



**OPPA European Social Fund
Prague & EU: We invest in your future.**



DCGI

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER GRAPHICS AND INTERACTION

TRIANGULATIONS

PETR FELKEL

FEL CTU PRAGUE

felkel@fel.cvut.cz



<http://service.felk.cvut.cz/courses/X36VGE>

Based on [Berg] and [Mount]

Version from 23.11.2011


Talk overview

- Polygon triangulation
 - Monotone polygon triangulation
 - Monotonization of non-monotone polygon
- Delaunay triangulation (DT)
 - Input: set of 2D points
 - Properties
 - Incremental Algorithm
 - Relation of DT in 2D and lower envelope (CH) in 3D and
relation of VD in 2D to upper envelope in 3D




Polygon triangulation problem

- Triangulation (in general)
= subdividing a spatial domain into simplices
- Application
 - decomposition of complex shapes into simpler shapes
 - art gallery problem (how many cameras and where)
- We will discuss
 - a simple polygon triangulation
 - without demand on triangle shapes
- Complexity of polygon triangulation
 - $O(n)$ alg. exists [Chazelle91], but it is too complicated
 - practical algorithms run in $O(n \log n)$




Terminology

Simple polygon



= region enclosed by a closed polygonal chain that does not intersect itself

Visible points



= two points on the boundary are visible if the interior of the line segment joining them lies entirely in the interior of the polygon

Diagonal

= line segment joining any pair of visible vertices




Terminology

- A polygonal chain C is **strictly monotone with respect to line L** , if any line orthogonal to L intersects C in at most one *point*
- A chain C is **monotone with respect to line L** , if any line orthogonal to L intersects C in at most one *connected component* (point, line segment,...)
- Polygon P is **monotone with respect to line L** , if its boundary ($\text{bnd}(P)$, ∂P) can be split into two chains, each of which is monotone with respect to L




Terminology

- **Horizontally monotone polygon**
= monotone with respect to x -axis
 - Can be tested in $O(n)$
 - Find leftmost and rightmost point in $O(n)$
 - Split boundary to **upper and lower chain**
 - Walk left to right, verifying that x -coord are non-decreasing



x-monotone polygon


[Mount]




Terminology

- Every simple polygon can be triangulated
- Simple polygon with n vertices consists of
 - exactly $n-2$ triangles
 - exactly $n-3$ diagonals
 - Each diagonal is added once
=> $O(n)$ sweep line algorithm exist


Proof by induction




$n = 3 \Rightarrow 0$ diagonal



$n = 4 \Rightarrow 1$ diagonal



$n = n+1 \Rightarrow n + 1 - 3$ diagonals
($n = 7 \Rightarrow 4$ diagonals)



Simple polygon triangulation


- Simple polygon can be triangulated in 2 steps:
 1. Partition the polygon into x-monotone pieces
 2. Triangulate all monotone pieces

(we will discuss the steps in the reversed order)






2. Triangulation of the monotone polygon

- Sweep left to right
- Triangulate everything you can by adding diagonals between visible points
- Remove triangulated region from further consideration - DONE




Triangulation of the monotone polygon




from stack

To stack



from stack


[Mount]



Main invariant

Main invariant

- Let v_i be the vertex being just processed
- The **untriangulated region** left of v_i consists of **two x-monotone chains** (upper and lower)
- Each chain has at least one edge
- If it has more than one edge
 - these edges form a **reflex chain**
 - = sequence of vertices with interior angle $\geq 180^\circ$






Initial invariant

- Left vertex of the last added diagonal is u
- Vertices between u and v_i are waiting in the **stack**




Triangulation cases

- Case 1: v_i lies on the opposite chain
 - Add diagonals from $\text{next}(u)$ to v_{i-1}
 - Set $u = v_{i-1}$. Last diagonal (invariant) is $v_i v_{i-1}$
- Case 2: v is on the same chain as v_{i-1}
 - a) walk back, adding diagonals joining v_i to prior vertices until the the angle becomes $> 180^\circ$ or u is reached)




1. Polygon subdivision into monotone pieces


- X-monotonicity breaks the polygon in vertices with edges directed **both left** or **both right**



- The monotone polygons parts are separated by the **splitting diagonals** (joining **vertex and helper**)



Splitting diagonals



Monotone decomposition

[Mount]



Data structures for subdivision

■ Events

- Endpoints of edges, known from the beginning
- Can be stored in sorted list – no priority queue

■ Sweep status

- List of edges intersecting sweep line (top to bottom)
- Stored in $O(\log n)$ time dictionary (like balanced tree)

■ Event processing

- Six event types based on local structure of edges around vertex v




Helper – definition

helper(e_a)

= the **rightmost vertically visible** processed vertex **below** edge e_a on polygonal chain between e_a & e_b

- visible to every point along the sweep line between e_a & e_b



○ = vertically visible processed vertex

← all these vertices see the helper u ○


v = current vertex (sweep line stop)



Helper

$\text{helper}(e_a)$


is defined only for edges intersected by the sweep line



Six event types of vertex v


■ Split vertex

- Find edge e above v ,
connect e with $\text{helper}(e)$ by diagonal
- Add 2 new edges incident to v into SL status
- Set new **$\text{helper}(e) = \text{helper}(\text{lower edge of these two}) = v$**




■ Merge vertex

- Find two edges incident with v in SL status
- Delete both from SL status
- Let e is edge immediately above v
- Make **$\text{helper}(e) = v$**



[Mount]


(Interior angle $> 180^\circ$ for both – split & merge vertices)



Six event types of vertex v


■ Start vertex

- Both incident edges lie right from v
- But interior angle $< 180^\circ$
- Insert both edges to SL status
- Set **helper(upper edge)** = v




■ End vertex

- Both incident edges lie left from v
- But interior angle $< 180^\circ$
- Delete both edges from SL status
- No helper set – we are out of the polygon




[Mount]



Six event types of vertex v


■ Upper chain-vertex

- one side is to the left, one side to the right, interior is below
- replace the left edge with the right edge in SL status
- Make v **helper** of the new (upper) edge




■ Lower chain-vertex

- one side is to the left, one side to the right, interior is above
- replace the left edge with the right edge in SL status
- Make v **helper** of the edge e above



Polygon subdivision complexity


- Simple polygon with n vertices can be partitioned into x-monotone polygons in
 - $O(n \log n)$ time and
 - $O(n)$ storage



Dual graph G for a Voronoi diagram

Graph G : **Node** for each Voronoi-diagram cell $V(p)$

Arc connects neighboring cells
(arc for every voronoi edge)




Delaunay graph $DG(P)$

[Boris Nikolajevič Delone]


= straight line embedding of G
(straight-line dual of Voronoi diagram)

- **Node** for cell $V(p)$ is site p
- **Arc (DT edge)** connecting cells $V(p)$ and $V(q)$ is the **segment pq**



Delaunay graph and Delaunay triangulation

- *Delaunay graph* $DG(P)$ has convex polygonal faces (with number of vertices ≥ 3 , equal to the degree of Voronoi vertex)
- *Delaunay triangulation* $DT(P)$ = Delaunay graph for sites in general position
 - No four sites on a circle
 - Faces are **triangles** (Voronoi vertices have **degree = 3**)
 - DT is unique (DG not!)



$DG(P)$ sites not in general position

- Triangulate larger faces – such triangulation is not unique



Circumcircle property

- The circumcircle of any triangle in DT is empty (no sites)
Proof: It's center is the Voronoi vertex
- Three points a, b, c are **vertices of the same face** of $DG(P)$ iff circle through a, b, c contains no point of P in its interior

Empty circle property and legal edge

- Two points a, b form an **edge of $DG(P)$** – it is a **legal edge** iff ' closed disc with a, b on its boundary that contains no other point of P in its interior

... disc minimal diameter = $\text{dist}(a, b)$

Closest pair property


- The closest pair of points in P are neighbors in $DT(P)$



Delaunay triangulation properties

2/2

- DT edges do not intersect
- Triangulation T is **legal**, iff T is a Delaunay triangulation (i.e., if it does not contain illegal edges)
- Edge that was legal before **may become illegal** if one of the triangles incident to it changes
- In convex quadrilateral $abcd$ ($abcd$ do not lie on common circle) **exactly one** of ac, bd is an illegal edge = principle of **edge flip** operation



[Berg]




Edge flip operation

Edge flip

= a local operation, that increases the angle vector

- Given two adjacent triangles abc and cda such that their union forms a convex quadrilateral, the **edge flip** operation replaces the diagonal ac with bd .



[Berg]




Delaunay triangulation

- Let T be a triangulation with m triangles (and $3m$ angles)
- **Angle-vector**
= increasing ordered sequence $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$ of $3m$ angles of triangles (non-decreasing)
- Delaunay triangulation has the **lexicographically largest angle sequence**
 - It maximizes the minimal angle.
 - It maximizes the second minimal angle, ...
 - It maximizes all angles
 - It is an **angle optimal triangulation**



Illegal edge flip and angle vector

- The **minimum angle increases** after the edge flip




$ac > bd$ $\varphi_{ab} > \theta_{ab}$ $\varphi_{bc} > \theta_{bc}$ $\varphi_{cd} > \theta_{cd}$ $\varphi_{da} > \theta_{da}$ [Mount]

=> After limited number of edge flips


- Terminate with lexicographically maximum triangulation

It satisfies the empty circle condition => Delauney T



Incremental algorithm principle

1. Create a large triangle containing all points
(to avoid problems with unbounded cells)
 - must be larger than the largest circle through 3 points
 - will be discarded at the end
2. Insert the points in random order
 - Find triangle with inserted point p
 - Add edges to its vertices
(these new edges are correct)
 - Check correctness of the old edges (triangles)
“around p ” and legalize (flip) potentially illegal edges
3. Discard the large triangle and incident edges




Incremental algorithm in detail

DelaunayTriangulation(P)

Input: Set P of n points in the plane

Output: A Delaunay triangulation T of P



1. Let p_{-2}, p_{-1}, p_0 form a triangle large enough to contain P
2. Initialize T as the triangulation consisting a single triangle $p_{-2}p_{-1}p_0$
3. Compute **random permutation** p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n of $P \setminus \{p_0\}$
4. **for** $r = 1$ **to** n **do**
5. $T = \text{Insert}(p_r, T)$
6. Discard p_{-1}, p_{-2}, p_{-3} with all incident edges from T
7. **return** T





Incremental algorithm – insertion of a point

Insert(p, T)

Input: Point p being inserted into triangulation T

Output: Correct Delaunay triangulation after insertion of p

1. Find a triangle $abc \in T$ containing p
2. **if** p lies **in the interior** of abc **then**
3. Insert edges pa, pb, pc into triangulation T
 (splitting abc into 3 triangles pab, pbc, pca)
4. LegalizeEdge(p, ab, T)
5. LegalizeEdge(p, bc, T)
6. LegalizeEdge(p, ca, T)
7. **else** // p lies **on the edge** of abc , say ab , point d is right from edge ab
8. Remove ab and insert edges pa, pb, pc, pd into triangulation T
 (splitting abc and abd into 4 triangles pad, pdb, pbc, pca)
9. LegalizeEdge(p, ab, T)
10. LegalizeEdge(p, bc, T)
11. LegalizeEdge(p, cd, T)
12. LegalizeEdge(p, da, T)
13. **return** T



Incremental algorithm – edge legalization

LegalizeEdge(p , ab , T)

Input: Edge ab being checked after insertion of point p to triangulation T


Output: Delaunay triangulation of $p + T$

1. if(ab is edge on the exterior face) return
2. let d be the vertex to the right of edge ab
3. if(inCircle(p , a , b , d)) // d is in the circle around pab => d is illegal
4. Flip edge ab for pd
5. LegalizeEdge(p , ad , T)
6. LegalizeEdge(p , db , T)

If insertion of p may make edge ab illegal
(circle around pab will contain point d)

After edge flip, the edge pd will be legal
(the circumcircles of the resulting triangles
 pdb , pad will be empty)

I must check and possibly flip edges ad , db





Inserted point p

[Berg]




Correctness of edge flip of illegal edge

- Point p is in C (it violated DT criteria for adb)
- adb was a triangle of DT $\Rightarrow C$ was an empty circle
- Circle $C' \cap C \Rightarrow C'$ is also an empty circle
 \Rightarrow New edge pd is a Delaunay edge



Delaunay triangulation - point insert




Every new edge created due to insertion of p is incident to p




Delaunay triangulation – other point insert

insert p
check pab




- Legalize now
- Legalize later
- Legal edge

[Mount]




Delaunay triangulation – other point insert

flip(ab)
check pad




- Legalize now
- Legalize later
- Legal edge


[Mount]




Delaunay triangulation – other point insert




Delaunay triangulation – other point insert




Delaunay triangulation – other point insert



Delaunay triangulation – other point insert




Delaunay triangulation – other point insert




- Legalize now
- Legalize later
- Legal edge



[Mount]



Delaunay triangulation – other point insert




Delaunay triangulation – other point insert




Correctness of the algorithm

- Every **new edge** (created due to insertion of p)
 - is incident to p
 - must be legal
 - => no need to test them
- Edge can only become **illegal** if one of its incident triangle changes
 - Algorithm tests any edge that may become illegal
 - => the algorithm is correct
- Every **edge flip** makes the angle-vector larger
- => algorithm can never get into infinite loop





Point location data structure

- For finding a triangle $abc \in T$ containing p
 - Leaves for triangles
 - Internal nodes for destroyed triangles
 - Links to new triangles
- Search p : start in root (initial triangle)
 - In each inner node of T :
 - Check all children (max three)
 - Descend to child containing p



Point location data structure

Simplified
- it should contain the root node




DCGI


[Berg]



Point location data structure



Point location data structure




2 nodes (triangles) => new 2 nodes

[Berg]




Point location data structure




InCircle test

- a, b, c are counterclockwise in the plane
- Test, if d lies to the left of the oriented circle through a, b, c

$$\text{inCircle}(a, b, c, d) = \det \begin{pmatrix} a_x & a_y & a_x^2 + a_y^2 & 1 \\ b_x & b_y & b_x^2 + b_y^2 & 1 \\ c_x & c_y & c_x^2 + c_y^2 & 1 \\ d_x & d_y & d_x^2 + d_y^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} > 0$$



[Mount]




DCGI



Creation of the initial triangle

- For given points set P
- Initial triangle $p_{-2}p_{-1}p_0$
 - Must contain all points of P
 - Must not be (none of its points) in any circle defined by non-collinear points of P
- l_{-2} = horizontal line above P
- l_{-1} = horizontal line below P
- p_{-2} = lies on l_{-2} as far left that p_{-2} lies outside every circle
- p_{-1} = lies on l_{-1} as far right that p_{-1} lies outside every circle defined by 3 non-collinear points of P



Symbolical tests with this triangle $\Rightarrow p_{-1}$ and p_{-2} always




Complexity of incremental DT algorithm

- Delaunay triangulation of a set P in the plane can be computed in
 - $O(n \log n)$ expected time
 - using $O(n)$ storage
- For details see [Berg, Section 9.4]



Delaunay triangulations and Convex hulls


- Delaunay triangulation in R^d can be computed as convex hull in R^{d+1}
- 2D: Connection is the paraboloid: $z = x^2 + y^2$



Project onto paraboloid.

Compute convex hull.

Project hull faces back to plane..





Vertical projection of points to paraboloid


- Vertical projection of 2D point to paraboloid

$$(x, y) \rightarrow (x, y, x^2 + y^2)$$

- Lower convex hull**
= portion of CH visible from $z = -\infty$



[Rourke]



Relation between CH and DT

- **Delaunay condition**



Points $p, q, r \in S$ form a Delaunay triangle **iff** the **circumcircle of p, q, r is empty** (contains no point)

- **Convex hull condition**

Points $p', q', r' \in S'$ form a face of $CH(S')$ **iff** the **plane passing through p', q', r' is supporting S'** (all other points lie to one side of the plane)




Relation between CH and DT



[Rourke]

- 4 distinct points p, q, r, s in the plane, and let p', q', r', s' be their respective projections onto the paraboloid, $z = x^2 + y^2$.
- The point s lies within the circumcircle of pqr iff s' lies on the lower side of the plane passing through p', q', r' .



Plane intersection with paraboloid

- Non-vertical tangent plane through $(a, b, a^2 + b^2)$

- Derivation at this point

$$z = x^2 + y^2 \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = 2x, \quad \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} = 2y$$

- Evaluates to $2a$ and $2b$

- Plane: $z = 2ax + 2by + \gamma \quad \gamma = -(a^2 + b^2)$

$$a^2 + b^2 = 2a \cdot a + 2b \cdot b + \gamma$$

$$z = 2ax + 2by - (a^2 + b^2)$$

Shift upwards
& eliminate z

$$x^2 + y^2 = 2ax + 2by - (a^2 + b^2) + r^2$$

- Circle:

$$(x - a)^2 + (y - b)^2 = r^2$$

[Mount]



Test inCircle revisited



- Points p, q, r are counterclockwise in the plane
- Test, if s lies in the circumcircle of pqr is equal to
 - = test, whether s' lies within a lower half plane passing through p', q', r' (3D)
 - = test, if quadruple p', q', r', s' is positively oriented (3D)
 - = test, if s lies to the left of the oriented circle through abc (2D)

$$\text{in}(p, q, r, s) = \det \begin{pmatrix} p_x & p_y & p_x^2 + p_y^2 & 1 \\ q_x & q_y & q_x^2 + q_y^2 & 1 \\ r_x & r_y & r_x^2 + r_y^2 & 1 \\ s_x & s_y & s_x^2 + s_y^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} > 0.$$




Voronoi diagram as upper envelope in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}


- VD in plane computed as intersection of halfplanes $H^+(p_i)$ tangent to paraboloid in projection of $p = [a,b]$
- = upper envelope of halfplanes $H^+(p_i)$



Voronoi diagram as upper envelope in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}



[Fukuda]



Derivation of projected Voronoi edge

- 2 points $p = (a, b)$ $q = (c, d)$ in plane

$$z = 2ax + 2by - (a^2 + b^2) \quad \text{Tangent planes}$$

$$z = 2cx + 2dy - (c^2 + d^2) \quad \text{to paraboloid}$$

- Eliminate z

$$x(2a - 2c) + y(2b - 2d) = (a^2 - c^2) + (b^2 - d^2)$$

- Passes through midpoint between p and q

$$\frac{a + c}{2}(2a - 2c) + \frac{b + d}{2}(2b - 2d) = (a^2 - c^2) + (b^2 - d^2)$$

- With slope $-(a - c)/(b - d) \Rightarrow$ perp. bisector



[Mount]



References

- [Berg] Mark de Berg, Otfried Cheong, Marc van Kreveld, Mark Overmars: **Computational Geometry: Algorithms and Applications**, Springer-Verlag, 3rd rev. ed. 2008. 386 pages, 370 fig. ISBN: 978-3-540-77973-5, Chapters 3 and 9, <http://www.cs.uu.nl/geobook/>
- [Mount] David Mount, - **CMSC 754: Computational Geometry**, Lecture Notes for Spring 2007, University of Maryland, Lectures 7,22, 13,14, and 30.
<http://www.cs.umd.edu/class/spring2007/cmsc754/lectures.shtml>
- [Rourke] Joseph O'Rourke: **Computational Geometry in C**, Cambridge University Press, 1993, ISBN 0-521- 44592-2
<http://maven.smith.edu/~orourke/books/compgeom.html>
- [Fukuda] Komei Fukuda: **Frequently Asked Questions in Polyhedral Computation**. Version June 18, 2004
<http://www.ifor.math.ethz.ch/~fukuda/polyfaq/polyfaq.html>





**OPPA European Social Fund
Prague & EU: We invest in your future.**
