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## Representing distances in rooted and unrooted trees

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\operatorname{dist}(A, C)=8 \\
\operatorname{dist}(A, D)=5
\end{array}
$$



distances represented by summed height of edges to reach common ancestor
distances represented by summed length of edges to reach common ancestor

## Distance-based approaches

- given: an $n \times n$ matrix $M$ where $M_{i j}$ is the distance between taxa $i$ and $j$
- do: build an edge-weighted tree such that the distances between leaves $i$ and $j$ correspond to $M_{i j}$



## Where do we get distances?

- commonly obtained from sequence alignments

$$
f_{i j}=\frac{\text { \#mismatches }}{\text { \#matches + \#mismatches }}
$$

in alignment of sequence $i$ with sequence $j$ $\operatorname{dist}(i, j)=f_{i j}$

- to correct for multiple substitutions at a single position:

$$
\operatorname{dist}_{\text {Jukes-Cantor }}(i, j)=-\frac{3}{4} \ln \left(1-\frac{4}{3} f_{i j}\right)
$$

## Distance metrics

- properties of a distance metric

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{dist}\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) \geq 0 \\
& \operatorname{dist}\left(x_{i}, x_{i}\right)=0 \\
& \operatorname{dist}\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)=\operatorname{dist}\left(x_{j}, x_{i}\right) \\
& \operatorname{dist}\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) \leq \operatorname{dist}\left(x_{i}, x_{k}\right)+\operatorname{dist}\left(x_{k}, x_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## The molecular clock hypothesis

- In the 1960s, sequence data were accumulated for small, abundant proteins such as globins, cytochromes c, and fibrinopeptides. Some proteins appeared to evolve slowly, while others evolved rapidly.
- Linus Pauling, Emanuel Margoliash and others proposed the hypothesis of a molecular clock: For every given protein, the rate of molecular evolution is approximately constant in all evolutionary lineages



## The molecular clock assumption \& ultrametric data

- the molecular clock assumption is not generally true: selection pressures vary across time periods, organisms, genes within an organism, regions within a gene
- if it does hold, then the data is said to be ultrametric


## The molecular clock assumption \& ultrametric data

- ultrametric data: for any triplet of sequences, $i, j, k$, the distances are either all equal, or two are equal and the remaining one is smaller



## The UPGMA method

## (Unweighted Pair Group Method using Arithmetic Averages)

- given ultrametric data, UPGMA will reconstruct the tree $T$ that is consistent with the data
- basic idea:
- iteratively pick two taxa/clusters and merge them
- create new node in tree for merged cluster
- distance $d_{i j}$ between clusters $C_{i}$ and $C_{j}$ of taxa is defined as

$$
d_{i j}=\frac{1}{\left|C_{i} \| C_{j}\right|} \sum_{p \in C_{i}, q \in C_{j}} d_{p q}
$$

(avg. distance between pairs of taxa from each cluster)

## UPGMA algorithm

assign each taxon to its own cluster define one leaf for each taxon; place it at height 0 while more than two clusters determine two clusters $i, j$ with smallest $d_{i j}$ define a new cluster $C_{k}=C_{i} \cup C_{j}$ define a node $k$ with children $i$ and $j$; place it at height $d_{i j} / 2$ replace clusters $i$ and $j$ with $k$ compute distance between $k$ and other clusters join last two clusters, $i$ and $j$, by root at height $d_{i j} / 2$

## UPGMA

- given a new cluster $C_{k}$ formed by merging $C_{i}$ and $C_{j}$
- we can calculate the distance between $C_{k}$ and any other cluster $C_{l}$ as follows

$$
d_{k l}=\frac{d_{i l}\left|C_{i}\right|+d_{j l}\left|C_{j}\right|}{\left|C_{i}\right|+\left|C_{j}\right|}
$$

## UPGMA example

initial state

|  | A | B | C | D | E |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | 0 | 8 | 8 | 5 |
| A | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| B |  | 0 | 3 | 8 | 8 |
| C |  |  | 0 | 8 | 8 |
| D |  |  |  | 0 | 5 |
| E |  |  |  |  | 0 |



| after one merge |  | AE | B | C | D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AE | 0 | 8 | 8 | 5 |
|  | B |  | 0 | 3 | 8 |
|  | C |  |  | 0 | 8 |
|  | D |  |  |  | 0 |

## UPGMA example (cont.)

after two merges

|  | AE | BC | D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AE | 0 | 8 | 5 |
| BC |  | 0 | 8 |
| D |  |  | 0 |


after three
merges
final state


## Neighbor joining

- unlike UPGMA
- doesn't make molecular clock assumption
- produces unrooted trees
- does assume additivity: distance between pair of leaves is sum of lengths of edges connecting them
- like UPGMA, constructs a tree by iteratively joining subtrees
- two key differences
- how pair of subtrees to be merged is selected on each iteration
- how distances are updated after each merge


## Picking pairs of nodes to join in NJ

- at each step, we pick a pair of nodes to join; should we pick a pair with minimal $d_{i j}$ ?
- suppose the real tree looks like this and we're picking the first pair of nodes to join?

- wrong decision to join A and B : need to consider distance of pair to other leaves


## Picking pairs of nodes to join in NJ

- to avoid this, pick pair to join based on $D_{i j}$ [Saitou \& Nei '87; Studier \& Kepler '88]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{i j}=d_{i j}-\left(r_{i}+r_{j}\right) \\
& r_{i}=\frac{1}{|L|-2} \sum_{k \in L} d_{i k}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $L$ is the set of leaves

## Updating distances in neighbor joining

- given a new internal node $k$, the distance to another node $m$ is given by:

$$
d_{k m}=\frac{1}{2}\left(d_{i m}+d_{j m}-d_{i j}\right)
$$



## Updating distances in neighbor joining

- can calculate the distance from a leaf to its parent node in the same way

$$
d_{i k}=\frac{1}{2}\left(d_{i j}+d_{i m}-d_{j m}\right)
$$



$$
d_{j k}=d_{i j}-d_{i k}
$$

## Updating distances in neighbor joining

- we can generalize this so that we take into account the distance to all other leaves

$$
d_{i k}=\frac{1}{2}\left(d_{i j}+r_{i}-r_{j}\right)
$$

where

$$
r_{i}=\frac{1}{|L|-2} \sum_{m \in L} d_{i m}
$$

and $L$ is the set of leaves

- this is more robust if data aren't strictly additive


## Neighbor joining algorithm

define the tree $T=$ set of leaf nodes

$$
L=T
$$

while more than two subtrees in $T$ pick the pair $i, j$ in $L$ with minimal $D_{i j}$
add to $T$ a new node $k$ joining $i$ and $j$ determine new distances

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{i k}=\frac{1}{2}\left(d_{i j}+r_{i}-r_{j}\right) \\
& d_{j k}=d_{i j}-d_{i k} \\
& d_{k m}=\frac{1}{2}\left(d_{i m}+d_{j m}-d_{i j}\right) \text { for all other } m \text { in } L
\end{aligned}
$$

remove $i$ and $j$ from $L$ and insert $k$ (treat it like a leaf) join two remaining subtrees, $i$ and $j$ with edge of length $d_{i j}$

## Testing for additivity

- for every set of four leaves, $i, j, k$, and $l$, two of the distances $d_{i j}+d_{k l}, d_{i k}+d_{j l}$ and $d_{i l}+d_{j k}$ must be equal and not less than the third



## Rooting trees

- finding a root in an unrooted tree is sometimes accomplished by using an outgroup
- outgroup: a species known to be more distantly related to remaining species than they are to each other
- edge joining the outgroup to the rest of the tree is best candidate for root position



## Rooting trees

chimpanzee lice used as outgroup in human lice study


# Comments on distance-based methods 

- if the given distance data is ultrametric (and these distances represent real distances), then UPGMA will identify the correct tree
- if the data is additive (and these distances represent real distances), then neighbor joining will identify the correct tree
- otherwise, the methods may not recover the correct tree, but they may still be reasonable heuristics
- neighbor joining is commonly used

