i, s_j) - \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{s \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_c} \sum_{i \in V} \log Z_i(u, S_{N_i})$$

$$= 2 \sum_{i,j \in E} \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{s \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_c} u_{ij}(s_i, s_j) - \sum_{i \in V} \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{s \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_c} \log Z_i(u, S_{N_i})$$

- Computing $\tilde{L}(u; \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_c)$ and $\nabla \tilde{L}(u; \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_c)$ has complexity $\mathcal{O}(\ell |E| |K|^2)$
- $\tilde{L}(u; \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_c)$ is concave \Rightarrow it can be proved that pseudo-likelihood estimators are consistent.
- However its variance is higher as compared with (exact) MLE