

Logical reasoning and programming, lab session IX

(November 26, 2018)

IX.1 Produce equivalent formulae in prenex form:

- (a) $\forall X(p(X) \rightarrow \forall Y(q(X, Y) \rightarrow \neg \forall Zr(Y, Z)))$,
- (b) $\exists Xp(X, Y) \rightarrow (q(X) \rightarrow \neg \forall Zp(X, Z))$,
- (c) $\exists Xp(X, Y) \rightarrow (q(X) \rightarrow \neg \exists Zp(X, Z))$,
- (d) $p(X, Y) \rightarrow \exists Y(q(Y) \rightarrow (\exists Xq(X) \rightarrow r(Y)))$,
- (e) $\forall Yq(Y) \rightarrow (\forall Xq(X) \rightarrow r(Z))$.

IX.2 In **IX.1** you could obtain in some cases various prefixes; the order of quantifiers can be different. Are all these variants correct?

IX.3 Can we produce a formula equivalent to **IX.1e** with just one quantifier?

IX.4 Produce Skolemized formulae equisatisfiable with those in **IX.1**. Try to produce as simple as possible Skolem functions.

IX.5 Skolemize the following formula

$$\forall X(p(a) \vee \exists Y(q(Y) \wedge \forall Z(p(Y, Z) \vee \exists Uq(X, Y))) \vee \exists Wq(a, W)).$$

Why is it possible in this particular case to do that without producing an equivalent formula in prenex form?

IX.6 Unify the following pairs of formulae:

- (a) $\{p(X, Y) \doteq p(Y, f(Z))\}$,
- (b) $\{p(a, Y, f(Y)) \doteq p(Z, Z, U)\}$,
- (c) $\{p(X, g(X)) \doteq p(Y, Y)\}$,
- (d) $\{p(X, g(X), Y) \doteq p(Z, U, g(U))\}$,
- (e) $\{p(g(X), Y) \doteq p(Y, Y), p(Y, Y) \doteq p(U, f(W))\}$.

IX.7 What is the size of the maximal term that is produced when you try to unify

$$\{f(g(X_1, X_1), g(X_2, X_2), \dots, g(X_{n-1}, X_{n-1})) \doteq f(X_2, X_3, \dots, X_n)\}$$