

TRIANGULATIONS

PETR FELKEL

FEL CTU PRAGUE

felkel@fel.cvut.cz

https://cw.felk.cvut.cz/doku.php/courses/a4m39vg/start

Based on [Berg] and [Mount]

Version from 15.11.2012


Talk overview


Polygon triangulation

- Monotone polygon triangulation
- Monotonization of non-monotone polygon



- Input: set of 2D points
- Properties
- Incremental Algorithm
- Relation of DT in 2D and lower envelope (CH) in 3D and
 - relation of VD in 2D to upper envelope in 3D









Polygon triangulation problem

- Triangulation (in general)
 - = subdividing a spatial domain into simplices
- Application
 - decomposition of complex shapes into simpler shapes
 - art gallery problem (how many cameras and where)
- We will discuss
 - a simple polygon triangulation
 - without demand on triangle shapes
- Complexity of polygon triangulation
 - O(n) alg. exists [Chazelle91], but it is too complicated
 - practical algorithms run in O(n log n)




Simple polygon



= region enclosed by a closed polygonal chain that does not intersect itself

Visible points



= two points on the boundary are visible if the interior of the line segment joining them lies entirely in the interior of the polygon

Diagonal

= line segment joining any pair of visible vertices





- A polygonal chain C is strictly monotone with respect to line L, if any line orthogonal to L intersects C in at most one point
- A chain C is monotone with respect to line L, if any line orthogonal to L intersects C in at most one connected component (point, line segment,...)
- Polygon P is monotone with respect to line L, if its boundary (bnd(P), ∂P) can be split into two chains, each of which is monotone with respect to L





- Horizontally monotone polygon
 - = monotone with respect to *x*-axis
 - Can be tested in O(n)
 - Find leftmost and rightmost point in O(n)
 - Split boundary to upper and lower chain
 - Walk left to right, verifying that x-coord are non-








x-monotone polygon



- Every simple polygon can be triangulated
- Simple polygon with n vertices consists of
 - exactly n-2 triangles
 - exactly n-3 diagonals
 - Each diagonal is added onceO(n) sweep line algorithm exist







1 diagonal

n := n+1 => n+1-3 diagonals n+1=7=>4 diagonals)



Simple polygon triangulation

- Simple polygon can be triangulated in 2 steps:
 - 1. Partition the polygon into x-monotone pieces
 - 2. Triangulate all monotone pieces


(we will discuss the steps in the reversed order)






2. Triangulation of the monotone polygon

- Sweep left to right
- Triangulate everything you can by adding diagonals between visible points
- Remove triangulated region from further consideration DONE



Triangulation of the monotone polygon



Main invariant

Main invariant

- Let v_i be the vertex being just processed
- The untriangulated region left of v_i consists of two x-monotone chains (upper and lower)
- Each chain has at least one edge
- If it has more than one edge
 - these edges form a reflex chain
 - = sequence of vertices with interior angle ≥ 180°

Initial invariant


- Left vertex of the last added diagonal is u
- Vertices between u and v_i are waiting in the stack






Triangulation cases

- Case 1: v_i lies on the opposite chain
 - Add diagonals from next(u) to v_{i-1}
 - Set $u = v_{i-1}$. Last diagonal (invariant) is $v_i v_{i-1}$
- Case 2: v is on the same chain as v_{i-1}
 - a) walk back, adding diagonals joining v_i to prior vertices until the the angle becomes > 180° or u is reached)




1. Polygon subdivision into monotone pieces

 X-monotonicity breaks the polygon in vertices with edges directed both left or both right



 The monotone polygons parts are separated by the splitting diagonals (joining vertex and helper)



Data structures for subdivision

Events

- Endpoints of edges, known from the beginning
- Can be stored in sorted list no priority queue

Sweep status

- List of edges intersecting sweep line (top to bottom)
- Stored in O(log n) time dictionary (like balanced tree)

Event processing

 Six event types based on local structure of edges around vertex v






Helper – definition

$helper(e_a)$

= the rightmost vertically visible processed vertex below edge e_a on polygonal chain between edges e_a & e_b is visible to every point along the sweep line between e_a & e_b








Helper

$helper(e_a)$

is defined only for edges intersected by the sweep line








Six event types of vertex v

1. Split vertex

Find edge e above v,
 connect e with helper(e) by diagonal



- Add 2 new edges incident to v into SL status
- Set new helper(e) = helper(lower edge of these two) = v

2. Merge vertex

- Find two edges incident with v in SL status
- Delete both from SL status
- Let e is edge immediately above v
- Make helper(e) = v

[Mount]

(Interior angle >180° for both – split & merge vertices)






Six event types of vertex v


3. Start vertex

- Both incident edges lie right from v
- But interior angle <180°
- Insert both edges to SL status
- Set helper(upper edge) = v

4. End vertex

- Both incident edges lie left from v
- But interior angle <180°
- Delete both edges from SL status
- No helper set we are out of the polygon










Six event types of vertex v

5. Upper chain-vertex


- one side is to the left, one side to the right, interior is below
- replace the left edge with the right edge in SL status
- Make v helper of the new (upper) edge

6. Lower chain-vertex

- one side is to the left, one side to the right, interior is above
- replace the left edge with the right edge in SL status
 - Make v helper of the edge e above









Felkel: Computational geometry

Polygon subdivision complexity


- Simple polygon with n vertices can be partitioned into x-monotone polygons in
 - $O(n \log n)$ time and
 - O(n) storage






Dual graph G for a Voronoi diagram

Graph G: Node for each Voronoi-diagram cell $V(p) \sim VD$ site p





Delaunay graph and Delaunay triangulation

Delaunay graph DG(P) has convex polygonal faces

(with number of vertices ≥3, equal to the degree of Voronoi vertex)

Delaunay triangulation DT(P)

= Delaunay graph for sites in general position

- No four sites on a circle
- Faces are triangles (Voronoi vertices have degree = 3)


[Berg]

- DT is unique (DG not! Can be triangulated differently)

DG(P) sites not in general position

Triangulate larger faces – such triangulation is not





Circumcircle property

- The circumcircle of any triangle in DT is empty (no sites)
 Proof: It's center is the Voronoi vertex
- Three points a,b,c are vertices of the same face of DG(P) iff circle through a,b,c contains no point of P in its interior

Empty circle property and legal edge

Two points a,b form an edge of DG(P) – it is a legal edge iff \exists closed disc with a,b on its boundary that contains no other point of P in its interior ... disc minimal diameter = dist(a,b)

Closest pair property


The closest pair of points in P are neighbors in DT(P)





Delaunay triangulation properties

- DT edges do not intersect
- Triangulation T is legal, iff T is a Delaunay triangulation (i.e., if it does not contain illegal edges)
- Edge that was legal before may become illegal if one of the triangles incident to it changes
- In convex quadrilateral abcd
 (abcd do not lie on common circle)
 exactly one of ac, bd
 is an illegal edge
 = principle of edge flip operation





Edge flip operation

Edge flip

- = a local operation, that increases the angle vector
- Given two adjacent triangles △abc and △cda such that their union forms a convex quadrilateral, the edge flip operation replaces the diagonal ac with bd.






Delaunay triangulation

- Let T be a triangulation with m triangles (and 3m angles)
- Angle-vector
 - = non-decreasing ordered sequence ($\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_{3m}$) angles of triangles, $\alpha_i \leq \alpha_j$, for i < j
- Delaunay triangulation has the lexicographically largest angle sequence
 - It maximizes the minimal angle (the first angle in angle-vector)
 - It maximizes the second minimal angle, ...
 - It maximizes all angles
 - It is an angle optimal triangulation






Thales's theorem (624-546 BC)



Respective Central Angle Theorem



- Let C = circle,
 - l = line intersecting C in pointsa, b
 - p,q,r,s = points on the same side of l
 - p,q on C, r is in, s is out
 - Then for the angles holds:

$$\triangleleft arb > \triangleleft apb = \triangleleft aqb > \triangleleft asb$$


http://www.mathopenref.com/arccentralangletheorem.html





Edge flip of illegal edge and angle vector


The minimum angle increases after the edge flip



=> After limited number of edge flips

Terminate with lexicographically maximum triangulation

It satisfies the empty circle condition => Delauney T



Incremental algorithm principle

- 1. Create a large triangle containing all points (to avoid problems with unbounded cells)
 - must be larger than the largest circle through 3 points
 - will be discarded at the end
- 2. Insert the points in random order
 - Find triangle with inserted point p
 - Add edges to its vertices (these new edges are correct)
 - Check correctness of the old edges (triangles)
 "around p" and legalize (flip) potentially illegal edges
- 3. Discard the large triangle and incident edges






Incremental algorithm in detail

DelaunayTriangulation(P)

Input: Set *P* of *n* points in the plane *Output:* A Delaunay triangulation *T* of *P*



- 1. Let p_{-2} , p_{-1} , p_0 form a triangle large enough to contain P
- 2. Initialize T as the triangulation consisting a single triangle $p_{-2}p_{-1}p_0$ p_{-1}
- 3. Compute random permutation p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n of $P \setminus \{p_0\}$
- **4.** for r = 1 to n do
- 5. $T = Insert(p_r, T)$
- 6. Discard p_{-1} , p_{-2} , p_{-3} with all incident edges from T
- 7. return T






Incremental algorithm – insertion of a point

Insert(p, T)

Input: Point *p* being inserted into triangulation *T*

Output: Correct Delaunay triangulation after insertion of p

- 1. Find a triangle $abc \in T$ containing p
- 2. if p lies in the interior of abc then
- 3. Insert edges *pa, pb, pc* into triangulation *T* (splitting *abc* into 3 triangles *pab, pbc, pca*)
- 4. LegalizeEdge(p, ab, T)
- 5. LegalizeEdge(p, bc, T)
- 6. LegalizeEdge(p, ca, T)
- 7. else // p lies on the edge of abc, say ab, point d is right from edge ab
- 8. Remove *ab* and insert edges *pa, pb, pc, pd* into triangulation *T* (splitting *abc* and *abd* into 4 triangles *pad, pdb, pbc, pca*)
- 9. LegalizeEdge(p, ab, T)
- 10. LegalizeEdge(p, bc, T)
- 11. LegalizeEdge(p, cd, T)
- 12. LegalizeEdge(p, da, T)
- 13. return T



Felkel: Computational geometry

Incremental algorithm - edge legalization

LegalizeEdge(p, ab, T)


Input: Edge *ab* being checked after insertion of point *p* to triangulation *T Output:* Delaunay triangulation of $p \cup T$

- 1. if(ab is edge on the exterior face) return
- 2. let *d* be the vertex to the right of edge *ab*
- 3. if (inCircle(p, a, d, b)) // d is in the circle around $pab \Rightarrow d$ is illegal
- 4. Flip edge ab for pd
- 5. LegalizeEdge(p, ad, T)
- 6. LegalizeEdge(p, db, T)

Insertion of *p* may make edges *ab*, *bc* & *ca* illegal (circle around *pab* will contain point *d*)

After edge flip, the edge *pd* will be legal (the circumcircles of the resulting triangles *pdb*, and *pad* will bee empty)

We must check and possibly flip edges ad, db






Inserted point *p*


Berg

Correctness of edge flip of illegal edge

- Assume point p is in C (it violates DT criteria for adb)
- adb was a triangle of DT => C was an empty circle
- Create circle C' trough point p, C' is inscribed to C, C'⊂ C
 - => C' is also an empty circle
 - => new edge pd is a Delaunay edge



DT- point insert and mesh legalization




Every new edge created due to insertion of p will be incident to p






Delaunay triangulation – other point insert










Felkel: Computational geometry













Felkel: Computational geometry


(40 / 61)






≠≠≠± → DCGI

Felkel: Computational geometry





Correctness of the algorithm

- Every new edge (created due to insertion of p)
 - is incident to p
 - must be legal=> no need to test them
- Edge can only become illegal if one of its incident triangle changes
 - Algorithm tests any edge that may become illegalthe algorithm is correct
- Every edge flip makes the angle-vector larger=> algorithm can never get into infinite loop





- For finding a triangle abc ∈ T containing p
 - Leaves for triangles
 - Internal nodes for destroyed triangles
 - Links to new triangles
- Search p: start in root (initial triangle)
 - In each inner node of T:
 - Check all children (max three)
 - Descend to child containing p






Simplified


- it should contain the root node



















[Berg]

InCircle test

- a,b,c are counterclockwise in the plane
- Test, if d lies to the left of the oriented circle through a,b,c

inCircle(a, b, c, d) = det
$$\begin{pmatrix} a_x & a_y & a_x^2 + a_y^2 & 1 \\ b_x & b_y & b_x^2 + b_y^2 & 1 \\ c_x & c_y & c_x^2 + c_y^2 & 1 \\ d_x & d_y & d_x^2 + d_y^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} > 0$$

Felkel: Computational geometry

Creation of the initial triangle

- For given points set P
- Initial triangle $p_{-2}p_{-1}p_0$
 - Must contain all points of P
 - Must not be (none of its points) in any circle defined by non-collinear points of P







 p_{-1} = lies on I_{-1} as far right that p_{-1} lies outside every circle defined by 3 non-collinear points of P

Symbolical tests with this triangle => p_{-1} and p_{-2} always



 p_{-1}

[Mount]



Complexity of incremental DT algorithm


- Delaunay triangulation of a set P in the plane can be computed in
 - O(n log n) expected time
 - using O(n) storage
- For details see [Berg, Section 9.4]





Delaunay triangulations and Convex hulls

- Delaunay triangulation in R^d can be computed as part of the convex hull in R^{d+1}
- 2D: Connection is the paraboloid: $z = x^2 + y^2$



Project onto paraboloid.

Compute convex hull.

Project hull faces back to plane.







Vertical projection of points to paraboloid

Vertical projection of 2D point to paraboloid in 3D

$$(x, y) \rightarrow (x, y, x^2 + y^2)$$

- Lower convex hull
 - = portion of CH visible from $z = -\infty$








Relation between CH and DT

- Delaunay condition (2D) Points $p,q,r \in S$ form a Delaunay triangle **iff** the circumcircle of p,q,r is empty (contains no point)
- Convex hull condition (3D) Points $p',q',r' \in S'$ form a face of CH(S') iff the plane passing through p',q',r' is supporting S'
 - all other points lie to one side of the plane
 - plane passing through p',q',r' is supporting hyperplane of the convex hull CH(S')





Relation between CH and DT



- 4 distinct points p,q,r,s in the plane, and let p', q', r', s' be their respective projections onto the paraboloid, $z = x^2 + y^2$.
- The point s lies within the circumcircle of pqr iff s' lies on the lower side of the plane passing through p', q', r'.





Tangent plane to paraboloid

- Non-vertical tangent plane through $(a, b, a^2 + b^2)$
- Paraboloid $z = x^2 + y^2$
- Derivation at this point

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = 2x \qquad \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} = 2y$$

- Evaluates to 2a and 2b
- Plane: $z = 2ax + 2by + \gamma$ $a^2 + b^2 = 2aa + 2bb + \gamma$

 $\gamma = -(a^2 + b^2)$

■ Tangent plane through point $(a, b, a^2 + b^2)$

$$z = 2ax + 2by - (a^2 + b^2)$$



Plane intersecting the paraboloid


- Non-vertical tangent plane through $(a, b, a^2 + b^2)$ $z = 2ax + 2by - (a^2 + b^2)$
- Shift this plane r^2 upwards -> secant plane intersects the paraboloid in an ellipse in 3D $z = 2ax + 2by (a^2 + b^2) + r^2$
- Eliminate z (project to 2D) $z = x^2 + y^2$ $x^2 + y^2 = 2ax + 2by - (a^2 + b^2) + r^2$
- This is a circle projected to 2D with center (a, b):

$$(x-a^2)+(y-b^2)=r^2$$






Tangent and secant planes



Secant plane defined by three points









Test inCircle – meaning in 3D

- Points p,q,r are counterclockwise in the plane
- Test, if s lies in the circumcircle of $\triangle pqr$ is equal to
 - = test, weather s' lies within a lower half space of the plane passing through p',q',r' (3D)
 - = test, if quadruple p',q',r',s' is positively oriented (3D)
 - = test, if *s lies* to the left of the oriented circle through *abc* (2D)

$$in(p,q,r,s) = \det \begin{pmatrix} p_x & p_y & p_x^2 + p_y^2 & 1\\ q_x & q_y & q_x^2 + q_y^2 & 1\\ r_x & r_y & r_x^2 + r_y^2 & 1\\ s_x & s_y & s_x^2 + s_y^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} > 0.$$





An the Voronoi diagram?

- VD and DT are dual structures
- Points and lines in the plane are dual to points and planes in 3D space
- VD of points in the plane can be transformed to intersection of halfspaces in 3D space






Voronoi diagram as upper envelope in Rd+1

- For each point p = (a, b) a tangent plane to the paraboloid is $z = 2ax + 2by (a^2 + b^2) + r^2$
- $H^+(p)$ is the set of points above this plane

$$H^+(p) = \{(x, y, z) \mid z \ge 2ax + 2by - (a^2 + b^2) + r^2\}$$



VD of points in the plane can be computed as intersection of halfspaces $H^+(p_i)$

This intersection of halfspaces


- = unbounded convex polyhedron
- = upper envelope of halfspaces

 $H^+(p_i)$

Felkel: Computational geometry



Voronoi diagram as upper envelope in 3D



Derivation of projected Voronoi edge

2 points: p = (a, b) and q = (c, d) in the plane $z = 2ax + 2by - (a^2 + b^2)$ Tangent planes $z = 2cx + 2dy - (c^2 + d^2)$ to paraboloid

Intersect the planes, project onto xy (eliminate z)

$$x(2a-2c) + y(2b-2d) = (a^2 - c^2) + (b^2 - d^2)$$

ullet This line passes through midpoint between p and q

$$\frac{a+c}{2}(2a-2c) + \frac{b+d}{2}(2b-2d) = (a^2-c^2) + (b^2-d^2)$$

It is perpendicular bisector with slope



$$-(a-c)/(b-d)$$

[Mount]

References

- [Berg] Mark de Berg, Otfried Cheong, Marc van Kreveld, Mark Overmars: Computational Geometry: Algorithms and Applications, Springer-Verlag, 3rd rev. ed. 2008. 386 pages, 370 fig. ISBN: 978-3-540-77973-5, Chapters 3 and 9, http://www.cs.uu.nl/geobook/
- [Mount] David Mount, CMSC 754: Computational Geometry, Lecture Notes for Spring 2007, University of Maryland, Lectures 7,22, 13,14, and 30.

 http://www.cs.umd.edu/class/spring2007/cmsc754/lectures.shtml
- [Rourke] Joseph O'Rourke: .: Computational Geometry in C, Cambridge University Press, 1993, ISBN 0-521- 44592-2 http://maven.smith.edu/~orourke/books/compgeom.html
- [Fukuda] Komei Fukuda: Frequently Asked Questions in Polyhedral Computation. Version June 18, 2004
 http://www.ifor.math.ethz.ch/~fukuda/polyfaq/polyfaq.html



