Deep Neural Networks II.



Jan Čech

Lecture Outline



- 1. Deep neural networks for Object detection
- 2. Deep neural networks for Semantic segmentation
- 3. "Deeper" insight into the Deep Nets
- 4. Foundation models



Deep Neural Networks for Object Detection

Convolutional Networks for Object Detection



4

• What is the object detection?

Semantic segmentation

- What + Where?
- Pixel-level accuracy



Instance segmentation

- What instance + Where
- Pixel-level accuracy





Image recognition

- What?
- holistic



Object detection

- What + Where?
- Bounding boxes

How to measure detector accuracy?

- Ground-Truth bounding boxes, Detections predicted bounding boxes
- Intersection over Union (IoU), a.k.a. Jaccard index





- A detection is correct (= true positive) if it has enough overlap with the ground-truth
 - Typically, IoU > 50%



How to measure detector accuracy?







- Average Precision (Area under the precision-recall curve)

 $AP = \int_r p(r)dr \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_i p(r_i)$

- Mean over all classes

 $mAP = \frac{1}{C} \sum_{c} AP_{c}$

Pascal VOC 2007 challenge (N = 11, r = 0:0.1:1) (C = 20) Classes: Person, bird, cat, car, ...

1. Scanning window + CNN



- CNN Outstanding recognition accuracy of holistic image recognition [Krizhevsky-NIPS-2012]
- A trivial detection extension exhaustive scanning window
 - 1. Scan all possible bounding boxes
 - 2. Crop bounding box, warp to 224x224 (fixed-size input image)
 - 3. Run CNN
- Works, but
 - prohibitively slow...



Oquab et al. Learning and Transferring Mid-Level Image Representations using Convolutional Neural Networks, CVPR, 2014.



р

8

m

- Region proposals (category independent):
 - Selective search [Uijlings-IJCV-2013]



- Edgeboxes [Zitnick-ECCV-2014]





- R-CNN "Regions with CNN feature"
 - Girshick et al. <u>Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic</u> <u>segmentation</u>. CVPR 2014.



- Highly improved SotA on Pascal VOC 2012 by more than 30% (mAP)
- Still slow
 - For each region: crop + warp + run CNN (~2k)
 - 47 s/image



- Idea (1):
 - Do not run the entire CNN for each ROI, but
 - run convolutional (representation) part once for the entire image and
 - for each ROI pool the features and run fully connected (classification) part
 - He et al. <u>Spatial Pyramid Pooling in Deep Convolutional Networks for Visual</u> <u>Recogniton</u>. ECCV 2014.



- Arbitrary size image => fixed-length representation
- Implemented by max-pooling operations
- Speeds testing up

Idea (2):

- Refine bounding box by regression
- Multi-task loss: classification + bounding box offset
- Fast R-CNN (= R-CNN + idea 1 + idea 2)
 - Girshick R. Fast R-CNN, ICCV 2015.



- End-to-end training
- Speed up, but proposals still expensive



- Idea (3):
 - Implement region proposal mechanism by CNN with shared convolutional features (RPN + fast R-CNN)
- \Rightarrow Faster R-CNN
 - Ren et al. <u>Faster R-CNN: Towards Real-Time Object Detection with Region Proposal</u> <u>Networks</u>. NIPS 2015.
 - Region proposal network: object/not-object + bb coord. (k-anchor boxes)



- Training: simple alternating optimization (RPN, fast R-CNN)
- Accurate: 73.2% mAP (VOC 2007), Fast: 5 fps



Mask R-CNN – He et al., Mask R-CNN. ICCV 2017

- Faster R-CNN + fully convolutional branch for segmentation
- ROI alignment
 - Improved pooling with interpolation
- Running 5 fps

2. Region proposals + CNN + Instance segmentation

al Rolalign

COCO dataset "Common Object in Context" (>200K images, 91 categories)



+ keypoint localization (pose estimation)





13

- YOLO "You Only Look Once"
 - Redmond et al. <u>You Only Look Once: Unified, Real-Time Object Detection</u>. CVPR 2016.
 - A single net predicts bounding boxes and class probabilities directly from the entire image in a single execution



- YOLO properties:
 - 1. Reasons globally
 - Entire image is seen for training and testing, contextual information is preserved (=> less false positives)
 - 2. Generalization
 - Trained on photos, works on artworks



3. Fast (real-time)

	mAP (VOC 2007)	FPS (GPU Titan X)
YOLO	63.4%	45
fast YOLO	52.7%	150



YOLOv2, YOLO 9000

- Redmon J., Farhadi A. <u>YOLO9000: Better, Faster, Stronger</u>. CVPR 2017
- Several technical improvements:
 - Batch normalization, Higher resolution input image (448x448), Finer output grid (13x13), Anchor boxes (found by K-means)
- Hierarchical output labels:



- Trained on COCO and ImageNET datasets
- Able to learn from images without bounding box annotation (weak supervision)





- The most accurate, the fastest...

video



m p

Ð

RetinaNet (Lin et al., ICCV-2017, IEEE TPAMI 2020)



- Focal Loss
 - Imbalance between positive and negative (background) classes (1:1000)
 - Assign more weight on hard examples

$$p_{t} = \begin{cases} p & \text{if } y = 1\\ 1 - p & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{split} \text{CE}(p_{t}) &= -\log(p_{t}) & \quad \text{Cross-entropy loss} \\ \text{FL}(p_{t}) &= -(1-p_{t})^{\gamma}\log(p_{t}) & \quad \text{Focal loss} \end{split}$$



20

Object Detection with Transformers

End-to-end Object Detection with Transformers (DETR) [Carion-ECCV-2020]



- CNN as a feature extractor, nowadays image patches instead
- Transformer encoder decoder architecture
- FFN 3-layer perceptron to predict (bbox + object class/no-object)
- Bipartite matching between prediction and ground-truth bboxes for training
 - Hungarian algorithm to maximize the matching score
 - Invariant to permutation of predicted objects

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Hungarian}}(y,\hat{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[-\log \hat{p}_{\hat{\sigma}(i)}(c_i) + \mathbb{1}_{\{c_i \neq \varnothing\}} \mathcal{L}_{\text{box}}(b_i, \hat{b}_{\hat{\sigma}}(i)) \right]$$

DETR – for segmentation



Observation: encoder self-attention shows individual instances



Segmentation head on the attention maps



22

Detection DNN - summary



- 1. Exhaustive scanning windows + CNN
- 2. Region proposals + CNN
 - 1. R-CNN
 - 2. Fast R-CNN
 - 3. Faster R-CNN
 - 4. Mask R-CNN
- 3. CNN/DNN without region proposals
 - 1. YOLO
 - 2. YOLO v2, YOLO 9000
 - 3. RetinaNet
 - 4. DETR

More recently - (SWIN) transformer backbone + detection/segmentation head



Deep Neural Networks for Semantic Segmentation

Fully Convolutional Net (FCN)



 Shelhammer et al. <u>Fully Convolutional Networks for Semantic</u> <u>Segmentation</u>, TPAMI 2017 (originally CVPR, 2015)



- Fully Convolutional (no fully connected layers)
 - The output size proportional to input size
- Upsampling at the last layer
 - Deconvolution layer (= transposed convolution, fractional-strided convolution)
 - [Dumoulin, Visen, 2018]



U-Net



Ronneberger, et al. <u>U-Net: Convolutional Networks for Biomedical Image</u> <u>Segmentation, Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted</u> <u>Intervention</u>, 2015



- Bahnik et al., <u>Visually Assisted Anti-</u> <u>Lock Braking System</u>. IEEE IV, 2020
 - Surface segmentation



DeepLab v3+

Chen et al., <u>Encoder-Decoder with Atrous Separable Convolution for</u> <u>Semantic Image Segmentation</u>, ECCV 2018. р

27

- Atrous Convolutions (= with "holes", dilated convolutions)
 - Same number of parameters with larger receptive field



Segmentation with Transformers

- Segmentation head on top of the transformer features or attention maps
- SEGMENTER [<u>Strudel-ICCV-2021</u>]
 - Transformer decoder (unlike DETR)
 - No convolutions at all



28

m p

Detection/Segmentation frameworks

💇 m p

- Detectron2 (Meta, FAIR)
 - Detection, segmentation, keypoints
 - Large model zoo (Faster RCNN, RetinaNet, Mask RCNN, …)



- YOLOv8 (Ultralytics)
 - User-friendly, accurate and fast...





"Deeper" Insight into the Deep Nets

Deep Fake

- Seamless swapping a face in an image/video, e.g. [Nguyen et al., 2020]
- Auto-encoder architecture
 - Single shared encoder (to capture pose / expressions)
 - Two decoders (Source and Target to capture person's identity)



Deployment





- Controversy:
 - fake news, fake porn, ...
- Deep fake detection



Deep Network Can Easily Be Fooled

- Szegedy et al. <u>Intriguing properties of neural networks</u>. ICLR 2014
 - Small perturbation of the input image changes the output of the trained "well-performing" neural network
 - The perturbation is a non-random image, imperceptible for human



$$\min_{r} \{ ||NN(I+r) - S||^2 + \lambda ||r||^2 \}$$

Optimum found by gradient descent

$$r^{t+1} = r^t - 2\gamma \Big((\mathrm{NN}(I+r^t) - S) \frac{\partial \mathrm{NN}(I)}{\partial I} + \lambda r^t \Big)$$





Deep Network Can Easily Be Fooled

- Nguyen et al. <u>Deep Neural Networks are Easily Fooled: High Confidence</u> <u>Predictions for Unrecognizable Images</u>. CVPR 2015.
 - Artificial images that are unrecognizable to humans, producing high output score can be found
 - The optimum images found by evolutionary algorithm
 - Starting from random noise
 - Direct/Indirect encoding

 $\min_{I} ||\mathrm{NN}(I) - S||^2$

⇒ The images found do not have the natural image statistics

robin	cheetah	armadillo	lesser panda
centipede	peacock	jackfruit	bubble
	SI ISI ISI	2 2 2 3 2	

king penguin	starfish	baseball	electric guitar
king penguin	starfish	baseball	electric guitar



Deep Network Can Easily Be Fooled

- Adversarial physical attacks on neural networks
 - Adversarial sticker

Brown-2018

 Adversarial T-shirt [Xu-2019]

 Adversarial glasses **Sharif-2016**



place sticker on table

video]



Classifier Input

Classifier Input





1.0 8.0 0.6 0.4

0.2









34

m p

Visualization the Deep Nets



 Mahendran A., Vedaldi A. <u>Understanding Deep Image Representations by</u> <u>Inverting Them</u>. CVPR 2015.



- Start from a random Image I
- Best match between features + image regularization (natural image prior)

$$\min_{I}\{||\Phi_k(I) - \Phi_k^0||^2 + \lambda R(I)\}$$

- Total Variation regularizer (TV)

$$R(I) = \sum_{x,y} \left(\left(\frac{\partial I(x,y)}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial I(x,y)}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}$$

Visualizing the Deep Nets



CNN reconstruction





- Gradient descent from random initialization
- Reconstruction is not unique
 - \Rightarrow All these images are identical for the CNN



Similarly, find an image that causes a particular neuron fires (maximally activate)

Verification what the deep net learned

- Deep nets often criticized for a lack of interpretability
- Grad-CAM: Visual Explanations from Deep Networks [Selvaraju-ICCV-2017]
 - GRADient weight Class Activation Mapping
 - Trianed model => Coarse localization map highlighting important regions for a class c



VGG "c=cat"



VGG "c=dog"



 $\alpha_k^c = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_i \sum_j \frac{\partial NN(I)^c}{\partial \Phi_{ii}^k}$

 $L^c_{\mbox{Grad-CAM}} = ReLU(\sum_k \alpha^c_k \Phi^k)$

 $\Phi_{i,j}^k$...Feature tensor (last convolution layer) i, j - spans spatial dimensions k - spans channels



Verification what the deep net learned (2)



For transformers: Self-Attention exploited



- Self-Attention: Query, Key, Value
 - Models long-distance relationships between tokens
 - A matrix of size $N \times N$, where N is the number of tokens
 - Self-attention map of the [class] token is used (reshaped to image size)
- Multiple heads, multiple layers

(recap)

Verification what the deep net learned (3)

Attention Roll-out [Abnar-2020]

 $\hat{\mathbf{A}}^{(b)} = I + \mathbb{E}_h \mathbf{A}^{(b)}$ rollout = $\hat{\mathbf{A}}^{(1)} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{A}}^{(2)} \cdot \ldots \cdot \hat{\mathbf{A}}^{(B)}$

Combination of gradient + attention [Chafer-ECCV-2021]

$$\bar{\mathbf{A}}^{(b)} = I + \mathbb{E}_h (\nabla \mathbf{A}^{(b)} \odot R^{(n_b)})^+$$
$$\mathbf{C} = \bar{\mathbf{A}}^{(1)} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{A}}^{(2)} \cdot \ldots \cdot \bar{\mathbf{A}}^{(B)}$$



 $Cat \rightarrow$



Roll-out Chafer-2021



Deep Dream



Manipulate the input image so that response scores are higher for all classes

 $\max_{I} \left(||\mathrm{NN}(I)||^2 - R(I) \right)$

- Start from an original image
- Regularization with TV prior





Deep Dream

Maybe...

Salvador Dalí



Soft Construction with Boiled Beans (1936)



41



Swans Reflecting Elephants (1937)



Apparition of a Face and Fruit Dish on a Beach (1937)

Hieronymus Bosch, Garden of Earthly Delights (~1510), [part]

Deep Aging

Our network trained for predicting age (gender and landmarks) was used

$$p \longrightarrow PCA \longrightarrow NN \implies S$$

$$\min_{p} ||\mathrm{NN}(\mathrm{PCA}(p)) - S^t||^2$$

Input: age=85



Input: age=28



Output: age=30



Output: age=99





[Čech, J. Unpublished experiment, 2015]

Deep Art – Neural Style

- Gatys et al. A Neural Algorithm of Artistic Style. Journal of Vision, 2015.
 - Generate high-quality artistic rendering images from photographs
 - Combines content of the input image with a style of another image



Content image





Style images







Result images





More examples at <u>Deepart.io</u>

Deep Art – Neural Style

- Main idea:
 - the style is captured by correlation of lower network layer responses
 - the content is captured by higher level responses
- The optimization problem:

$$\min_{I} \{ \alpha L_{\text{content}}(I_1, I) + \beta L_{\text{style}}(I_2, I) \}$$

$$L_{\text{content}} = \sum_{k} ||\Phi_{k}(I) - \Phi_{k}(I_{1})||^{2}$$
$$L_{\text{style}} = \sum_{k} w_{k} ||G(\Phi_{k}(I)) - G(\Phi_{k}(I_{2}))||^{2}$$

G is a Gram matrix (dot product matrix of vectorized filter responses)



Summary

- Deep fake
- Using Network gradient according to the image for various optimization
 - Fooling the net
 - Visualization + Interpretation
 - Dreaming, Hallucination
 - Aging
 - Artistic rendering of photographs

=> Understanding of the trained model





46

Foundation models

CLIP – Connecting Text and Images

- CLIP [Radford-2021] by OpenAI
 - "Contrastive Language-Image Pre-training"
 - Learn joint text-image embedding => Text-image (cosine) similarity
 - Learned from 400M WebImageText (WIT) dataset



CLIP – Connecting Text and Images

- Zero-shot prediction (on par with Resnet on ImageNET benchmark)
 - Loop over ImageNET-classes:

max CLIP(E_T ("*A photo of a <class>*"), $E_I(I)$)







- Trained <u>model</u> publicly available
- Alternative model: ALIGN [Jia-ICML-2021] (by Google), but not public
 - A Large scale ImaGe and Noisy-text embedding



DINO – self-supervised vision transformer



DINO (self-Distillation with NO labels) [Caron-ICCV-2021] by Meta



- No labels, random crops of the same image
- Student Teacher training
 - Student and teacher nets of the same architecture
 - Student updated by Cross-entropy loss $\min_{\theta} H(P_t(x), P_s(x))$
 - Teacher's weights are exponentially moving average of the student

$$heta_t \leftarrow \lambda heta_t + (1-\lambda) heta_s$$
 ,

DINO – self-supervised vision transformer



Model learns class-specific features without label supervision



Self-attention of the [CLASS] token on the heads of last hidden layer [video]

- Universal representation for downstream tasks
 - k-NN/linear classifier on the features 78.3/80.1% top-1 accuracy on ImageNET
 - Transfer learning (fine-tuning on other datasets)
 - Image retrieval
 - Segmentation

- ..

Segment Anything

- Segment Anything Model (SAM) [Kirillov-ICCV-2023] by Meta
- Promptable segmentation
- Human in the loop training (11M images, 1B masks)
 - 3 stages (assisted-manual 120k, semiautomatic 180k, fully-automatic 11M)
- Handles natural ambiguity by providing multiple solutions (3)
- Lightweight prompt encoder and mask decoder
 - \Rightarrow Interactive segmentation

(50 ms in web browser)







Segment Anything

Qualitative results – various prompts





m p

52

Outstanding zero-shot capabilities









Depth Anything



- Large Monodepth model [Yang-CVPR-2024] by TikTok
- Trained from 1.5M of depth labeled images + 62M of unlabeled images
 - Semi-Supervised Learning (SSL):
 - Teacher trained from labeled,
 - Student trained from labeled + pseudo-labeled (from the Teacher)
 - Normalizing depth (inverse depth, 0-1 range)
 - Strong data augmentation (color jitter, blur, geometry CutMix)

$$u_{ab} = u_a \odot M + u_b \odot (1 - M) \qquad \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{L}_u^M = \rho \big(S(u_{ab}) \odot M, \, T(u_a) \odot M \big), \\ \mathcal{L}_u^{1-M} = \rho \big(S(u_{ab}) \odot (1 - M), T(u_b) \odot (1 - M) \big) \end{array}$$

- Semantic preservation (alignment with DINO features)



Depth Anything



Qualitative results



FARL – FAcial Representation Learning

- FARL [<u>Zheng-CVPR-2022</u>] by Microsoft
- Universal representation for face images
- Trained from 20M LAION-Face dataset
- Combines text-image contrastive learning and masked image modeling

 $L_I = -\frac{1}{B} \sum_{i=1}^{B} \log \frac{\exp(e_i^I e_i^T / \sigma)}{\sum_{i=1}^{B} \exp(e_i^I e_i^T / \sigma)},$

 $L_T = -\frac{1}{B} \sum_{i=1}^{B} \log \frac{\exp(e_i^T e_i^I / \sigma)}{\sum_{i=1}^{B} \exp(e_i^T e_i^I / \sigma)},$

 $L_{MIM} = -\sum \log p\left(q_{\phi}^{k}(I)|\tilde{I}\right)$



"CLIP for faces", many downstream tasks (segmentation, landmarks, age)

Image-text Contrastive Learning

project page

55

m

Masked Image Modeling

р

Conclusions



Fathers of the Deep Learning Revolution Receive <u>Turing Award 2018</u>:



- No doubt that the paradigm has shifted
- Turbulent period
 - The research is extremely accelerated, many novel approaches
 - New results are still astonishing
- Large foundation models appear and are usually publicly available