# Agent-based Modeling and Simulation

#### Michal Jakob and Michal Pěchouček

<u>Agent Technology Center</u>, Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering, FEE, Czech Technical University

AE4M36MAS Autumn 2012 - Lect. 13

#### **O** OTEVŘENÁ INFORMATIKA



#### **Motivation**

- We live in an **increasingly complex world.** Systems that need to be analyzed are becoming more complex
  - Decentralization of Decision-Making: "Deregulated"electric power industry
  - Systems Approaching Design Limits: Transportation networks
  - Increasing Physical and Economic Interdependencies: infrastructures (electricity, natural gas, telecommunications)
- In complex adaptive / interconnected multi-agent systems
  - Extrapolating past does not always work
  - Intuition may be misleading
- → We need computation tools to assist us in understanding and improving the operation of such systems.



## **Computational Modeling / Simulation**

 Computational modeling / computer simulation is a powerful tool for obtaining insight and foresight regarding the operation of complicated systems



## Modeling Cycle





# Beyond Insight: Simulation-based Optimization



From: Borshchev, A. et al (2004): From system dynamics and discrete event to practical agent based modeling: Reasons, techniques, tools



#### **S&M Approaches**



#### System dynamic

- states, feedbacks and delay structures
- continuous
- global, aggregate view

#### Discrete Event

- entities and resources
- discrete, eventbased
- global entity processing algorithm

#### **Agent-Based**

- active entities within an environment
- decentralized, individual perspective
- global behavior emerges

#### Dynamic Systems

- state variables and differential equations
- direct physical meaning, no aggregation



## Top-down (Equation) vs. Bottom-up (Agent) –based Approach



## **Agent-based Simulation**

- Based on localized (micro-) behaviours and interactions
- State and state updating is distributed throughout the entities of the model
- No high-level, fixed process structure (but structure can emerge dynamically)



#### Levels of Abstraction



From: Borshchev, A. et al (2004): From system dynamics and discrete event to practical agent based modeling: Reasons, techniques, tools



# Illustrative Examples: Maritime traffic and piracy modelling

- Modelling movement and activity of vessels in piracy-affected waters
- Allows assessing the efficiency of countermeasures under different circumstances





## Illustrative Example: Crowd Modelling

- Pedestrian simulation
  - Each pedestrian modeled as an agent sensing the environment and interacting with other pedestrian agents
- The model allows
  - determining crowd flows and densities under various scenarios
  - optimizing crowded public spaces for capacity, comfort and safety



# Architecture Agent-based Simulation Models



#### Structure of Agent-based Simulations





#### **Structure of Agent-based Simulations**



Agents drive the model through local behaviors and direct and indirect interaction with each other and with the environment **Environment state** is modified by agent actions and/or agent-independent/passive processes (e.g. weather)



#### **Structure of Agent-based Model**





16

#### **Agent Behaviour Representation**



- 1. Simple / Reactive architecture
- 2. Complex / Cognitive /Deliberative architecture



17

#### **Agent Behavior: Simple Approaches**

• scripts

```
(if(Said('look'))
    Print("You are in an empty room")
)
(if(Said('take/key'))
    (if(send gEgo:has(INV_KEY))
        Print("You already have it!")
    )(else
        (if(send gEgo:inRect(150 150 170 170)))
```

• (hierarchical) finite state machines



Nested States

Hierarchy Tree

• rule engines





18

#### Agent Behavior: Complex Approaches





## **Agent Behavior: Complex Approaches**

#### • Belief Desire Intention (BDI) Architecture

- AI-based
- aims to maximize agent's performance (utility)

#### Cognitive Agent Architecture

- biologically / cognitive science-based
- aims to realistically replicate human cognitive biases / limitations
- Both computationally very heavy => not suitable for models with many agents



#### Interaction Topologies / Spatial Structure





#### Sensors

- Enables the agent to access environmental state
  - low-level direct perception (e.g. image from a camera)
  - high-level interpreted scene (e.g. walls, people)
- Push vs. Pull sensors
- Efficient implementation crucial in more complex environments
  - partitioning
  - caching
- Examples: Detecting a nearby pirate vessel, observing traffic lights



#### example sensor model



#### Actions

- Describe how agents can affect the environment state
- Can be instant or take some time
- Can be deterministic and probabilistic
- Joint-actions also possible
- Examples: hijacking a vessel, boarding a bus, walking to a next junction



#### Communication

- Models explicit message-based interaction between agents
  - implicit interaction modelled through actions and sensors
- Two components
  - content
  - protocols
  - can be based on general agent communication languages (ACL) but typically simpler
- Different level of environment-affected mediation possible
  - distance and/or line of sight restrictions
  - noise / unreliable link
- Example: distress call to a navy vessel, ordering a taxi



## Simulation Platform / Infrastructure

- Initialization
- Scheduling/handling state and sensor updates
- Logging and reporting
- Parallelization / Distribution
- Design of experiments



## Simulation Architecture (AgentPolis)





#### AgentC Example





## **Developing agent-based simulations**



#### (Data-driven) Simulation Process





#### **Model Development Process**





# Problem conceptualization (model analysis and specification)

- Problem/research question articulation
- Model scope/boundary selection
  - endogenous vs. exogenous vs. ignored
  - purpose is king: only add features to the model if necessary
  - level of detail
- Key entities & their relationships
  - agents (&collectives)
  - environment
  - nesting hierarchy and/or interaction networks
- Model outputs of interest
- Data



### **Conceptualizaton Example (AgentC)**

- Scope:
  - area of interest: Gulf of Aden and Indian Ocean
  - time of interest: 2005-now
  - attacks (endogeneous), weather (exogeneous), currents (ingored)
- Key entities
  - vessels: merchant, pirate and navy
  - environment: navigable waters, corridors, ports and anchorages
  - interactions: pirate attack
- Model outputs
  - attack statistics, transit distance and duration
- Data
  - merchant traffic patters, pirate incidence statistics, vessel operational parameters,...



## Model Design

- Parameter & state variables identification
- Behavioral fragments
- Interaction diagrams
- Evnironment objects
- Actions and sensors
- Key events
- Output metrics
- Three approaces
  - agent-driven
  - interaction-driven
  - environmet-driven



#### Model Design Example (Pirate vessel)

Parameter	Values	
Home anchorage	base id	
Cruising speed	[8, 14] kn	

Pursuit speed	[25,30] kn	
Endurance	[7, 21] days	
Visibility radius	[5, 12] nm	
Attack time Cool-down time	30 min [1, 4] hr	
Navy knowledge	[0, 1]	
Hijack prob. $ ho_u$	[0, 1]	



Hijack prob.  $\rho_a$  [0, 1]



### Model Design Example (Pirate attack)



Parameter	Values
M Cruising speed	[10, 20] kn
M Alertness	$[0, 60] \text{ hr}^{-1}$
M Awareness	Y/N
P Visibility radius	[5, 12] nm
P Pursuit speed	[25,30] kn
P Attack time	30 min
P Hijack prob. $\rho_u$ , $\rho_a$	[0, 1]
N Helicopter	Y/N
N Action radius	[100, 200] nm
N Helicopter speed	[140, 170] kn
N Cruising speed	[20, 30] nm



## **Data Collection and Preprocessing**

- Dataset acquisition
- Data selection and filtering
- Data cleaning and quality checking
- Import / format conversion
- Database / data store creation



## Data Examples (AgentC)



Global AIS tracks (2-day sample 28-29 Jan 2010) Pirate incidents (2005-2010)

© 2010 Tele Atlan

© 2010 Google

© 2010 Europa Technologies

US Dept of State Geographer

hijacked (product tanker) Gulf of Aden, 2009-01-03

Armed pirates in four boats attacked and hijacked a product tanker underway.

Information indicates the vessel has been taken to Eyl. 15 crewmembers taken hostage. Further details are awaited. Directions: To here - From here



attempted hijacking (product)

British Indian Ocean Territory

attempted hijacking (roll-

### **Model Implementation**

- Implementation of design artifacts into executable code
- General programming languages (Java, C++) or specialpurpose
- Import filters implementation
- Reporting scripts



## **Platforms and Tools**

- General platforms still only in an early stage
  - academic/open-source: <u>RePast</u>, <u>NetLogo</u>, <u>AScape</u>
  - commercial: <u>AnyLogic</u>
  - Alite (including the support for distributed simulation)
- Special-purpose platforms more mature
  - traffic modeling: AgentPolis, <u>AIMSUN</u>, <u>Quadstone Paramics</u>
  - pedestrian modeling: <u>LEGION</u>, <u>Pedestrian Simulation</u>
- GIS tools and data sources
  - Google Earth, <u>NASA WorldWind</u>
  - <u>http://www.openstreetmap.org/</u>









#### **Calibration and Validation**



#### **AgentC Calibration Example**

Parameter	Attack Dis- tribution	Attack Fre- quency	Hijack Ratio
#N	0.15	0.24	0.32
#P	0.046	0.74	0.041
P Visibility radius	0.052	0.26	0.11
M Alertness	0.053	0.075	0.20
P Hijack prob. $\rho_a$ , $\rho_u$	0.057	0.078	0.16
P Navy knowledge	0.1	0.085	0.14



Fig. 8. Merchant traffic sub-model calibration. (a) Density map for merchant traffic sub-model. (b) Reference AMVER 2011 traffic density map. (c) SR curves for the merchant traffic sub-model (blue) and the AMVER density map (red). The red SR curve of the AMVER model captures the theoretical upper-bound achievable for a given spatial resolution of the model: 20% of the AMVER top ranking cells cover 70% of the AMVER traffic; 20% of the AGENTC merchant traffic sub-model top ranking cells cover approximately 64% of the AMVER traffic.



#### Results Evaluation Example (AgentC)



Under 60 deployed patrols, randomized transit is more secure. Over 60 patrols, corridor extensions provide better protection and boost patrol efficiency.



## Discussion



#### Advantages of ABM

- Higher expressivity / modeling power
  - some behaviors cannot be expressed using equations
- Natural description with direct correspondence
- Easier **deployment** / translation back to practice
- Ability to capture **adaptivity, emergence** and **heterogeneity**
- Additional level of validation
  - individual level in addition to global
- Facilitates integration of multiple models

ABMs give more realistic results than EBMs for manageable levels of representational detail



#### **Barriers and Enablers**

- High computational cost
- Large amounts of calibration data required
- Lack of industry-strength platforms and tools
- (Paradigm shift)

← cloud deployment← instrumentation



#### When to Use ABMS

- Agents exhibit complex behavior, including learning and adaptation,
- Agent's behavior has non-smooth/discrete dynamics with thresholds, if-then rules etc.
- Interactions between agents are context-dependent, nonlinear, discontinuous, or discrete; network-effects apply
- Topology of the interactions is heterogeneous, complex and dynamic
- Population of agents is heterogeneous
- Space is crucial and the agents' positions are not fixed
- System-level equation are not known



## **Application Areas**

- Infrastructures
  - traffic and transport: development of traffic networks, understanding and eliminating congestion, increasing safety
  - electricity markets
- Crowds
  - pedestrian modeling
  - capacity optimization, evacuation procedures
- Organizations
  - organization design optimization, operation risk estimation
- Markets and economies
  - supply chains and logistics
- Computer networks
  - bandwidth usage estimation, worm infection modeling
- Security
  - crime modeling, vulnerability estimation



#### Simulations in ATG



Air traffic



## Unmanned aerial vehicles



Business processes



Maritime traffic



Urban life







#### Conclusions

- Most recent addition to modeling and simulation toolbox
- **Bottom-up** approach (micro to macro)
- Most suitable for complex systems composed of autonomous, interacting entities
- Allows high-fidelity models at the expense of highcomputational costs
- Mature tools exist for specific domains (e.g. transport, crowds);
   General purpose platforms and tools still under development

