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## Maximum likelihood estimation

## Likelihood maximization

Let's have a random variable $X$ with probability distribution $p_{X}(x \mid \theta)$.

- This emphasizes that the distribution is parameterized by $\theta \in \Theta$, i.e. the distribution comes from certain parametric family. $\Theta$ is the space of possible parameter values.


## Likelihood maximization

Let's have a random variable $X$ with probability distribution $p_{X}(x \mid \theta)$.

- This emphasizes that the distribution is parameterized by $\theta \in \Theta$, i.e. the distribution comes from certain parametric family. $\Theta$ is the space of possible parameter values.
Learning task: assume the parameters $\theta$ are unknown, but we have an i.i.d. training dataset $T=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ which can be used to estimate the unknown parameters.
- The probability of observing dataset $T$ given some parameter values $\theta$ is

$$
p(X \mid \theta)=\prod_{j=1}^{n} p_{X}\left(x_{j} \mid \theta\right) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} L(\theta ; T)
$$

- This probability can be interpretted as a degree with which the model parameters $\theta$ conform to the data $T$. It is thus called the likelihood of parameters $\theta$ w.r.t. data $T$.
- The optimal $\theta^{*}$ is obtained by maximizing the likelihood

$$
\theta^{*}=\arg \max _{\theta \in \Theta} L(\theta ; T)=\arg \max _{\theta \in \Theta} \prod_{j=1}^{n} p_{X}\left(x_{j} \mid \theta\right)
$$

- Since $\arg \max _{x} f(x)=\arg \max _{x} \log f(x)$, we often maximize the $\log$-likelihood $l(\theta ; T)=\log L(\theta ; T)$

$$
\theta^{*}=\arg \max _{\theta \in \Theta} l(\theta ; T)=\arg \max _{\theta \in \Theta} \log \prod_{j=1}^{n} p_{X}\left(x_{j} \mid \theta\right)=\arg \max _{\theta \in \Theta} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \log p_{X}\left(x_{j} \mid \theta\right)
$$

which is often easier than maximization of $L$.

## Incomplete data

Assume we cannot observe the objects completely:

- r.v. $X$ describes the observable part, r.v. $K$ describes the unobservable, hidden part.
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- We assume there is an underlying distribution $p_{X K}(x, k \mid \theta)$ of objects $(x, k)$.


## Incomplete data

Assume we cannot observe the objects completely:
r.v. $X$ describes the observable part, r.v. $K$ describes the unobservable, hidden part.
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- We assume there is an underlying distribution $p_{X K}(x, k \mid \theta)$ of objects $(x, k)$.
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Learning task: we want to estimate the model parameters $\theta$, but the training set contains

EM for Mixtures EM for HMM

Summary
i.i.d. samples for the observable part only, i.e. $T_{X}=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$. (Still, there also exists a hidden, unobservable dataset $T_{K}=\left\{k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right\}$.)

- If we had a complete data $\left(T_{X}, T_{K}\right)$, we could directly optimize $l\left(\theta ; T_{X}, T_{K}\right)=\log p\left(T_{X}, T_{K} \mid \theta\right)$. But we do not have access to $T_{K}$.
■ If we would like to maximize

$$
l\left(\theta ; T_{X}\right)=\log p\left(T_{X} \mid \theta\right)=\log \sum_{T_{K}} p\left(T_{X}, T_{K} \mid \theta\right)
$$

the summation inside $\log ()$ results in complicated expressions, or we would have to use numerical methods.

- Our state of knowledge about $T_{K}$ is given by $p\left(T_{K} \mid T_{X}, \theta\right)$.
- The complete-data likelihood $L\left(\theta ; T_{X}, T_{K}\right)=P\left(T_{X}, T_{K} \mid \theta\right)$ is a random variable since $T_{K}$ is unknown, random, but governed by the underlying distribution.
- Instead of optimizing it directly, consider its expected value under the posterior distribution over latent variables (E-step), and then maximize this expectation (M-step).



## Expectation-Maximization algorithm

EM algorithm:

- A general method of finding MLE of prob. dist. parameters from a given dataset when data is incomplete (hidden variables, or missing values).
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- Hidden variables: mixture models, Hidden Markov models, ...
- It is a family of algorithms, or a recipe to derive a ML estimation algorithm for various kinds of probabilistic models.

1. Pretend that you know $\theta$. (Use some initial guess $\theta^{(0)}$.) Set iteration counter $i=1$.
2. E-step: Use the current parameter values $\theta^{(i-1)}$ to find the posterior distribution of the latent variables $P\left(T_{K} \mid T_{X}, \theta^{(i-1)}\right)$. Use this posterior distribution to find the expectation of the complete-data log-likelihood evaluated for some general parameter values $\theta$ :

$$
Q\left(\theta, \theta^{(i-1)}\right)=\sum_{T_{K}} p\left(T_{K} \mid T_{X}, \theta^{(i-1)}\right) \log p\left(T_{X}, T_{K} \mid \theta\right)
$$

3. M-step: maximize the expectation, i.e. compute an updated estimate of $\theta$ as

$$
\theta^{(i)}=\arg \max _{\theta \in \Theta} Q\left(\theta, \theta^{(i-1)}\right)
$$

4. Check for convergence: finish, or advance the iteration counter $i \Longleftarrow i+1$, and repeat from 2.

## EM algorithm features
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Pros:

- Among the possible optimization methods, EM exploits the structure of the model.
- For $p_{X \mid K}$ from exponential family:
- M-step can be done analytically and there is a unique optimizer.
- The expected value in the E-step can be expressed as a function of $\theta$ without solving it explicitly for each $\theta$.
- $p_{X}\left(T_{X} \mid \theta^{(i+1)}\right) \geq p_{X}\left(T_{X} \mid \theta^{(i)}\right)$, i.e. the process finds a local optimum.
- Works well in practice.
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- For $p_{X \mid K}$ from exponential family:
- M-step can be done analytically and there is a unique optimizer.
- The expected value in the E-step can be expressed as a function of $\theta$ without solving it explicitly for each $\theta$.
- $p_{X}\left(T_{X} \mid \theta^{(i+1)}\right) \geq p_{X}\left(T_{X} \mid \theta^{(i)}\right)$, i.e. the process finds a local optimum.
- Works well in practice.

Cons:

- Not guaranteed to get globally optimal estimate.
- MLE can overfit; use MAP instead (EM can be used as well).
- Convergence may be slow.

K-means

## K-means algorithm

Clustering is one of the tasks of unsupervised learning.
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## K-means algorithm

Clustering is one of the tasks of unsupervised learning.

K-means algorithm for clustering [Mac67]:

## K-means

- Algorithm
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- $K$ is the apriori given number of clusters.
- Algorithm:

1. Choose $K$ centroids $\mu_{k}$ (in almost any way, but every cluster should have at least one example.)
2. For all $x$, assign $x$ to its closest $\mu_{k}$.
3. Compute the new position of centroids $\mu_{k}$ based on all examples $x_{i}, i \in I_{k}$, in cluster $k$.
4. If the positions of centroids changed, repeat from 2.

## K-means algorithm
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K-means algorithm for clustering [Mac67]:

- $K$ is the apriori given number of clusters.
- Algorithm:

1. Choose $K$ centroids $\mu_{k}$ (in almost any way, but every cluster should have at least one example.)
2. For all $x$, assign $x$ to its closest $\mu_{k}$.
3. Compute the new position of centroids $\mu_{k}$ based on all examples $x_{i}, i \in I_{k}$, in cluster $k$.
4. If the positions of centroids changed, repeat from 2.

Algorithm features:

- Algorithm minimizes the function (intracluster variance):

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=\sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{j}}\left|x_{i, j}-c_{j}\right|^{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Algorithm is fast, but each time it can converge to a different local optimum of $J$.
[DLR77] Arthur P. Dempster, Nan M. Laird, and Donald B. Rubin. Maximum likelihood from incomplete data via the EM algorithm. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, 39(1):1-38, 1977.

Illustration

K-means clustering: iteration 1


Illustration

K-means clustering: iteration 2
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K-means clustering: iteration 3
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K-means clustering: iteration 4


Illustration

K-means clustering: iteration 5


Illustration

K-means clustering: iteration 6
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## K-means: EM view

Assume:

- An object can be in one of the $|K|$ states with equal probabilities.
- All $p_{X \mid K}(x \mid k)$ are isotropic Gaussians: $p_{X \mid K}(x \mid k)=\mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \mu_{k}, \sigma \mathbf{I}\right)$.
- Algorithm
- Illustration
- EM view
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Recognition (Part of E-step):

- The task is to decide the state $k$ for each $\boldsymbol{x}$, assuming all $\mu_{k}$ are known.
- The Bayesian strategy (minimizes the probability of error) chooses the cluster which center is the closest to observation $x$ :

$$
q^{*}(x)=\arg \min _{k \in K}\left(x-\mu_{k}\right)^{2}
$$

■ If $\mu_{k}, k \in K$, are not known, it is a parametrized strategy $q_{\Theta}(\boldsymbol{x})$, where $\Theta=\left(\mu_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{K}$.

- Deciding state $k$ for each $x$ assuming known $\mu_{k}$ is actually the computation of a degenerate probability distribution $p\left(T_{K} \mid T_{X}, \theta^{(i-1)}\right)$, i.e. the first part of E-step.
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Assume:
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$$
q^{*}(x)=\arg \min _{k \in K}\left(x-\mu_{k}\right)^{2}
$$

■ If $\mu_{k}, k \in K$, are not known, it is a parametrized strategy $q_{\Theta}(\boldsymbol{x})$, where $\Theta=\left(\mu_{k}\right)_{k=1}^{K}$.

- Deciding state $k$ for each $x$ assuming known $\mu_{k}$ is actually the computation of a degenerate probability distribution $p\left(T_{K} \mid T_{X}, \theta^{(i-1)}\right)$, i.e. the first part of E-step.


## Learning (The rest of E-step and M-step):

- Find the maximum-likelihood estimates of $\mu_{k}$ based on known $\left(x_{1}, k_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{l}, k_{l}\right)$ :

$$
\mu_{k}^{*}=\frac{1}{\left|I_{k}\right|} \sum_{i \in I_{k}} \boldsymbol{x}_{i}
$$

where $I_{k}$ is a set of indices of training examples (currently) belonging to state $k$.

- This completes the E-step and implements the M-step.

EM for Mixture Models
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## General mixture distributions

Assume the data are samples from a distribution factorized as

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{X K}(x, k) & =p_{K}(k) p_{X \mid K}(x \mid k), \text { i.e. } \\
p_{X}(x) & =\sum_{k \in K} p_{K}(k) p_{X \mid K}(x \mid k)
\end{aligned}
$$

and that the distribution is known (except the distribution parameters).
Recognition (Part of E-step):

- Let's define the result of recognition not as a single decision for some state $k$ (as done in K-means), but rather as
- a set of posterior probabilities (sometimes called responsibilities) for all $k$ given $x_{i}$

$$
\gamma_{k}\left(x_{i}\right)=p_{K \mid X}\left(k \mid x_{i}, \theta^{(t)}\right)=\frac{p_{X \mid K}\left(x_{i} \mid k\right) p_{K}(k)}{\sum_{k \in K} p_{X \mid K}\left(x_{i} \mid k\right) p_{K}(k)}
$$

that an object was in state $k$ when observation $x_{i}$ was made.
■ The $\gamma_{k}(x)$ functions can be viewed as discriminant functions.

## General mixture distributions (cont.)

Learning (The rest of E-step and M-step):

- Given the training multiset $T=\left(x_{i}, k_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n}$ (or the respective $\gamma_{k}\left(x_{i}\right)$ instead of $k_{i}$ ),
- assume $\gamma_{k}(x)$ is known, $p_{K}(k)$ are not known, and $p_{X \mid K}(x \mid k)$ are known except the

K-means
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- General mixture
- EM for Mixtures
- GMM
- EM for GMM

EM for HMM
Summary parameter values $\Theta_{k}$, i.e. we shall write $p_{X \mid K}\left(x \mid k, \Theta_{k}\right)$.
■ Let the object model $m$ be a "set" of all unknown parameters $m=\left(p_{K}(k), \Theta_{k}\right)_{k \in K}$.
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## General mixture distributions (cont.)

Learning (The rest of E-step and M-step):
■ Given the training multiset $T=\left(x_{i}, k_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n}$ (or the respective $\gamma_{k}\left(x_{i}\right)$ instead of $k_{i}$ ),

- assume $\gamma_{k}(x)$ is known, $p_{K}(k)$ are not known, and $p_{X \mid K}(x \mid k)$ are known except the parameter values $\Theta_{k}$, i.e. we shall write $p_{X \mid K}\left(x \mid k, \Theta_{k}\right)$.
■ Let the object model $m$ be a "set" of all unknown parameters $m=\left(p_{K}(k), \Theta_{k}\right)_{k \in K}$.
- The log-likelihood of model $m$ if we assume $k_{i}$ is known:

$$
\log L(m)=\log \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_{X K}\left(x_{i}, k_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log p_{K}\left(k_{i}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log p_{X \mid K}\left(x_{i} \mid k_{i}, \Theta_{k_{i}}\right)
$$

- The log-likelihood of model $m$ if we assume a distribution $(\gamma)$ over $k$ is known:

$$
\log L(m)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k \in K} \gamma_{k}\left(x_{i}\right) \log p_{K}(k)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k \in K} \gamma_{k}\left(x_{i}\right) \log p_{X \mid K}\left(x_{i} \mid k, \Theta_{k}\right)
$$

■ We search for the optimal model using maximum likelihood:

$$
m^{*}=\left(p_{K}^{*}(k), \Theta_{k}^{*}\right)=\arg \max _{m} \log L(m)
$$

■ i.e. we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{K}^{*}(k) & =\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{k}\left(x_{i}\right) \text { and solve } k \text { independent tasks } \\
\Theta_{k}^{*} & =\arg \max _{\Theta_{k}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{k}\left(x_{i}\right) \log p_{X \mid K}\left(x_{i} \mid k, \Theta_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## EM for mixture distribution

Unsupervised learning algorithm [DLR77] for general mixture distributions:

1. Initialize the model parameters $m=\left(\left(p_{K}(k), \Theta_{k}\right) \forall k\right)$.

- General mixture
- EM for Mixtures
- EM for GMM

2. Perform the recognition task, i.e. assuming $m$ is known, compute

$$
\gamma_{k}\left(x_{i}\right)=\hat{p}_{K \mid X}\left(k \mid x_{i}\right)=\frac{p_{K}(k) p_{X \mid K}\left(x_{i} \mid k, \Theta_{k}\right)}{\sum_{j \in K} p_{K}(j) p_{X \mid K}\left(x_{i} \mid j, \Theta_{j}\right)} .
$$

3. Perform the learning task, i.e. assuming $\gamma_{k}\left(x_{i}\right)$ are known, update the ML estimates of the model parameters $p_{K}(k)$ and $\Theta_{k}$ for all $k$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{K}(k) & =\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{k}\left(x_{i}\right) \\
\Theta_{k} & =\arg \max _{\Theta_{k}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{k}\left(x_{i}\right) \log p_{X \mid K}\left(x_{i} \mid k, \Theta_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

4. Iterate 2 and 3 until the model stabilizes.

## EM for mixture distribution

Unsupervised learning algorithm [DLR77] for general mixture distributions:

1. Initialize the model parameters $m=\left(\left(p_{K}(k), \Theta_{k}\right) \forall k\right)$.
2. Perform the recognition task, i.e. assuming $m$ is known, compute

$$
\gamma_{k}\left(x_{i}\right)=\hat{p}_{K \mid X}\left(k \mid x_{i}\right)=\frac{p_{K}(k) p_{X \mid K}\left(x_{i} \mid k, \Theta_{k}\right)}{\sum_{j \in K} p_{K}(j) p_{X \mid K}\left(x_{i} \mid j, \Theta_{j}\right)} .
$$

3. Perform the learning task, i.e. assuming $\gamma_{k}\left(x_{i}\right)$ are known, update the ML estimates of the model parameters $p_{K}(k)$ and $\Theta_{k}$ for all $k$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{K}(k) & =\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{k}\left(x_{i}\right) \\
\Theta_{k} & =\arg \max _{\Theta_{k}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{k}\left(x_{i}\right) \log p_{X \mid K}\left(x_{i} \mid k, \Theta_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

4. Iterate 2 and 3 until the model stabilizes.

## Features:

- The algorithm does not specify how to update $\Theta_{k}$ in step 3, it depends on the chosen form of $p_{X \mid K}$.
- The model created in iteration $t$ is always at least as good as the model from iteration $t-1$, i.e. $L(m)=p(T \mid m)$ increases.
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## Special Case: Gaussian Mixture Model

Each $k$ th component is a Gaussian distribution:

$$
\mathcal{N}\left(x \mid \mu_{k}, \Sigma_{k}\right)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{\frac{D}{2}}\left|\Sigma_{k}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left(x-\mu_{k}\right)^{T} \Sigma_{k}^{-1}\left(x-\mu_{k}\right)\right\}
$$

Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{K} p_{K}(k) p_{X \mid K}\left(x \mid k, \Theta_{k}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_{k} \mathcal{N}\left(x \mid \mu_{k}, \Sigma_{k}\right) \\
& \text { assuming } \sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha_{k}=1 \text { and } 0 \leq \alpha_{k} \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$
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## EM for GMM

1. Initialize the model parameters $m=\left(\left(p_{K}(k), \mu_{k}, \Sigma_{k}\right) \forall k\right)$.
2. Perform the recognition task as in the general case, i.e. assuming $m$ is known, compute

$$
\gamma_{k}\left(x_{i}\right)=\hat{p}_{K \mid X}\left(k \mid x_{i}\right)=\frac{p_{K}(k) p_{X \mid K}\left(x_{i} \mid k, \Theta_{k}\right)}{\sum_{j \in K} p_{K}(j) p_{X \mid K}\left(x_{i} \mid j, \Theta_{j}\right)}=\frac{\alpha_{k} \mathcal{N}\left(x_{i} \mid \mu_{k}, \Sigma_{k}\right)}{\sum_{j \in K} \alpha_{j} \mathcal{N}\left(x_{i} \mid \mu_{j}, \Sigma_{j}\right)} .
$$

3. Perform the learning task, i.e. assuming $\gamma_{k}\left(x_{i}\right)$ are known, update the ML estimates of the model parameters $\alpha_{k}, \mu_{k}$ and $\Sigma_{k}$ for all $k$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{k} & =p_{K}(k)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{k}\left(x_{i}\right) \\
\mu_{k} & =\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{k}\left(x_{i}\right) x_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{k}\left(x_{i}\right)} \\
\Sigma_{k} & =\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{k}\left(x_{i}\right)\left(x_{i}-\mu_{k}\right)\left(x_{i}-\mu_{k}\right)^{T}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{k}\left(x_{i}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

4. Iterate 2 and 3 until the model stabilizes.

## EM for GMM

1. Initialize the model parameters $m=\left(\left(p_{K}(k), \mu_{k}, \Sigma_{k}\right) \forall k\right)$.
2. Perform the recognition task as in the general case, i.e. assuming $m$ is known, compute

$$
\gamma_{k}\left(x_{i}\right)=\hat{p}_{K \mid X}\left(k \mid x_{i}\right)=\frac{p_{K}(k) p_{X \mid K}\left(x_{i} \mid k, \Theta_{k}\right)}{\sum_{j \in K} p_{K}(j) p_{X \mid K}\left(x_{i} \mid j, \Theta_{j}\right)}=\frac{\alpha_{k} \mathcal{N}\left(x_{i} \mid \mu_{k}, \Sigma_{k}\right)}{\sum_{j \in K} \alpha_{j} \mathcal{N}\left(x_{i} \mid \mu_{j}, \Sigma_{j}\right)} .
$$

3. Perform the learning task, i.e. assuming $\gamma_{k}\left(x_{i}\right)$ are known, update the ML estimates of the model parameters $\alpha_{k}, \mu_{k}$ and $\Sigma_{k}$ for all $k$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{k} & =p_{K}(k)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{k}\left(x_{i}\right) \\
\mu_{k} & =\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{k}\left(x_{i}\right) x_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{k}\left(x_{i}\right)} \\
\Sigma_{k} & =\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{k}\left(x_{i}\right)\left(x_{i}-\mu_{k}\right)\left(x_{i}-\mu_{k}\right)^{T}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_{k}\left(x_{i}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

4. Iterate 2 and 3 until the model stabilizes.

Remarks:

- Each data point belongs to all components to a certain degree $\gamma_{k}\left(x_{i}\right)$.
- The eq. for $\mu_{k}$ is just a weighted average of $x_{i} \mathrm{~s}$.
- The eq. for $\Sigma_{k}$ is just a weighted covariance matrix.



## Example: Source data

Source data generated from 3 Gaussians.
$\qquad$
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## Example: Input to EM algorithm

The data were given to the EM algorithm as an unlabeled dataset.















## Example: Ground Truth and EM Estimate




The ground truth (left) and the EM estimate (right) are very close because

- we have enough data,
- we know the right number of components, and
- we were lucky that EM converged to the right local optimum of the likelihood function.


# Baum-Welch Algorithm: 

EM for HMM

Hidden Markov Model

1st order HMM is a generative probabilistic model formed by

- a sequence of hidden variables $X_{0}, \ldots, X_{t}$,
$\qquad$
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## Hidden Markov Model

1st order HMM is a generative probabilistic model formed by

- a sequence of hidden variables $X_{0}, \ldots, X_{t}$,
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Summary the domain of all of them is the set of states $\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{N}\right\}$.

- a sequence of observed variables $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{t}$, the domain of all of them is the set of observations $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{M}\right\}$.
- an initial distribution over hidden states $P\left(X_{0}\right)$,
- a transition model $P\left(X_{t} \mid X_{t-1}\right)$, and

■ an emission model $P\left(E_{t} \mid X_{t}\right)$.

Simulating HMM:

1. Generate an initial state $x_{0}$ according to $P\left(X_{0}\right)$. Set $t \leftarrow 1$.
2. Generate a new current state $x_{t}$ according to $P\left(X_{t} \mid x_{t-1}\right)$.
3. Generate an observation $e_{t}$ according to $P\left(E_{t} \mid x_{t}\right)$.
4. Advance time $t \leftarrow t+1$.
5. Finish, or repeat from step 2.

## Hidden Markov Model

## MLE
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Summary
1st order HMM is a generative probabilistic model formed by

- a sequence of hidden variables $X_{0}, \ldots, X_{t}$,
the domain of all of them is the set of states $\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{N}\right\}$.
- a sequence of observed variables $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{t}$, the domain of all of them is the set of observations $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{M}\right\}$.
- an initial distribution over hidden states $P\left(X_{0}\right)$,
- a transition model $P\left(X_{t} \mid X_{t-1}\right)$, and

■ an emission model $P\left(E_{t} \mid X_{t}\right)$.

Simulating HMM:

1. Generate an initial state $x_{0}$ according to $P\left(X_{0}\right)$. Set $t \leftarrow 1$.
2. Generate a new current state $x_{t}$ according to $P\left(X_{t} \mid x_{t-1}\right)$.
3. Generate an observation $e_{t}$ according to $P\left(E_{t} \mid x_{t}\right)$.
4. Advance time $t \leftarrow t+1$.
5. Finish, or repeat from step 2.

## With HMM:

- efficient algorithms exist for solving inference tasks;
- but we have no idea (so far) how to learn HMM parameters from the observation sequence, because we do not have access to the hidden states.


## Learning HMM from data

Is it possible to learn HMM from data?

- No known way to analytically solve for the model which maximizes the probability of observations.

K-means

- No optimal way of estimating the model parameters from the observation sequences.
- We can find model parameters such that the probability of observations is maximized
$\longrightarrow$ Baum-Welch algorithm (a special case of EM).
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## Learning HMM from data

Is it possible to learn HMM from data?

- No known way to analytically solve for the model which maximizes the probability of observations.
- No optimal way of estimating the model parameters from the observation sequences.
- We can find model parameters such that the probability of observations is maximized $\longrightarrow$ Baum-Welch algorithm (a special case of EM).

Let's use a slightly different notation to emphasize the model parameters:
■ $\pi=\left[\pi_{i}\right]=\left[P\left(X_{1}=s_{i}\right)\right] \ldots$ vector of the initial probabilities of states
■ $A=\left[a_{i, j}\right]=\left[P\left(X_{t}=s_{j} \mid X_{t-1}=s_{i}\right)\right] \ldots$ the matrix of transition probabilities to next state given the current state
■ $B=\left[b_{i, k}\right]=\left[P\left(E_{t}=v_{k} \mid X_{t}=s_{i}\right)\right] \ldots$ the matrix of observation probabilities given the current state

- The whole set of HMM parameters is then $\theta=(\pi, A, B)$
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Let's use a slightly different notation to emphasize the model parameters:
■ $\pi=\left[\pi_{i}\right]=\left[P\left(X_{1}=s_{i}\right)\right] \ldots$ vector of the initial probabilities of states
■ $A=\left[a_{i, j}\right]=\left[P\left(X_{t}=s_{j} \mid X_{t-1}=s_{i}\right)\right] \ldots$ the matrix of transition probabilities to next state given the current state
■ $B=\left[b_{i, k}\right]=\left[P\left(E_{t}=v_{k} \mid X_{t}=s_{i}\right)\right] \ldots$ the matrix of observation probabilities given the current state

- The whole set of HMM parameters is then $\theta=(\pi, A, B)$

The algorithm (presented on the next slides) will

- compute the expected numbers of being in a state or taking a transition given the observations and the current model parameters $\theta=(\pi, A, B)$, and then
$\square$ compute the new estimate of model parameters $\theta^{\prime}=\left(\pi^{\prime}, A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)$,
- such that $P\left(e_{1}^{t} \mid \theta^{\prime}\right) \geq P\left(e_{1}^{t} \mid \theta\right)$.
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## Sufficient statistics

Let's define

- the probability of transition from state $s_{i}$ at time $t$ to state $s_{j}$ at time $t+1$, given the model and the observation sequence $e_{1}^{t}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi_{t}(i, j) & =P\left(X_{t}=s_{i}, X_{t+1}=s_{j} \mid e_{1}^{t}, \theta\right)=\frac{\alpha_{t}\left(s_{i}\right) a_{i j} b_{j k} \beta_{t+1}\left(s_{j}\right)}{P\left(e_{1}^{t} \mid \theta\right)}= \\
& =\frac{\alpha_{t}\left(s_{i}\right) a_{i j} b_{j k} \beta_{t+1}\left(s_{j}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_{t}\left(s_{i}\right) a_{i j} b_{j k} \beta_{t+1}\left(s_{j}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha_{t}$ and $\beta_{t}$ are the forward and backward messages computed by the forward-backward algorithm, and

- the probability of being in state $s_{i}$ at time $t$, given the model and the observation sequence:

$$
\gamma_{t}(i)=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \xi_{t}(i, j)
$$
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## Sufficient statistics

Let's define

- the probability of transition from state $s_{i}$ at time $t$ to state $s_{j}$ at time $t+1$, given the model and the observation sequence $e_{1}^{t}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi_{t}(i, j) & =P\left(X_{t}=s_{i}, X_{t+1}=s_{j} \mid e_{1}^{t}, \theta\right)=\frac{\alpha_{t}\left(s_{i}\right) a_{i j} b_{j k} \beta_{t+1}\left(s_{j}\right)}{P\left(e_{1}^{t} \mid \theta\right)}= \\
& =\frac{\alpha_{t}\left(s_{i}\right) a_{i j} b_{j k} \beta_{t+1}\left(s_{j}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_{t}\left(s_{i}\right) a_{i j} b_{j k} \beta_{t+1}\left(s_{j}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha_{t}$ and $\beta_{t}$ are the forward and backward messages computed by the forward-backward algorithm, and

- the probability of being in state $s_{i}$ at time $t$, given the model and the observation sequence:

$$
\gamma_{t}(i)=\sum_{j=1}^{N} \xi_{t}(i, j)
$$

Then we can interpret

- $\sum_{k=1}^{T-1} \gamma_{k}(i)$ as the expected number of transitions from state $s_{i}$, and
- $\sum_{k=1}^{T-1} \xi_{k}(i, j)$ as the expected number of transitions from $s_{i}$ to $s_{j}$.

- HMM learning
- Sufficient statistics
- Baum-Welch

Summary $\qquad$

## Baum-Welch algorithm

The re-estimation formulas are
$\pi_{i}^{\prime}=$ expected frequency of being in state $s_{i}$ at time $(t=1)=$ $=\gamma_{1}(i)$
$a_{i j}^{\prime}=\frac{\text { expected number of transitions from } s_{i} \text { to } s_{j}}{\text { expected number of transitions from } s_{i}}=$
$=\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{T-1} \xi_{k}(i, j)}{\sum_{k=1}^{T-1} \gamma_{k}(i)}$
$b_{j k}^{\prime}=\frac{\text { expected number of times being in state } s_{j} \text { and observing } v_{k}}{\text { expected number of times being in state } s_{j}}=$
$=\frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T} I\left(e_{t}=v_{k}\right) \gamma_{t}(j)}{\sum_{t=1}^{T} \gamma_{t}(j)}$
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## Baum-Welch algorithm

The re-estimation formulas are
$\pi_{i}^{\prime}=$ expected frequency of being in state $s_{i}$ at time $(t=1)=$ $=\gamma_{1}(i)$
$a_{i j}^{\prime}=\frac{\text { expected number of transitions from } s_{i} \text { to } s_{j}}{\text { expected number of transitions from } s_{i}}=$
$=\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{T-1} \xi_{k}(i, j)}{\sum_{k=1}^{T-1} \gamma_{k}(i)}$
$b_{j k}^{\prime}=\frac{\text { expected number of times being in state } s_{j} \text { and observing } v_{k}}{\text { expected number of times being in state } s_{j}}=$

$$
=\frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T} I\left(e_{t}=v_{k}\right) \gamma_{t}(j)}{\sum_{t=1}^{T} \gamma_{t}(j)}
$$

As with other EM variants, with the old model parameters $\theta=(\pi, A, B)$ and new, re-estimated parameters $\theta^{\prime}=\left(\pi^{\prime}, A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)$, the new model is at least as likely as the old one:

$$
P\left(e_{1}^{t} \mid \theta^{\prime}\right) \geq P\left(e_{1}^{t} \mid \theta\right)
$$

The above equations are used iteratively with $\theta^{\prime}$ taking place of $\theta$.

Summary

## Competencies

After this lecture, a student shall be able to ...

- define and explain the task of maximum likelihood estimation;

■ explain why we can maximize log-likelihood instead of likelihood, describe the advantages;

- describe the issues we face when trying to maximize the likelihood in case of incomplete data;
- explain the general high-level principle of Expectation-Maximization algorithm;
- describe the pros and cons of the EM algorithm, especially what happens with the likelihood in one EM iteration;
- describe the EM algorithm for mixture distributions, including the notion of responsibilities;
- explain the Baum-Welch algorithm, i.e. the application of EM to HMM; what parameters are learned and how (conceptually).

