# A Shallow Introduction into the Deep Machine Learning



Jan Čech

#### What is the "Deep Learning" ?



Deep learning (by G. Hinton, DL pioneer, Turing Award 2018 holder)
 = both the classifiers and the features are learned automatically



# What is the "Deep Learning" ? Other definitions...



- Andrew Ng (founder of Google Brain, chief of Baidu AI research)
  - "Very large neural networks we can now have and ... huge amounts of data that we have access to."
- Jeff Dean (head of Google AI)
  - "When you hear the term deep learning, just think of a large deep neural net. Deep refers to the number of layers typically and so this kind of the popular term that's been adopted in the press. I think of them as deep neural networks generally."
- Yoshua Bengio (DL pioneer, Turing Award Holder 2018)
  - "Deep learning algorithms seek to exploit the unknown structure in the input distribution in order to discover good representations, often at multiple levels, with higher-level learned features defined in terms of lower-level features."
- Yann LeCun (DL pioneer, Turing Award Holder 2018)
  - "Deep learning [is] ... a pipeline of modules all of which are trainable. ... deep because [has] multiple stages in the process of recognizing an object and all of those stages are part of the training."

#### Deep Learning omnipresent



- Besides the Computer Vision DL is extremely successful in, e.g.
  - Automatic Speech Recognition
    - Speech to text, Speaker recognition
  - Natural Language Processing (LLMs)
    - Machine translation, Question answering, Chatbots (ChatGPT)
  - Robotics / Autonomous driving
    - Reinforcement learning
  - Data Science / Bioinformatics (e.g., Alphafold)
- Shift of paradigm in Computer Vision
  - Large-scale image category recognition (ILSVRC' 2012 challenge)

INRIA/Xerox	33%,
Uni Amsterdam	30%,
Uni Oxford	27%,
Uni Tokyo	26%,
Uni Toronto	16% (deep neural network) [Krizhevsky-NIPS-2012]

### Explosion of interest in "Deep Learning" after 2012

Paper title keywords, CVPR 2019/2022
action adaptation adversarial attention based clouds convolutional
deep dept detection domain efficient estimation face
feature generative graph huma image instance joint
local matching model motion network
neural object person point pose prediction recognition reconstruction
representation robust scene Segmentation semantic shape
single structure supervised tracking transfer Unsupervised Video visual



 Number of attendees/submissions in major Computer Vision and Machine Learning grows exponentially





Data Source: https://hai.stanford.edu/, https://github.com/BIGBALLON/CVPR2022-Paper-Statistics

5

D

- Image classification [Krizhevsky-NIPS-2012]
  - Input: RGB-image
  - Output: Single label (Probability Distribution over Classes)



# IM . GENET

- ImageNet dataset (14M images, 21k classes, Labels by Amazon Mechanical Turk)
- ImageNet Benchmark (1000 classes, 1M training images)



Þ m

7

- **Object Detection** 
  - Multiple objects in the image [RCNN, YOLO, ...]



E.g. Face [Hu-Ramanan-2017], Text localization [Busta-2017]







- (3D) Pose estimation
  - [Hu-2018], [OpenPose]





PĚT SET

(ÝCH

NÍ

- Image Segmentation (Semantic/Instance Segmentation)
  - Each pixel has a label [Long-2015], [Mask-RCNN-2017]





Semantic segmentation







- Motion
  - Tracking
  - Optical Flow [Neoral-2018]
    - Predict pixel level displacements between consecutive frames







- Stereo (depth from two images)
- Depth from a single (monocular) image [Godard-2017]







- Image based novel view synthesis
  - Given: a set of sparse images => arbitrary view (smooth camera path)
  - NeRF (Neural Radiance Field for View Synthesis), [Mildenhall-2020]



#### Faces

- Recognition / Verification
- Gender/Age
- Landmarks, pose
- Expression, emotions









Accessibility Options



Lip reading [Chung-2017]





Image-to-Image translation [Isola-2017]

Day to Night



input

output





input

output

Deblurring, Super-resolution [<u>Šubrtová-2018</u>]



16x16

256x256 (predicted)

256x256 (ground-truth)



- Generative models
  - Generating photo-realistic samples from image distributions
  - Variational Autoencoders, GANs [Nvidia-GAN]







(Images synthetized by a random sampling)



- Generative models (cont.)
  - Large text2image models, 2022+ (DALL-E2, Imagen, Midjourney, <u>Stable Diffusion</u> – open source, model available)



panda mad scientist mixing sparkling chemicals, artstation

a propaganda poster depicting a cat dressed as french emperor napoleon holding a piece of cheese



- Real image manipulation / editing
  - Instruct Pix2Pix (textual image manipulation) [Brooks-2023]



Input

"Apply face paint"



"What would she look like as a bearded man?"





"She should look 100 years old"

Hairstyle Transfer [<u>Šubrtová-2021</u>]





- Action/Activity recognition
- Neural Style Transfer
- Image Captioning/Visual Question Answering
- and many more...





р

m

User

What is unusual about this image?



Source: https://www.barnorama.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/12/03-Confusing-Pictures.jpg

a brown dog wearing glasses while sitting at a desk

GPT-4

The unusual thing about this image is that a man is ironing clothes on an ironing board attached to the roof of a moving taxi.

#### History: (Artificial) Neural Networks

- Neural networks are here for more than 50 years
  - Rosenblatt-1956 (perceptron)



Minsky-1969 (xor issue, => skepticism)





#### **History: Neural Networks**

Rumelhart and McClelland – 1986:

- Multi-layer perceptron,
- Back-propagation (supervised training)
  - Differentiable activation function
  - Stochastic gradient descent







# What was wrong with back propagation?



- Local optimization only (needs a good initialization, or re-initialization)
- Prone to over-fitting
  - too many parameters to estimate
  - too few labeled examples
- Computationally intensive
- => Skepticism: A deep network often performed worse than a shallow one

# Why does it work now?





- However nowadays:
  - Large collections of labeled data available
    - ImageNet (14M images, 21k classes, hand-labeled)
  - Reducing the number of parameters by weight sharing
    - Convolutional layers [LeCun-1989]
  - Novel tricks to prevent overfitting of deep nets
  - Fast enough computers (parallel hardware, GPU)

=> Optimism: It works!

#### **Computational power**









Theoretical Peak Floating Point Operations per Watt, Single Precision



#### Deep convolutional neural networks



- An example for Large Scale Classification Problem:
  - Krizhevsky, Sutskever, Hinton: <u>ImageNet classification with deep</u> <u>convolutional neural networks</u>. NIPS, 2012.
    - Recognizes 1000 categories from ImageNet
    - Outperforms state-of-the-art by significant margin (ILSVRC 2012)



- 5 convolutional layers, 3 fully connected layers
- 60M parameters, trained on 1.2M images (~1000 examples for each category)
- Cross-Entropy loss (softmax log-loss)

# Deep CNNs – basic building blogs



- A computational graph (chain/directed acyclic graph) connecting layers
  - Each layer has: Forward pass, Backward pass
  - The graph is end-to-end differentiable



- 1. Input Layer
- 2. Intermediate Layers
  - 1. Convolutions
  - 2. Max-pooling
  - 3. Activations
- 3. Output Layer
- 4. Loss function over the output layer for training

#### **Convolutional layer**

- Input: tensor (W×H×D)
  - "image" of size W×H with D channels
- **Output**: tensor (*W'×H'×D'*)
- A bank of D' filters of size (*K×K×D*) is convolved with the input to produce the output tensor
  - Zero Padding (P), extends the input by zeros
  - Stride (S), mask shifts by more than 1 pixel
  - KxKxDxD' parameters to be learned









# Max-pooling layer



- Same inputs (W×H×D) and outputs (W'×H'×D) as convolutional layer
- Same parameters: Mask Size (K), Padding (P), Stride (S)
- Same sliding window as in convolution, but instead of the dot product, pick maximum
- Non-linear operation
- No parameters to be learned



#### Activation functions

- Non-linearity, applied to every singe cell of the tensor
- Input tensor and output tensor of the same size



- ReLU is the simplest (used in the AlexNet, good baseline)
- Saturating non-linearity (sigmoid, tanh) causes "vanishing" gradient

#### **Multiclass Classification loss**

Cross-Entropy loss (softmax log loss)

- Softmax output as discrete PDF over classes e.g., (0.1, 0.05, 0.7, 0.05, 0.1)  $\hat{y}_i \ge 0$ ,

- Ground-truth classes "one-hot encoding"

e.g., (0, 0, 1, 0, 0)

$$L(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{\hat{y}}(\Theta)) = -\sum_{i=1}^{K} y_i \log(\hat{y}_i) = -\log(\hat{y}_{i^*})$$

 $i^*$  is index of the truth class





$$\hat{y}_i = \frac{e^{x_i}}{\sum_{j=1}^K e^{x_j}}$$

$$\hat{y}_i \ge 0, \sum_{i=1}^{K} \hat{y}_i = 1$$

 $y_i = \begin{cases} 1 & i \text{ is the truth class} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ 

# Deep convolutional neural networks



- Additional tricks: "Devil is in the details"
  - Rectified linear units instead of standard sigmoid
    - => Mitigate vanishing gradient problem
  - Convolutional layers followed by max-pooling
    - Local maxima selection in overlapping windows (subsampling)
       => dimensionality reduction, shift insensitivity
  - Dropout
    - 50% of hidden units are randomly omitted during the training, but weights are shared in test time
    - Averaging results of many independent models (similar idea as in Random forests)
    - => Probably very significant to reduce overfitting
  - Data augmentation
    - Images are artificially shifted and mirrored (10 times more images)
       => transformation invariance, reduce overfitting



# Deep convolutional neural networks

- Supervised training
  - The training is done by a standard back-propagation
  - enough labeled data: 1.2M labeled training images for 1k categories
  - Learned filters in the first layer
    - Resemble cells in primary visual cortex



[Hubel-Wiesel-1959]



Learned first-layer filters

- Training time:
  - 5 days on NVIDIA GTX 580, 3GB memory (Krizhevsky, today faster)
  - 90 cycles through the training set
- Test time (forward step) on GPU
  - Implementation by Yangqing Jia, <a href="http://caffe.berkeleyvision.org/">http://caffe.berkeleyvision.org/</a>
  - 5 ms/image in a batch mode



# Early experiments 1: Category recognition



- Implementation by Yangqing Jia, 2013, <u>http://caffe.berkeleyvision.org/</u>
  - network pre-trained for 1000 categories provided
- Which categories are pre-trained?
  - 1000 "most popular" (probably mostly populated)
  - Typically very fine categories (dog breeds, plants, vehicles...)
  - Category "person" (or derived) is missing
  - Recognition accuracy subjectively surprisingly good...





# It is not a texture only...





5	tiger, Panthera tigris
4	tiger cat
3	-tabby, tabby cat
2	-lynx, catamount
1	jaguar, panther, Panthera onca, Felis onca
(	0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1
5	Saint Bernard, St Bernard
4	Welsh springer spaniel
3	Blenheim spaniel
2	Irish setter, red setter
1	Leonberg



#### Early experiments 2: Category retrieval

- 50k randomly selected images from Profimedia dataset
- Category: Restaurant (results out of 50k-random-Profiset)





#### Early experiments 2: Category retrieval



Category: stethoscope (results out of 50k-random-Profiset)


- Indications in the literature that the last hidden layer carry semantics
  - Last hidden layer (4096-dim vector), final layer category responses (1000-dim vector)

m p

- New (unseen) categories can be learned by training (a linear) classifier on top of the last hidden layer
  - Oquab, Bottou, Laptev, Sivic, CVPR, 2014
  - Girshick, Dphanue, Darell, Malik, CVPR, 2014
- Responses of the last hidden layer can be used as a compact global image descriptor
  - Semantically similar images should have small Euclidean distance





- Qualitative comparison: (20 most similar images to a query image)
  - MUFIN annotation (web demo), <u>http://mufin.fi.muni.cz/annotation/,</u>
     [Zezula et al., *Similarity Search: The Metric Space Approach.* 2005.]
    - Nearest neighbour search in **20M** images of Profimedia
    - Standard global image statistics (e.g. color histograms, gradient histograms, etc.)
  - 2. Caffe NN (last hidden layer response + Euclidean distance),
    - Nearest neighbour search in 50k images of Profimedia
    - 400 times smaller dataset !









**MUFIN** results









**MUFIN** results







**MUFIN** results





D

m p

Caffe NN results



#### **MUFIN** results



Caffe NN results





#### Novel tricks



- Mishkin, Matas. <u>All you need is a good init</u>. ICLR 2016
- Weights initialization: zero mean, unit variance, orthogonality
- Batch normalization
  - Iosse, Szegedy. <u>Batch Normalization: Accelerating Deep Network</u> <u>Training by Reducing Internal Covariate Shift</u>. NIPS 2015
  - Zero mean and unit variance weights are "supported" during training to avoid vanishing gradient
    Input: Values of x over a mini-batch:  $\mathcal{B} = \{x_1, \dots\}$
- ⇒ Small sensitivity to learning rate setting (can be higher, faster training – 10 times fewer epochs needed)
   ⇒ Regularizer (dropout can be excluded/smaller) (better optimum

excluded/smaller) (better optimum found)

**Algorithm 1:** Batch Normalizing Transform, applied to activation *x* over a mini-batch.



#### Novel tricks II.

Exponential Linear Units (ELU) [Clevert et al., ICLR 2016]

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x > 0\\ \alpha (\exp(x) - 1) & \text{if } x \le 0 \end{cases}$$

- Self normalizing properties, batch normalization unnecessary
- Faster training reported
- ADAM optimizer [Kingma and Ba, ICLR 2015]
  - = (ADAptive Moments)
  - Often improves over SGD (with momentum),
  - Low sensitivity on learning rate setting



m



р

#### Novel architectures

m

р

57

ImageNet Large Scale Visual Recognition Challenge (ILSVRC)

![](_page_49_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_1.jpeg)

AlexNet

- [Krishevsky et al., NIPS 2012]

11x11 conv, 96, /4, pool/2					
<b></b>					
5x5 conv, 256, pool/2					
2.2.2					
3x3 conv, 384					
3x3 conv 384					
<b>X X X X</b>					
3x3 conv, 256, pool/2					
<b></b>					
fc, 4096					
tc, 4096					
fc, 1000					

![](_page_50_Figure_5.jpeg)

- VGG Net: VGG-16, VGG-19
  - [Simonyan and Zisserman, ICLR 2015]
  - Deeper than AlexNet
  - Smaller filters (3x3 convolutions), more layers
    - => Same effective receptive field,

but more "non-linearity"

3x3 conv. 64
<b>*</b>
3x3 conv, 64, pool/2
*
3x3 conv, 128
3x3 conv, 128, pool/2
3x3 conv, 256
*
3x3 conv, 256
<b></b>
3x3 conv, 256
¥
3x3 conv, 256, pool/2
3x3 conv. 512
*
3x3 conv, 512
<b>—</b>
3x3 conv, 512
¥ 2.2
3x3 conv, 512, pool/2
3x3 copy 512
¥
3x3 conv, 512
*
3x3 conv, 512
<b>V</b>
3x3 conv, 512, pool/2
fc 4096
₩
fc, 4096
*
fc, 1000

Г

D

- GoogLeNet
  - <u>Szegedy et al., CVPR 2015</u>
  - 22 layers, No Fully-Connected layers
  - Accurate, much less parameters
  - "Inception" module (Net in net)

![](_page_52_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_1.jpeg)

Residual modules, 152 layers

![](_page_53_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **ResNeXt** ResNet **ResNeXt** 256-d in 256-d in Xie-CVPR-2017 256, 1x1, 64 256, 1x1, 4 256, 1x1, 4 256, 1x1, 4 Improvement of ResNet total 32 paths Cardinality 64, 3x3, 64 4, 3x3, 4 4, 3x3, 4 . . . . 4, 3x3, 4 + number of branches in a block 64, 1x1, 256 4, 1x1, 256 4, 1x1, 256 4, 1x1, 256 "Increasing cardinality, better than +256-d out going wider or deeper" 256-d out

![](_page_54_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_4.jpeg)

- DenseNet
  - [<u>Huang-CVPR-2017</u>]
  - Densifying Skip connections
  - Chain of several "dense blocks"
  - Argument: Features are reused
  - Higher accuracy with fewer parameters over ResNet reported
  - Best paper award @ CVPR

![](_page_55_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_10.jpeg)

- Squeeze-and-Excitation Network (SE-Net)
  - [<u>Hu-CVPR-2018</u>, <u>Hu-TPAMI-2019</u>]
  - Chain of SE-blocks
  - Squeeze:
    - Channel descriptor by aggregating over spatial dimension
  - Excitation
    - Small bottleneck fully connected net producing scale of each channel
  - Capture channel interdependences
  - Winner of ILSVRC 2017 (Top-5 err 2.25%)
  - Negligible extra computational cost

![](_page_56_Figure_11.jpeg)

m

р

![](_page_56_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Figure_13.jpeg)

- Computationally efficient architectures
  - MobileNet [Howard-2017, Google Inc.]
    - depth wise separable convolutions

![](_page_57_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Figure_6.jpeg)

- ShuffleNet [Zhang-CVPR-2018, Face++]
  - Comparable accuracy with AlexNet, 13x speed up

![](_page_57_Figure_9.jpeg)

- Vision Transformers [Dosovitskiy-2021]
  - Taken from Natural Language Processing [Wasvani-2017]
  - No Convolutions
  - Image is cut into fixed-size patches and the sequence of vectorized patches (tokens/words) is fed into the transformer

![](_page_58_Figure_5.jpeg)

- Outperforms ResNET on ImageNet, but needs 100M image pretraining

![](_page_58_Picture_7.jpeg)

BERT

![](_page_59_Picture_0.jpeg)

- (Vision) Transformer
  - Main idea: Self-Attention Mechanism
    - Inputs (vectors  $\mathbf{x}_1, ..., \mathbf{x}_m$ )
    - Parameters (matrices  $W_{Q,} W_{K,} W_{V}$ )

![](_page_59_Figure_6.jpeg)

Query: 
$$\mathbf{q}_{:i} = \mathbf{W}_Q \mathbf{x}_i$$
, Key:  $\mathbf{k}_{:i} = \mathbf{W}_K \mathbf{x}_i$ ,  
 $\mathbf{c}_{:j} = \mathbf{V} \cdot \operatorname{Softmax}(\mathbf{K}^T \mathbf{q}_{:j})$ .

Value:  $\mathbf{v}_{:i} = \mathbf{W}_V \mathbf{x}_i$ .

![](_page_60_Picture_0.jpeg)

- (Vision) Transformer
  - Main idea: Self-Attention Mechanism
    - Inputs (vectors **x**<sub>1</sub>, ..., **x**<sub>m</sub>)
    - Parameters (matrices  $W_{Q,} W_{K,} W_{V}$ )

Query:  $\mathbf{q}_{:i} = \mathbf{W}_Q \mathbf{x}_i$ , Key:  $\mathbf{k}_{:i} = \mathbf{W}_K \mathbf{x}_i$ , Value:  $\mathbf{v}_{:i} = \mathbf{W}_V \mathbf{x}_i$ .

![](_page_60_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_0.jpeg)

- (Vision) Transformer
  - Main idea: Self-Attention Mechanism
    - Inputs (vectors **x**<sub>1</sub>, ..., **x**<sub>m</sub>)
    - Parameters (matrices  $W_{Q}, W_{K}, W_{V}$ )

Weights:  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{:j} = \operatorname{Softmax}(\mathbf{K}^T \mathbf{q}_{:j}) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ .

![](_page_61_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Picture_0.jpeg)

- (Vision) Transformer
  - Main idea: Self-Attention Mechanism
    - Inputs (vectors x<sub>1</sub>, ..., x<sub>m</sub>)
    - Parameters (matrices  $W_{Q,} W_{K,} W_{V}$ )

**Output vectors:**  $\mathbf{c}_{:j} = \alpha_{1j}\mathbf{v}_{:1} + \dots + \alpha_{mj}\mathbf{v}_{:m} = \mathbf{V}\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{:j}.$ 

![](_page_62_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Picture_1.jpeg)

- SWIN Transformer [Liu-2021] ("Shifted Windows")
  - Improvement of ViT transformer
    - data hungry (needs large set pretraining)
    - Image tokens to large unsuitable for object detection, semantic segmentation
  - Hierarchical features
    - Self attention within windows (linear complexity w.r.t. image size)
    - Cross-window connection (cyclic window shifting in subsequent layers)

![](_page_63_Figure_9.jpeg)

(a) Swin Transformer

(b) ViT

State-of-the-art general purpose backbone (recognition, detection, segmentation, ....)

#### **DNN** Architectures – ConvNext

- ConvNeXt [Liu-2022]
  - Pure Convolutional Neural Network (again)
  - Similar to ResNet, but tweaked
  - Larger kernel size, BatchNorm -> LayerNorm
  - ReLU -> GeLU (smoother)

![](_page_64_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Figure_8.jpeg)

### CNN models (comparison)

![](_page_65_Picture_1.jpeg)

Inception-v4 80 80 Inception-v3 ResNet-152 ResNet-50 VGG-19 VĠG-16 75 75 ResNet-101 ResNet-34 Top-1 accuracy [%] Top-1 accuracy [%] 70 70 ResNet-18 00 GoogLeNet ENet 65 65 **BN-NIN** -95M-----125M----155M 60 60 5M 35M 65M BN-AlexNet 55 55 AlexNet 50 AlexNet Net NIN ENet Net 18 16 19 34 50 101 152 N3 AlexNet BN. AlexNet BN. NIN ENet VGG VGG VGG Net Net Net 150 N3 NA GOOD ResNet VGG VGG ResNet ResNet Net 150 N3 NA 50 5 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 0 Operations [G-Ops]

 [Canziani et al., <u>An Analysis of Deep Neural Network Models for Practical</u> <u>Applications</u>, 2017. arXiv:1605.07678v4]

#### CNN models (comparison)

![](_page_66_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### ImageNet <u>leaderboard</u> (Top-1 accuracy)

![](_page_66_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### Face interpretation problems

![](_page_67_Picture_1.jpeg)

Architecture similar to AlexNet - very deep CNN (softmax at the last layer)

[Taigman-ECVV-2014] DeepFace: Closing the Gap to Human-Level Performance in Face Verification (authors from Facebook)

[Parkhi-BMVC-2015] Deep Face recognition (authors from Oxford Uni)

- 2.6M images of 2.6k celebrities, trained net available

![](_page_67_Figure_6.jpeg)

No.	Method	# Training Images	# Networks	Accuracy
1	Fisher Vector Faces	-	-	93.10
2	DeepFace (Facebook)	4 M	3	97.35
3	DeepFace Fusion (Facebook)	500 M	5	98.37
4	DeepID-2,3	Full	200	99.47
5	FaceNet (Google)	200 M	1	98.87
6	FaceNet+ Alignment (Google)	200 M	1	99.63
- 7	(VGG Face)	2.6 M	1	98.78

Face represented by penultimate layer response, similarity search, large scale indexing

#### Face interpretation problems

- Facial landmarks, Age / Gender estimation
  - Multitask network
    - Shared representation
    - Combination of both classification and regression problems

![](_page_68_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_68_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### Age estimation – How good the network is?

#### Our survey

~20 human subjects , ~100 images of 2 datasets

HORPH datasetTrue: 22, MAE: 18.8True: 36, MAE: 17.8True: 33, MAE: 16.3True: 22, MAE: 16.1True: 25, MAE: 16.0Image: State of the state of th

True: 25, MAE: 0.5

![](_page_69_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_69_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_69_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### True: 29, MAE: 1.0

![](_page_69_Picture_9.jpeg)

True: 19, MAE: 1.0

![](_page_69_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_69_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_69_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_69_Picture_14.jpeg)

#### Age estimation – How good the network is?

Better than average human...

![](_page_70_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Franc-Cech-IVC-2018
- Network runs real-time on CPU

![](_page_70_Figure_5.jpeg)

59.0

62.5

21.0

42.7

![](_page_70_Picture_6.jpeg)

# **Predicting Decision Uncertainty from Faces**

![](_page_71_Picture_1.jpeg)

- [Jahoda, Vobecky, Cech, Matas. <u>Detecting Decision Ambiguity from</u> <u>Facial Images</u>. In Face and Gestures, 2018]
- Can we train a classifier to detect uncertainty?

![](_page_71_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_71_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_71_Picture_6.jpeg)

Training set: 1,628 sequences Test set: 90 sequences

![](_page_71_Figure_8.jpeg)

=> YES, we can...

- CNN 25% error rate, while human volunteers 45%
## **Sexual Orientation from Face Images**



[Wang and Kosinki. <u>Deep neural networks are more accurate than humans</u> <u>at detecting sexual orientation from facial images</u>. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 2018]

average human accuracy (54%)

- Better accuracy than human in (gay vs. heterosexual)
  - 81% accuracy (for men), average human accuracy (61%)
  - 71% accuracy (for women)
  - Accuracy further improved if 5 images provided (91%, 83%)



## General recipe to use deep neural networks



- Recipe to use deep neural network to "solve any problem" (G. Hinton 2013)<sup>81</sup>
  - Have a deep net
  - If you do not have enough labeled data, pre-train it by unlabeled data; otherwise do not bother with pre-initialization
  - Use rectified linear units instead of standard neurons (sigmoid)
  - Use dropout to regularize it (you can have many more parameters than training data)
  - If there is a spatial structure in your data, use convolutional layers
- Novel:
  - Use Batch Normalization [loffe-Szegedy-NIPS-2015]
  - ReLU => ELU, GELU
  - Adaptive Optimizers (ADAM)
  - Various architectures (AlexNet, VGG, GoogLeNet, ResNet, ResNeXt, DenseNet, SE-Net, MobileNet, ShuffleNet, Transformers, Swin, ConvNext)
  - Experience:
    - Data matters (the more data the better), transfer learning, data augmentation

## Conclusions

**m** p

- DNNs efficiently learns the abstract representation
- Low computational demands for running, Training needs GPU
- Many "deep" toolboxes: Caffe (Berkeley), MatconvNet (Oxford), TensorFlow (Google), Theano (Montreal), PyTorch (Facebook), ...
- NNs are (again) in the "Golden Age" (or witnessing a bubble), as many practical problems seem solvable in near future
- Explosion of interest of DNN in literature, graduates get incredible offers, start-ups appear all the time

Do we understand enough what is going on?
<a href="http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LVLoc6FrLi0">http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LVLoc6FrLi0</a>



Acknowledgement: I borrowed some images from slides and blogs of G. Hinton, A. Ng, Y. Le Cun, Fei-Fei Li, K. He, J. Brownlee, K. Rupp, Shusen W

## **Further Resources**

- Deep Learning Textbook
  - Ian Goodfellow and Yoshua Bengio and Aaron Courville, Deep Learning, MIT Press, 2016

р

83

- Available <u>on-line</u> for free.
- Lectures / video-lectures
  - Stanford University course on Deep Learning (cs231n)
  - MIT lectures on Introduction in Deep Learning (MIT 6.S191)
- Various blogs and on-line journals
  - Google AI blog (<u>https://ai.googleblog.com/</u>)
  - OpenAI blog (<u>https://openai.com/blog</u>)
  - MetaAl blog (<u>https://ai.facebook.com/blog/</u>)
  - Andrej Karpathy (<u>blog</u>)

- ...