## Classifiers, Learning

#### Tomáš Svoboda and Matěj Hoffmann thanks to Daniel Novák and Filip Železný, Ondřej Drbohlav

Vision for Robots and Autonomous Systems, Center for Machine Perception Department of Cybernetics Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Czech Technical University in Prague

May 14, 2019

# K-Nearest neighbors classification

For a query  $\vec{x}$ :

- Find K nearest  $\vec{x}$  from the tranining (labeled) data.
- Classify to the class with the most exemplars in the set above.



Assume data:

- $\triangleright$  *N* points  $\vec{x}$  in total.
- $N_j$  points in  $s_j$  class. Hence,  $\sum_i N_j = N$ .

We want classify  $\vec{x}$ . We draw a sphere centered at  $\vec{x}$  containing K points irrespective of class. V is the volume of this sphere.  $P(s_i | \vec{x}) =$ ?

$$P(s_j | \vec{x}) = \frac{P(\vec{x} | s_j) P(s_j)}{P(\vec{x})}$$

$$P(s_j) = \frac{N_j}{N}$$

$$P(\vec{x}) = \frac{K}{NV}$$

$$P(\vec{x}|s_j) = \frac{K_j}{N_j V}$$

$$P(s_j|\vec{x}) = \frac{P(\vec{x}|s_j)P(s_j)}{P(\vec{x})} = \frac{K_j}{K}$$

Assume data:

 $\blacktriangleright$  *N* points  $\vec{x}$  in total.

•  $N_j$  points in  $s_j$  class. Hence,  $\sum_j N_j = N$ .

We want classify  $\vec{x}$ . We draw a sphere centered at  $\vec{x}$  containing K points irrespective of class. V is the volume of this sphere.  $P(s_i|\vec{x}) = ?$ 



Assume data:

 $\triangleright$  *N* points  $\vec{x}$  in total.

•  $N_j$  points in  $s_j$  class. Hence,  $\sum_j N_j = N$ .

We want classify  $\vec{x}$ . We draw a sphere centered at  $\vec{x}$  containing K points irrespective of class. V is the volume of this sphere.  $P(s_i|\vec{x}) = ?$ 

$$P(s_j|ec{x}) = rac{P(ec{x}|s_j)P(s_j)}{P(ec{x})}$$

$$P(s_j) = \frac{N_j}{N}$$
$$P(\vec{x}) = \frac{K}{NV}$$
$$P(\vec{x}|s_j) = \frac{K_j}{N_j V}$$
$$P(s_j|\vec{x}) = \frac{P(\vec{x}|s_j)P(s_j)}{P(\vec{x})} = \frac{K_j}{K}$$

Assume data:

 $\triangleright$  *N* points  $\vec{x}$  in total.

•  $N_j$  points in  $s_j$  class. Hence,  $\sum_j N_j = N$ .

We want classify  $\vec{x}$ . We draw a sphere centered at  $\vec{x}$  containing K points irrespective of class. V is the volume of this sphere.  $P(s_i|\vec{x}) = ?$ 

$$P(s_j | \vec{x}) = \frac{P(\vec{x} | s_j) P(s_j)}{P(\vec{x})}$$
$$P(s_j) = \frac{N_j}{N}$$
$$P(\vec{x}) = \frac{K_j}{NV}$$
$$P(\vec{x} | s_j) = \frac{K_j}{N_j V}$$
$$P(s_j | \vec{x}) = \frac{P(\vec{x} | s_j) P(s_j)}{P(\vec{x})} = \frac{K_j}{K}$$

# NN classification example



<sup>1</sup>Figs from [1]

# NN classification example



# Metrics for NN classification

```
D(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \ge 0

D(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = 0 \text{ iff } \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b}

D(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = D(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a})

D(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) + D(\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}) \ge D(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c})
```

# Metrics for NN classification



Invariance to geometrical transformations?

# Etalon based classification



## Separate etalons

$$s^* = \arg\min_{s \in S} (||\vec{x} - \vec{e}_s||^2 + o_s)$$



## What etalons?

If  $\mathcal{N}(\vec{x}|\vec{\mu},\Sigma)$ ; all classes same covariance matrices, then

$$\vec{e}_s \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \vec{\mu}_s = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{X}^s|} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{X}^s} \vec{x}_i^s$$

and separating hyperplanes halve dis-  $\times^{\sim}$  tances between pairs.



# Etalon based classification, $\vec{e}_s = \vec{\mu}_s$



Digit recognition - etalons  $\vec{e}_s = \vec{\mu}_s$ 



# Better etalons - Fischer linear discriminant



- Dimensionality reduction
- Maximize distance between means, . .
- ...and minimize within class variance. (minimize overlap)

## Better etalons - Fischer linear discriminant



- Dimensionality reduction
- Maximize distance between means, . . .
- ...and minimize within class variance. (minimize overlap)

# Better etalons?



## Better etalons?



Figures from [5]

$$s^* = \arg\min_{s \in S} \left( \|\vec{x} - \vec{e}_s\|^2 + o_s \right) = \arg\min_{s \in S} \left( \vec{x} \| \vec{x} - 2\vec{e}_s \| \vec{x} + \vec{e}_s \| \vec{e}_s + o_s \right) =$$

$$= \arg\min_{s \in S} \left( \vec{x} \| \vec{x} - 2 \left( \vec{e}_s \| \vec{x} - \frac{1}{2} \left( \vec{e}_s \| \vec{e}_s + o_s \right) \right) \right) =$$

$$= \arg\min_{s \in S} \left( \vec{x} \| \vec{x} - 2 \left( \vec{e}_s \| \vec{x} + b_s \right) \right) =$$

$$= \arg\max_{s \in S} \left( \vec{e}_s \| \vec{x} + b_s \right) = \arg\max_{s \in S} g_s(\vec{x}). \qquad b_s = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \vec{e}_s \| \vec{e}_s + o_s \right)$$

$$g_s(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}_s^\top \mathbf{x} + w_{s0}$$

$$s^* = \arg\min_{s \in S} \left( \|\vec{x} - \vec{e}_s\|^2 + o_s \right) = \arg\min_{s \in S} \left( \vec{x}^\top \vec{x} - 2 \vec{e}_s^\top \vec{x} + \vec{e}_s^\top \vec{e}_s + o_s \right) =$$
  
= 
$$\arg\min_{s \in S} \left( \vec{x}^\top \vec{x} - 2 \left( \vec{e}_s^\top \vec{x} - \frac{1}{2} \left( \vec{e}_s^\top \vec{e}_s + o_s \right) \right) \right) =$$
  
= 
$$\arg\min_{s \in S} \left( \vec{x}^\top \vec{x} - 2 \left( \vec{e}_s^\top \vec{x} + b_s \right) \right) =$$
  
= 
$$\arg\max_{s \in S} \left( \vec{e}_s^\top \vec{x} + b_s \right) = \arg\max_{s \in S} g_s(\vec{x}).$$
  
$$b_s = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \vec{e}_s^\top \vec{e}_s + o_s \right)$$

$$g_s(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}_s^\top \mathbf{x} + w_{s0}$$

$$s^{*} = \arg\min_{s \in S} (\|\vec{x} - \vec{e}_{s}\|^{2} + o_{s}) = \arg\min_{s \in S} (\vec{x}^{\top}\vec{x} - 2\vec{e}_{s}^{\top}\vec{x} + \vec{e}_{s}^{\top}\vec{e}_{s} + o_{s}) =$$
  
= 
$$\arg\min_{s \in S} (\vec{x}^{\top}\vec{x} - 2(\vec{e}_{s}^{\top}\vec{x} - \frac{1}{2}(\vec{e}_{s}^{\top}\vec{e}_{s} + o_{s}))) =$$
  
= 
$$\arg\min_{s \in S} (\vec{x}^{\top}\vec{x} - 2(\vec{e}_{s}^{\top}\vec{x} - \frac{1}{2}(\vec{e}_{s}^{\top}\vec{e}_{s} + o_{s})) =$$
  
= 
$$\arg\max_{s \in S} (\vec{e}_{s}^{\top}\vec{x} + b_{s}) = \arg\max_{s \in S} g_{s}(\vec{x})$$

$$g_s(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}_s^\top \mathbf{x} + w_{s0}$$

$$s^* = \arg\min_{s \in S} \left( \|\vec{x} - \vec{e}_s\|^2 + o_s \right) = \arg\min_{s \in S} \left( \vec{x}^\top \vec{x} - 2 \vec{e}_s^\top \vec{x} + \vec{e}_s^\top \vec{e}_s + o_s \right) =$$
  
$$= \arg\min_{s \in S} \left( \vec{x}^\top \vec{x} - 2 \left( \vec{e}_s^\top \vec{x} - \frac{1}{2} (\vec{e}_s^\top \vec{e}_s + o_s) \right) \right) =$$
  
$$= \arg\min_{s \in S} \left( \vec{x}^\top \vec{x} - 2 \left( \vec{e}_s^\top \vec{x} + b_s \right) \right) =$$
  
$$= \arg\max_{s \in S} \left( \vec{e}_s^\top \vec{x} + b_s \right) = \arg\max_{s \in S} \left( \vec{e}_s (\vec{x}) + b_s \right) =$$

$$g_s(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}_s^\top \mathbf{x} + w_{s0}$$

$$s^* = \arg\min_{s\in S} \left( \|\vec{x} - \vec{e}_s\|^2 + o_s \right) = \arg\min_{s\in S} \left( \vec{x}^\top \vec{x} - 2 \vec{e}_s^\top \vec{x} + \vec{e}_s^\top \vec{e}_s + o_s \right) =$$
  
$$= \arg\min_{s\in S} \left( \vec{x}^\top \vec{x} - 2 \left( \vec{e}_s^\top \vec{x} - \frac{1}{2} (\vec{e}_s^\top \vec{e}_s + o_s) \right) \right) =$$
  
$$= \arg\min_{s\in S} \left( \vec{x}^\top \vec{x} - 2 \left( \vec{e}_s^\top \vec{x} + b_s \right) \right) =$$
  
$$= \arg\max_{s\in S} \left( \vec{e}_s^\top \vec{x} + b_s \right) = \arg\max_{s\in S} g_s(\vec{x}). \qquad b_s = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \vec{e}_s^\top \vec{e}_s + o_s \right)$$

$$g_s(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}_s^\top \mathbf{x} + w_{s0}$$

$$s^* = \arg\min_{s\in S} \left( \|\vec{x} - \vec{e}_s\|^2 + o_s \right) = \arg\min_{s\in S} \left( \vec{x}^\top \vec{x} - 2 \vec{e}_s^\top \vec{x} + \vec{e}_s^\top \vec{e}_s + o_s \right) =$$
  
$$= \arg\min_{s\in S} \left( \vec{x}^\top \vec{x} - 2 \left( \vec{e}_s^\top \vec{x} - \frac{1}{2} (\vec{e}_s^\top \vec{e}_s + o_s) \right) \right) =$$
  
$$= \arg\min_{s\in S} \left( \vec{x}^\top \vec{x} - 2 \left( \vec{e}_s^\top \vec{x} + b_s \right) \right) =$$
  
$$= \arg\max_{s\in S} \left( \vec{e}_s^\top \vec{x} + b_s \right) = \arg\max_{s\in S} g_s(\vec{x}). \qquad b_s = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \vec{e}_s^\top \vec{e}_s + o_s \right)$$

$$g_s(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}_s^\top \mathbf{x} + w_{s0}$$

# Learning and decision

Learningstage - learning models/function/parameters from data.Decisionstage - decide about a query  $\vec{x}$ .What to learn?

- Generative model : Learn  $P(\vec{x}, s)$ . Decide by computing  $P(s|\vec{x})$ .
- Discriminative model : Learn  $P(s|\vec{x})$
- Discriminant function : Learn  $g(\vec{x})$  which maps  $\vec{x}$  directly into class labels.

Linear discriminant function - two class case

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x} + w_0$$

Decide  $s_1$  if  $g(\mathbf{x}) > 0$  and  $s_2$  if  $g(\mathbf{x}) < 0$ 

Linear discriminant function - two class case



# Separating hyperplane

$$\mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x}_1 + w_0 = \mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x}_2 + w_0$$

 $\mathbf{w}^{\top}(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2) = 0$ 

g(x) gives an algebraic measure of the distance.

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_p + r \frac{\mathbf{w}}{\|\mathbf{w}\|}$$

as  $g(\mathbf{x}_{
ho})=0,$ and  $g(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{w}^{ op}\mathbf{x}+w_{0},$  then:

 $g(\mathbf{x}) = r \|\mathbf{w}\|$ 



# Separating hyperplane

$$\mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x}_1 + w_0 = \mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x}_2 + w_0$$

$$\mathbf{w}^{ op}(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2) = 0$$

 $g(\mathbf{x})$  gives an algebraic measure of the distance.

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_p + r \frac{\mathbf{w}}{\|\mathbf{w}\|}$$

as  $g(\mathbf{x}_{
ho})=0,$ and  $g(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{w}^{ op}\mathbf{x}+w_{0},$  then:

 $g(\mathbf{x}) = r \|\mathbf{w}\|$ 



# Separating hyperplane

$$\mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x}_1 + w_0 = \mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x}_2 + w_0$$

$$\boldsymbol{w}^\top(\boldsymbol{x}_1-\boldsymbol{x}_2)=0$$

 $g(\mathbf{x})$  gives an algebraic measure of the distance.

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_p + r \frac{\mathbf{w}}{\|\mathbf{w}\|}$$

as  $g(\mathbf{x}_p) = 0$ , and  $g(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x} + w_0$ , then:

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = r \|\mathbf{w}\|$$

 $X_3$  $\boldsymbol{x}_{p}$  $\mathcal{R}_{I}$  $\mathcal{R}_{2}$ 8 1 1 w  $x_2$ Ŋ  $X_{I}$ 

each class has its own discriminant function

$$g_s(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}_s^\top \mathbf{x} + w_{s0}$$

and the classification  $s^*$  is along the max.

### Two classes set-up

|S| = 2, i.e. two states (typically also classes)

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = \left\{ egin{array}{ccc} s = 1\,, & ext{if} & \mathbf{w}^{ op}\mathbf{x} + w_0 > 0\,, \ s = -1\,, & ext{if} & \mathbf{w}^{ op}\mathbf{x} + w_0 < 0\,. \end{array} 
ight.$$

$$\mathbf{x}_{j}' = s_{j} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \mathbf{x}_{j} \end{bmatrix}, \ \mathbf{w}' = \begin{bmatrix} w_{0} \\ \mathbf{w} \end{bmatrix}$$

for all  $\mathbf{x}'$ 

$$\mathbf{w'}^{\top}\mathbf{x'} > 0$$

drop the dashes to avoid notation clutter.

### Two classes set-up

|S| = 2, i.e. two states (typically also classes)

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = \left\{ egin{array}{ccc} s = 1 \,, & ext{if} & \mathbf{w}^{ op} \mathbf{x} + w_0 > 0 \,, \ s = -1 \,, & ext{if} & \mathbf{w}^{ op} \mathbf{x} + w_0 < 0 \,. \end{array} 
ight.$$

$$\mathbf{x}_{j}' = s_{j} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \mathbf{x}_{j} \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $\mathbf{w}' = \begin{bmatrix} w_{0} \\ \mathbf{w} \end{bmatrix}$ 

for all x'

 $\mathbf{w'}^{\top}\mathbf{x'} > 0$ 

drop the dashes to avoid notation clutter.

### Two classes set-up

|S| = 2, i.e. two states (typically also classes)

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = \left\{ egin{array}{ccc} s = 1 \,, & ext{if} & \mathbf{w}^{ op} \mathbf{x} + w_0 > 0 \,, \ s = -1 \,, & ext{if} & \mathbf{w}^{ op} \mathbf{x} + w_0 < 0 \,. \end{array} 
ight.$$

$$\mathbf{x}_{j}' = s_{j} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \mathbf{x}_{j} \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $\mathbf{w}' = \begin{bmatrix} w_{0} \\ \mathbf{w} \end{bmatrix}$ 

for all  $\mathbf{x}'$ 

$$\mathbf{w'}^{\top}\mathbf{x'} > 0$$

drop the dashes to avoid notation clutter.

# Solution (graphically)



Different notation in the book: substitute  $\mathbf{a} \leftarrow \mathbf{w}$  and  $y_1, y_2 \leftarrow x_1, x_2$ 

# Learning w, gradient descent

```
A criterion to be minimized J(\mathbf{w})
```

Initialize  $\mathbf{w},$  threshold  $\boldsymbol{\theta},$  learning rate  $\alpha$   $k \leftarrow \mathbf{0}$ 

#### repeat

$$\begin{split} k &\leftarrow k+1 \\ \mathbf{w} &\leftarrow \mathbf{w} - \alpha(k) \nabla J(\mathbf{w}) \\ \text{until } |\alpha(k) \nabla J(\mathbf{w})| < \theta \\ \text{return } \mathbf{w} \end{split}$$

## Learning w - Perceptron criterion

**Goal**: Find a weight vector  $\mathbf{w} \in \Re^{D+1}$  (original feature space dimensionality is D) such that:

$$\mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x}_{j} > 0$$
 ( $\forall j \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$ )

(Perceptron) Criterion to be minimized:

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}} - \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}$$

where  $\mathcal{X}$  is a set of missclassified x.

$$\nabla J(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}} -\mathbf{x}$$

## Learning w - Perceptron criterion

**Goal**: Find a weight vector  $\mathbf{w} \in \Re^{D+1}$  (original feature space dimensionality is D) such that:

$$\mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x}_{j} > 0 \qquad (\forall j \in \{1, 2, ..., m\})$$

(Perceptron) Criterion to be minimized:

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}} - \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}$$

where  $\mathcal{X}$  is a set of missclassified  $\mathbf{x}$ .



## Learning w - Perceptron criterion

**Goal**: Find a weight vector  $\mathbf{w} \in \Re^{D+1}$  (original feature space dimensionality is D) such that:

$$\mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{x}_{j} > 0 \qquad (\forall j \in \{1, 2, ..., m\})$$

(Perceptron) Criterion to be minimized:

$$J(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}} - \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{x}$$

where  $\mathcal{X}$  is a set of missclassified  $\mathbf{x}$ .

$$abla J(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}} -\mathbf{x}$$

# (Batch) Perceptron algorithm

Initialize **w**, threshold  $\theta$ , learning rate  $\alpha$   $k \leftarrow 0$ 

repeat

$$\begin{array}{l} k \leftarrow k + 1 \\ \mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + \alpha(k) \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}(k)} \mathbf{x} \\ \text{until } |\alpha(k) \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}(k)} \mathbf{x}| < \theta \\ \text{return } \mathbf{w} \end{array}$$

# Fixed-increment single-sample Perceptron

n patterns/samples, we are looping over all patterns repeatedly

Initialize w  $k \leftarrow 0$ repeat  $k \leftarrow (k+1) \mod n$ if  $\mathbf{x}^k$  missclassified, then  $\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{x}^k$ until all  $\mathbf{x}$  correctly classified return  $\mathbf{w}$ 















## Etalons: means vs found be perceptron



Figures from [5]

# Digit recognition - etalons means vs. perceptron



# What if not lin separable?



Dimension lifting

$$\mathbf{x} = [x, x^2]^\top$$

Dimension lifting,  $\mathbf{x} = [x, x^2]^{\top}$ 



# Performance comparison, parameters fixed



# LSQ approach to linear classification









https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Precision\_versus\_accuracy.svg 32/35

Accuracy vs precision



https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Accuracy\_and\_precision

# References I

Further reading: Chapter 18 of [4], or chapter 4 of [1], or chapter 5 of [2]. Many Matlab figures created with the help of [3]. You may also play with demo functions from [5].

[1] Christopher M. Bishop.

Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning. Springer Science+Bussiness Media, New York, NY, 2006. PDF freely downloadable.

 [2] Richard O. Duda, Peter E. Hart, and David G. Stork. *Pattern Classification*. John Wiley & Sons, 2nd edition, 2001.

[3] Votjěch Franc and Václav Hlaváč.
 Statistical pattern recognition toolbox.
 http://cmp.felk.cvut.cz/cmp/software/stprtool/index.html.

# References II

[4] Stuart Russell and Peter Norvig. Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach. Prentice Hall, 3rd edition, 2010. http://aima.cs.berkeley.edu/.

[5] Tomáš Svoboda, Jan Kybic, and Hlaváč Václav. Image Processing, Analysis and Machine Vision — A MATLAB Companion. Thomson, Toronto, Canada, 1<sup>st</sup> edition, September 2007. http://visionbook.felk.cvut.cz/.